Development of Individual Insulin Infusion Profiles for Open Loop Infusion Systems*
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Summary

A computer controlled syringe-type insulin infusion pump storing up to 254 different infusion rates, eight different meal programs and two different basal rates automatically changeable during 24 h in EPROM was used for insulin infusion applying a wavy step profile. This profile approaching the physiological postprandial insulin secretion of the B-cell was calculated by an algorithm following the biphasic insulin secretion model proposed by E. Cerasi.

The computer program for the open loop infusion device simulated the feedback structure of a closed loop insulin secretion control by an algorithm based upon a theoretical postprandial blood sugar profile.

Fifteen unstable juvenile onset insulin requiring diabetics could be well controlled after two to three days of an intravenous open loop insulin infusion program. The programs consisted of two constant basal rates and superimposed wavy step profile programs activated at the beginning of each meal.

The preabsorptive bolus or cephalic phase was an additional tool both for improved postprandial blood sugar control and further reduction of insulin consumption.

The programmable insulin infusion device proved as a valuable tool for the study of a sophisticated insulin infusion profile suitable as well for open loop as for closed loop insulin infusion systems.
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Introduction

Closed loop insulin infusion systems are able to regulate the blood sugar level of juvenile insulin dependent diabetics (IDD's) within a normoglycaemic range without counter-regulatory glucose infusion (Pfeiffer, Thum and Clemens 1974). The major disadvantages of these artificial endocrine pancreata are their considerable size, the extensive servicing program, the insufficient reliability of the blood glucose sensor, and the sustained loss of blood. Thus, for the present time, the use of closed loop systems is restricted to short-term inpatient treatment (Horwitz 1980; Beyer, Wolf, Cordes and Hassinger 1979; Lambert, Buysschaert, Marchand, Diwrad, Wojcik and Lambotte 1978).

An acceptable metabolic control similar to the Biostator™ can also be achieved by simple open loop insulin infusion devices providing a constant basal rate and rectangular or bolus-type profiles to cover the postprandial insulin need (Kflendorf, Bojsen and Lejrup 1980; Slama, Hautecouverture, Assan and Tchobroutsky 1974; Periman, Ehrlich, Piller and Albisser 1981)

However, the large number of blood sugar controls and variations in insulin doses is not reasonable for a long-term outpatient treatment. Without close-meshed blood sugar control, instability of the metabolic condition and insulin requirement tend to increase, the latter causing increasing insensitivity to exogenous insulin (Gavin, Roth, Neville, DeMeyts and Buell 1974; Smith 1980).

This paper describes the development and programming of postprandial insulin infusion profiles which promise to stabilize the daily blood sugar range and to minimize insulin requirement in IDDM more than the conventional open loop insulin infusion systems.

Materials and Methods

Patients

15 type-I-diabetics (Tab. 1) were studied for three days (Fig. 1). The patients received standard diets consisting of 40% fat, 20% protein, and 40% carbohydrates. The daily food intake was distributed over six meals per day. Programs with and without cephalic phase were tested in six of the 15 patients using the same insulin dosage for both programs.

Materials

The insulin infusion system used in this study has the external dimensions 19.5 x 7.8 x 5.0 cm and has a weight of 800 g (Fig. 2). An electric stepping motor propels the piston of a reusable Hamilton™ glass-syringe. Communication with the processor is possible via a keyboard; basal rates and meal programs can be activated by typing a numerical code. A LCD indicates time or activated program and basal rates.
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Table 1 Clinical data of 15 patients treated with IPIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Duration of diabetes (years)</th>
<th>Percent of ideal body weight</th>
<th>Retinopathy</th>
<th>Neuropathy</th>
<th>Insulin (IU/day) S.C.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>102.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3/12 26.0 6.3

SEM: 1.3 1.5

Mean: 100.4 2/15 4/15 53.5 2.1

Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the study protocol

Analysis of carbohydrate metabolism of 15 type-1 diabetics by the artificial endocrine pancreas

Insulin infusion profiles:
total insulin dosage
nightly basal rate (0–3 a.m.)
postprandial insulin consumption (120 min pp.)

Postprandial glucose profiles

Programming of individual insulin infusion programs for IPIS

Therapy of the same group of 15 patients with IPIS for 3 days

Correction after 1st day of IPIS therapy

Monitoring of blood glucose profiles:
Measurement in capillary blood every hour or continuous documentation by the artificial pancreas for comparison of profiles with and without cephalic phase

Fig. 2 Individually programmed insulin infusion system (IPIS)

Prototype of an individually programmed insulin infusion system (IPIS)

Two basal rates automatically change during 24 h. 254 different infusion rates (0.1 μl/min to 25 μl/min) can be read back following a mode preprogrammed in EPROM which stores eight meal programs. One program has a duration of 2.5 hours, six programs last two hours and, finally, there is a 30 minutes program for reserve. EPROM was programmed by an Apple II plus computer.

The catheter (Siemens AG) was inserted into a deep brachial vein. The blood sugar was measured hourly in capillary blood using an Y23a Glucose Analyzer™ (GOD method). For more precise comparison of the different insulin infusion profiles a glucose controlled insulin infusion system (Biostator™) monitored venous blood glucose levels in simulated feed back.
Methods

The model of the step profile tries to simulate the dynamics of the physiological insulin secretion without consideration for the morphological details (Cerasi, Fick and Rudemo 1974). The insulin infusion rate (IIR) is proportional to three factors:

- A hyperbolic tangent function \( f(g) \) calculating the initial phase of IIR
- an exponential function \( \exp(p) \) computing the second phase of IIR
- an exponential function \( \exp(-b) \) simulating the refractory period of the islets of Langerhans.

Thus the formula reads as follows:

\[
\text{IIR} = f(g) \times \exp(p-b)
\]

For the computation by Apple II the differential equations advanced by Cerasi (1967) have to be integrated.

For \( p(t) \) follows:

\[
p(t) = k_p \times g(t) - t_1 \times (T_p - T_P) \times (1 - \exp(-\frac{t}{T_P}))
\]

whereby \( T_p, T_P \) and \( k_p \) are constants. A similar equation counts for \( b(t) \):

\[
b(t) = k_b \times IIR(t) - t_1 \times (T_b - T_b1) \times (1 - \exp(-\frac{t}{T_b}))
\]

whereby \( T_b, T_b1 \) and \( k_b \) are constants.

Since the open loop insulin infusion system does not measure blood sugar a rigid model has to simulate the postprandial behaviour of the blood sugar concentration; its ascending part simulates the slow rise of blood sugar after an oral food intake:

\[
\text{BS}^{\text{asc.}} = \frac{C_1 \times \exp(k_1 \times t_1) - C_2 \times \exp(k_2 \times t_1)}{C_1 \times \exp(k_1 \times t_1) + C_2 \times \exp(k_2 \times t_1)}
\]

whereby \( C_1, C_2, k_1, \) and \( k_2 \) are constants.

The descending part of the curve takes the blood sugar lowering effect of the insulin infused into account:

\[
\text{BS}^{\text{desc.}} = C_1 \times \exp(k_1 \times t_1)
\]

whereby \( C_1, k_1 \) are constants.

Thus the formula for the simulated postprandial blood sugar curve reads as follows:

\[
\text{BS} = \text{BM} \times \text{BS}^{\text{asc.}} - \text{BS}^{\text{desc.}}
\]

whereby \( \text{BM} \) is a factor which is proportional to the amount of carbohydrates taken in.

The sigmoidal dose-response curve is approximated by a hyperbolic tangent function:

\[
f(g) = \tanh(G)
\]

whereby \( G = A \times \exp(K \times \text{BS}) \), whereby \( A \) and \( K \) are constants.

Our constants were empirically determined by analysis of Biostator™ data.

This model merely considers the insulin secretion characteristics modulated by a blood sugar rise: The preabsorptive insulin secretion or cephalic phase of insulin secretion is presumably controlled by neural and gastrohormonal mechanisms (Grossman 1979; Brown and Otte 1978; Berthoud, Bereiter, Trimble, Siegel and Jeanrenaud 1981) simulated in this program by an initial 2-minutes IIR bolus. The values of the blood sugar curve and of the corresponding insulin infusion rate are computed for every minute (Fig. 3); IIR is graphically monitored. Both values are used for the computation of the next following IIR.

Mathematical Results

If the algorithm is based upon an intravenous glucose infusion the well known biphasic insulin infusion profile results (Fig. 4). A parabolic curve of sixth order was chosen for the presented example. The infusion was calculated for two minutes steps. For the same choice of constants but with a modified formula copying the blood sugar profile after oral carbohydrate ingestion, the algorithm calculates a different
The insulin consumption could significantly (P < 0.05) be lowered by individual step-profiles compared to the artificial pancreas: 49.7IE/d vs 64.9IE/d (n = 15). The blood glucose level averaged 113.6 mg/dl ± 9.5 mg/dl (SEM, n = 15) with IPIS (Fig. 6). The M-value (Schlichtkrull, Munch and Jersild 1965) averaged 10.4 ± 0.5 (SEM, n = 15), the MAGE (Service, Molnar, Rosevear and Ackerman 1970) averaged 33.0 mg/dl ± 2.8 mg/dl (SEM, n = 15). The cephalic insulin infusion additionally stabilized the post-prandial blood sugar oscillations. The mean blood sugar excursion, measured from the starting point of the meal, was significantly (P < 0.01, n = 6) lower if a cephalic phase insulin infusion preceded the individual step-profiles: 0.8 mg/dl/150 min vs 14.3 mg/dl/150 min.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that the algorithm is able to produce any desired profile including those with cephalic insulin infusion. The choice of constants enables the programming of an infusion profile considering physiologic conditions. The cephalic phase insulin infusion possibly adds an insulin-saving effect suggesting that a sophisticated insulin infusion program is able to achieve both normoglycaemia and further reduction of insulin requirements.

However, the infusion pump used is too big and heavy for long-term outpatient treatment of IDD's but newer developments (Klein and Slama 1980) demonstrate that programmable insulin delivery systems can be reduced to reasonable dimensions.

The results gained under clinical conditions show the following considerable facts:
1. After carbohydrate intake, the insulin infusion rate has rapidly to be raised, for instance in the sense of a preabsorptive bolus. The latter should consist of about 10% of the total amount of insulin and should be infused during 2 to 4 minutes.

2. About 30 to 40 minutes after the beginning of the infusion program, the second peak of the insulin infusion should be reached. Subsequently, the infusion rates should decrease to less than 40% after 60 to 70 minutes and less than 20% after 100 to 120 minutes.

3. The infusion program should have a minimal duration of 120 minutes.

These conclusions for the wavy step profile are important both for the algorithms of extracorporal closed loop system and for the programming of implantable open loop insulin delivery systems.
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