



Universität Stuttgart

**Fakultät Informatik,
Elektrotechnik und
Informationstechnik**

Polynomials, Fragments of
Temporal Logic and the Variety
DA over Traces

Manfred Kufleitner

Report Nr. 2006/03

Institut für Formale
Methoden der Informatik
Universitätsstraße 38
D-70569 Stuttgart

February 7, 2006

CR: F.4.1, F.4.3

Abstract

We show that some language theoretic and logical characterizations of recognizable word languages whose syntactic monoid is in the variety **DA** also hold over traces. To this aim we give algebraic characterizations for the language operations of generating the polynomial closure and generating the unambiguous polynomial closure over traces.

We also show that there exist natural fragments of local temporal logic that describe this class of languages corresponding to **DA**. All characterizations are known to hold for words.

1 Introduction

Traces were introduced by Mazurkiewicz as a generalization of words to describe the behavior of concurrent processes [4]. Since then traces have become a rather popular setting to study concurrency. A lot of aspects of traces and trace languages have been researched, see [1] for an overview.

Over words it has turned out that finite semigroups are a powerful technique to refine the class of recognizable languages [2]. Two natural operations on classes of languages are the polynomial closure and the unambiguous polynomial closure. For particular classes of languages, so called language varieties, it has been shown that there exist algebraic counterparts in terms of the so-called Mal'cev product [9]. In Section 3 (resp. Section 4) we will show that this correspondence between the Mal'cev product and the polynomial closure (resp. the unambiguous polynomial closure) for restricted varieties also holds over traces.

In Section 5 we tighten these results in the particular case of the class **DA** of finite monoids to get two language theoretic characterizations of the class of trace languages whose syntactic monoid is in **DA**. In Section 6 we show that over traces the fragments of local temporal logic $\text{TL}[\text{XF}, \text{YP}]$, $\text{TL}[\text{XF}, \text{YP}, \text{M}]$ and $\text{TL}[\text{X}_a, \text{Y}_a]$ also express exactly these languages. All three characterizations are known to hold for words [10, 11].

2 Preliminaries

A set S is a *semigroup* if it is equipped with an associative binary operation. The set S forms a *monoid* if it is a semigroup and if there exists a neutral element, i.e. an element denoted by 1 and satisfying $1a = a = a1$ for all $a \in S$. An element e of a semigroup is called *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. A mapping $\eta : S \rightarrow T$ between two semigroups S and T is a *semigroup homomorphism* if $\eta(ab) = \eta(a)\eta(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$. If furthermore S and T are monoids and $\eta(1) = 1$, then η is *monoid homomorphism*. A relation $\tau \subseteq S \times T$ is a *relational semigroup morphism* between semigroups S and T if $\tau(a) \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau(ab) \subseteq \tau(a)\tau(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$ whereas $\tau(a) = \{c \in T \mid (a, c) \in \tau\}$. In the context of monoids we additionally require $1 \in \tau(1)$ and then τ is called a *relational monoid morphism*. If there is no confusion or if the statement holds in either case we omit the terms *relational*, *semigroup* and *monoid* and only use the words *morphism* and *homomorphism*. As for functional homomorphisms, we also use the notation $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ for morphisms. For two (homo)morphisms $\eta : S \rightarrow T$ and $\nu : S \rightarrow R$ we define their *product* $\eta \times \nu : S \rightarrow T \times R : a \mapsto (\eta(a), \nu(a))$.

The *graph* of a morphism $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ is defined as $\text{graph}(\tau) = \{(a, c) \mid c \in \tau(a)\}$. It is easy to see that $\text{graph}(\tau)$ is a subsemigroup (resp. submonoid) of $S \times T$. For any relational morphism $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ the projections $\pi_1 : \text{graph}(\tau) \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_2 : \text{graph}(\tau) \rightarrow T$ satisfy $\tau(a) = \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(a))$ for all $a \in S$, i.e. $\tau = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$. The condition $\tau(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in S$ implies that π_1 is onto. In fact, whenever we have two homomorphisms $\alpha : R \rightarrow S$ and $\beta : R \rightarrow T$ and α is onto, the composition $\beta \circ \alpha^{-1} : S \rightarrow T$ forms a relational morphism [6].

An *ordered semigroup* is a semigroup S equipped with a partial order relation \leq

such that $a \leq b$ implies $ca \leq cb$ and $ac \leq bc$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. Every semigroup S forms also an ordered semigroup (S, \leq) . For homomorphisms between ordered semigroups $\eta : (S, \leq) \rightarrow (T, \preceq)$ we additionally require $a \leq b \Rightarrow \eta(a) \preceq \eta(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$. More details can be found in [7].

We are interested in the interplay between classes of finite monoids and classes of recognizable subsets of infinite monoids. The connection between them is the syntactic congruence. Let L be a subset of a monoid \mathbb{M} . Then the *syntactic congruence* $\sim_L \subseteq \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}$ of L is defined by

$$p \sim_L q \Leftrightarrow (\forall u, v \in \mathbb{M} : upv \in L \Leftrightarrow uqv \in L).$$

The natural homomorphism $\mu_L : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}/\sim_L : p \mapsto [p]_{\sim_L}$ is called the *syntactic homomorphism* of L . The monoid $M(L) = \mathbb{M}/\sim_L$ is called the *syntactic monoid* of L . The *syntactic quasiordering* \leq_L of L is defined by $p \leq_L q \Leftrightarrow (\forall u, v \in \mathbb{M} : uqv \in L \Rightarrow upv \in L)$. The relation \leq_L induces a partial order on $M(L)$ such that $(M(L), \leq_L)$ forms an ordered monoid. It is called the *syntactic ordered monoid* of L .

Equations are one possibility to describe classes of finite semigroups. Let Ω be a finite set and let $w, v \in \Omega^+$ (resp. Ω^* for monoids). A semigroup S *satisfies* the equation $w = v$, if for all homomorphisms $\eta : \Omega^+ \rightarrow S$ we have $\eta(w) = \eta(v)$. In a finite semigroup the unique idempotent power of an element a is denoted by a^ω . We also allow the ω -operator in equalities and define $\eta(w^\omega) = \eta(w)^\omega$. By $\llbracket w = v \rrbracket$ we denote the set of finite semigroups (resp. finite monoids) satisfying $w = v$. Analogously, we can define the class of finite ordered semigroups satisfying an inequality $w \leq v$.

The next possibility we will need in order to define classes of finite semigroups is the Mal'cev product. Let \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} be two classes of finite semigroups. A semigroup S is contained in the *Mal'cev product* $\mathbf{W} \textcircled{M} \mathbf{V}$ of \mathbf{V} by \mathbf{W} if there exists a semigroup $T \in \mathbf{V}$ and a relational morphism $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ such that for each idempotent $e \in T$ the set $\tau^{-1}(e)$ forms a semigroup in \mathbf{W} .

Let Σ be a finite alphabet and $I \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma$ be a symmetric and irreflexive relation. The *trace monoid* generated by (Σ, I) is the quotient $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) = \Sigma^*/\{ab = ba \mid (a, b) \in I\}$. The elements of $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ are called *traces*, I is called the *independence relation* and $D = \Sigma^2 \setminus I$ is the *dependence relation*. Let $w \in \Sigma^*$. By $[w]_I$ we denote the trace generated by the word w . The word $w \in \Sigma^*$ is called a *word representative* of a trace $t \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ if $t = [w]_I$. As for words, $|t| \in \mathbb{N}$ is the *length* of the trace $t \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ and $\text{alph}(t) \subseteq \Sigma$ is its *alphabet*, i.e. the set of letters which occur in it. To each trace t we can associate a graph.

Let w be a word representative of a trace t . With t we can associate a graph $(V_t, <_t, \text{label}_t)$ where $V_t = \{\nu \mid \nu \text{ is a position of } w\}$ is the set of vertices and

$$\text{label}_t : V_t \rightarrow \Sigma : \nu \mapsto \text{“letter of } w \text{ at position } \nu\text{”}$$

is a labeling of the vertices. Let

$$\rightarrow_t = \{(\nu, \chi) \in V_t^2 \mid \nu \text{ occurs before } \chi \text{ in } w \text{ and } (\text{label}_t(\nu), \text{label}_t(\chi)) \in D\}.$$

The set of edges $<_t$ is now defined as the transitive closure of \rightarrow_t . The relation $<_t$ is a (strict) partial order on V_t . Up to isomorphism, the definition of this graph is independent

of the choice of the word representative. In fact, every word representative is a linearization of this graph. Therefore, by abuse of notation we will sometimes identify the word representative w , the trace t and its graph $(V_t, <_t, \text{label}_t)$.

3 Polynomial closure

In the following, we fix the trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ over a non-empty finite alphabet Σ . A class of finite monoids \mathbf{V} is called *variety* if it is closed under finite products, if it is closed under taking submonoids and if it is closed under homomorphic images [6]. We will also use this notion if \mathbf{V} is a class of finite ordered monoids [7]. By \mathbf{Com} we denote the class of finite commutative monoids $\llbracket xy = yx \rrbracket$ and by \mathbf{J}_1 we denote the class of idempotent and commutative monoids $\llbracket x^2 = x \rrbracket \cap \mathbf{Com}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of monoids such that $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ and let $M_0, \dots, M_n \in \mathbf{V}$. For all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ let $\mu_i : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M_i$ be homomorphisms. Then there exists a monoid $N \in \mathbf{V}$ and a homomorphism $\eta : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow N$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ satisfying $\eta(x) = \eta(y)$ the following conditions hold:*

1. For all homomorphisms μ_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\mu_i(x) = \mu_i(y)$.
2. $\text{alph}(x) = \text{alph}(y)$.
3. Let x' and y' be connected components of x and of y respectively with $\text{alph}(x') = \text{alph}(y')$. Then we have $\eta(x') = \eta(y')$.
4. If $\eta(x)$ is idempotent then $\eta(x')$ is idempotent for every connected component x' of x .

Proof: The power set 2^Σ of Σ using union \cup as operation forms a commutative and idempotent monoid. We set

$$N = 2^\Sigma \times \prod_{\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma} M_0 \times \dots \times M_n.$$

Since $2^\Sigma \in \mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ and since \mathbf{V} is a variety, we have $N \in \mathbf{V}$. Next we define

$$\eta = \text{alph} \times \prod_{\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma} ((\mu_0 \times \dots \times \mu_n) \circ \pi_\Gamma) : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow N,$$

where π_Γ is the natural projection $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, \Gamma^2 \cap I) : x \mapsto \pi_\Gamma(x)$. Note that $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, \Gamma^2 \cap I) \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$. Condition (1) is verified in the components of N and ν corresponding to $\Gamma = \Sigma$ and condition (2) is fulfilled by reason of the first component. Let x' and y' be connected components of x and of y with $\text{alph}(x') = \text{alph}(y')$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$ and let $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. To conclude (3) we have to show $\mu_i(\pi_\Gamma(x')) = \mu_i(\pi_\Gamma(y'))$. Since $x' = \pi_{\text{alph}(x')}(x)$ we have $\pi_\Gamma(x') = \pi_\Gamma(\pi_{\text{alph}(x')}(x)) = \pi_{\Gamma'}(x)$ with $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \text{alph}(x')$. A similar argument for y' and y and $\eta(x) = \eta(y)$ implies $\mu_i(\pi_\Gamma(x')) = \mu_i(\pi_{\Gamma'}(x)) = \mu_i(\pi_{\Gamma'}(y)) = \mu_i(\pi_\Gamma(y'))$. Now let $\eta(x)$ be idempotent. This means that every component of $\eta(x)$ is idempotent and since every component of $\eta(x')$ is also a component of $\eta(x)$, we have that $\eta(x')$ is also idempotent. \square

We say that a trace t_1 is a *factor* of a trace t_2 if there exist traces s_1 and s_2 such that $t_2 = s_1 t_1 s_2$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $a \in \Sigma$, let $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ and let $x \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ be connected. If $x^{|\Sigma|+m}$ is a factor of $t_0 a t_1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then there exist $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_0 + m_1 = m$ and x^{m_i} is a factor of t_i for $i = 0$ and $i = 1$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof that x^* is recognizable if $x \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ is connected [5, Proposition 6.3.11].

Since x is connected, between any two letters of $\text{alph}(x)$ we have an undirected path in the dependence graph (Σ, D) of length at most $|\Sigma|$ such that all vertices on this path are in $\text{alph}(x)$. Directed paths following the same labels also exist in $x^{|\Sigma|}$ between all vertices of the first x and all vertices of the last x in this product. There could be some x 's that have vertices in t_0 as well as vertices in $a t_1$. The above argument shows that starting with the first x with this property we could lose at most $|\Sigma| - 1$ many of the x 's of $x^{|\Sigma|+m}$ as factors of t_0 or $a t_1$. The letter a could be a factor of one x . It follows that there remain m many x 's as factors of either t_0 or t_1 . \square

For a set \mathcal{V} of languages over $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ we define the *polynomials* $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$ over \mathcal{V} as the set of languages that are finite unions of languages of the form

$$L_0 a_1 L_1 \cdots a_n L_n,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. We say that a set \mathcal{V} of languages over $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ *corresponds* to a class of monoids \mathbf{V} , if $\mathcal{V} = \{L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \mid M(L) \in \mathbf{V}\}$, resp. $\mathcal{V} = \{L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \mid (M(L), \leq_L) \in \mathbf{V}\}$ for ordered monoids \mathbf{V} .

Theorem 3.3 *Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of monoids such that $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ and let \mathcal{V} be the class of languages corresponding to \mathbf{V} . Then the syntactic ordered monoid of every language $L \in \text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$ is in $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$.*

Proof: We will modify the proof for words presented in [9]. Let $L = L_0 a_1 L_1 \cdots a_n L_n$, where $L_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\eta : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow N$ be as in Lemma 3.1 with $M_i = M(L_i)$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let $(M(L), \leq_L)$ be the syntactic ordered monoid of L and $\mu : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M(L)$ its syntactic homomorphism. We obtain the relational morphism $\tau = \eta \circ \mu^{-1} : M(L) \rightarrow N$.

Let $e \in N$ be idempotent, let $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ such that $\eta(x) = e = \eta(y)$ and let $m \geq n|\Sigma| + 1$. The trace x can be decomposed into connected components $x = x_1 \cdots x_\ell$ such that $\text{alph}(x_i) \times \text{alph}(x_j) \subseteq I$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$. Lemma 3.1 (2) implies $\text{alph}(x) = \text{alph}(y)$. Hence, the trace y can also be decomposed into connected components $y = y_1 \cdots y_\ell$ such that $\text{alph}(y_j) = \text{alph}(x_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Suppose $u x^m v \in L$. By applying Lemma 3.2 up to n times we can conclude that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and a factorization $u x^m v = z_0 z_1 x_j z_2 z_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &\in L_0 a_1 L_1 \cdots L_{i-1} a_i \\ z_1 x_j z_2 &\in L_i \\ z_3 &\in a_{i+1} L_{i+1} \cdots a_n L_n. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have that $\mu_i(x_j) = \mu_i(y_j)$ is idempotent and therefore we have that $z_1 x_j x_j^{k_1} y_j x_j^{k_2} z_2 \in L_i$ for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying this pumping argument to all connected components of x , by a suitable choice of the exponents we can conclude $ux^m y x^m v \in L$.

Thus for all $m \geq n|\Sigma| + 1$ we have $\mu(x^m y x^m) \leq_L \mu(x^m)$ and therefore $\tau^{-1}(e) \in \llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket$. This shows $(M(L), \leq_L) \in \llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$. Since this Mal'cev product forms a variety of ordered semigroups and since language classes corresponding to varieties are closed under finite unions, we can conclude that $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of the class of languages corresponding to $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$. \square

By $\pi_I : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) : w \mapsto [w]_I$ we denote the canonical projection from Σ^* to $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\eta : \Sigma^* \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism from Σ^* to a commutative monoid M . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\nu : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M$ such that $\nu \circ \pi_I = \eta$.*

Proof: We define $\nu(a) = \eta(a)$ for all $a \in \Sigma$. Since M is commutative, ν can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M$. By definition of ν we have $\nu([w]_I) = \eta(w)$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$. Since $\zeta \circ \pi_I = \eta$ implies $\zeta(a) = \eta(a)$ for all $a \in \Sigma$, we have that ν is unique. \square

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [2] as a special case of Proposition 1.1, page 186.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ be a trace language and let $\eta : \Sigma^* \rightarrow M$ be the syntactic homomorphism of $\pi_I^{-1}(L)$. Then M is isomorphic to the syntactic monoid of L .*

Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of finite monoids. We say that \mathcal{V} is the corresponding **-variety* if $\mathcal{V} = \{K \subseteq \Sigma^* \mid M(K) \in \mathbf{V}\}$. As for classes of trace languages, we define $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$ as the (word) languages that are finite unions of languages of the form $K_0 a_1 K_1 \cdots a_n K_n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. For a converse of Theorem 3.3 we will use the following theorem from [9].

Theorem 3.6 (Pin/Weil, 1997) *Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of finite monoids, let \mathcal{V} be the corresponding *-variety and let $K \subseteq \Sigma^*$. If the syntactic ordered monoid of K is in $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$, then $K \in \text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$.*

Now we can prove a converse of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{Com}$ be a variety of finite commutative monoids, let \mathcal{V} be the class of languages corresponding to \mathbf{V} and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ be a trace language. If the syntactic ordered monoid of L is in $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$, then $L \in \text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof: Let $K = \pi_I^{-1}(L)$. By Theorem 3.6 we can conclude that

$$K = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} K_{i,0} a_{i,1} K_{i,1} \cdots a_{i,n_i} K_{i,n_i}$$

for $m, n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{i,j} \in \Sigma$ and $K_{i,j} \subseteq \Sigma^*$ such that $M(K_{i,j}) \in \mathbf{V}$. By Lemma 3.4 we have $\pi_I^{-1}\pi_I(K_{i,j}) = K_{i,j}$ and by Lemma 3.5 we can conclude that the syntactic monoid of $L_{i,j} = \pi_I(K_{i,j})$ is in \mathbf{V} . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \pi_I(K) = \pi_I \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} K_{i,0} a_{i,1} K_{i,1} \cdots a_{i,n_i} K_{i,n_i} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \pi_I(K_{i,0}) a_{i,1} \pi_I(K_{i,1}) \cdots a_{i,n_i} \pi_I(K_{i,n_i}) \\ &= \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} L_{i,0} a_{i,1} L_{i,1} \cdots a_{i,n_i} L_{i,n_i} \in \text{Pol}\mathcal{V}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.8 *Let $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{Com}$ be a variety of finite monoids and let \mathcal{V} be the corresponding variety of trace languages. Then $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$ corresponds to $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V}$.*

Let \mathcal{V} be a class of trace languages. By $\text{coPol}\mathcal{V}$ we denote the class of trace languages L whose complement \bar{L} is in $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V}$. Since the syntactic ordered monoid of the complement \bar{L} of a trace language L is $(M(\bar{L}), \leq_{\bar{L}}) = (M(L), \leq_L^{-1})$ we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9 *Let $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{Com}$ be a variety of finite monoids and let \mathcal{V} be the corresponding class of trace languages. Then $\text{coPol}\mathcal{V}$ corresponds to $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \geq x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V}$.*

A class \mathcal{V} of trace languages is a *language variety* if it is closed under boolean operations, under inverse homomorphism and under quotients [2]. A *left quotient* of L is $a^{-1}L = \{t \mid at \in L\}$ for $a \in \Sigma$. *Right quotients* are symmetric. It is well known that a class of languages that corresponds to a variety of monoids forms a language variety [2]. Since

$$\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \leq x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V} \cap \llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega \geq x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V} = \llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V}$$

and since $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V}$ is a variety if \mathbf{V} is a variety [9], we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10 *Let $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{Com}$ be a variety of finite monoids and let \mathcal{V} be the corresponding variety of trace languages. Then $\text{Pol}\mathcal{V} \cap \text{coPol}\mathcal{V}$ is a language variety that corresponds to the variety $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{V}$.*

4 Unambiguous polynomial closure

Let ν be a position of a trace $t \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$. Then ν determines the following three factors of t :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pre}(\nu) &= \{ \chi \in t \mid \chi <_t \nu \} && \text{is the past of } \nu, \\ \text{par}(\nu) &= \{ \chi \in t \mid \nu \not\prec_t \chi, \chi \not\prec_t \nu, \nu \neq \chi \} && \text{is the parallel part of } \nu, \\ \text{suf}(\nu) &= \{ \chi \in t \mid \nu <_t \chi \} && \text{is the future of } \nu. \end{aligned}$$

We now have the following two factorizations $t = \text{pre}(\nu) \text{label}(\nu) \text{par}(\nu) \text{suf}(\nu)$ and $t = \text{pre}(\nu) \text{par}(\nu) \text{label}(\nu) \text{suf}(\nu)$. We say that a product $L = L_1 a L_2$ of trace languages $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$, $a \in \Sigma$ is *left unambiguous* if for all $t \in L$ there exists a unique position ν in t such that

- $\text{label}(\nu) = a$ and
- $\text{pre}(\nu) \in L_1$ and $\text{par}(\nu) \text{suf}(\nu) \in L_2$.

Right unambiguous products are defined symmetrically, i.e. the parallel part $\text{par}(\nu)$ is related to L_1 . A product $L_1 a L_2$ is *unambiguous* if it is left unambiguous *or* right unambiguous. Let \mathcal{V} be a class of trace languages. Then we define $\text{UPol}\mathcal{V}$ as closure of \mathcal{V} under boolean operations and unambiguous products.

Theorem 4.1 *Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of monoids such that $\mathbf{J}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ and let \mathcal{V} be the class of languages corresponding to \mathbf{V} . Then the syntactic monoid of every language in $\text{UPol}\mathcal{V}$ is in $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$.*

Proof: By **LI** we denote the semigroup variety $\llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket$. Let $L = L_1 a L_2$ be a left unambiguous product of L_1 and L_2 and let their syntactic monoids be $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbf{LI} \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$. Let $\eta : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow N$ be as in Lemma 3.1 and let $M(L)$ be the syntactic monoid of L and $\mu : \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M(L)$ its syntactic homomorphism. We obtain the relational morphism $\tau = \eta \circ \mu^{-1} : M(L) \rightarrow N$. Since **LI** $\mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$ forms a variety [6] and since **LI** $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{LI} \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{LI} \mathbb{M} \mathbf{V}$, see [8], it is sufficient to show that for all idempotents $e \in N$ we have $\tau^{-1}(e) \in \mathbf{LI}$. The theorem then follows by left-right symmetry and from the fact that classes of languages corresponding to varieties of monoids are closed under boolean operations [2].

Let $e^2 = e \in N$, let $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ such that $\eta(x) = e = \eta(y)$ and let $m \geq |\Sigma| + 1$ such that $\mu(x)^m$ is idempotent. We will show that $u x^m v \in L$ if and only if $u x^m y x^m v \in L$. The direction from left to right is the same as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose $u x^m y x^m v \in L$. Then there exists a left unambiguous factorization $u x^m y x^m v = z_1 a z_2$ with $z_1 \in L_1$ and $z_2 \in L_2$. Let $x = x_1 \cdots x_\ell$ and $y = y_1 \cdots y_\ell$ be factorizations into connected components such that $\text{alph}(x_j) = \text{alph}(y_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Suppose the connected component x_1 of x from the left x^m block matches with a factor of z_1 and the same connected component x_1 of x from the right x^m block matches with a factor of z_2 . Since $\eta(x_1) = \eta(y_1)$ is idempotent, we can arbitrarily pump x_1 and y_1 at these two positions without changing membership to L . The possibility of pumping at both position leads to two different

factorizations of $ux^m y x^m y_1 x_1^m v \in L$. This contradicts the choice of L_1 and L_2 such that L is left unambiguous. The same argument holds for all connected components of x .

Together with Lemma 3.2 it follows that for every index $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ of a connected component there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that the last occurrence of x_j in the left x^m block and the first occurrence of x_j in the right x^m block in $ux^m y x^m v$ are factors of z_i . Hence, the component y_j of y lies between these two occurrences of x_j , i.e. $x_j y_j x_j$ is a factor of z_i . Since $\eta(x_j y_j x_j) = \eta(x_j x_j)$, we can remove all connected components of y without changing membership to L . Therefore, $ux^m x^m v \in L$ and by idempotency of $\mu(x)^m$ we can conclude $ux^m v \in L$. \square

It is easy to see that the proof of Theorem 4.1 can be extended to sequences of unambiguous products, but since the unambiguous product for traces is not associative, this would not lead to such a natural characterization as for words, where UPol is defined via disjoint unions of unambiguous polynomials.

In the next section we will present a converse of the previous theorem for the variety $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{J}_1$.

5 The variety \mathbf{DA}

The variety \mathbf{DA} is defined as $\mathbf{DA} = \llbracket (xy)^\omega x (xy)^\omega = (xy)^\omega \rrbracket$. It is known that $\mathbf{DA} = \llbracket x^\omega y x^\omega = x^\omega \rrbracket \mathbb{M} \mathbf{J}_1$, see [6]. To be able to establish algebraic properties of \mathbf{DA} , we will need some of *Green's relations*. Let M be a monoid and let $a, b \in M$. The equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H} \subseteq M \times M$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a \mathcal{R} b &\Leftrightarrow aM = bM \\ a \mathcal{L} b &\Leftrightarrow Ma = Mb \\ a \mathcal{H} b &\Leftrightarrow a \mathcal{R} b \text{ and } a \mathcal{L} b. \end{aligned}$$

By \mathcal{G}_a for $\mathcal{G} \in \{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}\}$ we denote the \mathcal{G} -class of $a \in M$. All monoids $M \in \mathbf{DA}$ have the following properties [6, 11]:

- M is \mathcal{H} -trivial, i.e. $|\mathcal{H}_a| = 1$ for all $a \in M$.
- $\forall a, b \in M: a \mathcal{R} ab \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_a b \subseteq \mathcal{R}_a$.
- $\forall a, b \in M: a \mathcal{L} ba \Rightarrow b \mathcal{L}_a \subseteq \mathcal{L}_a$.

A factorization $t = t_- a t_+$ is a *left factorization* if $a \notin \text{alph}(t_-)$ and if $t_- = sb$ implies $(a, b) \in D$, i.e. in this factorization a is the first occurrence of the letter a in t and no minimal element of t_- is independent of a . Symmetrically, we say that a factorization $t = t_- a t_+$ is a *right factorization* if $a \notin \text{alph}(t_+)$ and if $t_+ = bs$ implies $(a, b) \in D$.

Definition 5.1 We define the relation $\equiv_{A,k} \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)^2$ for $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows:

- $t \equiv_{A,0} s$ if $\text{alph}(t) \subsetneq A \supsetneq \text{alph}(s)$ or $\text{alph}(t) \subseteq A \supseteq \text{alph}(s)$.
- $t \equiv_{A,k} s$ for $k > 0$ if $\text{alph}(t) \subsetneq A \supsetneq \text{alph}(s)$ or the following three conditions hold:

- $\text{alph}(t) = \text{alph}(s) \subseteq A$.
- For all $a \in \text{alph}(t)$ and all left factorizations $t = t_-at_+$ and $s = s_-as_+$ the conditions $t_- \equiv_{A \setminus \{a\}, k-1} s_-$ and $t_+ \equiv_{A, k-1} s_+$ hold.
- For all $a \in \text{alph}(t)$ and all right factorizations $t = t_-at_+$ and $s = s_-as_+$ the conditions $t_- \equiv_{A, k-1} s_-$ and $t_+ \equiv_{A \setminus \{a\}, k-1} s_+$ hold.

It is clear that for all $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the relation $\equiv_{A, k}$ is an equivalence relation of finite index.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)^2$ be a congruence of finite index such that $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)/\gamma \in \mathbf{DA}$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\equiv_{\Sigma, k} \subseteq \gamma$.*

Proof: We adapt the proof of a theorem in [11]. By $[t]$ we denote the equivalence class of t with respect to γ . Let $M = \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)/\gamma$. A factorization $t = t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_nt_n$ is called \mathcal{R} -decomposition if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $[t_0] = 1 \in M$.
- $[t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_i] \mathcal{R} [t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_it_i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $[t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_it_i] \overline{\mathcal{R}} [t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_it_ia_{i+1}]$ for all $1 \leq i < n$.
- $|t_i|$ is minimal with the above properties for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and where $|t_i|$ is always minimized before $|t_{i+1}|$.

Here $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = M^2 \setminus \mathcal{R}$. From $M \in \mathbf{DA}$ we can conclude $a_i \notin \text{alph}(t_{i-1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The \mathcal{R} -decomposition of a trace t is not unique. Let m be the maximum of the number of \mathcal{R} -classes and the number of \mathcal{L} -classes of M . By induction on $|A|$ we will proof that for all $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and all $t, s \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ the following implication holds: if $\text{alph}(t) \subseteq A \supseteq \text{alph}(s)$ and $t \equiv_{A, |A|m} s$ then $[t] = [s]$. If $|A| = 0$ then $A = \emptyset$ and $t = \varepsilon = s$ and hence $[t] = [s]$.

Suppose $|A| > 0$ and w.l.o.g. let $\emptyset \neq \text{alph}(t) = \text{alph}(s) \subseteq A$. Let $t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_nt_n$ be an \mathcal{R} -decomposition of t . Then $n < m$ holds. We set $r_i = t_ia_it_{i+1} \cdots a_nt_n$. From the minimality of $|t_i|$ it follows that $r_i = t_ia_{i+1}r_{i+1}$ is a left factorization. From $t \equiv_{A, |A|m} s$ we can conclude that there exists a factorization $s = s_0a_1s_1 \cdots a_ns_n$ with $t_i \equiv_{A \setminus \{a_{i+1}\}, |A| - (i+1)} s_i$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. Now $i+1 \leq n < m$ implies $t_i \equiv_{A \setminus \{a_{i+1}\}, |A \setminus \{a_{i+1}\}|m} s_i$ and therefore by induction $[t_i] = [s_i]$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. Hence $[t] \mathcal{R} [t_0a_1t_1 \cdots a_n] = [s_0a_1s_1 \cdots a_n]$ and thus $[s] M \subseteq [s_0a_1s_1 \cdots a_n] M = [t] M$. Starting with an \mathcal{R} -decomposition of s we can analogously conclude $[t] M \subseteq [s] M$ and therefore $[t] \mathcal{R} [s]$. A symmetric reasoning with \mathcal{L} -decompositions shows $[t] \mathcal{L} [s]$ and hence $[t] \mathcal{H} [s]$. Since M is \mathcal{H} -trivial we have $[t] = [s]$. This shows $\equiv_{\Sigma, k} \subseteq \gamma$ for $k \geq |\Sigma| m$. \square

It follows that every language L with $M(L) \in \mathbf{DA}$ is the disjoint union of $\equiv_{A, k}$ -classes. We define the class of languages $\mathcal{A} = \{A^* \mid A \subseteq \Sigma\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{A} is a subclass of the languages corresponding to \mathbf{J}_1 .

Lemma 5.3 *Let $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Every equivalence class of $\equiv_{A, k}$ is in UPolA .*

Proof: For $a \in \Sigma$, $t \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$, $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following languages:

$$\begin{aligned}
L(a) &= \overline{\bigcup_{(a,b) \in I} \Sigma^* b} \cap (\Sigma \setminus \{a\})^* \\
R(a) &= \overline{\bigcup_{(a,b) \in I} b \Sigma^*} \cap (\Sigma \setminus \{a\})^* \\
B(\Gamma) &= \{s \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \mid \text{alph}(s) = \Gamma\} \\
&= \Gamma^* \cap \bigcap_{b \in \Gamma} L(b) b \Sigma^* \\
E(A, k, t) &= [t]_{\equiv_{A,k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have $L(a), R(a), B(t) \in \text{UPol}\mathcal{A}$. The set $L(a)$ contains all traces t such that $\text{alph}(t) \subseteq \Sigma \setminus \{a\}$ and a is the unique maximal element of ta . The set $R(a)$ is symmetric. By induction on k we prove $E(A, k, t) \in \text{UPol}\mathcal{A}$. The equivalence classes of $\equiv_{A,0}$ are A^* and its complement. For $k > 0$ the language $E(A, k, t)$ is $\overline{A^*}$ or

$$\begin{aligned}
&B(\text{alph}(t)) \cap \bigcap_{\substack{t = t_- a t_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{left factorization}}} (L(a) \cap E(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_-)) \cdot a \cdot E(A, k-1, t_+) \\
&\cap \bigcap_{\substack{t = t_- a t_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{right factorization}}} E(A, k-1, t_-) \cdot a \cdot (R(a) \cap E(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_+))
\end{aligned}$$

Note that all products are unambiguous and all intersections are finite. \square

The language variety corresponding to \mathbf{J}_1 is contained in $\text{Pol}\mathcal{A}$. Together with Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 4.1 we can conclude:

Corollary 5.4 *The language variety $\text{UPol}\mathcal{A} = \text{Pol}\mathcal{A} \cap \text{coPol}\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the variety \mathbf{DA} .*

6 Temporal logic

In this section we introduce two characterizations of \mathbf{DA} with temporal logics. In this paper, a *temporal formula* is a term of the form

$$\varphi ::= a \mid \neg\varphi \mid (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \mid (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \mid \mathbf{XF}\varphi \mid \mathbf{YP}\varphi \mid \mathbf{M}\varphi \mid \mathbf{X}_a\varphi \mid \mathbf{Y}_a\varphi$$

where $a \in \Sigma$. The operators \mathbf{XF} , \mathbf{YP} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{X}_a and \mathbf{Y}_a are called *temporal operators*. The letter \mathbf{X} comes from the word **neXt**, \mathbf{Y} stands for **Yesterday**, \mathbf{F} for **Future**, \mathbf{P} for **Past** and \mathbf{M} for **soMetime**. By $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$ we denote the set of temporal formulae where \mathbf{XF} and \mathbf{YP} are the only temporal operators and in the fragment $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}, \mathbf{M}]$ we additionally allow the \mathbf{M} operator. By $\text{TL}[\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$ we denote the set of temporal formulae where all

temporal operators are of the form X_a or Y_a for $a \in \Sigma$. Next we define, when a trace $t = (V, <, \text{label})$ at position $\nu \in V$ models a temporal formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
t, \nu \models a &\Leftrightarrow \text{label}(\nu) = a, \quad \text{for } a \in \Sigma. \\
t, \nu \models \neg\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \text{not } t, \nu \models \varphi. \\
t, \nu \models \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 &\Leftrightarrow t, \nu \models \varphi_1 \text{ or } t, \nu \models \varphi_2. \\
t, \nu \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 &\Leftrightarrow t, \nu \models \varphi_1 \text{ and } t, \nu \models \varphi_2. \\
t, \nu \models \mathbf{XF}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \chi \in V: \nu < \chi \text{ and } t, \chi \models \varphi. \\
t, \nu \models \mathbf{YP}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \chi \in V: \chi < \nu \text{ and } t, \chi \models \varphi. \\
t, \nu \models \mathbf{M}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \chi \in V: t, \chi \models \varphi. \\
t, \nu \models \mathbf{X}_a\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \chi \in V: \nu < \chi \text{ and } t, \chi \models a \wedge \varphi \text{ and} \\
&\quad (\forall \xi \in V: \nu < \xi < \chi \Rightarrow \text{label}(\xi) \neq a). \\
t, \nu \models \mathbf{Y}_a\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \chi \in V: \chi < \nu \text{ and } t, \chi \models a \wedge \varphi \text{ and} \\
&\quad (\forall \xi \in V: \chi < \xi < \nu \Rightarrow \text{label}(\xi) \neq a).
\end{aligned}$$

The usage of ‘‘alphabetic filters’’ (as in X_a and Y_a) has been introduced in [3] for local temporal logic over traces.

An *outer* temporal formula is a boolean combination of formulae of the form $\mathbf{XF}\varphi$, $\mathbf{YP}\varphi$, $\mathbf{M}\varphi$, $\mathbf{X}_a\varphi$ or $\mathbf{Y}_a\varphi$ where φ is an arbitrary temporal formula. Next we define when a trace $t = (V, <, \text{label})$ models an outer temporal formula. Boolean combinations are defined straightforwardly.

$$\begin{aligned}
t \models \mathbf{XF}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in V: t, \nu \models \varphi. \\
t \models \mathbf{YP}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in V: t, \nu \models \varphi. \\
t \models \mathbf{M}\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in V: t, \nu \models \varphi. \\
t \models \mathbf{X}_a\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in V: t, \nu \models a \wedge \varphi \text{ and} \\
&\quad (\forall \xi \in V: \xi < \nu \Rightarrow \text{label}(\xi) \neq a). \\
t \models \mathbf{Y}_a\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in V: t, \nu \models a \wedge \varphi \text{ and} \\
&\quad (\forall \xi \in V: \nu < \xi \Rightarrow \text{label}(\xi) \neq a).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{XF}\varphi$, $\mathbf{YP}\varphi$ and $\mathbf{M}\varphi$ as outer formulae are equivalent. The idea is that when evaluating \mathbf{XF} and \mathbf{X}_a we start at a position in front of the trace and when evaluating \mathbf{YP} and \mathbf{Y}_a we start at a position behind the trace. The language generated by an outer temporal formula φ is

$$L(\varphi) = \{t \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \mid t \models \varphi\}.$$

We say that a language $L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ is expressible in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$ (resp. in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}, \mathbf{M}]$ or $\text{TL}[\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$) if there exists an outer temporal formula $\varphi \in \text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$ (resp. $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}, \mathbf{M}]$ or $\varphi \in \text{TL}[\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$) such that $L = L(\varphi)$.

Lemma 6.1 *Let φ be an outer temporal formula in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}, \mathbf{M}]$. Then the syntactic monoid of $L(\varphi)$ is in **DA**.*

Proof: Let m be the number of (nested) temporal operators in φ . Let $x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$, let $n > m |\Sigma|$ and let $t = p(xy)^n x (xy)^n q$ and $s = p(xy)^n (xy)^n q$.

We define a function $g : V_t \rightarrow V_s$ by mapping all positions of the prefix $p(xy)^n$ of t to the corresponding prefix of s and all positions of the suffix $(xy)^n q$ of t to the corresponding suffix of s . The positions of x in t between this prefix and this suffix are mapped to the corresponding positions of the first x of the suffix $(xy)^n q$ of s . Note that g is onto.

For $\ell < m$ we define a partial function $next_\ell : V_s \rightarrow V_s$ by mapping all positions of all xy in $(xy)^{(m-\ell)|\Sigma|} (xy)^{(m-\ell)|\Sigma|}$ in the center of

$$s = p(xy)^{n'} \cdot (xy)^{(m-\ell)|\Sigma|} (xy)^{(m-\ell)|\Sigma|} \cdot (xy)^{n'} q$$

with $n' = n - (m - \ell) |\Sigma|$ to the corresponding positions in the consecutive occurrence of xy in s .

We will show that for every temporal formula ζ with ℓ temporal operators, $\ell < m$, we have

$$t, \nu \models \zeta \Leftrightarrow s, g(\nu) \models \zeta \text{ for all } \nu \in V_t \text{ and} \quad (1)$$

$$s, \chi \models \zeta \Leftrightarrow s, next_\ell(\chi) \models \zeta \text{ for all } \chi \text{ in the domain of } next_\ell. \quad (2)$$

For $\ell = 0$ this is true since all positions are mapped to positions with the same label. Suppose $\zeta = \mathbf{XF}\psi$ and $t, \nu \models \zeta$. Then there exists a position ξ such that $\nu <_t \xi$ and $t, \xi \models \psi$. By induction we have $s, g(\xi) \models \psi$. If $g(\nu) <_s g(\xi)$ we are done for this direction. Otherwise ν is a position of x in the center of t . In this cases we have $g(\nu) <_s next_{\ell-1}(g(\xi))$ and by induction $s, g(\xi) \models \psi$ implies $s, next_{\ell-1}(g(\xi)) \models \psi$. Hence $s, g(\nu) \models \mathbf{XF}\psi$. The other direction of (1) follows similarly by using (2) if ν is a position of x in the center of t .

For (2) suppose $s, \chi \models \mathbf{XF}\psi$ for χ in the domain of $next_\ell$. Then there exists $\xi \in V_s$ such that $\chi <_s \xi$ and $s, \xi \models \psi$. If $next_\ell(\chi) <_s \xi$ we are done. Otherwise we can apply induction hypothesis to conclude $s, next_{\ell-1}(\xi) \models \psi$ since ξ is in the domain of $next_{\ell-1}$. Note that this is the reason for the factor $|\Sigma|$ in the above exponents in the definition of $next_\ell$. Since $next_\ell(\chi) <_s next_{\ell-1}(\xi)$ we have $s, next_\ell(\chi) \models \mathbf{XF}\psi$. The other direction of (2) is similar.

The case $\zeta = \mathbf{YP}\psi$ is symmetric and the case $\zeta = \mathbf{M}\psi$ is trivial since no constraint is required for the subsequent position. Boolean operations are straightforward by induction on the size of the formula. It follows that $t \in L(\varphi)$ if and only if $s \in L(\varphi)$ and hence the syntactic monoid of $L(\varphi)$ is in $\mathbf{DA} = \llbracket (xy)^\omega x (xy)^\omega = (xy)^\omega \rrbracket$. \square

Lemma 6.2 *Let φ be an outer temporal formula in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$. Then the syntactic monoid of $L(\varphi)$ is in \mathbf{DA} .*

Proof: As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, let m be the number of (nested) temporal operators in φ . Let $x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$, let $n > m |\Sigma|$ and let $t = p(xy)^n x (xy)^n q$ and $s = p(xy)^n (xy)^n q$. The reason that φ can't distinguish between t and s is that it is not possible to reach a position in x in the middle of t since $\text{alph}(x) \subseteq \text{alph}(xy)$. Therefore, all positions that are taken into account by a formula φ with m (nested) operators in $\{\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a\}$ lie within the identical prefixes $p(xy)^{m|\Sigma|}$ and suffixes $(xy)^{m|\Sigma|} q$ of t and s . Hence the syntactic monoid of $L(\varphi)$ is in \mathbf{DA} . \square

The equivalence relations $\equiv_{A,k}$ for $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are defined in Definition 5.1. We will show that all languages L with $M(L) \in \mathbf{DA}$ can be expressed by a formula in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$. By Lemma 5.2 it suffices to show that all equivalence classes of $\equiv_{A,k}$ are expressible in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$.

Lemma 6.3 *Let $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Every equivalence class of $\equiv_{A,k}$ is expressible in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$.*

Proof: We introduce some macro formulae.

$$\begin{aligned}
B^*(\Gamma) &= \bigwedge_{b \notin \Gamma} \neg \mathbf{X}Fb && \text{for } \Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \\
B(\Gamma) &= \bigwedge_{b \in \Gamma} \mathbf{X}Fb \wedge B^*(\Gamma) && \text{for } \Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \\
\text{LL}(a) &= \mathbf{X}F(a \wedge \neg \mathbf{Y}P a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\
\text{LR}(a) &= \neg \text{LL}(a) \wedge \neg(a \wedge \neg \mathbf{Y}P a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\
\text{RR}(a) &= \mathbf{Y}P(a \wedge \neg \mathbf{X}F a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\
\text{RL}(a) &= \neg \text{RR}(a) \wedge \neg(a \wedge \neg \mathbf{X}F a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

A trace t models $B^*(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\text{alph}(t) \subseteq \Gamma$ and it models $B(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\text{alph}(t) = \Gamma$. The first letter of the name in the formulae of the form $\text{XY}(a)$ indicates the type of the factorization (*Left* or *Right*) and the second letter refers to the side within this factorization. These four formulae will be used to restrict positions to the left or to the right part of a left or a right factorization. For example, $\text{LR}(a)$ is true at positions that are not before the first a and that aren't the first position labeled by a .

For each equivalence class $[t]_{\equiv_{A,k}}$ we will show that there exists a formula $\varphi(A, k, t)$ such that $L(\varphi(A, k, t)) = [t]_{\equiv_{A,k}}$. For $k = 0$, we have $A^* = L(B^*(A))$ and $\overline{A^*}$ is expressed by the negation of this formula. For $a \in \Sigma$ we define the four transformations Tr_{XY}^a for $\text{XY} \in \{\text{LL}, \text{LR}, \text{RL}, \text{RR}\}$ on formulae in $\text{TL}[\mathbf{XF}, \mathbf{YP}]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[b] &= b \wedge \text{XY}(a) && \text{for } b \in \Sigma \\
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\neg \varphi] &= \neg \text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi] \\
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2] &= \text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_1] \vee \text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_2] \\
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2] &= \text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_1] \wedge \text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi_2] \\
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\mathbf{X}F\varphi] &= \mathbf{X}F(\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{XY}(a)) \\
\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\mathbf{Y}P\varphi] &= \mathbf{Y}P(\text{Tr}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{XY}(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

The transformations restrict formulae to special regions. For example $\text{Tr}_{\text{LL}}^a[\varphi]$ restricts φ to all positions before the first occurrence of a . For $k > 0$ the formula for $[t]_{\equiv_{A,k}}$ is

$\varphi(A, k, t) = \neg B^*(A)$ or

$$\varphi(A, k, t) = B(\text{alph}(t)) \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{t = t_- a t_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{left factorization}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Tr}_{\text{LL}}^a[\varphi(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_-)] \wedge \\ \text{Tr}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi(A, k-1, t_+)] \end{array} \right\} \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{t = t_- a t_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{right factorization}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Tr}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi(A, k-1, t_-)] \wedge \\ \text{Tr}_{\text{RR}}^a[\varphi(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_+)] \end{array} \right\}.$$

□

Lemma 6.4 *Let $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Every equivalence class of $\equiv_{A,k}$ is expressible in $\text{TL}[\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{Y}_a]$.*

Proof: The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 6.3 but the transformations of formulae will be more involved since we do not only have to ensure that we don't leave some restriction of a trace but also that we are able to reach this restriction. For this task we have to distinguish nested temporal operators between outermost and inner operators. When dealing with the outermost operators we will solve the task of reaching some part of the trace and when we are treating inner temporal operators, we will ensure that they stay within this part. The parts under examination are determined by left and right factorizations.

We introduce some macro formulae.

$$\begin{aligned} B^*(\Gamma) &= \bigwedge_{b \notin \Gamma} \neg \mathcal{X}_b b && \text{for } \Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \\ B(\Gamma) &= \bigwedge_{b \in \Gamma} \mathcal{X}_b b \wedge B^*(\Gamma) && \text{for } \Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \\ \text{LL}(a) &= \mathcal{X}_a \neg \mathcal{Y}_a a && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\ \text{LR}(a) &= \neg \text{LL}(a) \wedge \neg(a \wedge \neg \mathcal{Y}_a a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\ \text{LP}(a) &= \neg \text{LL}(a) \wedge \neg \mathcal{Y}_a a \wedge \neg a && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\ \text{RR}(a) &= \mathcal{Y}_a \neg \mathcal{X}_a a && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\ \text{RL}(a) &= \neg \text{RR}(a) \wedge \neg(a \wedge \neg \mathcal{X}_a a) && \text{for } a \in \Sigma \\ \text{RP}(a) &= \neg \mathcal{X}_a a \wedge \neg \text{RR}(a) \wedge \neg a && \text{for } a \in \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The letter P in the transformations of the form $\text{XY}(a)$ stands for *Parallel*. For example, $\text{LP}(a)$ is true at positions that aren't before the first a and that aren't after a position labeled by a and that aren't labeled by a .

For $a \in \Sigma$ and for $\text{XY} \in \{\text{LL}, \text{LR}, \text{RL}, \text{RR}\}$ we define the inner transformation $\text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a$ on formulae in $\text{TL}[\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{Y}_a]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a[b] &= b \wedge \text{XY}(a) \quad \text{for } b \in \Sigma \\ \text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a[\mathcal{X}_b \varphi] &= \mathcal{X}_b (\text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{XY}(a)) \\ \text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a[\mathcal{Y}_b \varphi] &= \mathcal{Y}_b (\text{Inner}_{\text{XY}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{XY}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The description of the transformation of boolean combinations is omitted. Next, we will define the four transformations $\text{Outer}_{\text{LL}}^a$, $\text{Outer}_{\text{RR}}^a$, $\text{Outer}_{\text{LR}}^a$ and $\text{Outer}_{\text{RL}}^a$ of the outermost temporal operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Outer}_{\text{LL}}^a[\mathbf{X}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LL}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{LL}}^a[\mathbf{Y}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{X}_a\mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LL}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{RR}}^a[\mathbf{X}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{Y}_a\mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RR}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{RR}}^a[\mathbf{Y}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RR}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{LR}}^a[\mathbf{X}_b\varphi] &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LP}(a)) \vee \\ \mathbf{X}_a\mathbf{Y}_b\mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LP}(a)) \vee \\ (\neg\mathbf{X}_b(\text{LP}(a)) \wedge \neg\mathbf{X}_a\mathbf{Y}_b\mathbf{X}_b(\text{LP}(a)) \wedge \\ \mathbf{X}_a\mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LR}(a))) \end{array} \right\} \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{LR}}^a[\mathbf{Y}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{LR}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{RL}}^a[\mathbf{X}_b\varphi] &= \mathbf{X}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RL}(a)) \\
\text{Outer}_{\text{RL}}^a[\mathbf{Y}_b\varphi] &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RP}(a)) \vee \\ \mathbf{Y}_a\mathbf{X}_b\mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RP}(a)) \vee \\ (\neg\mathbf{Y}_b(\text{RP}(a)) \wedge \neg\mathbf{Y}_a\mathbf{X}_b\mathbf{Y}_b(\text{RP}(a)) \wedge \\ \mathbf{Y}_a\mathbf{Y}_b(\text{Inner}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi] \wedge \text{RL}(a))) \end{array} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

For example, when transforming $\mathbf{X}_b\varphi$ by $\text{Outer}_{\text{LR}}^a$ we have to ensure that we reach the first position that is labeled by b and not before the first a . This is done by a case distinction. The first case is that the first b is parallel to the first a . The next case is that there is a b before the first a and the first b that is not before the first a is parallel to the first a . The third case is that there does not exist an b that is parallel to the first a . Therefore, the first b that is not before the first a is after this first a . All cases are disjoint.

Using the above transformations, we inductively define formulae $\varphi(A, k, t)$ in the fragment $\text{TL}[\mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$ expressing $[t]_{\equiv_{A,k}}$. For $k = 0$ the formula is $B^*(A)$ or its negation. For $k > 0$ we have $\varphi(A, k, t) = \neg B^*(A)$ or

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(A, k, t) &= B(\text{alph}(t)) \wedge \\
&\quad \bigwedge_{\substack{t = t_-at_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{left factorization}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Outer}_{\text{LL}}^a[\varphi(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_-)] \wedge \\ \text{Outer}_{\text{LR}}^a[\varphi(A, k-1, t_+)] \end{array} \right\} \wedge \\
&\quad \bigwedge_{\substack{t = t_-at_+ \text{ is} \\ \text{right factorization}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Outer}_{\text{RL}}^a[\varphi(A, k-1, t_-)] \wedge \\ \text{Outer}_{\text{RR}}^a[\varphi(A \setminus \{a\}, k-1, t_+)] \end{array} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the next theorem we summarize the characterizations of trace languages whose syntactic monoid is in the variety **DA**.

Theorem 6.5 *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M(L) \in \mathbf{DA}$.
- (ii) $L \in \mathbf{UPolA}$.
- (iii) $L \in \mathbf{PolA}$ and $\bar{L} \in \mathbf{PolA}$.
- (iv) L is expressible in $\mathbf{TL[XF, YP]}$.
- (v) L is expressible in $\mathbf{TL[XF, YP, M]}$.
- (vi) L is expressible in $\mathbf{TL[X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$.

Proof: The equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) is Corollary 5.4. The direction “(i) \Rightarrow (iv)” follows from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 6.3. Since $\mathbf{TL[XF, YP]} \subseteq \mathbf{TL[XF, YP, M]}$ we have “(iv) \Rightarrow (v)”. The implication “(v) \Rightarrow (i)” is Lemma 6.1. The direction “(i) \Rightarrow (vi)” follows from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 6.4 and the implication “(vi) \Rightarrow (i)” is Lemma 6.2. \square

Conclusion

We have given an algebraic characterization of \mathbf{PolV} and $\mathbf{PolV} \cap \mathbf{coPolV}$ in the case that \mathcal{V} corresponds to a variety of commutative monoids that contains the monoid $(2^\Sigma, \cup, \emptyset)$ over subsets the alphabet Σ . We have also shown that all languages in \mathbf{UPolV} satisfy a particular algebraic property if \mathcal{V} corresponds to a variety that contains the monoid $(2^\Sigma, \cup, \emptyset)$. That this property is sufficient for \mathbf{UPolV} has been show in the case that \mathcal{V} corresponds to \mathbf{J}_1 . This leads to two language theoretic characterizations of the variety \mathbf{DA} : $\mathbf{PolA} \cap \mathbf{coPolA}$ and \mathbf{UPolA} where $\mathcal{A} = \{A^* \mid A \subseteq \Sigma\}$. Then we have given two logical characterizations of \mathbf{DA} : the fragments $\mathbf{TL[XF, YP]}$ and $\mathbf{TL[X}_a, \mathbf{Y}_a]$ and we have shown that additionally allowing the operator \mathbf{M} does not change the expressive power of the first fragment.

Two interesting open problems are whether it is possible to proof that the algebraic characterization of \mathbf{PolV} also holds for a larger classes of languages \mathcal{V} and whether it is possible to give a language theoretic characterization of $\mathbf{PolV} \cap \mathbf{coPolV}$ in terms of disjoint unions of unambiguous polynomials as for words.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Martin Müller and Pascal Tesson for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Volker Diekert and Grzegorz Rozenberg, editors. *The Book of Traces*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.

- [2] Samuel Eilenberg. *Automata, Languages, and Machines*, volume B. Academic Press, New York and London, 1976.
- [3] Paul Gastin and Madhavan Mukund. An elementary expressively complete temporal logic for mazurkiewicz traces. In Peter Widmayer et al., editors, *Proc. 29th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP'2002), Málaga (Spain), 2002*, number 2380 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 938–949. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [4] Antoni Mazurkiewicz. Concurrent program schemes and their interpretations. DAIMI Rep. PB 78, Aarhus University, Aarhus, 1977.
- [5] Edward Ochmański. Recognizable trace languages. In V. Diekert and G. Rozenberg, editors, *The Book of Traces*, chapter 6, pages 167–204. World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [6] Jean-Éric Pin. *Varieties of Formal Languages*. North Oxford Academic, London, 1986.
- [7] Jean-Éric Pin. A variety theorem without complementation. In *Russian Mathematics (Izvestija vuzov. Matematika)*, volume 39, pages 80–90, 1995.
- [8] Jean-Éric Pin, Howard Straubing, and Denis Thérien. Locally trivial categories and unambiguous concatenation. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 52:297–311, 1988.
- [9] Jean-Éric Pin and Pascal Weil. Polynomial closure and unambiguous product. *Theory Comput. Syst*, 30(4):383–422, 1997.
- [10] Pascal Tesson. Personal communication.
- [11] Pascal Tesson and Denis Thérien. Diamonds are Forever: The Variety **DA**. In Gracinda Maria dos Gomes Moreira da Cunha, Pedro Ventura Alves da Silva, and Jean-Eric Pin, editors, *Semigroups, Algorithms, Automata and Languages, Coimbra (Portugal) 2001*, pages 475–500. World Scientific, 2002.