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Abstract

Metal oxides belong to the most important material classes in industrial technology. These high-tech ceramics are irreplaceable in lots of modern microelectronic devices due to their excellent insulating properties, high melting points and high degrees of hardness. In the theoretical study of these systems, the atomistic modelling with molecular dynamics simulations and classical effective interaction force fields is a very powerful tool. There, fundamental properties can be uncovered and understood due to the atomic resolution. Both force field generation and simulation of oxide systems are computationally much more demanding than those of metals or covalent materials due to long-range electrostatic interactions. Furthermore, it is often not sufficient to only take Coulomb interactions into account, but to include electrostatic dipole moments. The latter can be integrated with the Tangney-Scandolo polarizable force field model, where dipole moments are determined by a self-consistent iterative solution during each simulation time step. Applying the direct, pairwise Wolf summation to interactions between charges and its extension to dipole moments avoids high computational effort due to its linear scaling properties in the number of particles. Three relevant metal oxides have been selected to apply the new high-performance force field generation model. Therewith, a detailed investigation of crack propagation was possible. Both crack propagation insights and the influence of cracks on the dipole field are shown. Finally, the coupling of strain and – even more meaningful – strain gradient with the dipole moments is presented, which gives rise to flexoelectric effects in non-piezoelectric materials.
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Einleitung

talloxiiden und Metalloxid-Produkten umgeben sind, dass deren eingehende Analyse durch Simulationen von größtem Interesse ist.


Computersimulationen


Die vorliegende Arbeit basiert zu großen Teilen auf Molekulardynamik-Simulationen, wo eine zufriedenstellende Berücksichtigung der quantenmechanischen Natur von Materie über klassische effektive Kraftfelder eingebracht wird. Diese Kraftfelder werden einzig und allein mit ab initio Referenzdaten erzeugt. Da die Quantenmechanik nur noch in diesen steckt und die eigentliche Simulation mit klassischen Bewegungsgleichungen beschrieben wird, können viele Millionen Atome über viele Nanosekunden hinweg modelliert werden. In diesem Sinne stellt die Wahl von Molekulardynamik-
Simulationen einen Kompromiss dar zwischen der Auflösung physikalischer Phänomene und dem Erlangen von Zeit- und Längen-Skalen, die notwendig sind, um die gewünschten Materialeigenschaften simulieren zu können.


Elektrostatik

Weiterhin zeigt sich, dass es oftmals nicht ausreicht, lediglich Coulomb-Kräfte zwischen den Ionen zu berücksichtigen. Die elektrostatischen Wechselwirkungen wurden daher um Dipol-Kräfte ergänzt. Die Dipolmomente hängen nach der verwendeten Methode von Tangney und Scandolo (TS) [88] vom lokalen elektrischen Feld umliegender Ladungen und Dipolmomente ab. Somit muss eine selbst-konsistente iterative Lösung gefunden werden, was aber in allen vorliegenden Fällen sehr schnell und damit wenig Rechenzeit-intensiv gelingt. Das TS Modell liefert trotz seiner Einfachheit schnelle und präzise Simulations-Ergebnisse.

Die Verknüpfung des Dipol-Modells mit der Wolfsumme stellt eine Neuerung dar, welche die Präzision von Oxidmodellierungen erhöht und dabei gleichzeitig die Rechenzeit möglichst gering hält. Die detailreichen Konzepte, die bei dieser Verknüpfung von Nöten waren, erweisen sich als lohnenswert.

Visualisierung


**Kraftfelder**


Stellenwert der Dipole


Risse in $\alpha$-Aluminiumoxid


**Flexoelektrizität**

Auf der Suche nach der Ursache die für kollektive Ausrichtung von Dipolmomenten in Riss-Simulationen von α-Aluminiumoxid können piezoelektrische Effekte (Ausrichtung von Dipolen aufgrund von Spannung) ausgeschlossen werden, weil diese nur in nicht-inversionssymmetrischen Kristallstrukturen auftreten. Im letzten Kapitel wird daher die Kopplung zwischen Spannungsgradient und elektrostatischen Dipolmomenten, die sogennannte Flexoelektrizität, vorgestellt. Eine darstellungstheoretische Analyse zeigt, dass flexoelektrische Phänomene sowohl in α-Aluminiumoxid als
auch in Periklas (kristallines Magnesiumoxid in kubischer Natriumchlorid-Struktur) auftreten können, wohingegen piezoelektrische Effekte aus Symmetrie-Gründen untersagt sind. Durch die Flexoelektrizität wird eine neue Materialklasse für die technologischen Anwendungen zugänglich, in welchen durch Materialverformung elektrische Spannung abgegriffen werden kann oder – noch wesentlicher in umgekehrter Form – in welchen Materialverformung durch Stromfluss kontrolliert wird.


Zusammenfassung

Die vorliegende Arbeit vertieft die breit angelegte Modellierungs-Studie dreier wichtiger Metalloxide. Detaillierte Untersuchungen durch Molekular-dynamik-Simulationen mit Kraftfeldern für Siliziumdioxid, Magnesiumoxid und \( \alpha \)-Aluminiumoxid werden dargestellt. Speziell angepasste Visualisierungs-Techniken erweitern die numerischen Einblicke und verhelfen zu neuen Erkenntnissen in den untersuchten ionischen Systemen. Im Wesentlichen leistet die Arbeit einen dreistufigen Beitrag zur numerischen Erforschung ionischer kondensierter Materie:

1. Zunächst wird die neue Art der Kraftfelderstellung dargestellt, welche das Potenzial-Modell von Tangney und Scandolo mit der direkten


3. Unter Einsatz der neuen Kraftfelder wurden verschiedene Simulationen durchgeführt, die – auch mithilfe der speziell angepassten Visualisierung der fraktionalen Anisotropie – zu neuen Entdeckungen und grundlegenden Erkenntnissen geführt haben:

- Derartige flexoelektrische Phänomene wurden schließlich sowohl in MD Simulationen als auch mithilfe der Darstellungstheorie untersucht. Die Kombination liefert eine konsistente Vorhersage flexoelektrischen Verhaltens in α-Aluminiumoxid und auch in Periklas, obwohl beide Materialien aufgrund ihrer inversionssymmetrischen Kristallstruktur keine Piezoelektrizität aufwei-

Zusammenfassend bieten die vorliegenden Ergebnisse an mehreren Stellen Raum zur weiteren Anwendung. Bereits jetzt werden sowohl die Kraftfeld-Erstellung in potfit als auch die präsentierten Kraftfelder andererorts genutzt. Insbesondere aber kann die Erwartung geäußert werden, dass die erstmalige Beobachtung flexoelektrischer Phänomene in atomistischen Simulationen weitere Studien auf diesem noch relativ neuen Forschungsgebiet initiieren wird.
Chapter 1.

Introduction

A ruby gemstone on a golden ring, a sapphire jewelry around a lady’s neck – there is pretty appearance of metal oxides in everyday life. In terms of industrial applications, metal oxides are ubiquitous in everyone’s computer (insulating silica film in microchip transistors), mobile phone (alumina covering metallic components to prevent further oxidation and to act as heat shield) or ordinary glass (window or drinking glass is amorphous silica). More hidden, but just as helpful: magnesia encoded as emulsifier E 530 in food industry or silica as nontoxic additive in everyday cosmetics products or admixture in paint for increasing the scratch resistance. Further, a huge amount of quartz (crystalline silica) fills up every sand desert in the world and is the most abundant mineral in the earth’s crust. To summarize, we are surrounded by metal oxides and metal oxide products daily. Hence, simulation studies of this material class are of great interest.

The present thesis details theoretical basic research and, hence, does not result in showing a new metal oxide microelectronics product. In fact, new fundamental research findings are presented which may in principle lead to future industrial applications. Thus, the merit of the results is pointed up by showing possible application scenarios of nowadays technology.

Atomistic simulation is a very powerful tool to investigate metal oxides. Nowadays, millions of atoms can be treated and fundamental properties can be uncovered and understood. Beside validating experimental results, theoretical simulations are always able to investigate regions, which are inaccessible to experiments. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations allow a preferably accurate consideration of the quantum nature of matter by use of classical effective force fields, but without such strong length- and timescale restrictions ab initio calculations have to deal with.

Both force field generation and simulation of oxide systems are computationally much more demanding than those of metals or covalent materials due to long-range electrostatic interactions. Furthermore, it is often
not sufficient to only take Coulomb interactions into account, but to in- 
clude electrostatic dipole moments. The latter can be integrated in simu-
lations with the Tangney-Scandolo (TS) polarizable force field model [88], 
where dipole moments are determined by a selfconsistent iterative solution 
method during each simulation time step. Applying the direct, pairwise 
Wolf summation [97] to interactions between charges and its extension to 
dipole moments avoids too high computational effort due to its linear scal-
ing properties in the number of particles.

The present thesis enlarges the collection of available force fields by pre-
senting new faster and more efficiently working force fields for three relevant 
metal oxides (silica, magnesia and alumina). It shows MD simulations of 
metal oxide systems with the use of the new force fields and presents new 
findings such as crack propagation investigations, the influence of cracks on 
the dipole field and the coupling of strain gradient with dipole moments, 
which gives rise to flexoelectric effects in non-piezoelectric materials. Some 
findings have been uncovered by the visualization technique of fractional 
anisotropy which is novel applied to visualize MD trajectories.

The structure of the thesis is as follows: Chapter 2 shows basics of 
the computer simulation techniques that have been used for the present 
research. Beside the essential numeric approach of MD simulations, also 
ab initio calculations are introduced. In chapter 3, the whole approach of 
including electrostatics in MD simulations is discussed in detail. Mainly, 
the combination of TS model and Wolf summation is depicted. Chapter 
4 details the applied visualization techniques. In addition to simple glyph 
representations as spheres for atoms and arrows for dipole moments, the 
method of fractional anisotropy is used to uncover regions of correlated 
dipole behavior. In chapter 5, the new force fields for silica, magnesia and 
alumina are presented as well as their careful validation to assure its high 
accuracy. Chapter 6 depicts a verification study to assure that – and for 
which applications more notably – the extra simulation effort caused by 
the new polarizable terms is justified. Chapter 7 presents the investigation 
of cracks in α-alumina. After an analysis of the propagation directions, 
a detailed investigation of the influence of cracks on the dipole field is 
given. Finally, chapter 8 shows a detailed analysis of the coupling of a 
strain gradient with the electrostatic dipole moments. Chapter 9 gives a 
conclusion and shows an outlook.

Parts of this work have been previously published in other publications 
Chapter 2.

Computer simulations

In the beginning of each material analysis by use of computational methods, the choice of the most suitable simulation type has to be made. The most accurate method is to consider the quantum nature of matter and solve the many-particle Dirac equation numerically. Even with the aid of many approximations - which are discussed in section 2.1 and which yield the ab initio formalism - this method cannot yet tackle the study of various material properties due to length and time scale restrictions. Although there have been enormous advances in first-principles methods, they are typically limited to systems containing at most few thousands atoms and to simulation times of few picoseconds. On the other hand, continuous approaches with a coarsened view on matter - which have the ability to simulate whole macroscopic objects in the region of meters and seconds - are not able to resolve underlying atomistic phenomena by definition.

The present work is mainly based on atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (section 2.2) where a preferably accurate consideration of the quantum nature of matter is included by use of classical effective force fields (section 2.3). The latter are generated by means of solely ab initio and not any empirical input data. Nevertheless, system sizes on micron length scales (which correspond to several million atoms) and timescales in the region of nanoseconds can be obtained. To this effect, selecting MD is striking a balance between resolution of physical phenomena and achievement of length and time scales required for the investigation of material properties the present thesis deals with.

2.1. Ab initio calculations

The force fields, which were used in MD simulations and are presented in chapter 5, were generated by adjusting the potential’s parameters to opti-
mally reproduce a set of reference data computed in ab initio calculations (see section 2.3). This reference data base had to be created at the beginning of each force field generation. On the other hand, each finalized force field is validated in materials properties studies also by comparing its accuracy to own or externally calculated ab initio reference results. Hence, the ab initio formalism is present at different stages of force field development and from there is introduced briefly in the following.

2.1.1. Density functional theory

The need for density functional theory has its seeds in the problem of finding a many-particle wave function $\Psi$ for $N_{\text{tot}}$ particles in a condensed matter system. The consideration of a particle density $\rho(r)$ instead of $\Psi$ does not make this intention possible either. Therefore, the following two basic approximations are introduced:

- The Born-Oppenheimer approximation: The electron mass is three orders of magnitude smaller than the nuclear mass. On the timescale of the nuclear motion, the electrons follow instantaneously. Hence, the equation of motion for the total system can be separated into a nuclear and an electronic part. The formalism below treats the pure electronic system where the core potential merely enters as a constant term in the total potential energy.

- Let a system of $N$ interacting electrons be given. The basic accomplishment of W. Kohn’s and L. J. Sham’s density functional theory [41] is changing over to a virtual system of $N$ non-interacting electrons in a modified effective potential. Hence, it is possible to describe each electron $i$ by its effective single-particle wave function $\Psi_i$. The whole changeover takes place in such a manner that both original and virtual system have the same ground state energy.

2.1.2. The Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

The Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ of a non-relativistic system of $N$ electrons is composed of the kinetic energy operators $\hat{T}_i$ for each electron $i$, the interaction parts between each pair of electrons $i$ and $j$, $\hat{U}_{ij}(r_i, r_j)$, and a potential function $W(r)$, which acts on each electron $i$ and contains an
optionally external potential $W_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r})$ and the core potential $W_K(\mathbf{r})$. $\hat{H}$ is then given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U}(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}) + W(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2.1)$$

where the individual parts can be written as

$$\hat{T} = \sum_i \hat{T}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_i \Delta_i, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\hat{U}(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}) = \sum_{i,j \neq i} \hat{U}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \sum_{i,j \neq i} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i W(\mathbf{r}_i) = \sum_i [W_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_i) + W_K(\mathbf{r}_i)] \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$W_K(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_I \frac{Z_I e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_I|}. \quad (2.5)$$

$\mathbf{r}_i$ are the electron coordinates, $e$ is the elementary charge, $m$ the electron mass, $\mathbf{R}_I$ are the nuclei coordinates and $Z_I$ the nuclei charges. The total energy is then defined as the functional

$$\tilde{E}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] := E_1 + E_2$$

$$= \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{U} | \Psi \rangle + \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) W(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3\mathbf{r} \quad (2.6)$$

The minimum in $E_1$ has to be found in a way, that only many-particle wave functions are taken into account, which correspond to the given electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. As can be seen from equation (2.4), the potential function is uniquely determined by the external and the core potential.

With it, the theorems of Hohenberg and Kohn [33] can be written as:

1. Any ground state property can be expressed by a functional depending solely on the ground state electron density $\rho^0(\mathbf{r})$.

2. Both ground state electron density $\rho^0(\mathbf{r})$ and corresponding ground state energy $E^0[\rho^0(\mathbf{r})]$ are obtained by minimizing the energy functional.
2.1.3. Virtual system of non-interacting electrons

The first term $E_1$ of the energy functional in Eq. (2.6) contains the many-particle wave function $\Psi$ and the interelectronic energy parts, which both have to be avoided due to its overly large computing time and memory requirement. Changing over to a virtual system of $N$ non-interacting electrons in a modified effective potential eliminates these quantities. This changeover is realized by a substitution of

1. the energy term $E_1$,

$$ E_1 \rightarrow E_H[\rho(r)] + E_{\text{kin}}[\rho(r)] + E_{\text{xc}}[\rho(r)]; \quad (2.7) $$

2. and the potential function,

$$ W(r) \rightarrow W_{\text{eff}}(r). \quad (2.8) $$

The Hartree energy,

$$ E_H[\rho(r)] := \frac{e^2}{2} \int \int \frac{\rho(r)\rho(r')}{|r-r'|} \, d^3r \, d^3r', \quad (2.9) $$

is a functional of the electron density $\rho(r)$ and describes the interaction of $\rho(r)$ with itself without consideration of any electronic exchange and correlations. $E_{\text{kin}}[\rho(r)]$ is defined as the total kinetic energy of the system of non-interacting electrons in an effective interaction potential $W_{\text{eff}}(r)$. Note that $E_{\text{kin}}[\rho(r)]$ takes another value compared to the kinetic energy of the original real system. The exchange-correlation functional $E_{\text{xc}}[\rho(r)]$ is defined to compensate the two approximations (negligence of correlation and exchange effects and different kinetic energy). In a system of non-interacting electrons the energy functional in Eq. (2.6) by definition becomes

$$ E[\rho(r)] := E_{\text{kin}}[\rho(r)] + \int \rho(r)W_{\text{eff}}(r) \, d^3r. \quad (2.10) $$

Finally, the following condition determines $W_{\text{eff}}(r)$: Minimizing the energy functional of both real and virtual system lead to the same ground state density. Hence, the variation of both $\tilde{E}[\rho(r)]$ and $E[\rho(r)]$ vanishes at this point.
2.1.4. The Kohn-Sham equations

Each non-interacting electron $i$ with single-particle wave function $\Psi_i$ and energy eigenvalue $\epsilon_i$ then fulfills the single-particle Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i + W_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})\right] \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}).$$

(2.11)

With the condition discussed at the end of section (2.1.3), the effective interaction potential can be written as

$$W_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = W(\mathbf{r}) + e^2 \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \, d^3r' + \frac{\delta E_{\text{xc}}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})}.$$  

(2.12)

The ansatz for the density is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i f(\epsilon_i) \Psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

(2.13)

with

$$f(\epsilon_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon_i \leq \epsilon_F \\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_i > \epsilon_F \end{cases}$$

and the Fermi energy $\epsilon_F$. The equations (2.11)-(2.13) are the Kohn-Sham equations [41], a system of $N + 2$ equations, which can be solved self-consistently to determine the $N + 2$ quantities $\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})$, $W_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ und $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ for a system of $N$ electrons.

It is important to note that the electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ uniquely determines the effective potential function $W_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ as can be supposed from equation (2.12). An explicit proof can be found in [27] on page 39. Hence, the energy in Eq. (2.10) is solely a functional of the electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and the first theorem of Hohenberg and Kohn (p. 29) is still valid.

2.1.5. Exchange-correlation functional

In the end, the exchange-correlation functional $E_{\text{xc}}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$, which is not known exactly, has to be determined. The most basic approach is the Local Density Approximation (LDA) by Kohn and Sham [41]. In the LDA, the exchange-correlation energy is assumed to be a local quantity and thus
can be expressed by an energy density $e_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$ (the latter is related to the local quantity of a homogeneous electron gas):

$$E_{xc}^{\text{LDA}}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) e_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] \, d^3r. \quad (2.14)$$

The LDA is known to sometimes underestimate the volume of a condensed matter system. Hence, further enhancements have been introduced: The Local Spin-Density Approximation (LSDA) introduces spin-densities $\rho_\uparrow(\mathbf{r})$, $\rho_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})$ and is a generalization of the LDA. Based on electron spins as well, another approach is the Generalized Gradient Approximation where the exchange-correlation energy may also depend on the gradient of the spin components of the charge density:

$$E_{xc}^{\text{GGA}}[\rho_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}), \rho_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})] = \int g[\rho_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}), \rho_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}), \nabla \rho_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}), \nabla \rho_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})] \, d^3r. \quad (2.15)$$

$g$ is a density function, which in each approach has to be further specified.

### 2.1.6. Atomic forces

As mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, the force fields the present thesis deals with (see chapter 5) were generated by adjusting the potential’s parameters to optimally reproduce a set of ab initio reference data (see section 2.3). This reference data consists of energies, forces and stresses. The ground state energy $E^0(\mathbf{r})$ was already given by the second theorem of Hohenberg and Kohn (p. 29). A force $\mathbf{F}_I$ which acts on an atom $I$ at coordinate $\mathbf{R}_I$ can then be calculated as the derivative of $E^0(\mathbf{r})$ with respect to the atomic coordinates:

$$\mathbf{F}_I = - \nabla_{R_I} E^0(\mathbf{r}) = - \nabla_{R_I} \int \Psi^* \hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r$$

$$= - \int \Psi^* \left[ \nabla_{R_I} \hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r \quad (2.16)$$

with $\nabla_{R_I} f(\mathbf{r}) := \nabla f(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{R}_I}$ ($f$ any function as $\Psi$ or $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$). The last conversion of equation (2.16) is based on the Hellmann-Feynman theorem which proves the relation

$$\int \left[ \nabla_{R_I} \Psi^* \right] \hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r + \int \Psi^* \hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) \left[ \nabla_{R_I} \Psi \right] \, d^3r = 0. \quad (2.17)$$
The components of the stress tensor can be obtained similarly.

Note that in the ab initio molecular dynamics approach, which is described in section 2.2.2, also the atomic forces are required and, hence, are calculated with equation (2.16).

### 2.1.7. Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP)

The density functional theory based calculations for this thesis were done with the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) [43, 44], which can be executed in parallel to reduce computational time. It can deal with LDA exchange-correlation functionals, whereof a parametrization from Perdew and Zunger [67] is provided. Also the GGA version is supported, where an implementation for the function $g$ (see section 2.1.5) is provided by Perdew [66]. In the following, further VASP specific implementations are briefly discussed.

**Periodic boundary Conditions**

So far, the density functional theory formalism is described for a system of $N$ electrons in a finite space. To map a real crystalline system to a first-principles calculation, one switches over to an infinite and periodic system. According to Bloch’s theorem, an electronic wave function in a periodic system can be written as a product of a plane wave and a function with the periodicity of the lattice. In VASP, the periodic function is then expanded in a plane wave basis set taking reciprocal lattice vectors as wave vectors. Basically, the plane wave basis set is infinite. To avoid infinite computational time, an energy cutoff $E_{\text{cut}}$ is introduced. Solely plane waves with a wave vector corresponding to an energy below $E_{\text{cut}}$ are taken into account. $E_{\text{cut}}$ has to be determined to provide for all oscillations occurring in the wave functions.

Each wave function is characterized uniquely by a wave vector $k$ and a band index $j$. Due to periodicity, it is adequate to calculate only the electronic states in the first Brillouin zone (BZ, volume $\Omega$). Instead of summing over all states and weighting with the fermi energy function $f(\epsilon_i)$ in Eq. (2.13), one only needs to integrate over the first BZ and sum over all bands:

$$
\sum_i f(\epsilon_i) \rightarrow \sum_j \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^3k \ f(\epsilon_{jk})
$$

(2.18)
In an unlimited crystal lattice structure, the \( \mathbf{k} \)-vectors are dense in the reciprocal space. Then, the integral in Eq. (2.18) is replaced by a sum over a discrete set \( \{ \mathbf{k}_\nu \} \) of \( N_k \) sampling points in the \( \mathbf{k} \)-space:

\[
\sum_j \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^3k \ f(\epsilon_j k) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \sum_j \sum_\nu \frac{1}{N_k} f(\epsilon_{jk_\nu})
\] (2.19)

If the considered unit cell shows point symmetries, it is even sufficient to treat only the irreducible Brillouin zone, which results in an additional speedup. In VASP, the discrete \( \mathbf{k} \)-grid can be created with the algorithm by Monkhorst and Pack [61]. Both precision and computational time increase with a denser grid. For each application, a compromise has to be effected. During ab initio calculations for the present work, a \( \mathbf{k} \)-grid with only \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) k-points was sufficient due to the relatively large sample sizes containing number of atoms in the range of 100. The energy deviation compared to testruns with \( 32 \times 32 \times 32 \) k-points was smaller than 0.02%.

**Pseudopotentials**

The physical questions within this thesis basically depend on the valence electrons, whose wave functions feature strong oscillations. The more oscillations occur, the higher \( E_{\text{cut}} \) has to be chosen, which results in a higher computational time. Also taking into account the less interesting core electrons all along slows down the calculation extensively. First-principles calculations with typical unit cell sizes (containing few hundred atoms) are impossible without the pseudopotential formalism, that was first introduced by Philippus [68] and that eliminates both issues. In the pseudopotential formalism, the core electrons are pre-calculated and kept frozen during further calculations. The original core potential (Eq. (2.5)) is replaced by a pseudopotential, which comprehends the core electrons. Due to the reduced net charge (core charge minus core electron charges), the new pseudopotential is smoother, thus yielding less oscillations in the new pseudo wave functions and enabling a lower \( E_{\text{cut}} \). The substitution of both potential and wave functions is illustrated in Fig. 2.1. Two conditions have to be fulfilled:

1. Outside a sphere with radius \( r_c \), potential (wave function) and pseudopotential (pseudo wave function) have to be identical.
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Figure 2.1.: Schematic picture of Coulomb potential $V$ and a wave function $\Psi_\sim Z_r$ (dashed) and correspondent pseudopotential $V_{\text{pseudo}}$ and pseudo wave function $\Psi_{\text{pseudo}}$ (solid). Outside a sphere with radius $r_c$, potential (wave function) and pseudopotential (pseudo wave function) are identical. From [96].

2. Within the sphere, the effect of the pseudopotential on the pseudo wave functions has to yield the same results as the effect of the original potential on the original wave functions.

By now, there are various realizations of the pseudopotential formalism. Among others, VASP supports the Projector Augmented-Wave method (PAW), which is mostly applied for the calculations of the present thesis. Details of the PAW method can be found in [45]. The pseudopotentials provided by VASP already feature an optimized default $E_{\text{cut}}$. Usually, there is no need to change it.
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In favor of clearness and convenience, the whole ab initio formalism was discussed in its non-relativistic form, although two relativistic options are implemented in VASP:

1. The core electrons are treated fully relativistic. Thereby, the Schrödinger equation - Eq. (2.11) - is replaced by the Dirac equation, which yields the Kohn-Sham-Dirac equations, that are solved selfconsistently as discussed in section 2.1.4.

2. The valence electrons are treated scalar-relativistic. Two terms accounting for relativistic effects are added ad hoc to the Hamilton operator in Eq. (2.1): The Darwin term provides for the electronic trembling motion, and a second term introduces the dependence of mass on velocity.

2.2. Molecular dynamics

Molecular dynamics (MD) is the essential numeric approach the present thesis deals with. The MD program package ITAP Molecular Dynamics (IMD, section 2.2.1, [84]) was the main tool to obtain the results of this work. MD is a classical simulation method on an atomistic level where Newton’s equations of motion for a set of \( N \) atoms,

\[
\frac{dp_i}{dt} = F_i(\{r_i\}) \quad (i = 1, ..., N),
\]

are solved numerically. In general, this \( 3N \)-dimensional system of differential equations cannot be solved exactly. Hence, the integration is approximated by discretizing time. For a given configuration (\( N \) atoms with masses \( m_i \) and momenta \( p_i^0 \) at positions \( r_i^0 \)) at a given time \( t^0 \) (assuming the forces \( F_i \) on each atom \( i \) as known), new positions \( r_i \) of each atom at time \( t = t^0 + \Delta t \) can be determined in two steps:

\[
p_i = p_i^0 + \Delta t \cdot F_i(\{r_i\}),
\]

\[
r_i = r_i^0 + \Delta t \frac{p_i}{m_i}.
\]
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Figure 2.2.: Schematic atom movement depicted for atom $i$ in the center of the shown box. First the total force $\vec{F}_i$ on atom $i$ is determined by summing up all pair forces $\vec{F}_{ij}$ inside of a cutoff sphere defined by the cutoff radius $r_c$. Then atom $i$ is moved to its new position. In simulation, all atoms are moved simultaneously. Vectors are distinguished with arrows inside of the graph.

$$\sum_j \vec{F}_{ij} = \vec{F}_i = \frac{\Delta \vec{p}}{\Delta t}$$

After each new position is obtained, all atoms are advanced simultaneously. $\Delta t$ has to be chosen in a way, that the relevant atomic processes can be resolved during simulation. This condition typically yields femtosecond time scales.

The forces in Eq. (2.21) are assumed to be known. They are determined by effective interaction force fields, which are described in detail in section 2.3. The force field is the most crucial part of an MD simulation and mainly determines the quality of the results. For pairwise interactions, the total force on each atom is given by the superposition of all pair forces. The atomic movement is depicted schematically in figure 2.2. A cutoff radius $r_c$ is introduced to yield linear scaling of computational effort in the number of particles instead of $O(N^2)$. In most cases, this is sufficient due to the short-range nature of interatomic forces. Typical interactions are only relevant in the range of 2-4 atomic distances.

Interactions in metal oxides are dominated by electrostatic forces due to the presence of electrostatic charges and dipoles. They are long-ranged and thus do not conform to the approach of truncating interactions. Hence, electrostatic forces have to be treated separately, which is shown in detail in chapter 3.

In a common MD simulation, the number of particles $N$ is constant.
Integrating Newton’s equations of motion additionally conserves volume and energy (NVE or microcanonical ensemble). It is, however, also possible to control temperature instead of energy (NVT or canonical ensemble) and pressure instead of volume (NPT ensemble). Conserving temperature and/or pressure during simulation is put into effect by adding terms to Eq. (2.21), that can be taken as an external heat and/or volume reservoir.

Mapping bulk materials to a computer simulation by introducing periodic boundary conditions is easier than in the case of quantum mechanics (section 2.1.7). In MD simulations, the given box of particles is copied and added in each spatial direction in order to provide interaction partners also for atoms sitting near to the edge of the box. In contrast to ab initio methods, it is also possible to define open boundaries.

### 2.2.1. ITAP Molecular Dynamics (IMD)

IMD [84] was created at the Institut für Theoretische und Angewandte Physik (ITAP) in Stuttgart. It is primarily specialized in condensed matter systems and hence the most suitable MD code for the applications of this thesis. Above all, it is still under active development [36]; thus it has been fine-tuned to optimally treat the individual tasks yielding the present results.

IMD is able to treat millions of atoms [76] due to its parallelization performance. The Message Passing Interface (MPI) is included to transfer data from one processor to another. For limited-range interactions, IMD provides linear scaling up to thousands of CPUs. It uses a combination of link cells and neighbor lists where the former are used to compute the latter in an efficient way. Parallelization is done via fixed geometric domain decomposition, where each CPU gets an equal block of material. This approach is sufficient as long as the simulated system is not too heterogeneous, which is always fulfilled in the present studies of condensed matter. For the force computation, atoms at the surface of a block are exchanged with the neighboring CPUs. A two-dimensional scheme of the link cell approach is shown in figure 2.3.

IMD can handle both tabulated and analytical potentials, from which the forces on each atom are computed. The latter are tabulated once only at the beginning of simulation. Between points of support, cubic splines are applied for interpolation. In IMD, a huge number of force field types are implemented (pair, covalent, EAM, electrostatics (see chapter 3)).
The numerical integration of Newton’s equations of motion is accomplished by the Verlet algorithm, which is based on equations (2.21) and (2.22). The external heat reservoir for simulations with NVT ensemble is given by a Nosé-Hoover thermostat [62]. In a similar way, a barostat is available to achieve NPT conditions. IMD also provides integrators for relaxation simulations. Thereby, after each step the velocity components of all atoms are reset to zero in each direction except for the one oriented towards the minimum in the potential landscape.

During a simulation, IMD is able to write out full trajectories of each particle (positions and velocities at each timestep). From this, the partial vibrational density of states (VDOS) $G_\mu(E)$ for atom type $\mu$ can be obtained by computing the Fourier transform of the time-dependent velocity-velocity autocorrelation function with the post-processing software package nMoldyn [75]. The generalized VDOS $G(E)$ is then calculated by

$$G(E) = \sum_\mu \frac{\sigma_\mu}{m_\mu} G_\mu(E)$$

(2.23)

with the scattering cross section $\sigma_\mu$ and atomic mass $m_\mu$ of atom $\mu$. If a VDOS curve shall be compared to experimental results, sometimes a
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certain adjustment is needed due to inadequatenesses of the classical atomic approach (negligence of quantum phenomena). Also when comparing to ab initio results, some correction is required, because ab initio calculations are not able to study full phononic trajectories due to timescale restrictions. There, often the harmonic approximation is used to find the VDOS. In all cases, it is common to introduce a global, constant relative frequency scaling for the MD curves,

\[ \omega = (1 + \gamma)\omega', \]  

where \( \omega' \) is the original eigenfrequency, \( \omega \) the scaled frequency, and \( \gamma \) the constant relative frequency scaling.

In IMD, almost arbitrary boundary conditions are available. They can also be combined (e.g. applied in chapter 7). Plenty of other options are supported in IMD; detailed descriptions can be found in [36].

2.2.2. Ab initio molecular dynamics

Another atomistic approach, which aims for considering the quantum nature of matter, is the ab initio MD. The procedure is to treat the core system classically while providing the forces directly from first-principles calculations (as described in section 2.1.6). Thus, dynamic ab initio simulations are possible. There exist several approaches which are based on the following briefly introduced idea: Already in density functional theory (section 2.1.1), nuclear and electronic equations of motion are decoupled according to the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. The ab initio MD takes advantage of the different time scales of the two systems. After each MD time step, where the nuclei are moved to new positions, the new forces on each nucleus are calculated fully quantum mechanical according to the electronic behavior discussed in section 2.1. Ab initio MD is many orders in magnitude slower compared to pure classical MD. However, it can be used for creating reference data structures for the force field generation (section 2.3). Starting with an input configuration as in the static case, an ab initio MD trajectory can be obtained. Each nucleus time step yields a new checkpoint which can be included in the reference database. This allows to include structures with a finite temperature and even short trajectories of a structure into the ab initio reference data base. For example, such a temperature trajectory is applied in the \( \alpha \)-alumina force field generation (see section 5.3.1).
2.3. Force field generation

In MD simulations, Newton’s equations of motion can be solved numerically (section 2.2) provided that the forces on each atom are known. This is realized by force fields that include all interactions and energy contributions from the electronic system. They can be obtained by fitting ab initio, empirical and/or experimental data into its generation. The most direct and full non-empirical approach is to solely allow first-principles input to enter the generation. This is maintained for each force field the present thesis deals with.

2.3.1. Effective potentials

In general, a full many-body interaction potential \( V(\{r_i\}) \), which depends on the atomic coordinates \( \{r_i\} \) of \( N \) atoms, can be expanded into multibody contributions:

\[
V(\{r_i\}) = \sum_i^N V_1(r_i) + \sum_i^N \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} V_2(r_i, r_j) + \sum_i^N \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \sum_{k \neq i, j}^{N-2} V_3(r_i, r_j, r_k) + \ldots
\]  

(2.25)

There exist various approaches depending on the particular bond situation, which introduce an effective interaction potential \( V^{\text{eff}} \) and aim for a preferably accurate approximation of \( V(\{r_i\}) \):

- The widely-used form is a pair potential, that neglects many-body terms and hence tries to map all interactions into the second term of expansion (2.25). This approach is the most basic one. For instance, it yields accurate results for noble gas solids where Van-der-Waals interactions are dominant. Due to its simplicity, a pair potential allows the fastest simulations, but is often insufficient where many-body effects play an important role.

- More advanced is the embedded atom method (EAM) where in addition to pairwise terms an embedding function depending on the electron density enters the force field. This mimics many-body, but still isotropic interactions. Mainly metals are treated with EAM potentials, because they are able to accurately represent the free electronic system.
• If directional interactions have to be taken into account and angles between bonds become relevant (for example in covalent systems), expansion (2.25) can be truncated after the third term. Hence, real three-body terms enter the force field, which costs more computational time than simple pair potentials. These approaches are known as multi-body potentials. Also angular dependent potentials can be assigned to this class of force fields.

• The present work presents MD studies of ionic systems. Hence, the force fields have to treat electrostatic interactions. They consist of isotropic pair and multi-body dipolar terms and are detailed in chapter 3.

Plenty of advanced potential models are available (also in IMD), which will not be discussed here. Let a selected effective interaction potential be given. Then, the forces required for Eq. (2.21) are obtained by taking the negative gradient of the potential function $V^{\text{eff}}$ with respect to the atomic coordinates in either case.

Unfortunately, the effective potential approach in no way yields an all-round force field. A potential can only be generated for one material with a certain stoichiometry and for a certain area of its phase diagram. The range for which a potential is optimized, is determined by the selection of reference data. The broader a dataset is prepared (wide temperature and pressure range), the less precisely the force field works. On the other hand a potential is rarely required with huge accuracy at one point in the phase diagram, which, however, yields wrong results outside the range for which it was optimized. In each case, a compromise between precision and transferability has to be found.

2.3.2. Generation with potfit

The program potfit [70] was originally developed by Peter Brommer [8, 9] and is now maintained at the ITAP in Stuttgart. It is a free, open-source software published under the GNU General Public License (GPL). Full details of the basic functions and a flowchart of the framework can be found in [7]. potfit generates an effective atomic interaction force field solely from ab initio reference structures. The potential parameters are optimized by matching the resulting forces, energies and stresses to according first-principles values with the force matching method [16]. All reference
structures used in this study were prepared with VASP (section 2.1.7). The generated force fields are directly exported to IMD (section 2.2.1).

For $N_m$ particles, reference configuration $m$ provides one energy $e_m^0$, six components of the stress tensor $s_{m,l}^0$ ($l = 1, 2, ..., 6$) and $3N_m$ total force cartesian components $f_{m,n}^0$ ($n = 1, 2, ..., 3N_m$) on $N_m$ atoms. The function

\[ Z = w_e Z_e + w_s Z_s + Z_f \]  

(2.26)

is minimized with respect to the potential parameters. Here

\[ Z_e = 3 \sum_{m=1}^{M} N_m(e_m - e_m^0)^2, \]

\[ Z_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{6} N_m(s_{m,l} - s_{m,l}^0)^2, \]  

(2.27)

\[ Z_f = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{3N_m} (f_{m,n} - f_{m,n}^0)^2, \]

and $e_m$, $s_{m,l}$ and $f_{m,n}$ are the corresponding values calculated with the parametrized force field. $w_e$ and $w_s$ are certain weights to balance the different amount of available data for each quantity. In the following, $M$ reference structures are assumed that all consist of the same number of particles ($N_m = N$), but in principle, potfit can handle different numbers of particles for each reference structure. The root mean square (rms) errors,

\[ \Delta F_e = \sqrt{\frac{Z_e}{3MN}}, \quad \Delta F_s = \sqrt{\frac{2Z_s}{MN}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F_f = \sqrt{\frac{Z_f}{MN}}, \]  

(2.28)

are first indicators of the quality of the generated force field. Its magnitudes are independent of weighting factors, number and sizes of reference structures. For the minimization of the function $Z$, a combination of a stochastic simulated annealing algorithm [12] and a conjugate-gradient-like deterministic algorithm [71] are used. Details and flowcharts of both algorithms can be found in [7]. potfit is parallelized using the standard MPI by distributing the reference configurations on the processors. Generation details for each force field are given in chapter 5.
Chapter 3.

Electrostatics

The bond situation in metal oxides can be described by the concept of ionic solids where the charge density of each ion is mainly localized close to its core and is almost isotropically. The interactions between the ions can be modelled with basic Coulomb interactions. Although there is no need for non-isotropic approaches like angular-dependent potentials, simple pair potentials are not able to yield an accurate modelling in MD simulations (see chapter 6). The following sections serve with answers for how to treat long-range electrostatic forces in MD simulations and how to enhance pair potentials to yield an accurate description of metal oxide systems.

3.1. Long-range interactions

The electrostatic interactions in condensed matter systems are long ranged. Hence, a huge cut-off (or even an infinite one at worst) would be required, if one wanted to sum up all Coulomb forces directly. This would lead to massive computational costs. When using a link cell algorithm as in IMD to run a simulation in parallel, further problems would arise, because a cell decomposition is designed for short-range interactions. In the last thirty years, many high-performance approaches have been developed to improve simulations of systems with long-range interactions. These Coulomb solvers are briefly discussed in the following section to point out why Wolf summation [97] was chosen for the present work.

3.1.1. Overview: Coulomb solver

Solving problems with long ranged forces in particle simulations originates from the ninety year old Ewald summation method [6]. The Ewald idea is to split the interactions into a short-range and a smooth part and evaluate
the latter in Fourier space. The scaling of the computational effort with the number of particles is $O(N^{3/2})$ at best. In addition, Ewald summation requires periodic boundary conditions due to the Fourier transformation. Because Ewald summation is also the initial point for Wolf summation, it is described in detail in section 3.1.2.

Most of the modern and established Coulomb solver can be classified in the following three types (an extensive presentation can be found in [23]):

- **Grid based methods**: Point of origin is the Ewald summation, but the reciprocal-space Fourier sum is replaced by a Fast-Fourier-Transformation (FFT). In the FFT, the charges of the smooth part are transferred to a discrete lattice. Then the Poisson equation is solved on the lattice. After that, the electric field is interpolated back to the atoms. Most of these approaches require periodic boundary conditions and yield $O(N \log N)$ scaling with the number of particles. It is assumed that the $\log N$-factor only becomes relevant for high particle numbers compared to linear-scaling methods.

- **Tree based methods**: The idea is to combine distant particles into groups and consider only their far field. The potential of the charge density of each group is expanded into multipoles (see Eq. (3.12)). After that, the interaction of a particle with each multipole of each group is evaluated. In contrast to Fourier based methods, open boundaries are required in most of the available implementations. Depending on the particular method, the scaling with the number of particles is $O(N)$ or $O(N \log N)$. The linear scaling, however, comes with some overhead due to the expensive pre-computing of the multipoles. Hence, most multipole based methods become only efficient for large particle numbers.

- **Direct methods**: The most simple way is to sum up all interactions directly, which yields $O(N^2)$-scaling at best. If it is possible to find a certain cut-off radius, all advantages of short-range interactions (linear scaling, compatibility with cell decomposition algorithms, easy implementation in existing code) become accessible. One possibility is the reaction field method [2], which defines a sphere around each atom within which the Coulomb interactions are treated explicitly. Outside of this sphere, the medium is assumed to have a uniform dielectric constant. This approach, however, requires periodic bound-
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ary conditions. If the system under study is not too heterogeneous, there indeed exists a method introduced by Wolf et al. in 1999 [97], which shows a way to put into practice the approach of mapping eletrostatics solely onto short-range-like interactions. Hence, almost arbitrary boundary conditions can be applied.

In summary, the Wolf summation method is easily implemented in IMD and is compatible with the existing cell decomposition algorithm. The Wolf sum yields $O(N)$-scaling in the number of particles, accessibility of almost arbitrary boundary conditions and efficiency independent on the system size.

3.1.2. Ewald summation

In metal oxides, the ions carry some charge $q_i$ and interact with a Coulomb potential. This leads to the classical Madelung problem [53]: determining the energy of a condensed system with pairwise $r^{-1}$ interactions. The convergence properties of the resulting sum require a special treatment. The Ewald method [19] assures rapid convergence for the total Coulomb energy of a set of $N$ ions with charges $q_i$ at positions $\mathbf{r}_i$ that are part of an infinite system of point charges,

$$U_{qq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\infty} \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}},$$

(3.1)

(where $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ and $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|$) by a mathematical trick. Firstly, structural periodicity of linear size $L$ is artificially imposed on the system, and in the resulting expression a decomposition of unity of the form

$$1 = \text{erfc}(\kappa r) + \text{erf}(\kappa r)$$

(3.2)

is inserted. The error function is defined as

$$\text{erf}(\kappa r) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\kappa r} dt e^{-t^2}.$$  

(3.3)
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The Ewald splitting parameter $\kappa$ controls the distribution of energy contributions between the two terms. Thus, Eq. (3.1) can be written as

$$
U_{qq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_i q_j}{|r_{ij} + nL|} \left[ \text{erfc}(\kappa |r_{ij} + nL|) + \text{erf}(\kappa |r_{ij} + nL|) \right],
$$

where the sum over periodic images $n$ is primed to indicate that the $i = j$ term is to be omitted for $n = 0$. Taking the Fourier transform of the erf expression only, but not of the erfc term, one can convert the conditionally convergent total energy Eq. (3.1) into the sum of real-space and reciprocal-space contributions $U_{qq}^r$ and $U_{qq}^k$ where each of these converges rapidly.

The Ewald trick can be depicted as a smearing of the delta-shaped charge densities by Gaussians of width $\kappa$. This relationship can be easily verified: Inserting a potential of the form $V(r) \sim \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r)}{r}$ in Poisson’s equation yields a Gaussian density of width $\kappa$. The Gaussians can be treated in real-space and the correction terms emerging from this are solved in the reciprocal space.

The downside to the Ewald summation method is the scaling of the computational effort with the number of particles in the simulation box: Even when the balance between real- and reciprocal-space contributions controlled by $\kappa$ is optimized, the computational load increases at best as $O(N^{3/2})$ [20].

### 3.1.3. Wolf summation

Wolf et al. [97] proposed a direct summation technique with linear scaling ($O(N)$) for Coulomb interactions. This so called Wolf summation takes into account the physical properties of the systems under study. To this end, one looks at the Fourier transform of the erf term of Eq. (3.4),

$$
U_{qq}^k = \frac{2\pi}{L^3} \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{i,j} \left[ q_i q_j e^{ik \cdot r_{ij}} \frac{\exp(-k^2/4\kappa^2)}{k^2} \right] - \frac{\kappa}{\pi^{1/2}} \sum_i q_i^2, \quad (3.5)
$$

where the self term ($n = 0$ and $i = j$) is first included in the summation and then subtracted. Eq. (3.5) can be rewritten as

$$
U_{qq}^k = \sum_{k \neq 0} S(k) \frac{\exp(-k^2/4\kappa^2)}{k^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\pi^{1/2}} \sum_i q_i^2, \quad (3.6)
$$
where

\[ S(k) = \frac{2\pi}{L^3} \left| \sum_j q_j \exp(i k \cdot r_j) \right|^2 \]  

(3.7)

is the charge structure factor, \( k = |k| \) and \( V \) volume of the simulation box. The charge structure factor is the Fourier transform of the charge-charge autocorrelation function.

In liquid systems and largely also in solids, there are no long-range charge fluctuations; the charges form a cold dense plasma, screening each other. This means that for small amplitudes of the wave vectors \( k \) the charge structure factor is also small. If one now chooses a sufficiently small splitting parameter \( \kappa \), the reciprocal-space contribution can be neglected altogether. As \( \kappa \) is linked to the real-space cut-off \( r_c \), however, this might require a cut-off radius which is substantially larger than the range of traditional short-range interactions like in metals.

Concurrently, Wolf et al. also motivated a continuous and smooth cut-off of the remaining Coulomb potential

\[ E^{qq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \atop j \neq i}}^{\infty} \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} \text{erfc}(\kappa r_{ij}) \]  

(3.8)

at a cut-off radius \( r_c \):

\[ \tilde{E}^{qq}(r_{ij}) = E^{qq}(r_{ij}) - E^{qq}(r_c) - (r_{ij} - r_c) \frac{dE^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} \bigg|_{r_c} \]  

(3.9)

The cut-off is called smooth, if both energy function and its first derivative (applied for force calculation) vanish at the cut-off sphere. The latter is realized by the last term in Eq. (3.9) and is required in MD simulations. Otherwise, atoms crossing the threshold might get unphysical kicks.

In general (independent of damping factors), shifting the pair potential so that it goes to zero at \( r = r_c \) is equivalent to neutralizing the net charge in a spherically truncated system: For a given charge \( q_i \) and an interaction cut-off radius \( r_c \), the net charge inside of the cut-off sphere is

\[ \Delta q_i = \sum_j q_j \]  

(3.10)
Figure 3.1: For a given charge $q_i$, the net charge inside of the cut-off sphere, $\Delta q_i$, is neutralized by distributing $-\Delta q_i$ isotropically over the spherical surface.

(with $q_j$ the other charges inside of the sphere). Let a screening be assumed with the negative net charge placed isotropically on the cut-off sphere, so that the environment of $q_i$ is neutralized. The interaction of $q_i$ with the sphere yields an additional term to the total Coulomb energy,

$$U_{i \text{screen}} = -\frac{q_i \Delta q_i}{r_c} = -\sum_j \frac{q_i q_j}{r_c} = -U_{i q}^{qq}(r_c) = \text{const},$$

which is identically to a constant shift. This relationship is clarified in figure 3.1.

The combination of (i) shifting the potential so that it vanishes smoothly at the cut-off, and (ii) damping the Coulomb potential to reduce the required cut-off radius, but only so weakly that the reciprocal-space term can still be neglected, is called Wolf summation. The Wolf method is implemented both in IMD (by Peter Brommer) and in potfit (by the author). The explicit Wolf shifted and truncated Coulomb energy expression is given in appendix A.

3.1.4. Error estimation and scaling properties

The Ewald summation is well established for many decades. It is able to reproduce analytical results (for instance Madelung constants) with high
accuracy. Hence, it can be used to validate the Wolf summation technique. Compared to Ewald, the Wolf method is an approximation, because the reciprocal-space term is neglected and the real space part has been shifted. Figure 3.2 shows, that the Wolf sum is nevertheless in accurate agreement compared to the Ewald sum provided that both cut-off radius $r_c$ and splitting parameter $\kappa$ are chosen suitably. The smaller $\kappa$ is chosen, the bigger becomes the reciprocal-space contribution and the larger is the error made by neglecting the latter. The larger $\kappa$ is chosen, the stronger becomes the damping of the real-space term, which introduces an error compared to the undamped Coulomb energy. For $\kappa > 0.4$, the strong damping yields a
deviation from the Ewald result which is nearly independent of the chosen cut-off radius. This behavior can be seen in figure 3.2: For $\kappa > 0.4$, all curves are nearly indistinguishable. Between these two scenarios, a trade-off can be found, which results in an energy plateau that is in accordance with the Ewald result. Not surprisingly, the plateau is expanded for larger cut-off radii. For each force field, such a plateau has to be found to allow for accurate simulation results.

To judge the performance of the Wolf summation, the CPU cost of simulating a system of point charges (liquid silica; force field introduced in chapter 6) is shown in figure 3.3. It is compared to the P3M (particle-particle/particle-mesh) [15] method, a present-day grid based approach implemented in the MD code ESPResSo [48]. It can be seen, that the computational effort with Wolf summation scales perfectly linear up to 2.5 million particles. For smaller systems (fewer than about 80 000 particles), ESPResSo is faster due to the short real-space cut-off required for P3M. However, as the system becomes larger, the $O(N\log N)$-scaling of P3M loses to the linear scaling of Wolf. As a reference, also the CPU cost of the Ewald method in IMD is shown. The accuracy of each method is chosen to $10^{-3}$. It is obtained by the error estimation of Kolafa and Perram [42] (It has to be mentioned, that this error estimation is not able to account for the negligence of the reciprocal-space term in the Wolf case). The scaling simulations were all performed on a single 2.83 GHz Intel Nehalem CPU.

3.2. Induced electrostatic dipole moments

In the last seven years, several MD studies [31, 78] showed, that simple pair potentials composed of a short-range and a Coulomb term are often not able to model structural and dynamic properties of ionic condensed matter systems accurately. Hence, the description of electrostatic interactions has to be extended. So far, only monopole charges have been taken into account. This is equivalent to a truncation of the multipole expansion for the potential of a charge density,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{r} \mathbf{p}}{r^3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} Q_{ij} \frac{x_i x_j}{r^5} + \ldots, \quad (3.12)$$

after the first order ($r = |\mathbf{r}|$, $x_i$ component of $\mathbf{r}$, $q$ total charge, $\mathbf{p}$ dipole term and $Q_{ij}$ quadrupole term). In the following, the model by Tangney and
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Figure 3.3.: Scaling of computational effort with system size in liquid silica for Wolf, P3M and Ewald. P3M is faster for systems with less than 80,000 atoms. In larger systems, Wolf summation performs better.

Scandolo (TS) [88] is introduced, which considers monopole charges and in addition dipole moments (first and second order terms of expansion Eq. (3.12)) for each oxygen ion. The validation of the force fields presented in this thesis (chapter 5) shows that there is no need for taking higher electrostatic moments into account, which would be computationally very expensive. In chapter 6, it is systematically investigated where the effects of electric dipole moments are important and how the impact arises from additional interaction mechanisms.

3.2.1. Tangney-Scandolo-model

The TS potential contains two contributions: a short-range pair potential of Morse-Stretch (MS) form, and a long-range part, which describes the
electrostatic interactions between charges and induced dipoles on the oxygen atoms. The MS interaction between an atom of type \(i\) and an atom of type \(j\) has the form

\[
U_{ij}^{MS} = D_{ij} \left[ \exp \left[ \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{\rho_{ij}} (1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{\rho_{ij}}) \right] - 2 \exp \left[ \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{2} (1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{\rho_{ij}}) \right] \right],
\]

(3.13)

with \(r_{ij} = |r_{ij}|\), \(r_{ij} = r_j - r_i\) and the model parameters \(D_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}\) and \(\rho_{ij}\), which have to be optimized.

The dipole moments depend on the local electric field of the surrounding charges and dipoles. Hence a self-consistent iterative solution has to be found. In the TS approach, a dipole moment \(p_i^n\) at position \(r_i\) in iteration step \(n\) consists of an induced part due to an electric field \(E(r_i)\) and a short-range part \(p_{iSR}^n\) due to the short-range interactions between charges \(q_i\) and \(q_j\). Following Rowley et al. [77], this contribution is given by

\[
p_{iSR}^n = \alpha_i \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3} f_{ij}(r_{ij})
\]

(3.14)

with

\[
f_{ij}(r_{ij}) = c_{ij} \sum_{l=0}^{4} \frac{(b_{ij} r_{ij})^l}{l!} e^{-b_{ij} r_{ij}}.
\]

(3.15)

\(f_{ij}(r_{ij})\) was introduced \textit{ad hoc} to account for multipole effects of nearest neighbors and is a function of very short range. \(b_{ij}\) is the reciprocal of the length scale over which the short-range interaction comes into play, \(c_{ij}\) determines amplitude and sign of this contribution to the induced moment. Together with the induced part, one obtains

\[
p_i^n = \alpha_i E(r_i; \{p_j^{n-1}\}_j=1,N, \{r_j\}_j=1,N) + p_{iSR}^n,
\]

(3.16)

where \(\alpha_i\) is the polarizability of atom \(i\) and \(E(r_i)\) the electric field at position \(r_i\), which is determined by the dipole moments \(p_j\) in the previous iteration step. As a convergence criterion, the rms error of all dipole moments between two consecutive iteration steps has to be smaller than a definable threshold \(\Delta p\):

\[
\frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_i (p_i^n - p_i^{n-1})^2} < \Delta p.
\]

(3.17)
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Considering the interactions between charges $U^{qq}$ (Eq. (3.1)), between dipole moments,

\[
U^{pp} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \text{pairs} \neq i}}^{N} \left[ \frac{3(r_{ij}p_i)(r_{ij}p_j)}{r_{ij}^5} - \frac{p_ip_j}{r_{ij}^3} \right],
\]

(3.18)

and between a charge and a dipole,

\[
U^{pq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \text{pairs} \neq i}}^{N} q_i \frac{r_{ij}p_j}{r_{ij}^3},
\]

(3.19)

the total electrostatic contribution is given by

\[
U^{\text{EL}} = U^{qq} + U^{pq} + U^{pp},
\]

(3.20)

and the total interaction is

\[
U^{\text{tot}} = U^{\text{MS}} + U^{\text{EL}}.
\]

(3.21)

The TS model is implemented both in IMD (by Peter Brommer) and potfit (by the author, details of the implementation are shown in appendix B). potfit accepts the TS force field parameters as value to be optimized. The MS potential Eq. (3.13) is defined by three parameters for each pair of interaction partners $ij$. Including the charges $q_i$, the polarizability $\alpha$ of the oxygen ion and the parameters $b_{ij}$ and $c_{ij}$, which only differ from zero in the case $i \neq j$, there are 14 parameters for a binary oxide. The requirement of charge neutrality reduces the number of free parameters by one. Thus, there are 13 parameters to optimize. Details of the parameter optimization for each force field are shown in chapter 5.

3.2.2. Validation

Each force field generated in the framework of this thesis is intensively validated (see chapter 5). The highly accurate results presented there show that there is no need for embedding or even many-body or angular dependent potential terms. The dipole field provides for multi-body interactions while keeping the linear scaling in the number of particles.
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Figure 3.4.: Silica melt at 3100 K: Each silicon atom is depicted as a grey sphere, each oxygen atom is represented by an arrow showing magnitude and direction of its dipole moment. The color coding emphasizes the orientation. No correlated orientation of dipole moments can be observed.

Here, however, it is analyzed, whether the TS dipole model is able to describe the desired dipole properties on a qualitative level. First, the total dipole moment of a liquid silica sample (4896 atoms at 3100 K, force field introduced in section 5.1) is calculated and averaged over a 200 picosecond simulation. There is at no time an appreciable spontaneous polarization. The averaged total dipole moment is $p_{\text{tot}}^S = 3.47 \times 10^{-28}$ Cm, which is small compared to a fully polarized system and thus can be taken as a fluctuation. In the case of liquid magnesia (5832 atoms at 5000 K, force field introduced in section 5.2), the averaged total dipole moment is $p_{\text{tot}}^M = 4.76 \times 10^{-33}$ Cm, which is even smaller than that of silica. In both cases, the result is as expected: On average, no correlated orientations of dipole moments are observed (see figure 3.4). Secondly, the trajectory of several
randomly selected dipole moments is traced, as well with the expected result: The dipole moments do not change their direction discretely, but gyrate continuously while the respective ion moves through the simulation box.

### 3.3. Wolf summation of dipole contributions

The direct Wolf summation method was introduced in section 3.1.3 for determining the energy of a condensed system with Coulomb interactions. Let now $N$ dipole moments $\mathbf{p}_i$ at positions $\mathbf{r}_i$ be given. The total interaction can be written as

$$U_{pp} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N \mathbf{p}_i^t (\nabla \otimes \nabla) \left( \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right) \mathbf{p}_j. \quad (3.22)$$

Imposing structural periodicity and inserting the decomposition of unity of Eq. (3.2), Eq. (3.22) can be rewritten as

$$U_{pp} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_i^t (\nabla \otimes \nabla) \left( \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa |\mathbf{r}_{ij} + n\mathbf{L}|) + \text{erf}(\kappa |\mathbf{r}_{ij} + n\mathbf{L}|)}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij} + n\mathbf{L}|} \right) \mathbf{p}_j. \quad (3.23)$$

As in the case of charges, the total energy splits into a real- and a reciprocal-space part,

$$U_{pp} = U_{pp}^r + U_{pp}^k, \quad (3.24)$$

and the Fourier transform of

$$U_{pp}^k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_i^t (\nabla \otimes \nabla) \left( \frac{\text{erf}(\kappa |\mathbf{r}_{ij} + n\mathbf{L}|)}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij} + n\mathbf{L}|} \right) \mathbf{p}_j \quad (3.25)$$

is taken. The prime has been omitted, since the self term (for $n = 0$ and $i = j$) is now finite. The resulting Fourier series is given by

$$U_{pp}^k = \frac{2\pi N e^2}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} \mathbf{k}^t \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{k} \frac{\exp(-k^2/4\kappa^2)}{k^2}, \quad (3.26)$$
where \( V \) is the volume of the simulation cell and \( \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \) the dipole structure factor,

\[
\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{Ne^{2}} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{p}_{j} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}},
\]

with the normalization factor \( 1/\sqrt{Ne^{2}} \), where \( e \) denotes the elementary charge. As can be seen in Eq. (3.26), the large \( \mathbf{k} \) contributions to \( U^{pp}_{k} \) tend to zero rapidly, whereas the small \( \mathbf{k} \) contributions are governed by the behavior of \( \mathbf{k}^{t} \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{k} \), which is expected to vanish as \( k \to 0 \). Hence, the reciprocal-space term of the dipole contribution can be neglected altogether as in the case of Coulomb energies.

### 3.3.1. Energy conservation

For the dipole contributions, the summation approach above was extended similarly to section 3.1.3. However, four tasks have to be included to ensure energy conservation during simulation, which are detailed in the following. The explicit Wolf shifted and truncated dipole energy expressions are given in appendix A.

**Extended shifting**

The dipole potential function and its first derivative also have to vanish at the cut-off radius. The dipole potential, however, is proportional to the first derivative of the Coulomb potential. Hence, the Coulomb potential and its first two derivatives must vanish at the cut-off radius. This yields the extended shifting, which replaces the prior one from Eq. 3.9:

\[
\tilde{E}^{qq}(r_{ij}) = E^{qq}(r_{ij}) - E^{qq}(r_{c}) - (r_{ij} - r_{c}) \frac{dE^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} \bigg|_{r_{c}} - \frac{1}{2} (r_{ij} - r_{c})^{2} \frac{d^{2}E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^{2}} \bigg|_{r_{c}}.
\]

(3.28)

**Dependence of potential on dipole moments**

In MD simulations, the energy is conserved, if the forces on the particles are exactly equal to the negative gradient of the potential energy with respect to the atomic coordinates. Otherwise, the energy might oscillate or even drift off if not controlled by a thermostat. In standard MD simulations,
the requirement is usually automatically fulfilled: The forces are calculated as the derivative of the potential, which depends directly on the atomic positions. In the TS model, there is also an indirect dependence, as the potential is also a function of the dipole moments:

$$\varphi = \varphi(\{r_i\}, \{p_i(\{r_j\})\}). \quad (3.29)$$

This would in principle lead to an extra contribution to the derivative of the potential,

$$\frac{d\varphi}{d\{r_i\}} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \{r_i\}} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \{p_i\}} \frac{\partial \{p_i\}}{\partial \{r_j\}}, \quad (3.30)$$

which would be practically impossible to be determined effectively. Luckily, if the dipole moments are iterated until convergence is reached, the system is at an extremal value in the potential landscape, with $\partial \varphi / \partial \{p_i\} = 0$, and so this part need not be evaluated. Imperfections in convergence may lead to a drift in the energy. Therefore, $\Delta p$ in Eq. (3.17) was set to a value four orders of magnitude smaller than an ordinary moment in each iteration. Hence, an appreciable energy drifts not even occurred in long term simulations (simulation times longer than a nanosecond).

**Conservation of differential relationship**

All integer powers of $r^{-1}$ are treated in a way to conserve the differential relationship between the functions (for example $r^{-2} = - \frac{d(r^{-1})}{dr}$). The Wolf damping modifies the $r^{-n}$ relations:

$$r^{-1} \rightarrow r^{-1} \text{erfc}(\kappa r) =: f_{-1}(r). \quad (3.31)$$

This changeover has to be translated consistently to all potential functions:

$$r^{-2} \rightarrow r^{-2} \text{erfc}(\kappa r) + \frac{2\kappa \exp(-\kappa^2 r^2)}{\sqrt{\pi r}} =: f_{-2}(r) \quad (3.32)$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{-2}(r) = - \frac{d(f_{-1}(r))}{dr}. \quad (3.33)$$

The need for conserving the differential relationship can most easily be explained with a simple one-dimensional example. Given are two oppositely charged point charges $\pm q$ at a mutual distance $r$. If the negatively charged one is polarizable with polarizability $\alpha$, it will get a dipole moment $p = \frac{\alpha q r^2}{r^2}$. 

---
This leads to a total interaction energy (last term is the dipole self-energy contribution)

\[ U = U^{qq} + U^{pq} + U^{pp} = -\frac{q^2}{r} - \frac{qp}{r^2} + \frac{p^2}{2\alpha}, \]

from which it follows that

\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial p} = -\frac{q}{r^2} + \frac{q}{r^2} = 0. \]

From here, it is visible, that modifying the differential relationship would annihilate the energy contribution condition explained in the previous task.

**Correction due to short-range dipole moment**

When applying the Wolf formalism to the TS potential, another issue arises concerning the energy contribution condition of the second task. The empirically introduced short-range part of each dipole moment (Eq. 3.14) also affects energy conservation. Hence, a correction term has to be added to
Figure 3.6.: $k$-dependence of the reciprocal-space term $U_{pp}^k(k)$ for different splitting parameters $\kappa$. The $k \rightarrow 0$ behavior of $U_{pp}^k(k)$ is governed by $Q(k)$, which results in negligible contributions of the small $k$-values to the total energy.

the total energy calculation. This also can most easily be explained with the same one-dimensional example of the previous task: The dipole moment in consideration of $p^{SR}$ now becomes $p^{\text{tot}} = p^{SR} + p$. This leads to the total interaction energy

$$U = -\frac{q^2}{r} - \frac{qp^{\text{tot}}}{r^2} + \frac{(p^{\text{tot}})^2}{2\alpha} \quad (3.36)$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial p} = -\frac{q}{r^2} + \frac{p^{\text{tot}}}{\alpha} = \frac{q f(r)}{r^2} \neq 0. \quad (3.37)$$

The correction term exactly counterbalances this additional contribution to ensure $\frac{\partial U}{\partial p} = 0$.

3.3.2. Error analysis and scaling properties

To legitimate the neglecting of the reciprocal-space term for the Wolf summed dipole contributions, liquid silica (4896 atoms, 3000 K, original
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Figure 3.7.: Logarithmic plot of the Reciprocal-space term \( U^{pp}_k \) (equation (3.26)) for different splitting parameters \( \kappa \). For sufficiently small \( \kappa \), there is no noticeable contribution to the total energy compared to the real-space part.

TS force field from [88] with Wolf summation has been simulated. It has to be analyzed, whether for a suitable \( \kappa \) the dipole structure factor is small. All values which are calculated in the course of this simulation are time-averaged over the full simulation time of one picosecond.

To analyze the \( k \rightarrow 0 \) behavior, the dipole structure scalar,

\[ Q(k) = \langle \mathbf{k}^t \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{k} \rangle_S, \tag{3.38} \]

is calculated. Angular brackets indicate an average over a spherical shell \( S \) with width \( \Delta k \) centered at constant \( |\mathbf{k}| = k \). This averaging is necessary, because for a periodic system \( \mathbf{Q} \) is not a continuous function, but a discrete set, consisting of all reciprocal space vectors. Fig. 3.5 shows the dipole structure scalar. For small absolute values of \( k \), \( Q(k) \) goes to zero.

Fig. 3.6 shows the \( k \)-dependence of the reciprocal-space term,

\[ U^{pp}_k(k) = \frac{2\pi Ne^2}{V} Q(k) \frac{\exp\left(-k^2/4\kappa^2\right)}{k^2}, \tag{3.39} \]
for different splitting parameters $\kappa$ (again averaged over a spherical shell). As mentioned above, due to the exponential damping, large $k$-contributions are negligibly small, whereas the small-$k$ values are governed by the behavior of $Q(k)$ as $k \to 0$.

Finally the sum in Eq. (3.26) is evaluated for the given $k$-mesh with truncation sphere in the reciprocal-space. The difference between this approach of a spherical truncation and the full summation is very small because of the exponential damping in Eq. (3.39), as seen in the rapid decay of $U_{k}^{pp}(k)$ for increasing $k$ in Fig. 3.6. In Fig. 3.7 the $\kappa$-dependence of the Reciprocal-space term $U_{k}^{pp}$ is illustrated in a logarithmic plot (to distinguish between $U_{k}^{pp}(k)$ and $U_{k}^{PP}$, the latter is identified by capitalization of its name). For a chosen damping of $\kappa = 0.1 \text{Å}^{-1}$, one gets

$$\frac{1}{N}U_{k}^{pp} = 3.3 \mu\text{eV},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.40)

which is six magnitudes smaller than the real-space part and can thus be neglected.

The performance of the Wolf summed TS interactions (liquid silica, force field introduced in section 5.1) is depicted in figure 3.8. It is compared to Wolf summed Coulomb interactions (force field introduced in chapter 6). As a reference, the CPU cost of the Ewald and the P3M method for charges is shown again. Even with dipole contributions, the Wolf summation scales perfectly linear with system size up to 2.5 million particles. In the TS approach, hence, many-body effects are included without destroying the linear scaling properties obtained by the Wolf summation. The computational cost per atom of the TS model is independent of system size; compared to Coulomb charges, the TS model with Wolf summation in silica is slower by a factor of 2.6. The identical approach for liquid magnesia with force field from section 5.2 yields the same result: linear scaling of the computational effort in the number of particles and a factor independent of system size between TS model and simple charge approach. This shows that the number of steps in the self-consistency loop is independent of system size. In all cases the present work deals with less than merely five steps for convergency are required.
Figure 3.8.: Scaling of computational effort with system size in liquid silica for Wolf summation with and without dipole contributions. The TS model is slower by a constant factor of 2.6. P3M and Ewald for charges are shown again as a reference. The accuracy of each method is chosen to $10^{-3}$ as in section 3.1.4.

3.3.3. Validation

Before new force fields can be generated as described in chapter 5, the new combination of the non-reparametrized TS silica force field with the Wolf method has to be validated (the original TS approach used Ewald summation). For this purpose, basic thermodynamic and structural studies are compared for two settings:

1. *TS*: original TS silica force field + Ewald summation method,
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Figure 3.9.: Equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K for the new potential compared to original TS [88], experiment [21], ab initio simulations [88] and simulations with the non-polarizable BKS potential model [88]. Additionally shown are ab initio results as well as experimental studies for comparison. Also simulations with the well-known BKS potential [89] are included, because many domain experts still use the non-polarizable BKS model although it apparently lacks accuracy in many simulation studies.

First, the equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K is compared to experiments [21], ab initio results and the original TS potential in figure 3.9. Pressures were obtained as averages along constant-volume MD runs of approximately 10 ps following 10 ps of equilibration and with simulation cells containing 4896 atoms. The good agreement of the original TS potential with the experimental results can be reproduced.

On a microscopic level, the Si–O–Si angle distribution was determined from multiple MD simulation runs at 3100 K and various pressures. The results are shown in figure 3.10 and are in agreement with the original TS.
Figure 3.10.: Oxygen centered angle distribution in liquid silica at 3100 K for the new potential compared to original TS, ab initio simulations and the non-polarizable BKS potential model (last three from [88]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$ [Å]</td>
<td>4.916</td>
<td>4.872</td>
<td>4.925</td>
<td>4.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$ [Å]</td>
<td>5.405</td>
<td>5.359</td>
<td>5.386</td>
<td>5.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ [g/cm$^3$]</td>
<td>2.646</td>
<td>2.718</td>
<td>2.665</td>
<td>2.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–O–Si</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>142.1</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>148.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1.: Quartz: Lattice parameters $a$ and $c$, density $\rho$ and oxygen centered angle.

potential and ab initio results.

The new force field is also probed by simulating the most important low pressure crystal structures quartz, cristobalite and coesite. The relevant equilibrium variables density, Si–O–Si angle and the lattice parameters at 300 K are given in tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. The average relative deviation of the crystal data from the experimental results is $\approx 0.9\%$. Simulations with the original TS potential yield a relative deviation of the parameters that averages at merely $\approx 0.7\%$. Both simulation approaches agree comparatively good with experiment, but a small decrease in precision can be observed when using the new potential.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experiment [80]</th>
<th>New Potential</th>
<th>TS [88]</th>
<th>BKS [88]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$ [Å]</td>
<td>4.969</td>
<td>5.015</td>
<td>4.936</td>
<td>4.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ [g cm$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>2.334</td>
<td>2.268</td>
<td>2.412</td>
<td>2.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–O–Si</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>143.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.2.**: Cristobalite: Lattice parameters $a$ and $c$, density $\rho$ and oxygen centered angle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$ [Å]</td>
<td>7.136</td>
<td>7.123</td>
<td>7.165</td>
<td>7.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>120.34</td>
<td>120.34</td>
<td>120.31</td>
<td>120.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ [g cm$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>2.921</td>
<td>2.940</td>
<td>2.933</td>
<td>2.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–O–Si</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>150.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.3.**: Coesite: Lattice parameters $a$, $b$ and $c$, monoclinic angle $\beta$, density $\rho$ and oxygen centered angle.

In summary, simulations can be accelerated by replacing Ewald with Wolf summation in the non-reparametrized TS force field without significant loss of accuracy. There are, however, small weaknesses of the new force field (e.g. higher average relative deviations from experiment for crystal structure data). Hence, also a new parametrization of the silica force field is meaningful and presented in section 5.1.
Chapter 4.

Visualization

Modern visualization techniques do not only supply pretty pictures of snapshots taken from MD simulations, but also facilitate novel findings by providing a better insight with clever and especially adapted representation of the data. Also for the present study of metal oxides they are taken into account in addition to conventional analytic analyses. A preliminary example of the visualization’s effectiveness is given in figure 4.1.

The visualization of the present work is done with the program MegaMol [29], which was developed at the Visualisierungsinstitut in Stuttgart and upgraded during the last two years by Sebastian Grottel and Katrin Scharnowski. They implemented new modules specialized in electrostatics of metal oxides. MegaMol is tailored to the visualization of large, dynamic particle data sets. The following three visualization techniques are available in MegaMol and are applied to analyze data from metal oxide MD simulations.

4.1. Glyph representation

The most basic approach is a simple sphere and arrow glyphs representation. For the present applied force fields containing electrostatic induced dipole moments, each metal ion is depicted by a sphere and each oxygen ion is represented by an arrow showing magnitude and direction of its dipole moment. Thereby, the center of an arrow is pinned to the atomic coordinate. The arrows are color coded to further highlight their orientation. The respective color map is shown in figure 4.2. Details of the used so-called shader-based ray casting technique can be found in [73]. Figure 3.4 (p. 56) is an example for this glyph representation. It provides a familiar visualization of the dipole moments, but this is only useful when looking at a rather small area of a data set. The left side of figure 4.3 shows a
Figure 4.1.: Preliminary example: crack propagating in crystalline α-alumina (see chapter 7), both pictures are prepared with MegaMol [29], the lower by Sebastian Grottel [28]. Above: Simple spheres depict aluminum atoms, each oxygen atom is represented by an arrow showing magnitude and direction of its dipole moment. The crack can be clearly seen, but the view on the dipole moments is mostly covered by the spheres. Below: Combination of the arrow glyph representation with the methods of fractional anisotropy (introduced in section 4.2) and iso surfaces (introduced in section 4.2.1) reveals previously hidden discoveries: Regions of correlated dipole orientation are accentuated and a wavelike propagation in the field of dipole moments coming from the crack tip can be observed.
similar but slightly bigger MD data set. Already in this case, where the simulation box contains merely 3264 oxygen atoms, occlusion effects and the representation appears cluttered. If one is nevertheless interested in seeing single dipole moments, a further approach is filtering out unimportant arrows. The definition of unimportant of course must then be given by the scientific context of the question at hand. Examples can be seen in figures 8.9 (p. 137) and 8.10 (p. 138), where the filter threshold is a certain magnitude of the moments to remove too small ones for a clear view.

### 4.2. Fractional anisotropy (FA)

During the work of this thesis, collective behavior of dipole moments appeared in several metal oxide systems under special conditions (e.g. shown in section 7.2 or chapter 8). With the objective of a closer inspection of these collective phenomena, the method of fractional anisotropy (FA) was implemented in MegaMol by Grottel [28] and applied to the study of metal oxide systems. Originally, FA is a scalar value computed from the effective diffusion tensor in diffusion tensor imaging, which measures the part of the tensor that can be ascribed to anisotropic diffusion [4]. Transferring this approach to the application of collective dipole behavior, a value can be obtained that is an indicator for how correlated the orientation of the oxygen dipoles is within a restricted area of the data set.

The FA scalar field is constructed as follows: A volume grid is defined based on the MD data set size by computing the resolution of the grid
from the average distance between atoms, thus roughly mapping one atom onto one voxel (volumetric pixel, a volume element, representing a value on a grid in three dimensional space). Based on the distance \( d_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{v}_j| \) between the position \( \mathbf{r}_i \) of oxygen atom \( i \) and the position \( \mathbf{v}_j \) of the voxel \( j \), a weight \( w_{ij} \) for the contribution of the particle to that voxel is calculated as

\[
    w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
    2 \left( \frac{d_{ij}}{d_c} \right)^3 - 3 \left( \frac{d_{ij}}{d_c} \right)^2 + 1 & \text{if } d_{ij} \leq d_c \\
    0 & \text{if } d_{ij} > d_c
    \end{cases},
\]

where \( d_c \) is the finite support radius of the function. It can be taken as a cut-off radius for the calculation of the FA field. In order to capture the data of the significant neighborhood, \( d_c \) is always put on a level with the Wolf cut-off radius \( r_c \) in the MD force calculation. For each voxel \( j \), the
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Figure 4.4.: Sketch of two identically (A), oppositely (B) and orthogonally (C) directed dipole moments. Vectors are distinguished with arrows inside of the graph.

The FA value $F_j$ of its particle neighborhood is computed as:

$$F_j = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_{j1} - \lambda_{j2})^2 + (\lambda_{j2} - \lambda_{j3})^2 + (\lambda_{j3} - \lambda_{j1})^2}{2(\lambda_{j1}^2 + \lambda_{j2}^2 + \lambda_{j3}^2)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

with the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j1}$, $\lambda_{j2}$, and $\lambda_{j3}$ of the matrix $\mathbb{P}^j$ formed by the dipole moments $\mathbf{p}_i$ of atoms $i$:

$$\mathbb{P}^j = \frac{1}{N_w} \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} w_{ij} \mathbf{p}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

$N_w$ is the number of atoms $i$ with $w_{ij} > 0$. Note that the dipoles are not normalized before entering equation (4.3). This is important to identify small local correlations as normalization yields a certain smoothing and would hide local effects on small scales. Not till the whole scalar field is calculated, the FA values are normalized to be between zero and one.

Let two dipoles be given (with both weights for the considered voxel being equal to one) to illustrate the FA mechanism. Figure 4.4 shows a sketch of three situations:

A) The dipoles have the same direction $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = (1; 0)^T$; then, the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{P}$ are $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$ and the FA value becomes $F_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

B) The dipoles have opposite directions $\mathbf{p}_1 = (1; 0)^T$ and $\mathbf{p}_2 = (-1; 0)^T$;
then, the eigenvalues are identical to case A and, hence, the FA value also becomes $F_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

C) The dipole vectors are orthogonal, $\mathbf{p}_1 = (1; 0)^T$ and $\mathbf{p}_2 = (0; 1)^T$; then, the eigenvalues of $P$ are $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the FA value becomes zero.

This basic evaluation illustrates, that the FA approach is able to detect both ferro- and antiferroelectric domains and to separate them from rather uncorrelated regions. Simply adding up all vectors inside the cut-off sphere would only detect ferroelectric states, because two antiferroelectric aligned moments would cancel each other out.

For the visualization of the FA field, a color map as depicted in figure 4.5 is chosen. It is based on cool-warm shading, but specifically highlights very high and very low values. A first example is given in figure 4.3, where the arrow glyph representation yields mainly a cluttered view on the liquid silica sample. The FA field, however, shows the existence of small, unstable polarization regions.

### 4.2.1. FA iso surfaces

The display can be further enhanced by visually circumscribing the regions where collective orientations emerge using iso surfaces of the FA scalar field. This add-on is especially useful when watching an animation of the whole trajectory as it enables a view on regions moving, disseminating or shrinking over time. The iso value is a selectable parameter between zero and one and has to be adjusted for each individual problem to gain the best depiction. Iso surfaces calculated in the field of FA can also be shown in the glyph-representation. An example with an iso value of 0.68 is given in figure 4.1.
4.3 Directional similarity (DS)

Figure 4.6.: DS iso surface circumscribing a domain of similarly oriented dipole moments (periclase sample, see section 8.3). Visualization support from [79].

4.2.2. Shading

Finally, further information can be depicted on the glyphs with a shading mechanics. In doing so, the degree of correlation determined by the FA field is projected onto the arrows. The mapping allows for easy identification of uncorrelated regions (dark areas, low FA), while in areas of high correlation (high FA) the colorization of the glyphs additionally provides a direct indication of how the dipoles are oriented. The shading approach can be seen for example in figure 7.2.

4.3. Directional similarity (DS)

As mentioned in section 4.2, the fractional anisotropy is able to resolve correlations on small scales, because the dipole moments are not normalized
before the FA value is obtained. This implicates a drawback in the case of regions with similar orientated moments which, however, vary strong in their magnitudes. Then the FA is not able to detect such a region as one single domain, because the FA distinguishes short dipoles from longer ones even if their direction is equal.

Another visualization tool to highlight domains with dipoles featuring similar orientations but different magnitudes is the approach of directional similarity (DS). It was implemented in MegaMol by Scharnowski [79]. The DS firstly normalizes the dipole moments. Then, they are mapped onto a grid, which is only required for saving computing time in the following steps. The DS field is simply obtained by computing the vorticity of the dipole field.

The DS approach identifies dipole regions with similar orientations independent of their magnitudes. However, it is not able to detect antiferroelectric domains as in the case of the FA approach.

4.3.1. DS iso surfaces

As in the FA case, the display can be further enhanced by visually circumscribing the regions where similar directions emerge using iso surfaces of the DS scalar field. The criterion for placing DS iso surfaces is the vorticity of the dipole field. For a clear view, a gaussian smoothing is applied. Otherwise, the DS iso surfaces would look somewhat angular. Figure 4.6 shows an example of a domain with similar orientations but different magnitudes of dipole moments which is clearly circumscribed by the DS iso surface.
Chapter 5.

Force field generation

5.1. Silica

The method of combining the TS polarizable force field model and the direct Wolf summation is first applied to silica (SiO$_2$). The most prominent case, where silica is found in technological applications, is microelectronics industry. For manufacturing the many transistors forming a microchip, commonly a silicon wafer is employed. With the process of thermal oxidation, an amorphous silica film is built on the silicon substrate. At the time when copper elements are embedded into the system for conducting current, silica acts as an insulator. Although there are superior materials called high-k-dielectrics on the way, many present-day microchips still deal with silica. Due to its nontoxic behavior, silica additives are also used in many everyday life products. Another field of application is geophysics, because the crystalline quartz-phase of silica is one of the two most frequently occurring minerals inside the earth crust. In all cases, it is very valuable to know both bulk behavior and microstructural properties of silica under various and partly extreme thermodynamic properties.

Due to the described technological and geological significance, silica has been thoroughly investigated experimentally [21, 60], by using ab initio calculations [38, 64, 94] and in simulations with empirical interaction potentials [35, 39, 89, 90]. Also the original TS model, that uses classical Ewald summation, was first applied to liquid silica. Hence, silica is an ideal test case for the new potential generation. In the following, the force field generation is shown as well as its validation and application to several basic studies.
5.1.1. Force field parametrization

At first, a reference structure database was prepared. Five MD trajectories were generated with the non-reparametrized silica TS force field and Wolf summation (this non-reparametrized version was judged in section 3.3 as highly accurate). These constant-temperature runs were performed at five different temperatures from 2000 to 4000 Kelvin in 500 K steps. In addition, the volume was slightly lowered to prepare different pressure conditions. Then, several snapshots were taken following 10 ps of equilibration. In this way, 47 liquid reference structures were prepared with on average 109 atoms, altogether 5123 atoms. The reference structures show a pressure spectrum from zero up to 15 GPa. They then were applied as input configurations for VASP, where PAW pseudopotentials and a generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the exchange-correlation functional were used. For the silicon (oxygen) pseudopotential, the default settings $E_{\text{cut}}^{\text{Si}} = 245$ eV ($E_{\text{cut}}^{\text{O}} = 400$ eV) in the PAW are selected. With the LDA exchange-correlation functional, the well-known underestimation of the volume yielded clear deviations from experimental data. The approach with GGA and the same reference structures, however, was able to reproduce the volume in MD simulations. Replacing the pseudopotential or increasing its energy cut-offs had no noticeable influence on the results.

Then, the reference database was committed to potfit. The weights in potfit were chosen to $w_e = 0.1$ and $w_s = 0.5$, which is consistent with comparable optimization approaches [39, 88]. The resulting parameters, however, remained rather unaffected by modification of the weights. By contrast, the splitting parameter $\kappa$ had to be optimized. Setting $\kappa = 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$, a cut-off radius of only 8 Å was found to be sufficient, which is small compared to other [11, 39] long-range potential approaches and results in an additional speedup in simulations. For comparison only, the procedure was repeated with a cut-off radius of 10 Å. The obtained results were quite similar. As the computational effort scales with $r_c^3$, simulations with a cut-off radius of 8 Å are about two times faster than the same settings with a cut-off radius of 10 Å. It has to be mentioned that $\kappa = 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ describes a relatively weak damping. This identifies the good native screening ability of liquid silica. The final set of parameters is shown in table 5.1. The rms errors are $\Delta F_e = 0.1922$, $\Delta F_s = 0.0341$ and $\Delta F_f = 1.6211$. 
Table 5.1: Force field parameters for silica as introduced in equations (3.13)–(3.15), given in IMD units set eV, Å and amu (hence charges are multiples of the elementary charge).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$q_{\text{Si}}$</th>
<th>$q_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$b_{\text{Si-O}}$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{Si-O}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Si-Si}}$</td>
<td>1.860032</td>
<td>-0.930016</td>
<td>0.020689</td>
<td>4.434517</td>
<td>-31.525678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Si-O}}$</td>
<td>0.100108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Si-Si}}$</td>
<td>0.000004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Si-O}}$</td>
<td>19.841872</td>
<td>11.598884</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.808762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Si-Si}}$</td>
<td>5.400713</td>
<td>2.066695</td>
<td>3.742815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the new silica force field, the dipole structure factor and the resulting reciprocal-space contribution of the total energy was evaluated. For the selected damping of $\kappa = 0.02 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ the result is

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{pp}}^k = 0.09 \, \mu\text{eV},$$

(5.1)

whereas the real-space contribution of the total energy per atom is

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{tot}}^r = 7.20 \, \text{eV}.$$ (5.2)

Hence the reciprocal-space term is indeed insignificant and the model of neglecting reciprocal-space contributions is accurate.

5.1.2. Validation

The new potential was validated by determining thermodynamic, microstructural and vibrational properties of silica. The goal was to cover different temperature and pressure scenarios in order to show the transferability of the new potential. The following simulations were all performed with the same initial configuration consisting of 4896 atoms (1632 Si and 3264 O). Due to the Wolf summation, it would of course be possible to simulate much bigger systems in reasonable time duration. However, this is not required for condensed matter systems because of the periodic boundary conditions applied in MD simulations.
Figure 5.1.: Equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K for the new potential compared with experiment [21] and ab initio calculations [38].

In figure 5.1, the equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K calculated with the new potential is shown. Pressures were obtained as averages along constant-volume MD runs of 10 ps following 10 ps of equilibration. For comparison, experimental data [21] and first principles results using VASP [38] are illustrated. The equation of state calculated with the new potential coincides with ab initio and experimental results.

The radial distribution functions for Si–Si, Si–O and O–O at 3000 K with volume/SiO$_2$ $V_{\text{SiO}_2} = 45.80$ Å$^3$ were evaluated for 100 snapshots taken out of an 100 ps MD run. The averaged curves are given in figure 5.2. Results calculated with the new potential are in accurate agreement with ab initio data [38]. The Si–Si and O–O curves are slightly shifted to larger distances.

The Si–O–Si angle distribution was determined from 300 MD runs at 2370 K and zero pressure. The averaged result is compared with an ab initio MD study [94] and is depicted in figure 5.3. As discussed in Ref.
Figure 5.2.: Radial distribution functions for Si–Si, Si–O and O–O at 3000 K compared with ab initio calculations [38].

[94], the ab initio MD tend to shift the angle distribution of liquid silica to slightly smaller angles with respect to the distribution generated by empirical MD simulations.

The new force field was also applied to simulations of amorphous silica, although its parameters were only optimized with liquid reference structures between 2000 and 4000 K. For this purpose, the liquid initial structure was cooled down to 300 K at an annealing rate of 0.01 K/fs, which is recommended by Vollmayr et al. [93] and also used in Ref. [39]. Using different annealing rates, however, had no significant impact on the results. The VDOS $G_{Si}(E)$ ($G_{O}(E)$) for silicon (oxygen) was obtained from a 100 ps MD trajectory with nMoldyn [75]. Figure 5.4 shows the partial and generalized VDOS compared with an ab initio study from Ref. [64]. The curves were adjusted in order to display the three main bands of each curve at similar frequencies. For silica, the optimal value for the frequency scaling
Figure 5.3.: Oxygen centered angle distribution in liquid silica at 2370 K for the new potential compared with an ab initio MD study [94].

is $\gamma = 0.3$. The new force field reproduces the key features of the partial VDOS. There are, however, several smaller deviations from ab initio results: The partial VDOS for silicon overestimates the low-energy band as the main-peak is shifted by around 12 meV to lower energies. The partial VDOS for oxygen does not reproduce the band between 35–55 meV and the two smaller peaks at around 77 meV and 108 meV. These characteristics are also reflected in the generalized VDOS. The relatively large scaling of the MD curves might also be a weakness of the new potential. In summary, the new potential is able to qualitatively reflect the lattice dynamics, although it was not optimized for amorphous states at 300 K, but there are limits to its accuracy.

As a final test, the force field was used for MD studies of $\alpha$-quartz, one of the most important low-pressure crystal structures of SiO$_2$. This is a very hard test for the transferability of the potential, considering liquid
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Figure 5.4.: VDOS of amorphous silica at 300 K calculated with the new potential compared with an ab initio MD study [64]. a) partial VDOS for silicon atoms, b) partial VDOS for oxygen atoms, c) generalized VDOS.

structures between 2000 and 4000 K were used as reference data. In table 5.2, the lattice parameters, Si–O bond length, and the Si–O–Si angle are compared to analytical values [24, 25]. The force field overestimates the equilibrium volume; the lattice parameters are too large by on average 2.8%. In an MD simulation at 300 K over 10 ns, the force field stabilized the alpha-quartz structure. This shows that the new potential does yield reasonable results even under conditions it was not optimized for.

In summary, the new force field approach yields highly accurate results for liquid silica. The new potential can be applied to lots of silica investigations, which could not yet be adequately investigated with MD simulations due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions. Probing solid phases, which were not included in the reference database during the force
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New potential</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–O (Å)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–O–Si (°)</td>
<td>148.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2.: Lattice parameters, Si–O bond length and Si–O–Si angle of α-quartz compared with theoretical studies.

field optimization, yields suitable results on a qualitatively level. Quantitative analysis, however, should be done carefully as expected.

5.2. Magnesia

The second application of the new force field approach is magnesia (MgO), another very important metal oxide. Not only is it one of the stoichiometrically simpler oxides (making it a frequently studied test system), it is also both ubiquitous and of technological importance. Beside its every-day usage - encoded as E 530 in food industry or given to gymnasts for antislip hands - magnesia is frequently applied in industry due to its high melting point. Furnaces and other components which have to be heat resistant are commonly coated with magnesia. Hence, there have been many experimental [5, 30, 65, 83] and theoretical [1, 37, 63, 77, 87] studies. By generating a force field for magnesia, the combined use of the TS model and Wolf summation for the first time was applied beyond silica.

5.2.1. Force field parametrization

To generate the reference structure database for liquid magnesia, snapshots from an MD trajectory with an ad-hoc potential were used. In this way, 80 liquid reference structures with on average 242 atoms, in total 19 360 atoms, were prepared. The reference forces, stresses and energies were computed with VASP using PAW pseudopotentials and in the GGA approximation as approved in the silica case. The energy cut-off for the magnesium pseudopotential is given by $E_{\text{cut}}^{\text{Mg}} = 210$ eV. From these, an intermediate TS potential was obtained, which then was again used to generate a new MD trajectory and then a new set of reference structures. This procedure was iterated until there was no further significant change in the potential parameters. The pressure spectrum of the final reference structure database
### Table 5.3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{Mg}}$</td>
<td>1.230958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{O}}$</td>
<td>-1.230958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{\text{O}}$</td>
<td>0.045542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_{\text{Mg-O}}$</td>
<td>3.437254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{\text{Mg-O}}$</td>
<td>-24.256585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Mg-Mg}}$</td>
<td>0.000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Mg-O}}$</td>
<td>0.100093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td>0.000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Mg-Mg}}$</td>
<td>18.736878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Mg-O}}$</td>
<td>10.340058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td>18.696021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Mg-Mg}}$</td>
<td>6.161436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Mg-O}}$</td>
<td>2.458717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td>6.630618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Force field parameters for magnesia as introduced in equations (3.13)–(3.15), given in IMD units set eV, Å and amu.

is between 0 and 15 GPa, while the temperature varies between 2000 and 5000 K to account for the higher melting point of magnesia. The weights in potfit again were set to $w_e = 0.1$ and $w_s = 0.5$. A cutoff radius of 8 Å was sufficient when choosing $\kappa = 0.1$ Å$^{-1}$. The final set of parameters is shown in table 5.3. The rms errors are $\Delta F_e = 0.1170$, $\Delta F_s = 0.0228$ and $\Delta F_f = 0.5295$.

The following values for the Wolf dipole error estimation were obtained by averaging over a simulation time of 200 ps. The reciprocal-space term is again really insignificant. For $\kappa = 0.1$ Å$^{-1}$, the result is

$$\frac{1}{N}E_{\text{pp}}^{\text{pp}} = 0.15 \mu\text{eV}, \quad (5.3)$$

whereas the real-space contribution of the total energy per atom is

$$\frac{1}{N}E_{\text{r}}^{\text{tot}} = 6.30 \text{eV}. \quad (5.4)$$

### 5.2.2. Validation

The new potential was validated by determining thermodynamic and microstructural properties of liquid magnesia. The transferability of the new potential beyond the optimized temperature range was also investigated by modelling the most important crystal structure periclase (NaCl-type). The following simulations were all performed with the same initial configuration consisting of 5832 atoms (2916 Mg and 2916 O).
The equation of state, obtained as in silica, is shown in figure 5.5. Due to the high melting point of magnesia, a temperature of 5000 K was chosen. The result is compared with a first-principles study using VASP [37]. Although the new potential was optimized with a reference database having a pressure spectrum from zero to 15 GPa, it is able to reproduce the ab initio results quite accurate up to 160 GPa.

In figure 5.6 the radial distribution function for Mg–Mg (which is very similar to the O–O function) and Mg–O is depicted at 3000 K with volume/MgO $V_{\text{MgO}} = 27.76$ Å$^3$. The results coincide precisely with ab initio data [37].

The Mg–O–Mg angle distribution was determined from several MD runs at $V_{\text{MgO}} = 33.99$ Å$^3$ and three different temperatures 3000 K, 4000 K and 5000 K. The curves look similar (see figure 5.7), they show a maximum at 100 degrees. So the interatomic angles in the magnesia melt are about 10
degrees greater than in periclase. Both distribution studies follow from an averaging in the same way as for silica.

Finally, the new force field was applied to simulations of periclase at 300 K, although its parameters were only optimized with liquid reference structures between 2000 and 5000 K. The new force field is able to stabilize the cubic periclase structure with high accuracy. The lattice constant is in very good agreement with recent ab initio and experimental studies, as presented in table 5.4.

In the same way as for silica, the generalized VDOS of periclase was obtained. Figure 5.8 shows a comparison with ab initio calculations [22] and an experimental [6] study. A frequency scaling of only $\gamma = 0.03$ was applied.

The new potential is able to qualitatively reproduce the key features, but there are two weak points: Firstly, the main peak at around 53 meV is shifted...
to lower frequencies by around 10 meV. Secondly, the peak at 36 meV – originating from the partial VDOS for oxygen – is only weakly reproduced. In summary, the new force can give only qualitative results concerning lattice dynamics in this temperature range, for which it was not optimized.

To sum up the validation, the new magnesia force field yields generally accurate results. As in the case of silica the new potential can be applied to lots of magnesia investigations, which could not yet be adequately investigated with MD simulations due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions. Also the cubic periclase structure can be modelled accurately, although the force field parameters were only optimized with liquid reference structures. The magnesia study additionally shows, that a crude starting potential suffices to determine high-quality potentials, which makes the new force field approach applicable for even more systems of interest.

Figure 5.7: Oxygen centered angle distribution in liquid magnesia for the new potential for 3000 K, 4000 K and 5000 K.
5.3 $\alpha$-Alumina

$\alpha$-alumina is the first crystalline system, where the new force field approach is applied to. This is more difficult, because the Wolf method is known to be more accurate in liquid or at least amorphous systems. As discussed in section 3.1.3, a basic condition for the applicability of the Wolf summation is a natural screening ability of the system of interest. The ionic neighborhood in a liquid system is basically more isotropic than in an ordered crystal, which may result in a higher cut-off radius needed in the following for getting accurate results.

For the purpose of applying the new force field generation to a crystalline system, alumina was chosen, because it is the most commonly used ceramic in technological applications. Due to its insulating properties, it
### Table 5.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential</th>
<th>Lattice Constant $a$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Potential</td>
<td>4.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. [83]</td>
<td>4.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. [5]</td>
<td>4.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab initio (GGA) [1]</td>
<td>4.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab initio (LDA) [63]</td>
<td>4.240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.4.** Lattice constant $a$ [Å] of periclase determined with the new potential compared to ab initio and experimental studies.

**Figure 5.9.** Sketch of the hexagonal scalenohedral crystal structure of $\alpha$-alumina. From [14].

is frequently adopted in microelectronic devices, i.e., field effect transistors or integrated circuits. Another important field of application is the coating of metallic components. Alumina covering aluminum is known to prevent further oxidation of the metal. Since alumina is characterized by a high melting point and also a high degree of hardness, applications at high temperatures and high mechanical demands are possible. Together with diamond, $\alpha$-alumina belongs to the two hardest minerals in the world (9 Mohs compared to 10 Mohs for diamond). Mechanical properties such as tensile strengths and fracture processes are of high importance in most application areas. Hence, the main task of the below presented alumina
5.3 α-Alumina

force field is the simulation of propagating cracks.

Due to this technological significance, alumina was frequently investigated experimentally [18, 50, 59] and by ab initio methods [17, 58, 99, 100]. First-principles methods are well established for calculating the work of separation or the surface energy. However, the investigation of dynamic processes such as crack propagation requires systems with significantly more atoms and larger timescales than ab initio based methods nowadays can deal with. Hence, it was an important and new challenge to develop a suitable polarizable interaction force field for MD simulations.

α-alumina has a hexagonal scalenohedral crystal structure, which corresponds to point group D$_{3d}$. A structure sketch is shown in figure 5.9. In hexagonal and rhombohedral lattice systems, the Bravais-Miller index (BMI) which has four numbers ($hkl$) can be applied. Here, $h$, $k$ and $l$ are identical to the basic Miller index, and $i = -(h+k)$ is a redundant number. The BMI is sometimes more meaningful than the Miller index, because it makes crystal symmetries apparent by permutation. In figure 5.9, three crystallographic planes are exemplarily characterized by its BMI. A crack is geometrically always characterized by the crystallographic plane inside of which it is arranged, and the direction in which it propagates.

5.3.1. Force field parametrization

At first, a set of 67 α-alumina crystal structures with 360 atoms, in total 24 120 atoms, was prepared [32]. This reference database is composed of three kinds of structures:

1. crystals strained up to 20% in [0001], [2110] and [0110] directions at zero Kelvin,

2. structures with free (0001), (2110) and (0110) surfaces at zero Kelvin,

3. equilibrated snapshots taken out of a VASP ab initio MD trajectory (see section 2.2.2), where an ideal crystal is heated from zero Kelvin up to 2000 Kelvin.

The alumina force field generation is focussed on crystalline solids with well-defined structure. Hence, no initial ad-hoc potential is required to generate a reference database. This is only necessary for nonperiodic condensed structures as in the cases of liquid silica (section 5.1) and liquid magnesia
Table 5.5.: Force field parameters for alumina as introduced in equations (3.13)–(3.15), given in IMD units set eV, Å and amu (hence charges are multiples of the elementary charge).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$q_{\text{Al}}$</th>
<th>$q_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$b_{\text{Al-O}}$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{Al-O}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Al-Al}}$</td>
<td>1.122608</td>
<td>-0.748406</td>
<td>0.026576</td>
<td>18.984286</td>
<td>-5.571329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Al-O}}$</td>
<td>0.000890</td>
<td>1.000058</td>
<td>0.005307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Al-Al}}$</td>
<td>12.737442</td>
<td>8.077778</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.081581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Al-Al}}$</td>
<td>5.405175</td>
<td>1.851806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.994815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Al-O}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{O-O}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(sections 5.2). The 67 structures were again applied as input configurations for VASP and by use of PAW pseudopotentials (energy cut-off for aluminum $E_{\text{cut}}^\text{Al} = 396$ eV) in the GGA approximation as approved in the silica and magnesia case.

The weights in potfit were chosen to $w_e = 0.03$ and $w_s = 0.28$. Setting $\kappa = 0.1$ Å\(^{-1}\), a cutoff radius of 10 Å was found to be sufficient. The final set of parameters is shown in table 5.5. The rms errors are $\Delta F_e = 0.0492$, $\Delta F_s = 0.0273$ and $\Delta F_f = 0.3507$.

5.3.2. Validation

Initially, basic properties of crystalline alumina such as lattice constants [32], cohesive energies [32] and vibrational properties were determined. Additionally and relevant for fracture studies, the validation simulations focused on surface relaxations, surface energies and stresses of strained configurations.

Lattice constants and cohesive energies are obtained by pressure relaxation: Besides energy minimization, the pressure tensor of the sample is calculated at each step, and the size of the simulation box is changed by a small amount in order to lower that pressure. After inserting surfaces or interfaces into the relaxed sample, a further relaxation is performed which reveals surface or interface energies. As can be seen from table 5.6, lattice constants and cohesive energy of crystalline $\alpha$-alumina obtained with the new potential agree well with ab initio calculations by Hocker [32] and
Table 5.6.: Lattice constants $a$ and $c$, cohesive and surface energies obtained with the new potential compared to ab initio results and literature data. The ab initio data was obtained by Hocker [32] with VASP.

The partial VDOS for aluminum and oxygen ($G_{Al}(E)$ and $G_{O}(E)$) were obtained in the same way as for silica and magnesia. However, no significant frequency scaling ($\gamma = 0.004$) was necessary, which is a strong indicator for the efficiency of the force field. Figure 5.10 shows the partial and generalized VDOS. The key features of the curves obtained with the new potential and an ab initio study from Ref. [49] coincide. For the partial VDOS of aluminum, both studies show a broad band between 41 and 83 meV. The ab initio results show less states in the low-energy band between 15 and 30 meV. There are two sharp peaks between 87 and 100 meV in the ab initio curve, whereas the new potential shows one broader peak with a shoulder which indicates the second peak. The curves for the partial VDOS of oxygen are in good agreement. Both simulation and ab initio calculation show the main band of states between 12 and 85 meV with similar curve progression and three local maxima at around 35, 47 and 62 meV. These characteristics are also reflected in the generalized VDOS. In addition, the generalized VDOS obtained with neutron scattering [50] is depicted, which shows the same main characteristics of the curve on a qualitative level.
Figure 5.10.: VDOS calculated with the new potential compared with an ab initio study [49] and experiment [50]. a) Partial VDOS for aluminum atoms, b) partial VDOS for oxygen atoms, c) generalized VDOS.

The literature surface energies [52, 55, 69, 85] given in table 5.6 differ among each other which originates from the different methods used in the ab initio approaches. The ab initio calculation of the (0001) surface energy by Hocker [32] agrees with the value obtained in Ref. [69], where also the VASP code with the same pseudopotential and exchange-correlation approximation was used. For all investigated surfaces, the energies obtained with the new potential and with ab initio methods agree. Both results reveal that the (0001) surface has the lowest surface energy and the (0110) surface the highest one. The studies of Ref. [52, 55, 85] yield slightly higher energies for all investigated surfaces.

The surface structure after relaxation is shown in figure 5.11. This comparison study was done by Hocker [32]. It reveals that the Al atoms of the outermost Al-layer are moved closer to the outermost O-layer at the (0001)
Figure 5.11.: Surface relaxations for the new potential compared with ab initio calculations. Red: oxygen. Blue: aluminum. a) (0001)-surface, ab initio, b) (0001)-surface, MD, c) (0110)-surface, ab initio, d) (0110)-surface, MD. This comparison study was done by Hocker [32].
Figure 5.12.: Stresses of strained configurations with [0001] (green), [2110] (red) and [0110] (blue) strain directions against the stress tensor component in direction of strain. The curves obtained with the new potential are marked with squares, those from ab initio calculations with circles. The stress calculation was done by Hocker [32].

Al-terminated surface, which is known to be the most stable (0001) surface termination. The atomic adjustment perpendicular to the surface obtained with the new potential agrees very well with the ab initio results. The distance of an Al- and an O-layer is 0.83 Å, whereas this value decreases to 0.15 Å (MD) respectively 0.14 Å (ab initio) at the surface. A relaxation of the oxygen atoms can be seen at the (0110) surface. Both MD and ab initio study show that the three oxygen atoms per unit cell – which are initially in a row along the direction orthogonal to the plane of figure 5.11 – relax to different distances from the initial surface. Furthermore, a relaxation towards the neighboring Al-atoms in the first layer occurs. The results obtained with the new potential again coincide with the ab initio calculation.
One difference, however, can be seen at the second layer: Every second Al atom in each row orthogonal to the figure plane is slightly displaced towards the surface in the ab initio relaxation. This effect is not observed in the MD relaxation simulation. Neither the MD nor the ab initio relaxation study of the (2110) surface yield significant atomic movements.

As described in section 5.3.1, strained configurations with strain directions [0001], [2110] and [0110] were added in the reference database for the potential optimization approach. To clarify whether the new potential can reproduce the stresses of strained configurations, these stresses are calculated by Hocker [32] using MD with the new potential. Figure 5.12 shows a comparison of stresses obtained in simulations to the stresses of the underlying ab initio reference configurations, each with 360 atoms. They are strained up to 15% in [0001], [2110] and [0110] direction respectively. The difference between MD and ab initio results is small at lower strains. With increasing strain, the difference increases up to about 10 GPa at 15% strain. The new potential underestimates the stress in all cases. However, the directions, in which the stress increases, can be reproduced correctly: The highest stresses are observed for strains in [0001] direction, the lowest stresses are found for configurations strained in [0110] direction.

In summary, the new potential can be used to simulate mechanical and vibrational properties of crystalline α-alumina – bulk as well as systems containing surfaces – with high accuracy. As in the case of silica and magnesia, the new potential can be applied to lots of investigations, which could not yet be adequately investigated with MD simulations due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions. This is especially the case when simulating crack propagation scenarios, where more atoms and longer timescales are needed than in simple bulk simulations. The detailed investigation of propagating cracks studied by MD simulations with the new force field at hand is presented in chapter 7. The force field is again applied in chapter 8, where the influence of mechanical strain on the field of electrostatic dipole moments is investigated.
Chapter 5. Force field generation
Chapter 6.

Significance of dipole moments

In the previous chapter, the force fields generated for silica, magnesia and alumina were validated as highly accurate. Additionally, it has to be verified, whether the extra simulation effort caused by the new polarizable terms is justified. The present chapter details studies of the dependency of metal oxide properties in MD simulations on the polarizability of oxygen ions. A systematic investigation is not only able to legitimate extra computer costs, but to itemize, for which system properties the effects of electrostatic dipole moments are more important and where the influence is negligible.

For crystalline silica, Herzbach and Binder [31] already showed, that the TS force field is superior to the non-polarizable BKS [89] pair potential models. This trend is also visible in section 3.3.3: The BKS potential underestimates the volume in the equation of state study (figure 3.9) and yields deviations in the angle distribution (figure 3.10) and several crystalline structure parameters (tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3). Recently, polarization effects on different properties of various molten fluorides, chlorides and ionic oxides were described by Salanne and Madden [78]. The authors illustrated the impact of polarizability in several exemplary selected systems of these material classes and predicted the general importance for structural and dynamic properties.

In the following, a systematic comparison of two types of force fields is shown, which only differ by the presence of the polarizable term; the non-electrostatic and Coulomb terms have in each case the same functional form for both force fields. Hence, differences in MD simulation applications can be attributed to polarizability. To obtain a conclusion with a high degree of universality, MD simulation studies of both liquid and crystalline structures of silica, magnesia and alumina are performed. For each of the three metal oxides, two separately optimized force fields are applied:
Table 6.1.: rms errors from the optimization of the force fields $\phi_\mu$ (from chapter 5) and $\phi^0_\mu$ (with $\mu = S, M, A$ for silica, magnesia and alumina respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>rms error</th>
<th>$\phi^0_\mu$</th>
<th>$\phi_\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F_e$</td>
<td>0.216605</td>
<td>0.192220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_s$</td>
<td>0.040983</td>
<td>0.034099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_f$</td>
<td>1.866665</td>
<td>1.621107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>$\Delta F_e$</td>
<td>0.075370</td>
<td>0.116994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_s$</td>
<td>0.029595</td>
<td>0.022774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_f$</td>
<td>0.671468</td>
<td>0.529535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$\Delta F_e$</td>
<td>0.139316</td>
<td>0.049172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_s$</td>
<td>0.055651</td>
<td>0.027273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta F_f$</td>
<td>0.203966</td>
<td>0.350653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) $\phi^0_\mu$ (with $\mu = S, M, A$ for silica, magnesia and alumina respectively): short range interactions of Morse-Stretch (MS) form (equation 3.13) combined with Coulomb interactions between charged particles (new force fields, detailed in the following).

(ii) $\phi_\mu$: extension of (i) by adding polarizability to the oxygen ions (exactly the force fields presented in the previous chapter).

For a direct and systematic comparison, type (i) force fields for silica, magnesia and alumina were generated, which consist of a MS short range part combined with Coulomb interactions between charges. The latter are treated with Wolf summation as described in chapter 3.1.3. Hence, the only difference – concerning the functional form – compared to the force fields presented in chapter 5 is the absence of the polarizable term. The parameters, however, differ among each other compared to the polarizable force fields from chapter 5 due to the independently performed generation. Table 6.2 shows the corresponding parameters of the non-polarizable force fields.

Although the potentials $\phi_\mu$ have three more parameters than the $\phi^0_\mu$ (13 compared to 10), they do not describe the reference data significantly better than the $\phi^0_\mu$. This is illustrated by the rms errors, that are shown in table 6.1. Indeed, there is a trend favoring the polarizable potentials: seven of nine rms errors are better in the case of $\phi_\mu$. And in the case of
6.1 Comparison of accuracy in simulations

It appeared already reasonable in chapter 5 that force fields may also yield qualitative results beyond the range for which they were optimized. However such applications beyond the optimization range should be closely verified. In the following, the tests are focused on the range for which the force fields were trained, but results are also shown outside this zone to enlarge the validity of this comparison study.

6.1.1. Microstructural properties

First, the influence of polarizability on microstructural properties is illustrated. The radial distribution functions for liquid silica (4896 atoms) at 3000–6000 K are, in each case, evaluated for 100 snapshots taken out of 100 ps MD runs at the given temperature. The averaged curves are given in figure 6.1. The curves obtained with \( \phi_0 \) are similar to the curves of \( \phi_S \). The existing slight deviations decrease with increasing temperature, so

Figure 6.1.: Normalized radial distribution functions for Si–Si, Si–O and O–O in liquid silica at 3000–6000 K. The solid (dashed) curves belong to \( \phi_S (\phi_0) \).

silica and magnesia, the rms errors are – on average – smaller for \( \phi_\mu \) than for \( \phi_\mu^0 \). For alumina, however, it is the other way round. Thus, the rms errors are only first indicators of the quality of the generated force field, but they are not able to denote the practicability of a potential model.
### Table 6.2.: Parameters for the potentials $\phi_S^0$, $\phi_M^0$ and $\phi_A^0$, given in IMD units set eV, Å and amu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$D_{\text{Si-Si}}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$D_{\text{Si-O}}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$D_{\text{O-O}}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_S^0$</td>
<td>0.100032</td>
<td>0.100250</td>
<td>0.076883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Si-Si}}$</td>
<td>11.009449</td>
<td>11.670530</td>
<td>7.505632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Si-Si}}$</td>
<td>2.375990</td>
<td>2.073780</td>
<td>3.683866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{Si}}$</td>
<td>1.799475</td>
<td>-0.899738</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_M^0$</td>
<td>0.038258</td>
<td>0.100261</td>
<td>0.065940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Mg-Mg}}$</td>
<td>9.108854</td>
<td>10.405694</td>
<td>7.962500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Mg-Mg}}$</td>
<td>3.384000</td>
<td>2.417339</td>
<td>3.448060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{Mg}}$</td>
<td>1.100730</td>
<td>-1.100730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_A^0$</td>
<td>0.002164</td>
<td>1.000003</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Al-Al}}$</td>
<td>10.855181</td>
<td>7.617923</td>
<td>16.719817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{Al-Al}}$</td>
<td>5.517666</td>
<td>1.880153</td>
<td>6.609171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{Al}}$</td>
<td>1.244690</td>
<td>-0.829793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.3.: Lattice constants and cohesive energy per Al$_2$O$_3$ unit of α-alumina at zero Kelvin obtained with $\phi_A$ and $\phi_A^0$ compared with ab initio results (from Hocker, see section 5.3) and experimental data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi_A^0$</th>
<th>a (Å)</th>
<th>c (Å)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{coh}}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab initio</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>32.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>4.75 [92]</td>
<td>12.99 [92]</td>
<td>31.8 [95]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1 Comparison of accuracy in simulations

Figure 6.2.: Normalized radial distribution functions for Mg–Mg, Mg–O and O–O in liquid magnesia at 3000–6000 K. The solid (dashed) curves belong to $\phi_M$ ($\phi_M^0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>Si–O (Å)</th>
<th>Si–O–Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_S^0$</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_S$</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4.: Lattice constants, Si–O bond length and Si–O–Si angle of $\alpha$-quartz at 300 K compared with theoretical studies.

The polarizability is more important for lower temperatures. For magnesia (see figure 6.2), the radial distribution functions show comparable behavior: small deviations between $\phi_M^0$ and $\phi_M$, that decrease with temperature. Apparently, the radial distribution in high temperature oxide melts does not require polarizable oxide ions.

A stronger influence of polarizability is observed on bond angles. Figure 6.3 (left) depicts the oxygen centered angle distribution at 3000–6000 K in liquid silica and magnesia, respectively. Both $\phi_S^0$ and $\phi_M^0$ overestimate the region of lower angles and underestimate the region of higher angles. Potentials with electrostatic dipole moments yield the correct shift of the distributions to slightly higher angles. Again, deviations decrease with increasing temperature. Although $\phi_A$ and $\phi_A^0$ were optimized for low-temperature crystalline structures, the behavior for liquid alumina at 3000 K is probed. Figure 6.3 (right) shows the oxygen centered angle distribution in alumina compared to a recent ab initio study [91]. Although the
Figure 6.3: Left: Normalized oxygen centered angle distributions in liquid silica and magnesia at 3000–6000 K for $\phi_{S,M}$ (solid curves) and $\phi_{S,M}^0$ (dashed curves). $\phi_{S}^0$ yields a shoulder between around 80 and 130 degrees, whereas the band at around 135–170 degrees is underestimated. Similarly, $\phi_{M}^0$ yields a shoulder between around 65 and 95 degrees, whereas the band at around 110–150 degrees is underestimated. Deviations decrease with increasing temperature. Right: The oxygen centered angle distribution in liquid alumina is shown at 3000 K for $\phi_{A}$ and $\phi_{A}^0$ and compared to an ab initio study [91].

Trend of shifting angles to higher values by allowing for polarizability is not reproduced in this case, polarizability yields a curve which is in better agreement to ab initio data. These results coincide with Ref. [78], where the authors stated that polarization effects in ionic systems play an important role in determining bond angles.

The electrostatic dipole moments also influence crystalline structure parameters. The lattice constants of $\alpha$-alumina are given in table 6.3. $\phi_{A}$ yields an accurate agreement both with ab initio and experimental data, whereas with $\phi_{A}^0$ the lattice constants are overestimated (in each case around 2% deviation). However, both stabilize the trigonal crystal structure. Lattice constants, Si–O–Si angle and Si–O bond length for $\alpha$-quartz at 300 K (see Table 6.4) were also determined, which is outside the optimization range for the $\phi_{S}$ and $\phi_{S}^0$ potentials. The average relative deviation of all parameters is for both potentials very similar (2.6% for $\phi_{S}$ and 2.3% for $\phi_{S}^0$). On closer inspection, $\phi_{S}^0$ yields more accurate lattice constants, whereas $\phi_{S}$ better reproduces the Si–O–Si angle and Si–O bond length. This is consistent with [78] and the results above concerning liquid metal
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**Figure 6.4.**: Equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K for $\phi_S$ and $\phi_0^S$ compared with experiment [21] and ab initio calculations [38].

oxides, where also the polarizability is more important for an improved description of bond angles than for atomic distances.

### 6.1.2. Thermodynamic properties

The cohesive energy for $\alpha$-alumina is shown in table 6.3. $\phi_A$ coincides with ab initio and experimental results, $\phi_0^A$ overestimates the cohesive energy (averaged deviation of 8.3%). This clear deviation shows that electrostatic dipole moments have to be taken into account, when probing macroscopical system properties.

To investigate the influence of polarizability on other thermodynamic properties, the equation of state of liquid silica (3100 K, see figure 6.4) and magnesia (5000 K and 10 000 K, see figure 6.5) respectively was obtained on the lines of the validation simulations in chapter 5. The curves obtained
with $\phi_S$ and $\phi_M$ coincide with ab initio results as well as with experiment in the case of silica. The potentials $\phi^0_S$ and $\phi^0_M$, however, show a clear underestimation of the volume, which illustrates the need for polarizability. The insufficiency of $\phi^0_M$ does not decrease with increasing temperature as in the case of microstructural properties. For the equation of state, polarizability has to be taken into account regardless of the simulation temperature.

6.2. Stress analysis and summary

Apparently, the equation of state of liquid oxides shows the most significant difference between $\phi^0_\mu$ and $\phi_\mu$; the potentials without polarizability seem to lack a significant contribution to the pressure. The additional pressure
Figure 6.6.: Values computed by each potential (top silica, central magnesium, bottom alumina) plotted against its reference data value. A point placed on the bisecting line corresponds to perfect matching. Left: force components of each configuration (in meV/Å); right: stress components of each single atom (in MPa).
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in simulations with \( \phi_\mu \) can however not directly be attributed to dipolar interactions. An analysis of the virial showed that \( U_{qp} \) and \( U_{pp} \) (see equation (3.20)) only contribute about 1.2% of the total virial (and thus the pressure); the higher pressure for these systems results almost exclusively from stronger MS and Coulomb contributions to the virial. As the atomic forces are described with comparable precision for both sets of potentials, this implies that the dipolar interaction is required to obtain correct pressures and forces simultaneously, especially as the concerning polarizable force fields have higher absolute values of the atomic charges.

When looking at the parameters of the respective force fields, it is noticeable that in the \( \phi_0^\mu \), the MS potentials are stronger at smaller atomic distances: The absolute value of the MS strength \( D_{ij} \) is higher and the stretch length \( \rho_{ij} \) (cf. equation (3.13)) is shorter in the non-polarizable potentials. This seems to indicate that in this case MS is required to describe the atomic interactions for nearest neighbours, while the dipolar interactions provide these contributions for the force fields with polarization.

A better insight is uncovered by inspecting in detail, how accurate the reference ab initio forces and stresses are reproduced by the particular force fields: Figure 6.6 depicts scatter plots, where for each single quantity (force component, stress component) the value computed by the potential is plotted against its reference data value. Hence, for perfect matching a point is placed on the bisecting line. As can be seen from the left three graphs of figure 6.6 showing the force components of each single atom, both force field types \( \phi_\mu \) and \( \phi_0^\mu \) yield distributions scattered around the bisecting line. The only difference between \( \phi_\mu \) and \( \phi_0^\mu \) is how accurate the bisecting line is hit. In the right graphs, however, where the stress components of each configuration are depicted, clear deviations are uncovered: For silica, \( \phi_0^S \) just reproduces the stresses slightly worse than \( \phi_S \). However, \( \phi_0^M \) produces unnatural meanderings from the optimal matching at the left end of the graph (negative stress component values). The failure becomes even more apparent in the alumina case, where \( \phi_0^A \) underestimates the stresses over the whole data set. To sum up, the scatter plots predict less accuracy for the \( \phi_0^\mu \), when investigating system properties with a strong dependence on stress and pressure.

In summary, the strongest influence of polarizability is observed in macroscopic thermodynamic properties as the equation of state and the cohesive energy. The dipole contributions are indispensable, when simulating system properties, where collective atomic behavior is important; here, the
dipole interplay seems to be of significant importance. Therefore, the collective phenomena, which are investigated in the following two chapters (cracks in chapter 7 and flexoelectricity in chapter 8) are modelled with the polarizable force fields (as presented in chapter 5). Also on a microscopic scale, the role of dipoles is visible. However, the influences are more relevant for bond angle formation than for atomic distances in both liquid and crystalline structures. Simple pair potentials with Coulomb interactions seem to be compatible to describe local radial distributions. Collective dipole mechanisms, however, are important to model the correct bond angles. The influence of polarizability on microscopic distributions always decreases with increasing temperature.
Chapter 7.

Cracks in $\alpha$-alumina

Below, the first MD simulations of crack propagation in a metal oxide with a potential that takes into account the polarizability of oxygen atoms are presented. The simulations which are detailed in the following were performed by Stephen Hocker [32] with the force field introduced in section 5.3. The first section (7.1) addresses the analysis of the propagation directions obtained by cooperation with Hocker [32]. The second section (7.2) focusses on the investigation of the influence of cracks in $\alpha$-alumina on the dipole field which was done by the author with the visualization support of Sebastian Grottel [28].

7.1. Crack propagation

To investigate crack propagation at constant energy release rate, configurations were prepared with dimensions $(b_x, b_y, b_z)$ of $21 \times 3 \times 13$ nm$^3$ which contain about 80 000 atoms. Lateral planes of these cuboids are the $(0001)$, $(0\bar{1}10)$ and $(\bar{2}1\bar{1}0)$ crystallographic planes. An elliptical initial crack of 5 nm length in $x$-direction was inserted on one side of the samples by moving atoms in $z$-direction (see figure 7.1). The opening of the crack is always calculated with the Griffith criterion: In front of the crack tip the sample is linearly strained until the elastic energy due to strain is equal to the Griffith energy $G_0$ which is twice the surface energy of the crack plane. This criterion was fulfilled at about 4% strain. These structures were then relaxed to obtain the displacement field of a stable crack. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in the direction along the crack front, whereas fixed displacement boundary conditions were applied in the other directions. Initial configurations for crack propagation simulations with different energy release rates were obtained by a linear scaling of this displacement field. During the crack propagation simulations, the NVE
ensemble and a starting temperature of 0 K were applied.

Since effects from the boundary conditions (which involve unnatural surfaces in some directions) should be excluded, a crack propagation test simulation with periodic boundary conditions in all directions was performed. In this case, a symmetrical crack was inserted in the middle of the sample. As long as the two crack tips are far away from each other (which is true up to about 5 nm distance), the same result as with the boundary conditions described above are observed. Hence, possible boundary condition effects can be excluded.
7.1 Crack propagation

7.1.1. Cracks in a (2110) plane

Initial cracks were inserted in a (2110) plane with crack propagation directions [0001] or [0110]. In both cases, the initial crack is stationary at energy release rates up to 1.5 $G_0$. Higher energy release rates lead to crack propagation in the initial (2110) plane. It is known that the discrete character of interatomic bonds which reveals itself in the so-called lattice trapping effect can retard crack propagation to energy release rates beyond the critical energy release rate determined by the Griffith criterion. As can be seen from figure 7.1 a), yet at high energy release rates bond breaking between the two crack lips is not continuous. Chains of atoms bridging the crack lips stay intact during the simulation. Furthermore, considerable disorder is observed at the resulting crack surfaces. In [0110] propagation direction, these effects are slightly more pronounced than in [0001] propagation direction. It cannot be ruled out that these very strong bonds are caused by inaccuracies of the force field. However, the observation of intact bonds bridging the crack lips agrees with previous simulations of alumina, where it was stated that these atomic chains were observed in experiments as well [98]. The present results show that cracks are able to propagate in (2110) planes in both [0001] and [0110] directions. This is in accordance with electron microscopy experiments [59], where it turned out that cracks occur in a (2110) plane although these are not the preferred fracture planes.

7.1.2. Cracks in a (0001) plane

The (0001) planes are the closest packed planes of $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$; therefore cleavage of these planes is improbable. The present simulations confirm that cracks do not propagate in the (0001) plane in which the initial crack was inserted with crack propagation directions [2110] or [0110]. At energy release rates below 1.7 $G_0$, a damaged region in front of the crack tip was generated with atomic disorder similar to the case of the (2110) plane. However, the crack tip did not move. More interesting is the (0001)[0110] oriented initial crack at higher energy release rates: As can be seen from figure 7.1 b), the crack propagates in a (1012) cleavage plane. Due to the boundary conditions, the crack surfaces cannot separate completely, but a row of oxygen atoms stays in the crack path. In contrast to the cracks in a (2110) plane, there is no disorder along the crack surfaces. The observed crack propagation in a (1012) cleavage plane agrees well with electron mi-
croscopy investigations [59] which revealed that fracture surfaces of the (10\bar{1}2) cleavage planes are frequently observed in $\alpha$-alumina.

7.1.3. Cracks in a (0\bar{1}10) plane

Cracks initially inserted in a (0\bar{1}10) plane in [\bar{2}110] direction propagated in this orientation starting at energy release rates of 2.2 $G_0$. In the case of a [0001] crack propagation direction (figure 7.1 c), the movement of the crack tip was observed at energy release rates above 1.9 $G_0$. Similar to the case of the (0001)[\bar{0}1\bar{1}0] orientation, the crack changed its propagation plane. As can be seen in figure 7.1 c, the crack moved partially in the initial (0\bar{1}10) plane, but also partially in a (10\bar{1}2) cleavage plane. As in the case of cracks in (\bar{2}110) planes, the initially (0\bar{1}10)[\bar{2}110] or (0\bar{1}10)[0001] oriented cracks generate disorder at the crack surfaces during crack propagation; some atomic bonds stay intact across the crack opening.

In summary, simulation results performed with the force field presented in section 5.3 agree well with recent electron microscopy investigations [59]. Propagation directions as well as microstructural behavior of different cracks in $\alpha$-alumina coincide in both studies.

7.2. Influence of crack on dipole field

The crack samples have a non-vanishing and heterogeneous strain field. The orientation of electric dipole moments in crack propagation simulations can then differ significantly from the orientations in other configurations of the same material due to effects of strain. In addition, the presence of charged surfaces influences dipole orientations. In the following, the two most meaningful crack simulations are picked to investigate the electrostatic dipole field:

1. crack in a (\bar{2}110) plane propagating in [0\bar{1}10] direction as analyzed in section 7.1.1 and shown in figure 7.1 a),

2. crack inserted in a (0001) plane propagating in a (10\bar{1}2) cleavage plane as analyzed in section 7.1.2 and depicted in figure 7.1 b).

Charged crack surfaces depend on the crack propagation planes. As shown in figure 7.1 a), the initial surfaces of type (1) cracks are oxygen
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Figure 7.2.: Type (1) crack, aluminum atom sphere glyphs are removed for a clear view. The oxygen terminated surfaces of the crack show the expected alignment of induced dipole moments: Above the crack, dominant blue arrows point to the crack, below the crack, dominant yellow arrows point to the crack. Dipoles are aligned in the region in front of the crack tip which corresponds to the strained part of the configuration. Surface effects, however, induce stronger dipole moments as can be seen from the length of the arrows.

terminated. Therefore, it can be expected that the dipoles of the oxygen atoms at each crack surface are aligned in the same direction and the alignment on the other crack surface is the other way round. This adjustment is reproduced in simulation, as can be seen in figure 7.2. It is significantly more pronounced at the surfaces of the initial crack (left part of the crack in figure 7.2), but it can be seen also along the crack surfaces created by the propagation (right part of the crack). In the latter case, the disorder along the crack surfaces and the intact atomic chains across the crack opening reduce the alignment.

Collective orientation mechanisms of electric dipole moments due to strain can only be observed in crystals, where the inversion symmetry is broken. Therefore, in bulk $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ usually no dipole alignment is observed. In the crack propagation simulation, however, the inversion symmetry is broken by the crack itself, because it causes a heterogeneous strain
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Figure 7.3: Same situation as in figure 7.2, but moments with magnitudes smaller than 40\% of the maximal magnitude are filtered out.

All effects of collective dipole behavior become even more apparent, when a filter (introduced in section 4.1) is applied. Figure 7.3 shows the same dipole field as in figure 7.2, but moments with magnitude smaller than 40\% of the maximal magnitude are filtered out. From this it follows that the region in front of the crack not only features collective oriented but also stronger dipole moments than regions below and above the crack.

Figure 7.4 finally shows four snapshots taken out of the 5 ps trajectory of a type (1) crack simulation (1 ps simulation time between each snapshot). This time, iso surfaces in the FA field are shown to separate the regions with high dipole order in front of the crack from regions with low order above and below the crack. In this way, a time-resolved observation of the influence of the crack on the dipole field is possible. During the whole crack
analysis, an iso value of 0.81 was found to be sufficient. In the following, it is detailed, how the crack disturbs the field of oriented dipole moments. Several mechanisms were uncovered by the FA visualization technique and are presented below.

### 7.2.1. Vesicular disorder regions

Figure 7.5 depicts a type (2) crack – at first a snapshot at the very beginning of the simulation. Although the crack did not yet change its propagation direction, it already has an influence on the alignment of the surrounding dipole moments. This can be seen through the considerably different iso surface shapes above and below the crack. Above the crack one can observe a large region of uncorrelated orientation, whereas below only a very small similar area exists. This behavior can be understood when considering the strain situation: The type (2) crack energetically prefers a (1012) cleavage plane which can only be entered by bending up. Bending down would result in a backwards motion that can be excluded due to the crack setup. Hence, the mechanical influence of the crack on the region above is different than on the region below.

The lower picture of figure 7.5 shows the same simulation run after 2.4 ps. At this point, the crack has changed its propagation direction and a vesicular disorder region moving away perpendicularly from the crack can be seen (blue arrow); its averaged diameter is 42 Å. This type (2) setup most clearly uncovers one of the disturbance mechanisms of a crack on the dipole field: No homogeneous realignment in the field of dipoles takes place, but vesicular disorder regions coming from the crack enter the formerly correlated regions and act as nuclei for disturbing the correlations and finally yield isotropic orientations of dipole moments.

### 7.2.2. Circular waves

Another observation revealed by the FA approach are waves in the electric dipole field. They are the second disturbance mechanism caused by the propagating crack. Figure 7.6 shows a circular wave of dipole disorder coming from the crack tip. Whereas waves in the atomic lattice (phonons) caused by a crack are already known and analyzed in detail, these dipole waves are a first-time observation in atomistic simulations. An investigation of the correlation of dipole waves with phonons is not required, because
it is intrinsic: The dipole moments are calculated self-consistently in each MD step; hence collective dipole and atomic behavior are coupled by the method of the force field.

### 7.2.3. Stationary waves

It is expected, that stationary waves can be caused by introducing fixed boundaries. Figure 7.7 shows six snapshots taken out of a type (1) crack simulation at intervals of 20 fs. In this visualization, the scalar field of FA reveals a stationary wave in the dipole field between the crack tip and the fixed boundary on the right. The disturbance coming from the crack tip is stabilized by the fixed boundaries on bottom and on top of the sample. It is very interesting that the crack – although its front takes less than 20% of the samples width – also acts as a fixed boundary for the wave. With the given colormap (see figure 4.5), wave peaks can be identified: The brighter whitish color of the large area in front of the crack in the first and the last image show the positive wave peaks while yellow-orange in the two center images represent the negative wave peak. Hence, the period of this stationary wave is around 100 fs.

In summary, the influence of a crack on the dipole field can be described by two phenomena: Perpendicular to the crack propagation direction, vesicular disorder regions enter the aligned dipoles and act as nuclei for disturbing the correlations. In addition, a wave-like disturbance is coming from the crack tip. The MD simulations with the force field presented in section 5.3 are able to make a statement concerning typical wavelengths and periods of time.
Figure 7.4.: Four snapshots taken out of the full simulation trajectory of a type (1) crack (above left at the beginning, above right after 1 ps, below left after 2 ps and below right after 3 ps). Iso surfaces in the FA field are shown to separate regions with high dipole order in front of the crack from regions with low order above and below the crack. In addition, vesicular regions of higher order inside the uncorrelated regions above and below the crack are uncovered. This indicates that the influence of the crack on the dipole field is a heterogeneous process. The more the crack is advanced, the more homogeneous the FA field above and below the crack becomes. The occurring vesicular regions are detailed in section 7.2.1. From [28].
Figure 7.5.: Above: Snapshot of a type (2) crack at the very beginning of the simulation, from [28]. A higher degree of disordered dipole orientations above the inserted crack than below is visible through the iso surfaces. Below: Same situation after 2.4 ps and after changed crack propagation direction. A vesicular disorder region propagates approximately perpendicular to the crack (blue arrow).
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Figure 7.6.: The FA scalar field of a type (2) crack simulation reveals a circular wave of dipole disorder coming from the crack tip. The wavelength is about 7.4 Å. The vesicular disorder regions are again visible (red areas). From [28].
Figure 7.7.: Scalar FA field of type (1) crack simulation at intervals of 20 fs (progression from above left to below right), from [28]. A stationary wave in the dipole field between the crack tip and the fixed boundary on the right is revealed. The brighter whitish color of the large area in front of the crack in the first and the last image show the positive wave peaks while yellow-orange in the two center images represent the negative wave peak.
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Influence of strain gradient on dipole field

8.1. Piezoelectricity

In 1880, Pierre and Jacques Curie discovered the phenomenon called piezoelectricity, where voltage emerges from a deformation of the material. In a microscopic view, oriented electrostatic dipole moments occur in some materials due to external strain. There exist two kinds of piezoelectric processes: In the first case, the unstrained material has no dipole moments (dielectric medium). Then, the strain causes a displacement of oppositely charged ions against each other forming a dipole moment. In the second case, the material already features dipole moments, but they are randomly oriented (paraelectric medium). Then, the strain causes collective alignment of the available dipoles. Both processes can be investigated with MD simulations by use of the TS force field model. However, one has to keep in mind, that the first process is approached in the TS model by always attaching an arising dipole moment to the oxygen ion. As shown during the whole thesis, this approximation yields very reasonable and accurate results for metal oxide systems.

In general, the linear piezoelectric coupling between polarization $\mathbf{P}$ and strain $\varepsilon$ can be expressed by a three-stage tensor $d$ (threefold underlining is omitted for a clear view),

$$\mathbf{P} = d \varepsilon,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1)

which in principle has 27 independent components. Existing symmetries reduce this number. In this context, the polarization $\mathbf{P}$ is associated with the dipole moments $\mathbf{p}_i$ inside of a given volume $V$ by

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_i \mathbf{p}_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.2)
The corresponding free energy density (with the electric field $E$) is then written as

$$f_{\text{piezo}} = \frac{1}{2} E P = \frac{1}{2} E d \varepsilon = \sum_{i,j,k} E_k d_{kij} \epsilon_{ij}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3)

with $E_k$, $d_{kij}$ and $\epsilon_{ij}$ ($i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$) the respective tensor components. These equations also include the description of anti-piezoelectricity (anti-ferroelectric alignment of dipole moments due to strain), because only the amplitude $P$ of a generalized polarization

$$\mathbf{P} = P e^{ikr}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.4)

enters the free energy contribution ($k$ is the wavevector of a periodic polarization field).

The electric field is expressed by a polar vector $E$, which changes its sign when inverting spatial coordinates. On the other hand, the strain matrix $\varepsilon$ is inversion-invariant. Hence, the free energy in equation (8.3) would change its sign when inverting spatial coordinates and therefore has to vanish in this case: There is no piezoelectricity in crystalline systems with inversion symmetry.

### 8.2. Flexoelectricity

In chapter 7 it was shown, that there is very well appearance of collective antiferroelectric dipole alignment in front of a propagating crack in $\alpha$-alumina, although the point group $D_{3d}$ contains the inversion. It was stated in section 7.2, that the crack breaks the inversion symmetry by generating a heterogeneous strain situation. This linear flexoelectric coupling between polarization and a strain gradient was first predicted in 1964 by Kogan [40]. Although the analytical description [56, 72, 74, 86] advanced as well as experimental [3, 10, 13, 26, 51, 101] and ab initio [34, 57] studies, there exists no molecular dynamics simulation approach up to now. Indeed, there is one single atomistic approach [54] where also an ab initio based polarizable force field was adopted to model dipole orientation phenomena. However, only the dependence of polarization on the sample size is depicted there. The following results fill the gap.

The linear flexoelectric coupling between polarization $\mathbf{P}$ and a strain gradient $\nabla \varepsilon$ (which is a three-stage tensor) is described by a four-stage
tensor \( h \) (again fourfold underlining is omitted),

\[
P = h \nabla \varepsilon, \tag{8.5}
\]

which in general can have 81 independent components in the case of a total unsymmetric system. The belonging free energy density is then written as

\[
f_{\text{flexo}} = \frac{1}{2} E P = \frac{1}{2} E h \nabla \varepsilon = \sum_{i,j,k,l} E_k h_{klij} \nabla_l \epsilon_{ij} \tag{8.6}
\]

with the tensor components \( h_{klij} \) \((i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3)\). On the lines of the analysis of piezoelectricity, also anti-flexoelectric effects are included with the generalized polarization introduced in equation (8.4).

In contrast to the strain matrix \( \varepsilon \), the strain gradient \( \nabla \varepsilon \) is not inversion-invariant. Hence, flexoelectricity in principle can also occur in crystalline systems with inversion symmetry, which establishes a new material class for industrial products that are based on generating voltage by deformation of the material or – even more relevant for technological applications – the other way round: generating specific current-controlled deformation.

### 8.3. Periclase

By investigating periclase (crystalline magnesia with cubic sodium chloride structure), a preferably simple crystalline system is chosen at first to investigate the influence of strain on the dipole field.

#### 8.3.1. Piezoelectric behavior

Following the symmetry argument in section 8.1, no piezoelectricity can occur in periclase, because the respective point group \( O_h \) contains the inversion. The underlying representation theory illustrates symmetry consequences in more details and is shown in preparation for the analysis of flexoelectric coupling.

Table 8.1 depicts the character table of \( O_h \) containing ten inequivalent irreducible representations. The representation of the polar electric field vector \( E \) in the space of \( O_h \) is given by

\[
D^E(O_h) = T_{1u}. \tag{8.7}
\]
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Table 8.1.: Character table of $O_h$ with the cubic symmetry elements: identity $e$, $n$-fold rotations $C_n$, inversion $i$, reflections $\epsilon_{h/d}$ and rotary reflections $S_n$. The index $g$ stands for even representations, $u$ for odd ones (definition on the basis of the sign of the $i$-character).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$O_h$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$6C_4$</th>
<th>$3C_4^2$</th>
<th>$8C_3$</th>
<th>$6C_2$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$3\epsilon_h$</th>
<th>$6\epsilon_d$</th>
<th>$8S_6$</th>
<th>$6S_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_g$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_u$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1g}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2g}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1u}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2u}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The representation of $\epsilon$ can be constructed by building the representation product of the representation of a polar vector as $E$ with itself and then taking the symmetric part:

$$D^\epsilon(O_h) = [T_{1u} \otimes T_{1u}]_+ = A_{1g} \oplus E_g \oplus T_{2g}. \quad (8.8)$$

To find the tensor components of $d$, one has to search for the appearance of the trivial representation $A_{1g}$ when contracting $\epsilon$ with $E$ (see equation (8.3)). Following the relationship for the appearance of $A_{1g}$ in the Clebsch-Gordan-coefficients (representation $D^\alpha$ has character $\chi^\alpha$),

$$(\chi^\alpha \chi^\beta | \chi^{A_{1g}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8.9)$$

one only has to look for identical irreducible representations inside of $D^E(O_h)$ and $D^\epsilon(O_h)$. Each irreducible representation, which occurs in both representations, gives reason to an independent entry in the coupling tensor $d$. As easily can be seen (and as expected), $D^E(O_h)$ contains only even and $D^\epsilon(O_h)$ only odd representations. Hence, $d$ does not have any non-vanishing entry and no piezoelectricity occurs in periclase.

Molecular dynamics simulations with the force field presented in section 5.2 are consistent with group theoretical results: A periclase bulk sample was strained homogeneously in different directions and under different
Figure 8.1.: Glyph representation of a periclase snapshot without any filter options. The sample is homogeneously extended in a direction parallel to one of the cubic crystal axis until the edge length in the elongated direction is nearly 50% longer than the perpendicular ones. Nevertheless, no collective alignment of electric dipole moments is visible.

loads and no correlated orientations of dipole moments occur. Figure 8.1 shows a snapshot of a simulation with periodic boundary conditions, where the periclase sample is homogeneously extended in a direction parallel to one of the cubic crystal axis until the edge length in the elongated direction is nearly 50% longer than the perpendicular ones. Nevertheless, no collective alignment of electric dipole moments is visible. The dipoles are randomly oriented over the whole sample, the net dipole moment is negligible. Everywhere, the FA scalar field takes insignificant values. Figure 8.1 can be taken as a reference for the following alignment phenomena.
Figure 8.2.: Glyph representation (without filter) of the periclase trapezoid sample. The dipoles of each ion, which do not belong to the fixed boundary, are oriented exactly in the same direction (uniform purple arrows), namely perpendicular to the direction of the strain gradient.

8.3.2. Flexoelectric behavior

Contrary to piezoelectric behavior, flexoelectric coupling in periclase is admitted to arise by symmetry aspects. The representation of $\mathbf{E}$ in the space of $O_h$ was already given in equation (8.7). The representation of $\nabla \varepsilon$ can be constructed by building the representation product of the representation of a matrix as $\varepsilon$ (see equation (8.8)) and a polar vector as $\mathbf{E}$:

$$D_{\nabla \varepsilon}(O_h) = (A_{1g} \oplus E_g \oplus T_{2g}) \otimes T_{1u}$$
$$= A_{2u} \oplus E_u \oplus 3T_{1u} \oplus 2T_{2u}. \quad (8.10)$$

To find the tensor components of $h$, one has to search for irreducible representations which occur in both representations $D^E(O_h)$ and $D^{\nabla \varepsilon}(O_h)$. In the present case, $T_{1u}$ is found three times which implies three independent tensor entries and the possibility of flexoelectric behavior. The
explicit structure of the coupling tensor $h$ can be obtained by use of the $A_{1g}$-projector to find the appearance of the trivial representation $A_{1g}$ when contracting $\nabla \varepsilon$ with $E$. Details of the approach and the explicit flexoelectric free energy density are given in appendix C. The resulting coupling tensor components are given by

$$h_{klij} = (h_{11} - h_{12} - 2h_{44})\delta_{klij} + h_{12}\delta_{kli}\delta_{ij} + h_{44}(\delta_{ki}\delta_{lj} + \delta_{kj}\delta_{li}), \quad (8.11)$$

where $\delta_{klij}$ is 1 for all indices equal and zero otherwise. This result is in accordance with the analyses of Maranganti [56] and Shu [81].

A simple way to introduce heterogeneous strain to the periclase sample in MD simulation is to strain the sample in one direction with increasing magnitude along a perpendicular direction. The resulting geometry is a rectangular trapezoid. Taking this trapezoid – with different amounts of strain – as input in IMD, one single NVE simulation step with open boundary conditions was performed to get one full iteration cycle of the TS dipole routine. Longer MD simulations would naturally diminish the strain gradient, because this forced strain situation is unstable. As expected from the symmetry analysis, a strong flexoelectric behavior appears as shown in figure 8.2 (each of the periclase samples shown in this section depicts the most highly strained situation (50%) in order to visualize the strongest flexoelectric effect). Without regard of the unnatural fixed single layer boundary, the dipoles of the whole sample are oriented exactly in the same direction, namely to the bottom right, which is roughly perpendicular to the direction of the strain gradient (top right). Another view of the trapezoid sample was already depicted in figure 4.6 on page 75 as an example for directional similarity (DS).

The dipole alignment in IMD is in accordance with theory: In the trapezoid sample, strain was introduced in $z$-direction ($\varepsilon_{zz} \neq 0$) with a gradient in the $yz$-plane ($\nabla_y \neq 0$ and $\nabla_z \neq 0$). From equations (8.5) and (8.11), it then follows that the polarization is given by

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_{12}\nabla_y\varepsilon_{zz} \\ h_{11}\nabla_z\varepsilon_{zz} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.12)$$

The polarization and with it the dipole moments must be aligned in the $yz$-plane. Furthermore, already the qualitative analysis of the IMD results predicts a positive (negative) sign for $h_{11}$ ($h_{12}$).
Figure 8.3.: Magnitude $P = |P|$ of the polarization and the components $P_x$, $P_y$ and $P_z$ plotted against strain gradient for the periclase trapezoid sample. In all cases, a linear scaling with increasing strain is apparent. The curve of $P_x$ clearly shows, that dipoles are aligned in the $yz$-plane. From the slope of the curves of $P_y$ and $P_z$, the flexoelectric coupling coefficients $h_{11}$ and $h_{12}$ can directly be determined.

In the same manner as described before, 20 periclase trapezoid samples strained from zero up to 50% have been set up. As can be seen in figure 8.3, the magnitude $P$ of the polarization (boundary atoms omitted) scales linear with increasing strain. This does not only legitimize the previous made assumption of a linear response theory, but is also in accordance with recent experiments: Cross [13] has shown the linear coupling between strain gradient and polarization for different ionic bulk materials as well as the 2012 published work from Baskaran and He [3] where an investigation of flexoelectric coupling in polyvinylidene fluoride films predicts that the flexoelectric polarization is linearly proportional to the strain gradient.
With equation (8.12), the simulation provides the coupling coefficients $h_{11}$ and $h_{12}$. Figure 8.3 shows the three components $P_x$, $P_y$ and $P_z$ of the polarization, where also the linear coupling is verified. The curve of $P_x$ clearly shows, that dipoles are aligned in the $yz$-plane. From the slope of the curves of $P_y$ and $P_z$, the flexoelectric coupling coefficients can directly be determined:

$$h_{11} = 2 \times 10^{-12} \ \frac{C}{cm},$$

$$h_{12} = -12 \times 10^{-12} \ \frac{C}{cm}. \quad (8.13)$$

There is no prior literature specifying the flexoelectric coupling constants for periclase. There exist, however, several experimental [3, 13, 101] and ab initio [57] studies, where the coupling coefficients of other cubic bulk materials (GaAs, NaCl, KCl, barium and strontium titanate) have been
measured/calculated. The resulting magnitude order for the coupling is always comparably.

The corresponding flexoelectric free energy density can be written as

$$f_{\text{flexo}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{11} E_z \nabla_z \epsilon_{zz} + h_{12} E_y \nabla_y \epsilon_{zz} \right]$$

as shown in appendix C, equation (C.9). By means of equation (8.6), the relationship between magnitude of the polarization and energy density can be obtained:

$$f_{\text{flexo}} = \frac{1}{2} EP = \frac{1}{2} \frac{VP}{\alpha} P = \frac{VP^2}{2\alpha}$$

with polarizability $\alpha$ specified in table 5.3 (p. 85). The resulting flexoelectric free energy density is shown in figure 8.4. As the polarization scales linearly with strain gradient (see figure 8.3), $f_{\text{flexo}}$ is a quadratic function of strain gradient. The order of magnitude of $f_{\text{flexo}}$ is in the range of 0 – 0.5 meV per particle.

### 8.3.3. Flexoelectric domains

Further insights were obtained by combining two previous used samples to get a double-trapezoid as input for IMD. Thereby it has to be mentioned that the merging was done before starting an IMD run and calculating the dipole moments via TS model. Otherwise one would simply combine two constructed domains. However, the aim was to reveal how the dipoles align from the start in a sample with the new situation of two different directed strain gradients. Let the trapezoid in figure 8.2 be copied and reflected horizontally. Then, the two trapezoids are connected at its longest edges. The result is the following: In the upper trapezoid, the strain gradient is oriented in bottom-right direction, whereas in the lower trapezoid, the strain gradient is oriented in top-right direction. In the previous section, the dipoles aligned roughly perpendicular to the strain gradient. Hence, in the described double-trapezoid each single trapezoid should become a separable flexoelectric domain. Indeed, this behavior can be achieved as shown in figure 8.5. Two clean and well separated domains are visible within which the dipole moments are oriented almost perpendicular to the direction of the strain gradient. Because the strain gradients of the two domains are orientated perpendicular, also the total dipole moment of the two domains are at right angle.
Figure 8.5.: Glyph representation (without filter) of the periclase double-trapezoid sample. Two well separated flexoelectric domains (upper domain green arrows, lower domain purple arrows) are formed by the different directions of strain gradient. Between the two domains, a Néel-Wall (blue arrows) is visible. DS iso surfaces emphasize the well separated domains. Visualization support from [79].
Chapter 8. Influence of strain gradient on dipole field

Figure 8.6.: Zoomed view on the Néel-Wall of the same sample as depicted in figure 8.5. Moments with magnitude smaller than 5% of the longest ones were filtered out for a clear view.

The scenario of two flexoelectric domains reveals a new finding: Inside of the domain wall, which stresses across four atomic layers (two oxygen layers are visible in figure 8.5 via blue arrows), the dipole moments rotate around an axis parallel to the wall plane. From magnetism, this kind of domain border crossing is known as Néel-wall. Figure 8.6 shows a zoomed view on the wall.

Finally, four trapezoids have been combined similarly to the previous case to get a fourfold-trapezoid as input for IMD. The construction is not done by merging two double-trapezoids because this hexagon-scenario yields a symmetric sample, in which again two domains are formed. In fact, four single trapezoids are merged in a manner to construct a preferably unsymmetrical strain situation. The resulting arrow-like periclase sample is shown in figure 8.7. As can be seen clearly, four well separated flexoelectric domains arise. In each domain, the dipole moments are oriented approximately perpendicular to the direction of the local strain gradient. Unfortunately, the color coding is not accurate enough to distinguish between the dipoles of domain top-left and domain bottom-right (or between domain top-right and bottom-left). However, the directions are directly given by the arrow-glyph representation. Due to the described alignment,
the direction of dipoles of domain top-right and bottom-right are oriented away from one another. In contrary, the direction of dipoles of domain top-left and bottom-left are oriented to each other. This difference may explain the domain wall alignment detailed in the following. Figure 8.8 shows the same situation with additional filtering of dipoles which do not belong to any domain. This view further highlights the clear separation of four flexoelectric domains.

Two domains are in each case separated by Néel-walls which is emphasized in figure 8.7 by four zoomed-in views. Due to the previous described dipole alignment inside of the domains, the domain walls differ among each other. Because the four walls converge in the center of the sample, the vertically running walls are not homogenously. Between the center and the edges of the sample, those walls exhibit a rotation of orientation (from blue near the center to orange near the edge).
8.4. α-Alumina

The second selected and more complex crystalline system is α-alumina, which has hexagonal scalenohedral crystal structure (see figure 5.9).

8.4.1. Piezoelectric behavior

On the lines of the periclase analysis, no piezoelectricity is allowed to occur in α-alumina, because the point group $D_{3d}$ contains the inversion. Again, representation theory is applied for detailing the symmetry analysis.

Table 8.2 shows the character table of $D_{3d}$ containing six inequivalent irreducible representations. The representation of $E$ in the space of $D_{3d}$ is given by

$$D^E(D_{3d}) = A_{2u} \oplus E_u.$$ (8.16)

The representation of $\epsilon$ is constructed again by building the representation...
Figure 8.9.: Glyph representation of the $\alpha$-alumina double-trapezoid sample. Moments with magnitude smaller than 30% of the longest ones were filtered out. Several domains of anti-flexoelectric dipole alignment are visible. There are no well defined domain walls visible but sharp domain borders.

product of the representation of a polar vector as $E$ with itself and then taking the symmetric part:

$$D^e(D_{3d}) = [(A_{2u} \oplus E_u) \otimes (A_{2u} \oplus E_u)]_+ = 2A_{1g} \oplus 2E_g. \quad (8.17)$$

To find the tensor components of $d$, one has to look for identical irreducible representations inside of $D^e(D_{3d})$ and $D^e(D_{3d})$. Each irreducible representation which occurs in both representations gives reason to an independent entry in the coupling tensor $d$. As again expected, $D^e(D_{3d})$ contains only even and $D^e(D_{3d})$ only odd representations. Hence, $d$ does not have any non-vanishing entry and no piezoelectricity occurs in $\alpha$-alumina.

Similarly to the periclase case, MD simulations with the new $\alpha$-alumina
force field (section 5.3) are able to reproduce the theoretical analysis: On the lines of the periclase simulations, an \( \alpha \)-alumina bulk sample was strained homogeneously in different directions and under different loads and no correlated orientations of dipole moments occur.

8.4.2. Flexoelectric behavior and domains

By symmetry aspects, flexoelectric coupling in \( \alpha \)-alumina is admitted: The representation of \( \mathbf{E} \) in the space of \( D_{3d} \) was already given in equation (8.16). The representation of \( \nabla \varepsilon \) can be constructed by building the representation product of the representation of a matrix as \( \varepsilon \) (see equation (8.17)) and a polar vector as \( \mathbf{E} \):

\[
D^{\nabla \varepsilon}(D_{3d}) = [(A_{2u} \oplus E_u) \times (A_{2u} \oplus E_u)]_+ \times (A_{2u} \oplus E_u) = 2A_{1u} \oplus 4A_{2u} \oplus 6E_u. \tag{8.18}
\]

To find the tensor components of \( h \), one again has to search for irreducible representations which occur in both representations \( D^E(D_{3d}) \) and
Table 8.2.: Character table of $D_{3d}$. $e$ is the identity, $C_3$ rotation by 120 degrees (axis per definition in z-direction), $C'_2$ rotation by 180 degrees with axis not in z-direction, $i$ inversion, $S_6$ rotary reflection and $\epsilon_d$ reflection about a plane which bisects the angle between two rotation axes $C'_2$. The index $g$ again stands for even representations, $u$ for odd ones.

$$D_{3d}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_g$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_u$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$D_{3d}(D_{3d})$. In the present case, $A_{1u}$ is found four times and $E_u$ is found six times. Hence, ten independent tensor entries are expected and flexoelectricity is possible.

Also for $\alpha$-alumina, a double-trapezoid sample was generated to investigate domain building. In $\alpha$-alumina, the domain situation becomes more complex than in the cubic periclase case as depicted in figure 8.9. The two perpendicular strain gradients result in several domains of anti-flexoelectric dipole alignment. There are no well defined domain walls visible but sharp domain borders. This complex flexoelectric behavior may be assigned to the hexagonal scalenohedral crystal structure. Figure 8.10 shows a zoomed view on the upper part of the sample. Three anti-flexoelectric dipole domains can be identified (1, 3 and 4). There exists also an area (2), where the domains 1 and 3 merge fluently.

Finally, the dipole alignment in front of a propagating crack in $\alpha$-alumina, which was shown in chapter 7, can now be understood. With the piezoelectric analysis, it remained unexplained, why there occurs collective antiferroelectric dipole alignment in front of a crack. These effects can be assigned to flexoelectricity: The crack causes a heterogeneous strain situation which results in the same antiferroelectric dipole alignment as in the case of a domain in the trapezoid sample.
Chapter 9.

Conclusion

The present thesis presents a broad numerical investigation of three relevant metal oxides. Detailed analyses by atomistic MD simulations with novel force fields for silica, magnesia and α-alumina are shown. Specially tailored visualization techniques further advance the finding of new phenomena in ionic condensed matter systems. The investigation mainly specifies a three-stage contribution with diverse facets to research:

1. Firstly, a new powerful force field generation mechanism was described. It combines the polarizable potential model by Tangney and Scandolo with the direct Wolf summation method. It was verified, that the TS benefit of taking electrostatic dipole moments into account and the linear scaling properties of the Wolf sum are unified in the novel generation approach. The implementation in the force field generation package potfit by the author enables the simulation community to apply the accurate, efficient and flexible method to its individual studies of ionic condensed matter.

2. Secondly, three high-quality force fields for silica, magnesia and α-alumina were presented. In addition to its generation, a careful validation was given in each case. The new force fields are not only applied during the work of this thesis, but are accessible for the metal oxide community to perform simulations, which could not yet be adequately investigated with MD simulations due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions.

3. By use of the new force fields, several first-time simulation studies were performed (the fractional anisotropy visualization technique, which emphasizes regions of correlated dipole behavior, additionally revealed new findings):
• The $\alpha$-alumina force field was applied to crack propagation simulation studies, that were able to reproduce the recently experimentally found propagation directions of cracks inserted in different planes of alumina-samples. Furthermore, the influence of heterogeneous strain caused by the crack on the alignment of oxygen dipole moments was depicted for the first time: Vesicular disorder regions and wave-like disorder, both coming from the crack, propagate in regions of correlated dipole alignment and decrease the order.

• Flexoelectric phenomena were finally investigated in detail both by representation theory analyses and MD simulation studies. The result was a consistent prediction of flexoelectric behavior in periclase as well as in $\alpha$-alumina, although those materials are not able to exhibit piezoelectricity due to their inversion symmetrically crystalline structure. The analytical adopted and experimentally predicted linear relationship between flexoelectric polarization and strain gradient was confirmed in MD simulations. For the first time, flexoelectric domain formation was found in atomistic simulations. In periclase, visualization analyses revealed, that flexoelectric domains are well separated by Néel-walls.

9.1. Outlook

On each of the three stages of development previously described, the present thesis offers paths of further enhancement. Already now, the presented force field approach is used at the ITAP to develop suchlike force fields for modelling other metal oxide systems, e.g. yttrium doped zirconia. In addition, the whole extension to electrostatics in potfit is released [70] and requested. Also the presented and online provided [70] force fields were already downloaded by other groups. In the end, the novel flexoelectric simulation results are expected to be a starting point for atomistic studies of such phenomena in an emerging research area.
Appendix A.

Wolf electrostatic energy expressions

A.1. System with charges

The Wolf shifted and smoothly truncated Coulomb energy expression for a condensed system of charges $C$ as described in section 3.1 is obtained by combining equations (3.8) and (3.9) and can be written as

$$\tilde{E}^{qq}_{C}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} q_i q_j \left[ \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c} \right] + (r_{ij} - r_c) \left[ \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^2} + \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c} e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} \right].$$

(A.1)

A.2. System with charges and dipoles

Shifting the Coulomb energy in a way that the first two derivatives vanish at the cut-off radius was given in (3.28) by

$$\tilde{E}^{qq}(r_{ij}) = E^{qq}(r_{ij}) - E^{qq}(r_c) - (r_{ij} - r_c) \frac{dE^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} \bigg|_{r_c} - \frac{1}{2} (r_{ij} - r_c)^2 \frac{d^2 E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} \bigg|_{r_c}.$$  

(A.2)

With it, the first derivative is

$$\frac{d\tilde{E}^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} = \frac{dE^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} - \frac{dE^{qq}}{dr_{ij}} \bigg|_{r_c} - (r_{ij} - r_c) \frac{d^2 E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} \bigg|_{r_c} \frac{d^2 E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} \bigg|_{r_c}.$$  

(A.3)

and the second one becomes

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{E}^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} = \frac{d^2 E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} - \frac{d^2 E^{qq}}{dr_{ij}^2} \bigg|_{r_c}.$$  

(A.4)
The Wolf shifted and smoothly truncated Coulomb energy expression for a system with charges and dipoles (C+P) as described in section 3.3 is obtained by combining equations (3.8) and (A.2), which leads to

\[
\tilde{E}_{C+P}^{qq}(r_{ij}) = \tilde{E}_{C}^{qq}(r_{ij}) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j}^{N} q_i q_j (r_{ij} - r_c)^2
\]

\[
\times \left[ - \frac{2 \text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^3} + \left( \frac{4 \kappa^3}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{4 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c^2} \right) e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} \right].
\]

The accordant expression for the interaction energy between a charge and a dipole is obtained by damping and shifting Eq. (3.19) in consideration of the conservation of differential relationship as discussed in section 3.3.1. Using Eq. (A.2), it can be written as

\[
\tilde{E}_{C+P}^{pq}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{N} q_i p_j \left( \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2} - \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^2} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ij}} e^{-\kappa^2 r_{ij}^2} - \frac{2 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c} e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} - (r_{ij} - r_c)
\]

\[
\times \left[ - \frac{2 \text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^3} + \left( \frac{4 \kappa^3}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{4 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c^2} \right) e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} \right].
\]

Finally, the expression for the dipole-dipole interaction is determined by damping and shifting Eq. (3.18) in the same way to conserve the differential relationship and given by

\[
\tilde{E}_{C+P}^{pp}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \left( \frac{3 (r_{ij} p_i)(r_{ij} p_j)}{r_{ij}^3} - \frac{p_i p_j}{r_{ij}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2} - \frac{\text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^2} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ij}} e^{-\kappa^2 r_{ij}^2} - \frac{2 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c} e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} - (r_{ij} - r_c)
\]

\[
\times \left[ - \frac{2 \text{erfc}(\kappa r_c)}{r_c^3} + \left( \frac{4 \kappa^3}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{4 \kappa}{\sqrt{\pi} r_c^2} \right) e^{-\kappa^2 r_c^2} \right].
\]
Appendix B.

Extension to electrostatics in potfit

Charges and polarizabilities are given as global parameters for each atom type and enter potfit via the potential model. All functions belonging to both Wolf and TS model were implemented in the potfit repertoire. Each single atom can get the new property dipole moment, which is calculated during the force routine. The latter determines the forces on each particle by use of the given force field model (These forces are then compared to the ab initio reference data base.). For electrostatics, a new force routine was implemented in potfit; a flowchart is shown in figure B.1. The electrostatic extension can be executed in parallel using the standard MPI. Details for application are given at [70].
Figure B.1.: Flowchart of electrostatic force routine in potfit, which is called at every optimization step. Each rectangular box denotes a triple loop over all configurations, atoms and neighbors. Inside of the second loop, Eq. (3.16) is solved self-consistently for each configuration, until the convergency criterion Eq. (3.17) is fulfilled. When the dipoles of each atom are known, the dipolar force contributions can be calculated.
Appendix C.

Flexoelectric coupling tensor and free energy density

The explicit structure of the flexoelectric coupling tensor $h$ in a given symmetry can be obtained by use of the $A_{1g}$-projector $P^{(A_{1g})}$ to find the appearance of the trivial representation $A_{1g}$ when contracting $\nabla \varepsilon$ with $E$. Let a group $G$ with group elements $g$ be given. In general, a real projector $P^{(D^\alpha)}$ onto a representation $D^\alpha$ of $G$ can be defined as

$$P^{(D^\alpha)} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi^\alpha(g) D(g)$$

with $|G|$ the number of elements of $G$ and $\chi^\alpha(g)$ the character of $D^\alpha(g)$. The action of $P^{(A_{1g})}$ on a scalar function $f(r)$ is then given by

$$P^{(A_{1g})} f(r) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi^{A_{1g}}(g) D(g) f(r).$$

Because $\chi^{A_{1g}}(g)$ is equal to 1 for any $g$ and the action of $D(g)$ on $f(r)$ is defined by acting with the inverse representation matrix $D^{-1}(g)$ on the argument,

$$D(g) f(r) = f(D^{-1}(g) r),$$

equation (C.2) can be rewritten as

$$P^{(A_{1g})} f(r) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g f(D^{-1}(g) r).$$

Using the natural representation as $D(g)$, the action of $P^{(A_{1g})}$ on the four-stage coordinates directly specifies the independent tensor components and the corresponding basis functions at once.
Chapter C. Flexoelectric coupling tensor and free energy density

C.1. Application to $O_h$

After setting up the natural representations of the 48 elements of $O_h$, the sum of equation (C.4) can be evaluated for each of the 54 four-stage coordinates ($3 \times 3 \times 6$ for electric field, gradient and strain coordinates). In doing so, one has to keep in mind the index symmetries of $h_{klij}$ which can be seen from equation (8.6) (p. 125): Due to the symmetry of $\varepsilon$, the free energy is invariant when permuting $i$ and $j$. The final result for the flexoelectric coupling tensor $h$ with components $h_{kli}$ ($k$ index for electric field, $l$ for gradient and $i$ for strain written as vector in Voigt-notation) is:

$$h_{xli} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{44} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_{yli} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{12} & 0 \\ h_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (C.5)$$

$$h_{zli} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & h_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{11} \\ h_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{44} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (C.6)$$

This matrix notation corresponds with equation (8.11) (p. 129) and is in accordance with the analyses of Maranganti [56] and Shu [81]. The explicit flexoelectric free energy density $f$ (equation (8.6) on page 125) is given by

$$2f_{\text{flexo}} = \sum_{k,l} \sum_i E_k h_{kli} \nabla_l \varepsilon_i$$

$$= h_{11} \left[ E_x \nabla_x \varepsilon_{xx} + E_y \nabla_y \varepsilon_{yy} + E_z \nabla_z \varepsilon_{zz} \right]$$

$$+ h_{12} \left[ E_x \nabla_x (\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}) + E_y \nabla_y (\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{zz}) + E_z \nabla_z (\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy}) \right]$$

$$+ h_{44} \left[ E_x (\nabla_y \varepsilon_{xy} + \nabla_z \varepsilon_{xz}) + E_y (\nabla_x \varepsilon_{xy} + \nabla_z \varepsilon_{yz}) + E_z (\nabla_x \varepsilon_{xz} + \nabla_y \varepsilon_{yz}) \right] \quad (C.7)$$
with

\[
\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_{xx} \\
\epsilon_{yy} \\
\epsilon_{zz} \\
\epsilon_{yz} \\
\epsilon_{xz} \\
\epsilon_{xy}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  
(C.8)

Let a strain be given in z-direction (\(\epsilon_{zz} \neq 0\)) with a gradient in the yz-plane (\(\nabla_y \neq 0\) and \(\nabla_z \neq 0\)) as in the case of the trapezoid sample discussed in section 8.3.2. The corresponding flexoelectric free energy density then becomes

\[
2f_\text{flexo} = h_{11}E_z \nabla_z \epsilon_{zz} + h_{12}E_y \nabla_y \epsilon_{zz}.
\]  
(C.9)

Note that the flexoelectric coupling coefficient \(h_{44}\) in periclase cannot be detected with the trapezoid strain situation. As can be seen from equation (C.9), \(f_\text{flexo}\) does not depend on \(h_{44}\). From equations (C.5) and (C.6) it is reasonable that a sheared sample is required for detecting \(h_{44}\).
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