Ion channeling in T-phase quasicrystals: an investigation by Rutherford backscattering and particle induced X-ray emission
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The channeling properties of a T-phase Al_{62}Cu_{20}Co_{15}Si_{3} quasicrystal are investigated in a Rutherford backscattering study employing 2 MeV He-ions. Besides axial channeling along the decagonal axis, also planar channeling is observed in planes having the decagonal axis in common and showing the decagonal symmetry of the quasicrystal. Besides a system of main planes, various planes exhibiting only weak channeling properties are observed; they correspond to linear arrangements of vertices in a two-dimensional Penrose grid, demonstrating the close relationship between T-phase quasicrystals and Penrose pattern. Rutherford backscattering (RBS) has also been used to study the decoration of the T-phase structure by Co/Cu- and Al/Si-atoms; in addition, particle induced X-ray emission PIXE served to distinguish between Co- and Cu-atoms. The experimental data are compared with channeling computer simulations on a model T-phase quasicrystal proposed by Steurer and Kuo [6].

1. Introduction

Up to the present time, many binary and ternary alloys have been synthesized which exhibit axes of five- or tenfold symmetry in X-ray or transmission-electron diffraction pattern. Since an axis of five- or tenfold symmetry is strictly forbidden in ordinary periodic structures, many suggestions have been made to explain the observed diffraction pattern, such as twinned periodic crystals [1], randomly stacked oriented icosahedral clusters of atoms [2] and others. Perhaps the most striking was the concept of icosahedral Amman tiling of space [3] (a three-dimensional generalization of the two-dimensional Penrose pattern [4]), since it proposed a completely new class of crystalline structures, the so-called icosahedral quasicrystals.

In contrast to ordinary crystals which can be generated by the translation of one elementary cell and, hence, are periodic, icosahedral quasicrystals consist of two different elementary cells, a prolate and an oblate rhombohedron, which are stacked according to certain rules and fill space densely. The resulting structures have icosahedral symmetry with several five-, three- and twofold axes and, hence, are not periodic; they are called quasiperiodic. A special type of quasicrystals – which we will be dealing with in this paper – are T-phase quasicrystals. They are periodic along one axis, but quasiperiodic in the plane perpendicular to this axis.

During the last few years, more evidence has been collected for the existence of the quasicrystalline structure. In particular the detailed analysis of X-ray diffraction patterns yielded strong support for this model; we mention in particular the thorough work of Steurer [5] and Steurer and Kuo [6] who used the method of high-dimensional embedding to derive electron density distributions and the positions of the individual atoms from X-ray diffraction patterns.

Among the various experimental methods known to provide information on the structural properties of a crystal, fast ion channeling has not been used until very recently for the investigation of quasicrystals. In contrast to the diffraction methods, ion channeling is able to give direct information about the structure of a crystal in real space; however channeling presupposes the existence of linear and planar arrangements of the atoms in a crystal. Until very recently it was unknown whether such atomic strings or planes also exist in a quasicrystal. In a computer study on icosahedral quasicrystals Kupke et al. [7] showed (i) that the atoms of a quasicrystal also form strings and planes – in contrast to ordinary crystals the occupation of these strings and
planes by atoms is not uniform – and (ii) that fast ion channeling should be feasible in quasicrystals. So far, only the experimental realization was missing.

2. Experimental

In the present investigation we show that ion channeling is possible also in quasicrystalline structures (a preliminary report on this subject is given in ref. [8]) #1. For this purpose a T-phase Al\(_{62}\)Cu\(_{21}\)Co\(_{15}\)Si\(_3\) quasicrystal of dimensions 0.8 \(\times\) 0.8 \(\times\) 0.8 mm\(^3\) was used which had been grown by one of us (R.W.) at the crystal laboratory of the Institut für Festkörperforschung at the Forschungszentrum Jülich. A short description of the growing procedure is given in the following; a more detailed description is found in ref. [9]. An alloy of nominal composition Al\(_{62}\)Cu\(_{21}\)Co\(_{15}\)Si\(_3\) was prepared by induction melting of the high purity elements (at least 99.99%) in a cold crucible under an argon atmosphere. Then the ingot was remelted in an aluminium oxide crucible and cooled from 1100 to 900°C at a rate of 10°C/min, followed by air-cooling down to room temperature. The ingot was crushed and one of the largest crystallites of decaprismatic shape selected for the experiments.

For the actual channeling experiment the crystal was mounted on a carbon backing in order to avoid interference of the scattering by the target support with the backscattering from the Al, Si, Co and Cu atoms of the quasicrystal. The RBS measurements were performed using a beam of 2 MeV \(^+\)He\(^+\)-ions, while for the PIXE measurements a 3 MeV \(^+\)He\(^+\)-beam was used (beam size at the target: 0.5 \(\times\) 0.5 mm\(^2\), angular spread of the ion beam: \(\pm 0.05^\circ\)). For the recording of the channeling profiles, the backscattering rate of these ions and also in some cases the X-ray yield was monitored during angular scans across several axes and planes of the quasicrystal.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows typical backscattering spectra as obtained from this quasicrystal for ion incidence along a "random" direction, i.e. an arbitrary direction, and along the decagonal axis. For the recording of the Co/Cu- and Al/Si-yield, energy windows were set on the spectra as indicated in fig. 1. The figure further shows that the backscattering rate for ion incidence along the decagonal axis is strongly suppressed due to axial channeling along this axis. This can be seen more clearly in fig. 2 where the Co/Cu- and the Al/Si-scattering rates are plotted versus the angle of ion incidence. Both yield profiles show pronounced channeling minima with minimum yields \(\chi_{\text{min}}\) of 0.13 and 0.25, and angular half-widths \(\psi_{1/2}\) (HWHM) of 0.51° and 0.37°, respectively.

One is tempted to derive from the angular half-widths of the profiles structural parameters of the quasicrystal using Barrett's formula for ion channeling in periodic, monatomic structures [10]. With the energy of the \(^+\)He\(^+\)-ion beam of 2 MeV as used in the experiments and a rms thermal amplitude of the lattice

---

#1 Part of these data were presented at the Spring Meeting of the German Physical Society, Münster, Germany, April, 1991 [13]. A study on channeling in icosahedral quasicrystals was presented at the 10th Int. Conf. on Ion Beam Analysis, Eindhoven, Netherlands, July, 1991 by Du Marchie van Voorthuysen et al. [14] as a postdeadline paper.

---

Fig. 1. Rutherford backscattering spectra of 2 MeV He-ions as obtained from a T-phase Al\(_{62}\)Cu\(_{21}\)Co\(_{15}\)Si\(_3\) quasicrystal for ion incidence along a "random" direction and along the decagonal axis. For the channeling measurements presented in the subsequent figures two energy windows were set at about channel 175 and channel 245 in order to obtain the Al/Si- and Co/Cu-yield, respectively.

Fig. 2. Rutherford backscattering profiles as obtained from an angular scan through the decagonal axis of a T-phase Al\(_{62}\)Cu\(_{21}\)Co\(_{15}\)Si\(_3\) quasicrystal. The lines are drawn to guide the eye.
atoms of 7 pm, one obtains for the Co/Cu-scattering a mean atomic charge per unit string length, which is the decisive quantity for axial ion channeling, of \( \langle Z_f/d \rangle = 41.4 \text{ nm}^{-1} \). With a mean atomic charge of the atoms of the quasicrystal of \( \langle Z_f \rangle = 18.3 \) one then finds an interatomic distance of \( d = 0.44 \text{ nm} \) which is close to the values found in other investigations, which are in the range 0.408–0.428 nm (cf. e.g. ref. [6]). Channeling computer simulations based on the structure model derived by Steurer and Kuo [6] for this system (see also below, figs. 7 and 8) show that almost full agreement is obtained between calculated and experimental angular half-widths, when a proper treatment is adopted. These calculations also show that the difference between the Co/Cu and Al/Si profiles is primarily due to the different thermal vibration amplitudes of the atoms.

The existence of axial channeling along the decagonal axis is, however, nothing spectacular, since T-phase quasicrystals are periodic along this axis with the lattice atoms forming strings of a fixed interatomic distance \( d \). Thus axial channeling along these strings should exist; only the arrangement of the strings in the transverse plane and the shapes of the channels formed by these strings are quasiperiodic. Nevertheless, the relatively deep minimum in the Cu/Co-scattering of \( \chi_{\text{min}} = 0.13 \) indicates, that the quasicrystal is of relatively good quality.

In a further series of experiments, the planar structure of this quasicrystal was examined. Fig. 3 shows the Co/Cu-yield as obtained from various angular scans in the (angular) neighbourhood of the decagonal axis. In these scans the quasicrystal was rotated with respect to the ion beam about a vertical axis (angle of rotation: \( \theta_y \)) at fixed tilt angles \( \theta_x \) of the sample (rotation about a horizontal axis) and the scattering yield monitored as a function of \( \theta_x \). Besides the deep minimum observed for the scan through the decagonal axis, several shallow minima are seen in the other scans. They are due to channeling in planes which have the decagonal axis in common. In fig. 4 the angular positions of the minima are plotted in a \( \theta_y, \theta_x \)-graph which provides the orientation diagram of the quasicrystal and is commonly used for crystal orientation purposes in ion beam experiments [11]. As the figure shows, these minima mark planes which have the decagonal axis in common; the stronger planes, i.e. which show the deeper minima (indicated by thick lines in fig. 4) meet at angles of 36° with each other, thus proving the decagonal symmetry of the quasicrystal, while a set of weaker planes (thin lines) is found halfway between the main planes.

The existence of such planes and the observation of ion channeling in the channels formed by these planes is by no means trivial, since it requires the existence of linear atomic arrangements in the quasiperiodic layers of the crystal of (locally) decagonal symmetry which -
Table 1
Comparison of the angles included by linear arrangements of vertices in a two-dimensional Penrose grid and angles between planes parallel to the decagonal axis (counted from the main planes) of a T-phase quasicrystal as derived from the present channeling measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penrose [deg]</th>
<th>Channeling [deg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.491</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.386</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.554</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.645</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.563</td>
<td>7.8, 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.732</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.925</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.614</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.017</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.510</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

are about equal in angular half-width and minimum yield. This indicates that all atom species are well aligned on these planes; only the high shoulders in the Al/Si-yield are indicative of small displacements of at least part of the Al/Si-atoms from the planes. In contrast, the yield profiles of the shallower planes (fig. 6) differ by about a factor of 2. Also here pronounced shoulders are observed in the Al/Si-yield.

The results of figs. 2, 5 and 6 are to be compared with the results of channeling computer simulations which are shown in figs. 7 and 8. The principles of such calculations have been described briefly in ref. [7]; a more detailed description of channeling computer simulations in ordinary crystals may be found in refs. [10,12]. The calculations have been performed on a

Fig. 5. Backscattering profiles from an angular scan across the main planar system parallel to the decagonal axis of an Al_{62}Cu_{20}Co_{15}Si_{3} T-phase quasicrystal (see also fig. 4).

Fig. 6. Backscattering profiles from an angular scan across the planar system halfway between the main planar system of an Al_{62}Cu_{20}Co_{15}Si_{3} T-phase quasicrystal (see also fig. 4).
Fig. 7. Channeling backscattering profile of the decagonal axis of an Al₆₅Cu₂₀Co₁₅ T-phase quasicrystal as calculated in channeling computer simulations on a model structure proposed by Steurer and Kuo [6].

Fig. 8. Channeling backscattering profiles for a T-phase Al₆₅Cu₂₀Co₁₅ quasicrystal as calculated in channeling computer simulations on a model structure proposed by Steurer and Kuo [6]. Shown is an angular scan across the main planar system (at the centre) and various weaker planes.

Fig. 9. PIXE spectrum as obtained from a T-phase Al₆₂Cu₂₀Co₁₅Si₃ quasicrystal with 3 MeV ⁴He⁺-ions. The windows set on the different X-ray peaks were used for the channeling measurements presented in the subsequent figures.

III. CHANNELING, DECHANNELING
Channeling in T-phase quasicrystals

Fig. 10. Rutherford backscattering and X-ray profiles as obtained from an angular scan across the decagonal axis of a T-phase Al₆₂Cu₂₀Co₁₅Si₃ quasicrystal. The lines are drawn to guide the eye.

of comparison the Co/Cu-backscattering yield profiles are shown. In both cases the Al Kα yield profile shows the lowest minimum yield, since only X-rays from the near surface region of the quasicrystal are counted due to the high absorption coefficient of the soft Al Kα-X-rays. For channeling along the decagonal axis (fig. 10), the Co and Cu X-ray profiles are nearly identical. In contrast, for channeling along the main planes (fig. 11), the depth of the Co X-ray profile is about twice that of the Cu X-ray profile. This information has to be incorporated into the model of the quasicrystal; it should be noted that the evaluation of X-ray diffraction data as performed by Steurer and Kuo does not allow one to distinguish between Co- and Cu-atoms.

Fig. 11. Rutherford backscattering and X-ray profiles from an angular scan across the main planar system of a T-phase Al₆₂Cu₂₀Co₁₅Si₃ quasicrystal.

4. Conclusions

It has been the aim of this investigation to show that ion channeling in quasicrystals is possible, as was proposed by Kupke et al. [7] in a theoretical study of icosahedral quasicrystals. From this study of T-phase Al₆₂Cu₂₀Co₁₅Si₃ quasicrystals which are periodic along the decagonal axis, but quasiperiodic in the layers normal to this axis, three major findings have been made. (i) There is pronounced axial channeling along the decagonal axis. (ii) There is planar channeling in various planes which have the decagonal axis in common and exhibit tenfold symmetry around this axis. Thus the findings prove the existence of such planar arrangements of lattice atoms in a T-phase quasicrystal parallel to the decagonal axis. (iii) The angles between these planes correspond to the angles between the linear arrangements of vertices found in a Penrose grid, which demonstrates the close relation between T-phase quasicrystals and Penrose pattern. Since channeling measurements sample a macroscopic part of a crystal and give a direct picture of the crystal structure – in contrast to e.g. electron transmission microscopy –, the present results strongly support the concept of quasicrystals.

It has also been shown that ion channeling is very well able to provide structural information on the individual atom species present in a sample, i.e. on the decoration of a structure, information which is hardly obtainable by other methods (with possibly the exception of neutron scattering). Perhaps the most useful application of ion channeling will be its use for the localization of foreign atoms and the study of lattice imperfections, subjects which have been investigated by this method with great success on ordinary crystals in the past.
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