Synthesis and Characterization of Ion Conducting Solid Polyelectrolytes and Alkali Fluorooxoborates

Von der Fakultät Chemie der Universität Stuttgart zur Erlangung der Würde eines Doktors der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.) genehmigte Abhandlung

> Vorgelegt von Güliz Cakmak aus Ankara

Hauptbericter: Mitberichter: Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Martin Jansen Prof. Dr. Thomas Schleid

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:

27.07.2009





Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Stuttgart2009

This thesis is dedicated to Hasan and to my family, Hasan'a ve aileme,

Acknowledgement

Foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my doctoral advisor Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Martin Jansen for providing me with the opportunity to work under his guidance as well as his continuous support and motivation throughout this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my dissertation committee members, Prof. Dr. Thomas Schleid and Prof. Dr. Helmut Bertagnolli to evaluate my thesis.

I am particularly indebted to Dr. Christian Oberndorfer for his valuable supports, encouragement and TG/DTA/MS measurements. Also, many sincere thanks to Dr. Aswin Verhoeven concerning discussions and constant supports. I am grateful to Dr. Jürgen Nuss for his comments and single crystal measurements.

Of course, I owe my thanks to Moritz Stahl for his precious helps in preparative works. Thanks to Mrs. Eva Peters for single crystal analyses, to Mr. Wolfgang König for FT-IR measurements, to Mr. Armin Schulz for Raman measurements, to Mr. Werner Giering for crystal picking and to Ms. Marie-Luise Schreiber for elemental analyses measurements.

I am indebted to Dr. H. J. Libuda for his support and IMPRS-AM for funding this research.

I would also say my special thanks to my laboratory colleagues Dr. Denitsa Shopova, Moritz Stahl, Dr. Lars Hildebrandt, Dr. Natalia Sofina, Michael Fischer, Thomas Pilz and Dilahan Cantürk for providing an enthusiastic and peaceful atmosphere during this work. Moreover, I am thankful to my office colleague Dr. Dragan Pitzschke for his valuable comments.

I am peculiarly indebted to Dr. Hanne Nuss for her valuable help.

I also want to thank specially to my friends with whom we daily meet in the coffeebreak at 10:00. Even though, I could not list all the names here, they have provided constantly a nice and friendly atmosphere. Appreciation is also extended to all Jansen group members.

My very sincere thanks go to my Turkish friends in Stuttgart for their great support and friendship.

I would like to express my very deep thanks to my parents and my sister for their encouragement, indulgences, love and affection through all my life, no matter what their locations are.

Last but not the least, I would specially like to thank Hasan, for his unceasing encouragements, helps and spiritual supports throughout this study.

Contents

| Ι | Int | troduction | 7 | |
|----------|-------------------------------|--|-----------|--|
| II | G | ENERAL PART | 10 | |
| 1 | 1 Preparative Working Methods | | | |
| | 1.1 | Vacuum and Inert Gas Apparatus | 10 | |
| | 1.2 | Vacuum Devices | 12 | |
| | 1.3 | Purification of the Solvents and Argon Gas | 12 | |
| | 1.4 | Manipulation of Air and Moisture Sensitive Substances $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 12 | |
| | 1.5 | Glove Box | 13 | |
| 2 | Cha | aracterization Techniques | 14 | |

| Characterization Techniques 1 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| 2.1 | Thermal Analyses | | | | |
| | 2.1.1 Simultaneous Thermal Analysis (DTA/TG/MS) | . 14 | | | |
| | 2.1.2 Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | . 14 | | | |
| 2.2 | 2.2 Quantitative Chemical Elemental Analysis | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Hot Gas Extraction | . 14 | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Atomic Emission Spectroscopy with Inductively Coupled Plasm | na 15 | | | |
| 2.3 | X-ray Diffraction Techniques | . 15 | | | |
| | 2.3.1 Powder X-ray Diffraction | . 15 | | | |
| | 2.3.2 Single Crystal Diffraction | . 16 | | | |
| 2.4 | Infrared Spectroscopy | | | | |
| 2.5 | Raman Spectroscopy | . 18 | | | |
| 2.6 | NMR Spectroscopy | . 18 | | | |
| | 2.6.1 Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy | . 19 | | | |
| | 2.6.2 Liquid NMR Spectroscopy | . 19 | | | |
| 2.7 | Impedance Spectroscopy | . 20 | | | |
| | 2.7.1 Overview of Impedance Spectroscopy | . 20 | | | |
| | 2.7.2 Equivalent Circuit Elements | . 22 | | | |
| | 2.7.3 Impedance Spectroscopy Measurements | . 28 | | | |
| 2.8 | Direct Current (dc) Measurements | . 31 | | | |
| 2.9 | Software Programs | | | | |

46

| 3 | Soli | Solid Ion Conductors 33 | | | | |
|---|------|--|--------------------------|----|--|--|
| | 3.1 | Polym | er Electrolytes | 34 | | |
| | | 3.1.1 Types of Polymer Electrolytes | | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 Ion Conduction in Polymer Electrolytes | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Crystalline Electrolytes | | 39 | | |
| | | 3.2.1 Ion Conduction in Crystalline Electrolytes | | 39 | | |
| | | 3.2.2 | Random Walk Model | 41 | | |
| | | 3.2.3 | Crystalline Electrolytes | 44 | | |
| | | | | | | |

III SPECIAL PART

| 4 | Pol | yelectr | olytes | | 46 | | |
|---|--|---------|------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| | 4.1 | State | of the Art | ts and Concepts | 46 | | |
| | 4.2 Poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB) | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.1 | Synthesi | s and Characterization of Reactants | 53 | | |
| | | | 4.2.1.1 | Vinyllithium (1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | 53 | | |
| | | | 4.2.1.2 | Boron Trifluoride Etherate, $BF_3 \cdot O(CH_2CH_3)_2 \ldots \ldots$ | 56 | | |
| | | | 4.2.1.3 | Lithium Tetravinylborate, $Li[B(CH=CH_2)_4]$ (2) | 56 | | |
| | | 4.2.2 | Synthes | sis of PLEB (3) | 61 | | |
| | | 4.2.3 | As-synth | nesized PLEB | 62 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.1 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | 62 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.2 | Elemental Analysis | 62 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.3 | FT-IR Spectroscopy | 63 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.4 | Raman Spectroscopy | 63 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.5 | Solid State NMR Measurements | 66 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.6 | Thermal Analysis | 69 | | |
| | | | 4.2.3.7 | Main Features of the Structure | 70 | | |
| | | 4.2.4 | Anneale | d PLEB | 71 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.1 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | 71 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.2 | Elemental Analysis | 72 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.3 | FT-IR Spectroscopy | 72 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.4 | Solid State NMR Measurements | 74 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.5 | Thermal Analysis | 77 | | |
| | | | 4.2.4.6 | Main Features of the Structure | 77 | | |
| | | 4.2.5 | Analysis | of the Ionic Conduction $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 78 | | |
| | | | 4.2.5.1 | Impedance Spectroscopy | 78 | | |
| | | | 4.2.5.2 | Direct Current Measurements | 80 | | |

| | | 4.2.5.3 Static ⁷ Li-NMR Measurements | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.3 | Poly[l | thium tetrakis(triethylenephenylboryl)borate] | | | | | | |
| | (PLE | PB) | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.1 | Synthesis of PLEPB | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.2 | Characterization of as-synthesized PLEPB | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.2 Elemental Analysis | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.4 Raman Spectroscopy | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.5 Solid State NMR Measurements | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.6 Thermal Analysis | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2.7 Main Features of the PLEPB Structure | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.3 | Characterization of annealed PLEPB | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.3.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction Analysis | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.3.2 Elemental Analysis | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.3.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.3.4 Thermal Analysis | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.4 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | | |
| 4.4 | Poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenemethylboryl)borate] | | | | | | | |
| | (PLEMB) | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.1 | Synthesis of PLEMB | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.2 X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.3 Elemental Analysis | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.4 | FT-IR Analysis | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.5 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.6 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | | |
| 4.5 | Concl | usions \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 112 | | | | | | |
| 4.6 | Poly[t | $tris(tetraethylenesilyl)borane] (PESB) \dots \dots$ | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.1 | Reactants | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.2 | Synthesis of PESB 115 | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.3 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.4 | Elemental Analysis | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.5 | FT-IR Spectroscopy 118 | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.6 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | | |
| 4.7 | Poly[l | tris(tetraethylenesilyl)phenylborate] | | | | | | |
| | (PLES | $SPB) \dots \dots$ | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.1 | Synthesis | | | | | | |

| | | 4.7.2 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 4.7.3 | Elemental Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.7.4 | FT-IR Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | | 4.7.5 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.7.6 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | 4.8 | Poly[li | thium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)t-butylborate] | | | | | |
| | | (PLES | StBB) $\ldots \ldots 128$ | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.1 | Synthesis | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.2 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.3 | Elemental Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.4 | FT-IR Spectroscopy 130 | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.5 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.8.6 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | 4.9 | Poly[li | thium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)n-butylborate] | | | | | |
| | | (PLES | SnBB) | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.1 | Synthesis | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.2 | X-ray Powder Diffraction | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.3 | Elemental Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.4 | FT-IR Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.5 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 4.9.6 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | 4.10 | Conclu | usions \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 140 | | | | | |
| 5 | Alka | ali fluc | prooxoborates 142 | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | .1 State of the Arts and Concepts | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Lithiu | m Fluorooxoborate, LiB_6O_9F | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.1 | Synthesis of LiB_6O_9F | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.2 | Single Crystal Structure Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.3 | X-ray Powder Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.4 | Structure Description | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.5 | Thermal Analysis | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.6 | FT-IR Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.7 | Impedance Spectroscopy | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.8 | $Conclusions \dots \dots$ | | | | | |
| | 5.3 | Sodiu | m Fluorooxoborate, $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | | | | | |
| | | 5.3.1 | Synthesis of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | | | | | |
| | | 5.3.2 | Single Crystal Structure Analysis of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | | | | | |
| | | 5.3.3 | Structure Description | | | | | |

| | | 5.3.4 | FT-IR Spectroscopy 17 | 7 | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | 5.3.5 | Raman Spectroscopy | 8 | | | |
| | | 5.3.6 | Thermal Analysis | 8 | | | |
| | | 5.3.7 | Impedance Spectroscopy | 1 | | | |
| 5.3.8 Direct Current | | | Direct Current Measurements | 6 | | | |
| | | 5.3.9 | Conclusions | 0 | | | |
| | 5.4 | Potass | ium Fluorooxoborate, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 1 | | | |
| | | 5.4.1 | Synthesis of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 1 | | | |
| | | 5.4.2 | FT-IR Spectroscopy 19 | 1 | | | |
| | | 5.4.3 | Raman Spectroscopy | 3 | | | |
| | | 5.4.4 | Thermal Analysis | 3 | | | |
| | | 5.4.5 | Impedance Spectroscopy | 6 | | | |
| | | 5.4.6 | Direct Current Measurements | 0 | | | |
| | | 5.4.7 | Conclusions | 2 | | | |
| 6 | Sun | nmary | 20 | 3 | | | |
| 7 | Zusammenfassung 207 | | | | | | |
| References 219 | | | | | | | |
| | T | | | ~ | | | |
| 1\ | IV Appendixes 239 | | | | | | |
| 8 | 8 List of Abbreviation 256 | | | | | | |
| 9 | O Curriculum Vitae 259 | | | | | | |

CONTENTS

Part I Introduction

Both organic and inorganic solid polymeric electrolytes continue to attract significant attention due to their conduction of electricity by the migration of ions, which endows the window for potential applications in batteries and fuel cells. The majority of these materials, the polymer-salt complexes, consists of a salt dissolved in a polymer matrix, in which both cations and anions contribute to the overall conductivity [1]. In such bi-ionic conductors, not only cations but also its counter anions migrate and a main contribution to ion transport is due to the anions as the transference numbers of cations are often as low as 0.2 [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Realizing the importance of cationic transport number, attempts have been undertaken in order to reduce the anions mobility and thus increase the contributions from the cations [7], and thus polyelectrolytes have been developed which possess ionic centers as part of their constitutional repeating units [8]. In batteries, they do not cause leakage problems in contrast to polymer gel electrolytes, and they have a high transference number for one of the ionic species present, the mobile ions, which make them single ion conductors. Hence, such a polyelectrolyte appears to be the best system to cover the requirements for a battery electrolyte. Various polyelectrolytes in which anions are covalently bound to the polymer chains have been developed. However, these materials have exhibited but very low conductivities at room temperature ($\sim 10^{-7} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$) [9]. Obviously, it is very urgent to provide a better performing polyelectrolyte with a high transference number, a high charge carrier concentration, short hopping distances, and no strong ion pairing.

In order to achieve these aims, the first part of this thesis is devoted to develop several solid polyelectrolytes bearing alkylborate units, such that the anions are immobilized on the chain. For this purpose, two main types of novel polyelectrolytes have been synthesized based on poly(lithium tetrakis(ethyleneborylborates) and poly[tris(tetraethylenesilyl)boranes]. Each of these representative polymers were synthesized by utilizing the hydroboration reaction. These polymers were modified subsequently via incorporating several organyl lithium reagents, where organyl denotes for phenyl, methyl, n-butyl and t-butyl, generating various poly(lithium organylborylborates) and poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborates] as novel polyelectrolytes. The effect of these reagents on conductivity was investigated as an effort to develop better ion conductive polyelectrolytes with a high transference number. Furthermore, poly(lithium organylborylborates) polymers were annealed, and then the effect of annealing on ionic conductivity as well as structural characterizations were investigated. The solid polyelectrolytes were characterized mainly by powder XRD, FT-IR, DTA/TG/MS, solid-state NMR, elemental analysis and impedance spectroscopy techniques. In addition, the electrochemical properties of these polyelectrolytes were determined with ac conductivity and direct current measurements.

Another very challenging topic is crystalline solid alkali ion conductors. Thus, in the second part of this thesis, investigations have been focused on alkali fluorooxoborate materials. Since solid alkali ion conductors are constitutional components of all solid state electric batteries, they thus crucially determine the overall performance of the respective configurations. The structural and compositional preconditions for high electric conductivity, exclusively based on ions as charge carriers, are well understood [10]. However, in spite of lasting efforts in ever better understanding the microscopic mechanisms [11], and in discovering superior materials, no significant progress in providing solid electrolytes with improved pertinent properties has been achieved. The top performing sodium and lithium ion conductors are still Na- β -Alumina [12] and LISICON [13] types, respectively.

Against this background, it appeared to us appropriate, even necessary, to commence systematic and basic studies aiming at identifying additional structural and bonding features that would facilitate migration of alkali metal ions on periodic lattices. We decided to disregard glasses because of the notorious inhomogeneous spread of all relevant attributes on a microscopic level, and to focus on Li⁺ and Na⁺, since systems based on those charge carriers are most promising with respect to achieving good weight capacities. Also for the latter reason we have chosen borate based anionic matrices.

The fundamental prerequisites for high conductivity are high concentration and high long range mobility of charge carriers. In order to allow for good long range mobility of cations, the anionic matrix needs to provide two, or better three, dimensionally branched migration paths along which the ions experience but a flat electrostatic potential profile. Therefore, one has to avoid accumulations of negative charges on the walls of the pathways that might act as traps for the moving cations. In oxoanionic matrices, oxygen atoms in terminal position are notorious for trapping cations. Avoiding such terminal oxygen atoms, formally bearing a charge of -1, thus appears to be a promising measure for improving cationic conductivity. One of the unique features of the oxoborate chemistry is providing an option for achieving this goal: boron coordinated by three oxygen atoms is showing significant Lewis-acidity, allowing for adding a fluoride anion. As a result, a formal charge of -1 can be attributed to the respective boron atom which is reflected by a decrease in the effective negative charge of fluorine. Another aspect favouring borates for the purpose discussed is their structural richness and adaptability, which has been extensively analyzed with a number of classification schemes [14, 15, 16].

Based on these facts, alkali fluorooxoborates have been considered as auspicious materials. Therefore, in the second part, various alkali fluorooxoborates including lithium flurooxoborate (LiB₆O₉F), sodium fluorooxoborate (Na₃B₃O₃F₆) and potassium fluorooxoborate (K₃B₃O₃F₆) have been synthesized in solid state reactions and characterized. Out of them, the structures of LiB₆O₉F and Na₃B₃O₃F₆ have been determined, for the first time, by single crystal analysis. Moreover, the structure of K₃B₃O₃F₆ is also revealed by considering the analogy with the Na₃B₃O₃F₆. All of these compounds have additionally been characterized via FT-IR, Raman, DSC, DTA/TG/MS, impedance spectroscopy and direct current measurement techniques.

Part II

GENERAL PART

1 Preparative Working Methods

Most of the substances studied in this work are air sensitive. Therefore, handling of air sensitive substances and the reactions were carried out in a highly purified argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or glove boxes, and with rigorously dried reaction apparatus and solvents.

1.1 Vacuum and Inert Gas Apparatus

The inert conditions were achieved by using a vacuum and inert gas system which is known as Schlenk line. It was constructed from Duran glass, and vacuum and inert gas connections were tightened via specially prepared bended stopcocks or teflon valves (Young valves). Argon flow and vacuum control were adjusted by the help of these teflon valves. The Schlenk line set up, which is schematically shown in Figure 1, was composed of a dual manifold having a number of ports. One line of the manifold was connected to a high vacuum pump and the second line was connected to the argon source. Silicone grease (Wacker Chemie AG, Munich, Germany) was utilized in order to connect the glasswares to the Schlenk line by joints. The connections to the equipments were performed by glass spirals or angled glasses, which were dried by flaming under vacuum and filled with argon by using the concerned valves. The inert gas was selected as argon (purity grade 4.8, Messer-Griesheim, Krefeld, Germany) and it was supplied by the low temperature service department (Max Planck Institute, Stuttgart, Germany) through copper lines. Argon gas was further purified before usage. A trap cooled with liquid nitrogen was connected to the line to prevent the vacuum line from solvent vapours or gaseous products.

The apparatus shown in Figure 2 was employed to handle substances under inert conditions. The construction provides filling the substances in glass capillaries within a range of diameters under argon flow, and to be sealed inside glass ampoules for long term storage.



Figure 1: Schematic view of the argon and vacuum line (Schlenk system).



Figure 2: Schlenk apparatus which has LV 29 in the upper part and LV 14 in the remaining connections for (a) sealing of ampoules, and (b) filling of capillaries.

1.2 Vacuum Devices

A fine vacuum level was supplied by employing a rotary vane vacuum pump (Trivac D 4B, Oerlikon Leybod Vacuum GmbH, Köln, Germany). The connection of the pump to the vacuum and inert gas apparatus was achieved by means of a trap cooled with liquid nitrogen through a flexible metal tube. Grease-free teflon valves were utilized in the ports. The vacuum range between $10^{-3} - 10^3$ mbar was continuosly monitored with the help of an electronic gauge apparatus (Pirani VAP 5, Vacuubrand GmbH & CoKG, Wertheim, Germany) mounted to the vacuum line.

1.3 Purification of the Solvents and Argon Gas

The drying of the common solvents like hexane and ether was carried out by refluxing the solvents over sodium metal in the presence of benzophenone until receiving a blue color. Afterwards, they were distilled to an other flask to obtain the purified solvents. The distilled solvents were stored in the presence of sodium wires under argon atmosphere.

The prepurified argon, which was received from the common lines, was furher subjected to a set of purification steps in order to remove any traces of oxygen and water impurities (Figure 3). For further purification, the gas was allowed to pass through a set of succesive drying towers. The drying towers were columns filled with "blue gel", potassium hydroxide, molecular sieves (with 3Å mesh size) and phosphorus pentoxide on an inert substrate (Sicapent, Merck, Germany). The drying towers were followed with a pressure regulator (mercury bubblier) in order to release the overpressure. After that, the final purification step was passage of the gas through titanium sponge (Sigma Aldrich, USA) which was filled in a quartz tube located in a horizontal furnace (Loba, HTM Reetz GmbH, Berlin, Germany) at 700 °C. An oil bubbler filled with silicon oil was used to check and monitor the rate of argon gas flow.

1.4 Manipulation of Air and Moisture Sensitive Substances

The handlings and synthetic procedures for all air and moisture sensitive substances were achieved via standard Schlenk techniques [17]. The Schlenk line including all the glassware was flame dried with a high vacuum (10^{-3} mbar) by using a Bunsen burner



Figure 3: Schematic set up for the argon purification.

and cooled in a stream of argon flow. In order to ensure that the apparatus was completely dry and clean, this process was followed at least three times. All manipulations, such as filling and extracting of substances, were performed under continuos argon flow.

The liquid transfer was performed by the help of disposable syringes (Norm-Ject, Henke Sass Wolf GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) connected with a single use needle (Supra, Ehrhardt Medizinprodukte, Geislingen, Germany). These syringes were purged several times with argon gas before usage.

1.5 Glove Box

The preparative works, which could not be performed in the Schlenk line, were facilitated in argon filled glove boxes (MB 200 or MB 150 types, M. Braun, USA) under strict exclusion of air and moisture. Some illustrative manipulations in glove boxes were pressing pellets for both reactions and FT-IR measurements, as well as preparing impedance cells. The purification of the argon gas was performed via molecular sieves (pore diameter 300 pm) and copper contact filters (BTS-catalyst, Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirschen, Germany). The purity of the argon gas was controlled by gas analyzers. Both water and oxygen levels of the glove boxes were maintained below 0.1 ppm, and continiuos monitoring of the atmosphere was performed by detectors. All apparatus and samples were transported in and outside of the glove box through air-tight evacuation chambers. Before any manipulation, chambers were evacuated three times (each 15 min) and refilled with argon.

2 Characterization Techniques

2.1 Thermal Analyses

2.1.1 Simultaneous Thermal Analysis (DTA/TG/MS)

Simultaneous thermal investigations of the samples were achieved by means of differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TG) with a STA 409 thermal analyzer (Netzsch, Selb, Germany). The measurements were performed with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under argon flow. In order to monitor the atomic/molecular masses of volatile decomposition products, the instrument was equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS 421, Balzers, Hudson, NH, USA) having a Skimmer coupling. 10-20 mg of samples were placed in a corundum crucible for the measurements. Air sensitive samples were transferred via a special Schlenk apparatus.

The measurements and evaluation of the results were performed with special program packages maintained by Netsch [18, 19].

2.1.2 Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC)

Differential scanning calorimeter measurements were performed by using a DSC 404 (Netzsch, Selb, Germany) equipped with a NiCr/NiCu thermocouple (Type E) under argon atmosphere. A cylindrical platinum crucible (outer diameter 7 mm, height 2.5 mm) with a cap was used as a sample holder. For each measurement, approximately 10 mg substances were introduced into the crucibles.

2.2 Quantitative Chemical Elemental Analysis

2.2.1 Hot Gas Extraction

Quantitative analyses of carbon in solid samples were performed in a hot gas extraction analyzer (C-200, Leco, St Joseph, MI, USA). 10-20 mg of samples were placed in a tin crucible together with a metal accelerator (0.8 g iron, 1.0 g cupper) and heated inductively (T > 2500 K) with an oxygen flow. Entire carbon was oxidized to CO_2 by the combustion and the quantitative determination of carbon was carried out with the help of infrared absorption. SiC was used as a calibration standard during the combustion.

2.2.2 Atomic Emission Spectroscopy with Inductively Coupled Plasma

Boron, lithium and silicon were quantified by means of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) with a model ARL 3580 B (ARL, EcuBlens, Switzerland). The multi channel instrument was equipped with a conventional grating monochromator as a vacuum spectrometer in a Paschen-Runge mounting. The equipment provides both to record the emission lines sequentially, and also to measure simultaneously the light intensities of up to 50 elements within the wavelength range of 170-810 nm. A low energy plasma chamber was equipped as a plasma source, and the elements were quantified and analyzed with the generated argon plasma. Standard addition method was used to calibrate Si and B. ICP Expert Software was used to analyze the data [20].

For the ICP analysis, digestion was performed by dissolving the samples in a mixture of HNO_3 and HF at elevated temperatues under pressure for 20 hours in a steel pressure digestion vessel of type DAB 1 (Berghof, Eningen, Germany) having a 10 ml PTFE compartment [21].

2.3 X-ray Diffraction Techniques

2.3.1 Powder X-ray Diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction of the samples were collected by employing a Stadi-P (Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt) or a D8-Advance (Bruker AXS, USA) type diffractometers at ambient conditions.

Stoe Stadi-P diffractometer was equipped with a curved germanium (111) type monochromator and a copper anode with Cu-K_{$\alpha 1$} ($\lambda = 1.540598$ Å) radiation. The routine measurements were performed with a position sensitive detector (PSD 1 -Position Sensitive Detector) having a large angle and a low resolution (angle range 35° and $\Delta 2\theta$ resolution = 0.15°). Higher resolutions in the measurements were obtained with a high resolution detector having an effective range of 6° and a resolution of 0.06° (PSD 2). The external standard for calibration was elementary silicon. Air sensitive samples, which were placed in a glass capillary ($\phi = 0.1 - 0.7 \text{ mm}$, Hilgenberg, Germany) and sealed under argon atmosphere, were measured with the Debye-Scherrer geometry. On the other hand, air insensitive samples were measured in transmission geometry with flat sample holders having the powder samples distributed with silicon grease between X-ray amorphous polyethylene foils. The powder X-ray patterns were analyzed via WinXPow [22] software. In order to identify the substances, the measured diffractograms were compared with the diffractograms of already explored substances stored in data bases such as ICSD [23] and JCPDS [24].

High resolution laboratory D8-Advance X-ray powder diffractometers were utilized to collect diffraction patterns of the high resolution measurements. The diffraction patterns of the samples were collected by using the radiation of Cu-K_{α 1} from a primary Ge(111)-Johansson type monochromator in conjunction with a Vantag-1 position sensitive detector (PSD) with an opening angle of 6°. The measurements of air sensitive samples were carried out with glass capillaries sealed under argon atmosphere. Concerning non-air sensitive samples, powders were distributed with ethanol on a silicon mirror of the flat sample holder and the measurements were allowed in transmission geometry.

2.3.2 Single Crystal Diffraction

The measurements of single crystals were carried out with two different single crystal diffractometers. The first one is the AXS Smart APEX three circle single crystal diffractometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe) equipped with a fine focus tube with Mo anode (MoK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) which was used as X-ray source. The X-ray beam was monochromatized by using a graphite single crystal monochromator. The data were collected by using a CCD detector (AXS, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany).

Alternatively, the experimental intensity data of the single crystals were collected with a dual wavelength diffractometer system: Smart APEX II three circle single crystal diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) equipped with a CCD-detector, a Siemens X-ray sealed tube (MoK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), an Incoatec (Geestacht, Germany) microfocus X-ray source I μ S (CuK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) and a N-Helix low temperature device (Oxford Cryosystems, Oxford, United Kingdom (28–300 K)), see Figure 4. The copper source was used for compounds containing the light elements. The X-ray beam was generated with Incoatec IG150 and monochromotized by using a Montel optic monochromator (Incoatec, Geestacht, Germany).

The crystal structures were solved by using the program package SHELXS-97 with direct methods [25]. The initial structure models were refined with full-matrix least

-square refinement procedure with the help of SHELXL-97 [26] program package, which was implemented in the WinGX Software [27]. Further details on crystallographic data, measurements and the final structure models are given in the corresponding chapters.



Figure 4: Dual wavelength diffractometer system: (1) sealed tube (Mo), (2) I μ S microfocus source (Cu), (3) N-Helix cooling device.

2.4 Infrared Spectroscopy

Infrared spectroscopy measurements were performed with a FT-IR spectrometer (Fourier-Transform, IFS 113v, Bruker, Karlsruhe) accompanied with vacuum optics and a Genzel interferometer. The spectrometer was equipped with a DTGS (deuterated triglycine sulphate) detector and a silicon carbide globar for radiation source. The measurements were performed over a wavenumber range of 400 cm^{-1} to 4000 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} . Air sensitive samples were prepared in a glove box and transferred inside a desiccator for measurements. Samples were prepared by grinding 1-2 mg material together with a 300 mg KBr (99+%, Aldrich, further dried in vacuum at 200 °C) matrix in an agate mortar, and then the pellets were pressed in a hydrolytic press at 0.8 GPa for 10 minutes.

2.5 Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were recorded with a microscope laser Raman spectrometer (LabRAM system, Co.: Jobin-Yvon, USA) functioning at the Helium-Neon (632.8 nm) and Diode-Laser (784.7 nm) laser lines at 20 mW. The instrument was operating by irradiation of a micrometer size (10-20 μ m) sample with focusing the laser and by detection of the scattered light with a multi channel CCD (charge coupled device) detector. The powder samples were placed in glass capillaries ($\phi = 1 \text{ mm}$). Air sensitive samples were prepared and sealed under argon atmosphere. The measurements were performed at room temperature.

2.6 NMR Spectroscopy

Both solid state and liquid NMR spectra were recorded with pulse fourier transform NMR spectrometers. The XWin-NMR program [28] was used for the analysis of the data and XWin-plot program [29] was utilized to draw the spectra. Matlab [30] was used to process solid state NMR data. The raw data of the MQMAS experiment were processed with the matNMR toolbox [31]. ¹H, ⁷Li, ¹¹B and ¹³C nuclei were measured in this thesis. The measurement frequencies and the standard solutions used to calibrate the chemical shift axis are given in Table 1.

| Nuclei | Larmor frequency $/MHz$ | | Standard substance |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|
| | 9.4 T | 7.05 T | |
| $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ | 400.00 | 300.13 | Tetramethylsilane, $Si(CH_3)_4$ |
| ⁷ Li | 155.45 | 116.6 | 1 M aqueous LiCl solution |
| ¹¹ B | 128.34 | 96.25 | Borontrifluoride diethylether, $BF_3.O(CH_2CH_3)_2$ |
| $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ | 100.57 | 75.46 | Tetramethylsilane, $Si(CH_3)_4$ |

Table 1: NMR active nuclei with corresponding Larmor frequencies and reference solutions.

2.6.1 Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy

Solid state NMR experiments were carried out on a 400 MHz Spectrometer (DSX-400 WB, Bruker Analytic, Karlsruhe) with a 9.4 Tesla magnet. Zirconium dioxide rotors were used as the sample holders. ¹¹B, ¹³C and ¹H solid state NMR were performed with a 2.5 mm double resonance Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) probe (Bruker Analytic, Karlsruhe; Germany). These experiments were all performed with a MAS frequency of 25 kHz.

¹³C spectra were obtained by using an adiabatic-passage Hartmann-Hahn crosspolarization (APHH-CP) with a contact time of 1 ms [32]. The RF amplitudes for the CP pulses were 80 kHz and 55 kHz for ¹³C and ¹H, respectively.

For ¹¹B, the Multiple-Quantum Magic Angle Spinning (MQMAS) technique was applied [33]. To achieve a pure-phase 2D spectrum, MQMAS was combined with a Zfilter [34]. The raw data of the MQMAS experiments were processed with the matNMR toolbox [31].

For ⁷Li Variable Temperature NMR (VT-NMR), a 7 mm WVT (Wide Range Variable Temperature) probe (Bruker Analytic, Karlsruhe) was used under static conditions. The RF field for ⁷Li was 50 kHz. The line width of the ⁷Li peak was estimated by taking the full width at half maximum.

Air sensitive samples were sealed in glass ampoules by a natural gas-oxygen hand burner and they were placed inside the 7 mm zirconium dioxide rotors for measurements. 2.5 mm rotors were too small to place glass ampoules, but they were sufficiently airtight to hold air sensitive samples.

2.6.2 Liquid NMR Spectroscopy

NMR spectra of the dissolved samples were recorded by using a 300 MHz spectrometer (Avance DPX 300 SB, Bruker Analytic, Karlsruhe, Germany) operating at 7.05 Tesla. The samples were dissolved in deuterated solvents (i.e. d⁸-THF) in NMR tubes (WG-5-mm-Economy-8, Co.: RototecSpintec Gmbh, Biebesheim, Germany). Air sensitive samples were prepared by using the standard Sclenk techniques under a purified argon atmosphere. Some samples were prepared and measured at low temperatures, which can be followed in the related chapters. The measurements were performed with a 5mm broad band probe head (¹H; ¹⁰⁹Ag -³¹P). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with ¹H broad band coupling.

2.7 Impedance Spectroscopy

2.7.1 Overview of Impedance Spectroscopy

Impedance spectroscopy is a powerful method for electrochemical characterization of various materials. It is also capable to determine the contributions of individual electrode or electrolyte processes [35, 36]. Electrical response of a system can be determined by several single processes in solid state electrochemistry. The key property of the impedance spectroscopy is its unique capability to distinguish the different steps in an electrochemical process including the detailed information about the surface and bulk properties. To illustrate, the resistance in ion conducting materials is caused not only by bulk but also sometimes by grain boundary contributions. In a conventional direct current (dc) measurement only the overall sample resistance, which is the sum over all individual processes, is measured. In contrast to dc measurements, frequency dependent alternating current (ac) resistance measurements generally give more detailed information on the electrical properties of a system. Electrochemical impedance measurements are performed by applying a small sinusoidal potential or current to an electrochemical cell and measuring its current or potential response over a wide range of frequencies. Individual resistive processes can usually be distinguished via varying the frequency by several orders of magnitude.

In 1969, Bauerle was the first researcher who realized the potential of the impedance spectroscopy and applied the technique for ion conducting materials to differentiate between bulk, grain boundary and electrode resistances [37]. After this pioneering work, impedance spectroscopy has gained a great attention and become the most powerful tool to investigate a wide range of ionic conductors such as polymers, ceramics and glasses.

The excitation signal of a sinusoidal voltage as a function of time is represented by:

 $E = E_0 \cos(\omega t)$ E : The sinusoidal potential E₀: The amplitude of the signal ω : The radial frequency t : Time

or

$$E = E_0 \cos(\omega t) + E_0 i \sin(\omega t) = E_0 e^{i\omega t}$$

where $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the relationship between radial frequency ω (in radians/second) and

frequency f (in Hertz).

The response to the applied perturbation is a sinusoidal signal of the current, which is shifted in phase and amplitude from the applied signal, and expressed by:

$$I = I_0 \cos(\omega t + \theta) + I_0 i \sin(\omega t + \theta) = I_0 e^{i(\omega t + \theta)}$$

where θ is the phase shift.

It is possible to evaluate the impedance of a system by using an analogous equation to the Ohms law. When the equation for the frequency independent dc resistance is replaced with the frequency dependent ac version, impedance (Z) can be derived as:

$$Z = \frac{E}{I} = \frac{E_0 e^{i\omega t}}{I_0 e^{i(\omega t+\theta)}} = \frac{E_0}{I_0} e^{-i\theta}$$

The impedance can be transformed from the complex notation to the Cartesian coordinate by using the Euler transformation, which is $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$. When further replacement of E_0/I_0 with |Z| is applied, the representation of impedance appears in the form of:

$$Z = \frac{E_0}{I_0} e^{-i\theta} = |Z| \cos\theta - i |Z| \sin\theta$$

The impedance can be separated into real and imaginary parts,

 $\mathbf{Z} \quad = \quad \mathbf{Z} \; , \; - \; \mathbf{i} \; \mathbf{Z} \; , \;$

 \Rightarrow Impedance Z = Real part Z ' - i Imaginary part Z "

The real and imaginary parts of impedance are described by $Z' = \text{Re}(Z) = |Z| \cos \theta$ and $Z'' = \text{Im}(Z) = |Z| \sin \theta$, respectively. The modulus (represented by |Z|) and phase angle, θ , can be derived with the following equations:

$$|\mathrm{Z}| = (\mathrm{Re}^2 + \mathrm{i} \ \mathrm{Im}^2)^{1/2}$$
 $heta = \mathrm{Arc} \ \mathrm{tan} \ (\mathrm{Im}/\mathrm{Re})$

The measured resistance can be simplified into the ohmic resistance in the impedance equation given above if the phase angle is equal to 0, where there is no phase difference between potential and current:

$$\theta = 0 \implies Z = \frac{E_0}{I_0} = R$$

The current lags behind the potential by π /2 in the case of pure capacitor with a capacitance of C and the capacitive resistance is given as:

$$\frac{E_0}{I_0} = \frac{1}{\omega C} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Z = -i \ \frac{1}{\omega C}$$

Two methods of graphical representation are mostly applied for the complex function, Z, in order to analyze the impedance measurements. The first one is the Nyquist plot or the Argand plot, where the real and imaginary parts are plotted on linear axis against one another in one plane, as shown in the Figure 5. The impedance can be represented as a vector having |Z| as the length, and θ as the angle between this vector and the x-axis. Lower frequency data are represented on higher values in x axis of the Nyquist plot. This representation is widely used for the interpretation of the ion conducting materials. The second way of data representation is called as the Bode diagram. It is represented by plotting plane diagram of two components, which are complex logarithm (log |Z|) and phase angle (θ), against logarithm of frequency (log $|\omega|$).

2.7.2 Equivalent Circuit Elements

In order to interpret the measured impedance spectra, the most popular approach is based on to construct an electrical network representation, so-called an equivalent circuit. Optimisation of impedance analysis via equivalent circuit simulation has the basis to regard the measured impedances as a network of impedance elements [38, 39]. This network model has to approximate the measured impedance well over the whole frequency range and it can consist of resistors (R), capacitors (C), inductors (L), Warburg impedance (W) and constant phase element (CPE). Each of these elements can be characterized in Nyquist as well as in Bode plots (Table 2). Serial or parallel combinations of these elements produce the impedance plots.



Figure 5: Graphical representation of an impedance spectrum as Nyquist plot (top) and Bode plot (bottom).

Constant Phase Element, CPE

When modelling an electrochemical phenomenon, an ideal capacitor assumes that the surface under investigation is homogeneous. Irregular electrode surface, which is usually due to surface roughness or non-uniformly distributed properties, leads to a dispersion of the parameters [40]. The depression of the semicircles is always encountered in real systems, and thus the non-ideal behaviour is exhibited to some degree. Hence, commonly observed non-ideal capacitance can be simulated mathematically very well by introducing so-called the constant phase element, CPE [41, 42]. Thus, by replacing the capacitor in the RC element with CPE, one can deal with the frequently observed depressed semicircles. The circuits containing CPE are widely used to explain the behaviour of solid ionic conductors, solid-solid interfaces and rough solid-liquid interfaces. The impedance of a CPE element is expressed with:

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{Q.(i\omega)^n}$$

where, Q is the ideal capacitance and n is an empirical constant having values between 0 and 1. A CPE acts as an ideal capacitor when n is equal to 1, and an ideal resistance when n is equal to 0 [43]. In this manner, the parameter n is a measure for the degree of depression of such an arc. It is a perfect semicircle for n = 1 and a depressed semicircle for n < 1.

The Warburg Element

The Warburg element is introduced to describe impedances associated with mass transfer by diffusion. Warburg-impedance makes it possible to clarify the situations like a diffusion layer created near the electrode in ion conducting materials. It is expressed by means of the equation below, where W is a proportionality coefficient called Warburg coefficient.

$$Z = \frac{W}{\sqrt{i\omega}}$$

The impedance depends on the frequency of the potential perturbation. Thus, at low frequencies the reactants diffuse farther, leading to the Warburg impedance. This expression makes it possible to associate certain processes with predicted features in the impedance spectra. On a Nyquist plot, the Warburg impedance appears as a diagonal line, which is called a spike, with a slope of 45°. On a Bode plot, the Warburg impedance exhibits a phase shift of 45°.

Parallel connection

Parallel connection of R and C, for a circuit shown in Figure 6, brings about a semicircle in Nyquist plot. The semicircle has an intercept on the Z' axis at zero and the maximum frequency at $\omega RC = 1$. A straightforward impedance expression can be derived by applying the Ohm's law to two components connected in parallel of an RC circuit, since resistances in parallel add as 1/R and capacitances in parallel add as $i\omega C$ [44]:

$$\frac{1}{Z} = \frac{1}{R} + i\omega C$$

The impedance can be evaluated as:

$$Z = \frac{R - i\omega R^2 C}{1 + \omega^2 R^2 C^2}$$

$$= \frac{R}{1 + (\omega RC)^2} - R \frac{i\omega RC}{1 + (\omega RC)^2}$$

Therefore,

$$Z_{re}^{,} = \frac{R}{1 + (\omega RC)^2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad -Z_{im}^{,,} = R \frac{\omega RC}{1 + (\omega RC)^2}$$

Here, it is remarkable that impedance can easily be separated into real and imaginary parts. The above equation for the parallel connection of an RC (resistance and capacitance) circuit gives rise to a semicircle of radius R/2 and maximum value of |Z"| defined by $\omega RC = 1$. The frequency where the imaginary part of the impedance reaches its maximum is the relaxation frequency, ω_R , of the RC element. It is given by $\omega_R = 1$ /RC.

| | | Bode plot |
|--|--------------|--|
| Model | Nyquist plot | Modulus Phase |
| Resistance Z = R | -Z`` | $\begin{bmatrix} & \log Z \\ & & \theta \\ & & \log \theta \\ & & \log \theta \end{bmatrix}$ |
| Capacitance Z = (i⊕C) ⁻¹ | -Z`` Z` | $\frac{\log Z }{\log \omega} \xrightarrow{\theta}{\log \omega}$ |
| Inductance Z = iເວL | -Z`` | $\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$ |
| Diffusion $Z = W. (i\omega)^{-1/2}$ | -Z`` | $\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$ |

Table 2: The characteristics of basic impedance elements and the representations in Nyquist and Bode plots.



Figure 6: (a) Impedance spectrum with one semicircle, and (b) equivalent circuit constructed from parallel combination of resistance and capacitance.



Figure 7: (a) Impedance spectrum with two semicircles, and (b) equivalent circuit for two serial connected RC elements.

Each parallel RC element gives rise to a semicircle in the complex impedance plane. Two well separated semicircles in the complex impedance plane are represented by constructing two serial RC elements as exemplified in Figure 7. For example, two semicircles can be observed due to bulk (i.e. R_1C_1 element for bulk) and grain boundary (i.e. R_2C_2 element for grain boundary) phenomena. This situation could be encountered when there is a difference in magnitude for the capacitances of the observed processes. Therefore, the capacitance can be calculated for each process and its magnitude gives strong information on the physical origin of the semicircles [45]. Typical orders of magnitude for some common capacitances are tabulated in Table 3.

| Capacitance [F] | Responsible Phenomenon |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 10^{-12} | Bulk |
| 10^{-11} | Minor, second phase |
| $10^{-11} - 10^{-8}$ | Grain boundary |
| $10^{-7} - 10^{-5}$ | Sample - electrode interface |
| 10^{-4} | Electrochemical reaction |

Table 3: Typical orders of magnitude of some common capacitances.

2.7.3 Impedance Spectroscopy Measurements

The schematic set up of the impedance spectroscopy measurement used in the present study is given in Figure 8. It was designated to collect response of a sample to an applied alternating wave signal between 25 °C and 700 °C.

Impedance spectroscopy measurements were performed with an impedance analyzer (Alpha-A 4.2 Analyzer, Novo Control, Hundsangen, Germany) which was combined with the ZG 4 impedance interface in a two-wire arrangement. Measurements and data recording were performed with the WinDeta program [46] by the central computer assisted controlling of all components. The equipment was designed to measure very high impedance values over a wide range of frequencies varying from 20 MHz down to 0.01 Hz.

The set up for impedance spectroscopy cell is schematically shown in Figure 9. Samples were pressed in the form of pellets by applying 350 MPa pressure. The diameters of the pellets were 6 mm and the thicknesses were in the range of 0.5 mm to 1 mm. Gold, platinum or silver electrodes were applied to measure ionic conductivities of compact samples. The sample squeezed between electrodes was mounted in between

two outer platinum electrodes, which established the connection to the impedance analyzer through platinum wires. The electrodes were made tight enough with the help of a steel spring load in order to keep the sample in contact with the electrodes. The cell was then covered with a quartz glass in order to allow the measurements to be performed under inert conditions [47]. Air sensitive samples were prepared in an argon filled glove box and transferred in argon filled quartz glass cell. After connecting the cell to the Schlenk line, the connection between the cell and the Schlenk line was evacuated three times by using a rotary vane pump (pKD 4, Saskia, Saugleistung $3.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$) and refilled with argon (purity 5.0). Then the joint between the cell and the Schlenk line was opened and the measurements were performed under argon atmosphere.

The cell was placed in a horizontal tube furnace, and the temperature was controlled by a thermocouple in close vicinity to the sample as shown in the Figure 8. The temperatures of the oven and inside the cell were measured by nickel/chromium/nickel thermocouples and controlled by using a temperature controller (Eurotherm 26/2704, Eurotherm, Germany). The measurements were performed with heating and cooling cycles having rates of 1 °C min⁻¹.

The data generated by the impedance spectroscopy measurements were analyzed by using the WinFit program [48] and the bulk conductivities were determined by non linear mean square deviation curve fitting of the impedance spectra.

Each semicircle in the Nyquist plot is responsible for a phenomenon in the impedance data. Therefore, the possible interpretations were performed by extracting the capacitance, C, values for the parallel RQ element in the equivalent circuit.

After obtaining resistances of the ion conducting samples by fitting the impedance data, the ionic conductivities, σ , were calculated from the sample dimensions by applying:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{R} \cdot \frac{d}{A}$$

where d is thickness in cm and A is cross sectional area in cm^2 .



Figure 8: Schematic representation of the set up for impedance spectroscopy measurements.


Figure 9: Schematic drawing of the impedance spectroscopy cell.

The temperature dependence of ionic conductivity obeys often the Arrhenius relation. Hence, the activation energy, E_a , can be obtained by using the ionic conductivity values at different temperatures by using Arrhenius relation:

$$\sigma = \frac{A}{T} e^{-\frac{E_a}{kT}}$$

In this manner, the activation energy is derived from the slope of the linear part of log (σ T) versus 1/T graph.

2.8 Direct Current (dc) Measurements

Anionic and cationic transference numbers were measured at different temperatures with a computer supported multipotentiostat (Model VMP, BioLogic, Claix, France), possessing eight individual channels and four supplementary channels. The current was measured at constant voltage with the supported software [49]. The dc current was monitored with time after a stepwise change of the cell voltage from 0 mV to 50 mV. The aforementioned impedance cells in which samples were squeezed between blocking (gold, platinum or silver) or non-blocking (lithium or sodium) electrodes were used for the measurements.

2.9 Software Programs

In addition to the special programs, which were already mentioned above to record and analyze the measured data for the analytical mesurements, the programs utilized in the present work are listed as:

Lxy 1.6: Document processor for writing the thesis.

Microsoft Office 2003: Performing casual applications like writing texts.

Corel Draw: Redacting the post script data.

MDL ISIS/Draw: Producing the chemical formula.

Diamond 3.0b: Generating the structure graphics [50].

Origin 8G: Processing the graphics like impedance and FT-IR spectra.

3 Solid Ion Conductors

Electrical conduction is caused by the long range migration of electrons or ions. Even though most of the conductive materials possess only one type of charge carrier, ionic conduction can be accompanied by electronic conduction in mixed conductor materials. Conductive materials can generally be classified according to their charge carrier types and conductivity values, σ (Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹ or S cm⁻¹). Figure 10 shows the typical conductivities for a range of materials. Conductivities are usually temperature dependent. The conductivity increases with increasing temperature in all materials, except metals. Metals have higher conductivities at lower temperatures [44].

Solid materials exhibiting high ionic conductivities and negligible electronic conductivities are named as solid ion conductors. Ionic conductivities of solid electrolytes vary between 10^{-10} and 10^{-5} S cm⁻¹. "Superionic solids" or "fast ion conductors" are solid electrolytes exhibiting exceptionally high ionic conduction at room temperature close to that of liquid electrolytes [51]. The conductivites span from 10^{-5} to 10^{0} S cm⁻¹ for fast ionic conductors [52]. Some of the most important requirements of a solid electrolyte are a high ionic conductivity, a transference number of close to unity for the conducting ion and ease of fabrication. There are several important advantages of solid electrolytes compared to their liquid counterparts. These include the problems associated with the leakage of liquids, the likelihood of minituarized structures via simple fabrication techniques or longer shelf-lives along with wide operating temperature ranges [53].

The pioneering work of Tubandt and Lorenz in 1914 demonstrated that AgI exhibits an unusual electrical behaviour with ionic conductivities comparable to those in liquid electrolytes in the temperature range of 150 °C and 550 °C. They showed that the ionic conductivity of high temperature α phase of AgI was even higher than of the molten material and presented evidence for the first time that ions can carry electrical current in solids [54]. The discovery of RbAg₄I₅ and Na- β -alumina solid electrolytes in 1967 exhibiting exceptionally high Ag⁺ and Na⁺ ion conduction, respectively, at room/moderate high temperature attracted tremendous worldwide attentions on the solid state ionic materials [12, 55]. In the following decades, a large number of materials having a variety of ions as mobile species have been reported.



Figure 10: Classification of electrical conductivities of solids by magnitude.

Research in the field of fast ion transport in solids continues to receive attention owing to the many potential applications of these materials including batteries and fuel cells [53]. Solid electrolytes usually fall into three categories: crystalline compounds, polymers and glasses [56].

3.1 Polymer Electrolytes

The area of polymeric electrolyte materials have been developed remarkably after the discovery of the first ion conducting polymer in 1973, which was poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) complexed with an alkali metal salt by Wright et al. [57]. Following that, Armand and coworkers examined the ionic conductivity of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) and poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) salt complexes and proposed their application as solid

polymer electrolytes in high-energy-density batteries [58]. The important requirements of a polymer electrolyte to be used in applications are: high ionic conductivity ($\sigma \geq 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$) at room temperature, a value approaching to 1 for the transference number of the mobile ion ($t_{ion} \sim 1$), high mechanical strength, high chemical, thermal and electrochemical stabilities [59, 60].

Using polymeric structures has attracted major attention owing to their noteworthy advantages that stem from; i) having solid character, that eliminates the technological problems relating to the confinement of liquids, ii) having low densities that would lead to considerable weight savings, iii) having processability into all shapes and sizes, iv) having good mechanical properties like being flexible and strong, v) ease of fabrication, and vi) relatively low cost [61, 62, 63, 64].

3.1.1 Types of Polymer Electrolytes

The area of polymer electrolytes has passed through various stages in development [65, 66]. The main classification of these promising materials can be performed in three categories, which covers solid polymer electrolytes (SPE), polymer gel electrolytes and polyelectrolytes. Solid polymer electrolytes are polymer salt complexes which are obtained by dissolving a salt in the polymer matrix. Polymer-gel electrolytes are prepared by incorporation of liquid electrolytes into a polymer matrix. Polyelectrolytes are polymers which contain ionic centers as part of their constitutional repeating units [8, 67].

The ionic conduction in polymer electrolytes is based on the mobility of inorganic salts which are dissolved in a polymer matrix. Polymers with a low glass transition temperature are needed because the mobility and ionic conduction are determined by the flexibility of the polymer matrix. However, relatively few polymers have low glass transition temperature with sufficient solvation properties for salts, at the same time.

The most widely investigated polymers are based on polyethylene oxide (PEO; [— CH_2CH_2O —]_n), where ether oxygen atoms are coordinated to the cations of the salts, and thus effect the solvation [1]. However, PEO - lithium salt systems are highly crystalline at room temperature and their conductivity values are in the range of 10^{-7} — 10^{-8} S cm⁻¹. On the other hand, the minimum conductivity needed for an electrolyte in battery applications is 10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ [68]. Conductivity of PEObased electrolytes increases sharply at ~ 65 °C, which is related with the melting of the crystalline PEO phase, and above this temperature PEO exists predominantly in amorphous phase. However, the dimensional stability of these electrolytes is poor at temperatures above 65 °C [69, 70]. Intensive efforts have been conducted in order to obtain a higher degree of amorphous phase of polymer matrix at room temperature and decrease the glass transition temperature in order to enhance the ionic conductivity at ambient temperature. Some of the strategies include the addition of plasticizers or fillers, and modifying the polymer chain such as side chains [71, 72, 73, 74].

The dependence of ionic conductivity values on the salt concentration was also investigated. It was found that initially conductivity increased as a result of addition of the charge carriers; however, as the salt concentration increased further, the conductivity reached a maximum and then dropped [75, 76, 77]. This behavior was explained by the ion pair formation at higher salt concentrations, along with inhibited ion transport due to the hindered motion of the polymer chains [78]. Therefore, ionic conductivity values in these systems can not be enhanced simply by increasing the concentration of the salt because of the formation of ion pairing, which reduces the number of carriers.

Solid polymer electrolytes are bi-ionic conductors having relatively high values of t_{-} and the corresponding low values for t_{+} . The transference number for cations in SPE usually ranges from 0.06-0.3, showing that lithium ions are the minority charge carriers [79]. This major obstacle in the development of a successful solid polymer electrolyte lithium cell is ascribed to the localization of counteranions near the anode and, as a result suppressing of the alkali metal cation supply from the anode. Hence, the cell electrolytes will be polarized [7]. The conclusion drawn from the previous investigations is that the salt in polymer electrolytes is not strongly dissociated and present in the form of ion pairs or ion agglomerates [80, 81]. The conductivity appears to depend on the dissociation of ion agglomerates in order to produce mobile cations [76]. The strong interactions between cation and solvating chain are one of the most important effects lowering the mobility and cationic transport numbers [81]. The conductivity is dominated by anionic motion as a consequence of strong chelation of the lithium ions by ether oxygens in the chains [6]. Angell et al developed 'polymer-in-salt' materials in order to increase the number of carrier ions, which were formed by mixing lithium salts with small quantities of the polymers (i.e. PEO, PPO) [82]. Minority component of these systems was the polymer, which imparted the mechanical integrity, and the ionic conductivity was not associated with the local polymer segmental motion [79].

Polymer-gel electrolytes have usually higher ionic conductivities at room temperatures when compared with SPE [83]. They are polymer networks swollen in a solvent which are formed by incorporation of a large amount of liquid to a polymer matrix [59, 84, 85]. The trapped liquid solutions are lithium salt solutions in organic solvent mixtures and common polymer matrices are poly(acrylonitrile) (PAN), poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF) [86, 87]. To exemplify, LiPF₆-EC-DMC-PAN is a gel electrolyte formed by immobilizing an ethylene carbonate (EC)—dimethyl carbonate (DMC) lithium hexafluorophosphate solution in poly(acrylonitrile) [88]. The high ionic conductivity takes place through the material in a gel like environment. However, gel electrolytes have generally poor mechanical strengths and are also encountered with a number of drawbacks commonly faced in liquid/aqueous electrolytes [79, 88].

On the other hand, polyelectrolytes having the opposite charges fixed on the polymer backbone provide single ion conductive matrices [89, 90]. In such a system, either cationic or anionic groups are chemically bonded to polymer chains, and the counterions migrate [67]. Owing to their single ionic conductor behavior, they have high transference numbers for mobile ions. For applications, like dry batteries, a single ion conductive matrix is needed. While polyelectrolytes are "single-ion conductors" both cations and anions contribute to conductivity in solid polymer electrolytes [68]. Additionally, polyelectrolytes do not have leakage problems in contrast to polymer gel electrolyte. Thus, polyelectrolytes are promising systems to cover requirements of a battery electrolyte.

In order to prevent counterion conductivity in SPE, the anions are fixed to a macromolecule and these macromolecular salts are alloyed with PEO. In this manner, some polymeric Li salts reported are sulfonate, carboxylate and phenoxide salts, which are covalently bonded to silicone, phosphazene, styrene or acrylic polymer backbones [91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96]. Relating to the conductivity values at room temperature, for these single ion conductors having fixed anions in the polymer, Kobayashi et al. reported $1 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ with a carboxylate system [91] and Benrabah et al. proved $6 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ with a sulfonate system [97]. Moreover, Fujinami et al. developed siloxy aluminate polymers having ethylene oxide side chains with bulky groups in the vicinity of anion to protect ion pairing and obtained $2 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ at RT; however, the transport number of this system was below 1 (t₊ = 0.71) [98].

3.1.2 Ion Conduction in Polymer Electrolytes

Ion conduction in polymer electrolytes is a complex process, which is governed preponderantly by local motion of polymer segments, long range ion motions and intra-interchain transport of ions among the coordinating sites [99].

The transport of ions takes place mainly in the amorphous phase in polymer electrolytes [100]. The lithium salt is electrolytically dissociated in the polymer matrix, as it would dissolved in a polar liquid, and Li⁺ ions are coordinated to the polymer through oxygen atoms in the ether chain (Figure 11) [68]. Cations are transported via association and dissociation steps of Li—O along with the local thermal motion of the polymer chains. As a result of local relaxation and segmental motion of the polymer chains, ions are transported in the polymer electrolytes, which is more favorable if the host polymer is highly amorphous [101, 102].



Figure 11: Schematic representation of Li diffusion assisted by the segmental motion of the PEO matrix. Ether oxygen atoms of PEO are represented with the circles.

It was previously preconceived that the amorphous phase in the polymeric host was predominantly responsible for the fast ion transport in SPEs. In accordance to that, the ionic conduction of polymeric electrolytes was believed to be higher when the amorphousity of the polymer matrix was increased [100]. The main investigations, in turn, were carried out to develop polymeric hosts having large amorphous phases and low glass transition temperatures with the purpose to obtain faster ion transport, supported by the good flexibility of the polymer chains. The disordered environment, created by the polymer chain motion at temperatures higher than glass transition temperature, was thought critical in ion transport. However, Bruce et al. have recently presented that crystalline polymer hosts in SPEs could also sustain high ionic conduction [103, 104].

Two dominant conduction mechanisms have been observed for the ion transport phenomenon in polymer electrolyte materials on the basis of the temperature dependent conductivity studies. Thus, these materials can further be separated into two groups depending on their conductivity variations with temperature [74].

The first group of polymer electrolytes obeys the Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher (VTF) equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{A}{\sqrt{T}} \exp[-\frac{E_a}{k(T-T_o)}],\tag{1}$$

A = pre-exponential factor,

 $E_a = pseudoactivation energy,$

 $T_o =$ equilibrium glass transition temperature, which T_o is close to glass transition temperature of the polymer electrolyte.

The plot of VTF conductivity against reciprocal of temperature exhibits a typical nonlinear behavior [58]. This indicates that the ionic hopping motion of the conductivity mechanism couples with the segmental and/or relaxation, breathing motion of the polymeric chains [105].

On the other hand, the second group obeys the Arrhenius type equation:

$$\sigma = \sigma_o e^{-\frac{E_a}{kT}}.$$

The linear Arrhenius behavior indicates that the ion transport of the material is mediated by a simple hopping mechanism which is decoupled from the breathing of polymer chain.

3.2 Crystalline Electrolytes

3.2.1 Ion Conduction in Crystalline Electrolytes

Ionic conduction in crystalline electrolytes takes place with the hopping of ions from site to site in a crystal structure under the influence of an electric field. The mobile ions can be cations or anions such as H⁺, Li⁺, Na⁺, Cu⁺, Ag⁺, O²⁻ and F⁻ [10, 106]. Vacancy and interstitial migrations are recognized as two general classes of mechanisms for ionic conduction for isolated hopping of ions [107]. As a result of formation of a thermally generated Schottky effect (a vacancy pair of a cation and anion) or existence of charged impurities, creation of some empty sites, which should be occupied in the ideal structure, led to the vacancy migration. In vacancy migration process, an ion can leave its site empty by hopping into an adjacent vacancy (Figure 12-a).

In order to form a Frenkel defect, the ions are moved from their lattice sites into an interstitial site, which are empty in an ideal structure. Following this, the ions located in interstitial sites can hope into the neighbouring interstitial sites (Figure 12-b) [108].

Apart from these two mechanisms, cooperative movement of two or more ions are also observed in solid electrolytes, which is named as interstitialcy mechanism. In order to operate this mechanism, one or more neighbouring ions are to be pushed elsewhere in order for an ion to jump to a neighbouring or interstitial site (Figure 12-c) [109]. The immobile sublattice structure in crystalline electrolytes defines the sites of the mobile ions. The conduction pathways for the mobile ions can be a one, two or three dimensional immobile ion sublattice in crystalline electrolytes. The mobile ion sites in crystalline electrolytes are distinctly determined by the structure of the immobile sublattice. Therefore, a series of definite hops between adjacent sites in the conduction pathways will lead



Figure 12: Transport of ions by (a) vacancy mechanism, (b) interstitial mechanism and (c) interstitialcy mechanism showing two possible locations of ions after movement.

to the ionic conduction. This random notion of ion hops represents the basis of random walk theory.

The ionic conductivity of a material is dependent on the concentration of the mobile species, n, their charge, q and mobility, μ , which can be expressed by the equation:

$$\sigma = n q \mu.$$

In order to increase the n value, aliovalent or heterovalent doping is an important way, which includes the partial replacement of one ion type with another ion having a different formal charge. Conductivity can increase sharply, if simultaneously generated interstitial ions or vacancies, that are formed to keep the charge balance, can migrate.

Richard et al. proposed a mechanistic classification and they suggest four types of mechanisms for ion migration, which are [110]:

1. Conventional transport of defects by uncorrelated jump processes. The transport is by means of conventional hopping process, and the only difference is the high concentration of defects.

2. Highly correlated mechanism, in which fast ion transport is effected by collective movement of several ions. Li_3N is an example of this type.

3. Liquid like diffusion mechanisms, which is observed if the mobile sublattice is highly disordered that cations can move in a more fluent manner with flat potential surface.

3.2.2 Random Walk Model

Ionic transport is a thermally activated diffusion process and the free energy required for an ion to jump to an energetically equivalent neighbour lattice site is represented by ΔG_m . To describe ion conduction, the generally used model is random walk of the mobile species. In this model, the mobile ions move independently of one another and diffusion is caused by the isolated jumps [10, 111, 112].

The ionic conductivity is expressed by the equation:

$$\sigma = n q \mu, \tag{2}$$

 $\sigma = ext{ionic conductivity},$

n = charge carrier concentration,

 $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{charge} \text{ of mobile ions},$ $\mu = \mathbf{mobility} \text{ of the charge carriers}.$

The concentration of charge carriers is defined with,

n = N c,

N = number of structural sites for the mobile ions, c = degree of occupation of the N positions.

According to the Nernst-Einstein expression, the ionic conductivity is related to the diffusion coefficient of ions. Hence, this expression suggests the ionic conductivity as:

$$\sigma = \frac{nq^2}{kT} D \tag{3}$$

n = number of ions per unit volume

D = diffusion coefficient

k = Boltzmann constant

T = Absolute temperature

The mobility can be derived from the equations 2 and 3 as in the form of following expression:

$$\mu = \frac{q}{kT} D \tag{4}$$

where diffusion coefficient is defined by:

$$D = \frac{1}{2d} \ z \ (1-c) \ l^2 \ v_o \ e^{-\frac{\triangle G_m}{kT}}$$

d = dimensionality of the conduction for the mobile ion,

z = number of nearest neighbour sites,

(1 - c) = the availability of vacant sites,

l = jump distance between two energetically equivalent sites,

 $v_o = attempt frequency,$

 $\triangle G_m =$ motional free energy,

with replacement of,

$$e^{-\frac{\Delta G_m}{kT}} = e^{-\frac{\Delta H_m}{kT}} \cdot e^{\frac{S_m}{k}}$$

and the geometry factor, γ , as,

$$\gamma \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2d} \ z \ e \ \frac{\Delta S_m}{k}$$

the diffusion coefficient can be found as,

$$D = \gamma (1-c) l^2 v_o e^{-\frac{\Delta H_m}{kT}}$$

Substitution of diffusion coefficient into equation 3 gives,

$$\mu \quad = \quad \frac{q}{kT} \quad \gamma \ (1-c) \quad l^2 \ v_o \quad e^{-\frac{\Delta H_m}{kT}} \quad , \label{eq:multiplicative}$$

and substitution into equation 1 gives the relation for conductivity:

$$\sigma = \gamma \quad N \quad \frac{q^2}{kT} c \ (1-c) \ l^2 \ v_o \ e^{-\frac{\Delta H_m}{kT}}.$$
(5)

With the substitution of preexponential factor $A = N c \frac{q^2}{k} \gamma (1-c) l^2 v_o$ and $\Delta H_m = E_a$ in equation 5, the Arrhenius relationship is obtained:

$$\sigma = -\frac{A}{T} - e^{-\frac{E_a}{kT}}.$$
(6)

Arrhenius equation gives the temperature dependence of ionic conductivity. It is valid within the temperature regime where the material does not have any phase transformation.

The main requirements for the high diffusivity in solids are deduced as high density of mobile ions (c), the availability of vacant sites which can be accessed by the mobile ions (1 - c) and good connectivity among the sites with conduction channels having low free energy barriers (E_a) between the sites. The ease of ion hopping is presented by the activation energy, which is related to the openness of conduction pathways in the crystal structure [10, 113].

3.2.3 Crystalline Electrolytes

Solid electrolytes have rather special crystal structures, which can have open tunnels or layers and through which the mobile ion can move. Their structures are generally composed of 1-, 2- or 3- dimensional network of channels and these pathways for conduction are positioned throughout the materials immobile ion sublattice. The ions move along rapid diffusion pathways which are defined by the crystal structure [114].

Sodium β -aluminas and NASICON are well studied sodium ion conductors. Sodium β -aluminas are the archetypal 2-D conducting materials, which conduct well at room temperature $(1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1})$ [12, 115, 116]. The mobile Na⁺ ions are situated between the densely packed spinel blocks of alumina that are bridged by oxygen in these non stoichiometric sodium aluminate compounds [117]. The crystal structure having open conduction pathways and a large number of partially occupied sites permit a 2 - dimensional diffusion of sodium ions and as a result a high Na⁺ conductivity in beta aluminas [118].

Na₃Zr₂PSi₂O₁₂, which is generally referred to as NASICON (<u>Na superionic conduct</u>or), is a ceramic material similar to β -aluminas; however, possessing a higher Na⁺ conductivity at 300 °C [119]. The crystal structure allows a relatively open 3 - dimensional network of sites and pathways for the mobile sodium ions, and the ions can move through channels [120]. LISICON, which is an analogous compound to NASICON, was synthesized short after [13].

Another well known example of the layer structure is lithium nitride, Li_3N , which is a two dimensional conductor having sheets of Li_2N separated by Li^+ ions. It has the highest lithium ion conductivity of all crystalline materials $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \text{ in plane};$ $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ perpendicular to the layers) [121, 122, 123].

AgI is one of the first materials, which shows high ionic conductivities. The concepts of average occupational of lattice sites and the "liquid sublattice" were introduced by Strock [124]. The discovery of high conductive $RbAg_4I_5$ was stimulated during the exploration of silver containing ternary systems [55].

The structural features required for a good ion conductor can be summarized as follow [10, 44]:

a) The number of mobile ions and available empty sites for the mobile ions to jump should be large.

b) The potential energies of the empty and occupied sites should be similar with a low activation barrier for jumping between neighbouring sites.

c) The structure should have a framework, preferably three dimensional, which has open channels allowing the migration of ions.

d) The immobile framework should be highly polarizable.

Part III

SPECIAL PART

4 Polyelectrolytes

4.1 State of the Arts and Concepts

The area of ion conductive solvent free polymer electrolytes has gained considerable attention due to their conduction of electricity by the migration of ions, which have potential applications in batteries [125]. There has been severable efforts to develop polymer-salt complexes which do not have leakage problems. Solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs), in contrast, are bi-ionic electrolytes and the bulk conductivity is primarily due to anion mobility [99, 126]. The transference number of lithium is far less than that of the anion; therefore, migrations of both, anions and cations, result in a local concentration of anions and significant decrease in ionic conductivity [7, 81, 90, 93, 127, 128, 129, 130]. As a result of strong coordination of lithium ions with the oxygen atoms of ether groups [131, 132], the lithium transference number in these conventional matrices like poly(ethylene oxide) is around 0.2–0.3 [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Since low lithium transference number in these systems is a major problem, the essential requirement in providing superior solid polymeric electrolytes is to design systems that exhibit only cation migration. In solid polyelectrolytes, anions are incorporated as part of a polymer chain [67]. Therefore, by chemically binding the opposite charges on the polymer chain in these single ion conductive matrices, contrary to SPEs, high lithium ion transference numbers are achieved, which is essential for applications [129, 133].

Hitherto, a number of approaches for generating single ion conductive polyelectrolyte matrices have been proposed. In order to avoid anion conduction, Takeoka proposed to resort to single ion-conductive systems, which are accessible by blending, copolymerization and homopolymerization [127]. In this manner, the first proposed route was blending polyelectrolytes with soft segments; i.e. poly(oxyethylene) [95, 134, 135]. Blends of poly(ethylene oxide) and poly(2-(4-carboxyhexafluoro-butanoyl-oxy) ethyl methacrylate-Li-salt) (Figure 13-a) were investigated for single ion conduction by Bannister et al. [136]. Thereby, polyethers and polyanions were mixed to obtain single ion conductors; however, the different polymers should be compatible in order to avoid the gradual phase seperation problems in blend systems, which would decrease the ionic conductivity. Therefore, a single-component system is preferable. The two methods in order to achieve a single component system are copolymers and homopolymers. Copolymers from soft segments and polyelectrolytes were further developed [91, 93, 137, 138, 139, 140]. Room temperature conductivities of oligo(oxyethylene) methacrylate and alkali metal methacrylate copolymers were reported in the order of 10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ [91, 93] (Figure 13-b). Different matrices, like siloxane copolymers having oligo(ethylene oxide) side chains with immobilized pendant sulphonate moieties, were also investigated and the conductivities were reported as 10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature [137] (Figure 13-b). In order to obtain a single component cation conducting polyelectrolyte, a monomer having a carrier ion source was homopolymerized [141, 142], an example of which is presented in Figure 13-c.



Figure 13: Examples of (a) blend, (b) copolymer and (c) homopolymer matrices for single ion conduction [127].

A number of strategies have been developed to obtain high conducting polyelectrolytes. However, tight ion pairing seems to occur which significantly reduces the mobility of the charge carriers [143]. Ion pairing effect was reduced with bulky substituent groups which prevent close approach of the cations [126, 144, 145]. On the other hand, bulky substituents increase the rigidity and glass transition temperature which in turn lead to decrease the ion mobility [90]. Plasticizers, which are additives that enhance the plasticity or fluidity of the material, were added in order to enhance flexibility of these hard materials [90, 144, 146, 147, 148]. For example, poly(ethylene glycol) was added as a plasticizer to sodium poly(styrene sulfonate) [146]. Another approach was linking of short chain poly(ethylene oxide) groups covalently to the polyelectrolytes. Self-plasticization was provided with these short chains [90]. Comb like polyelectrolytes, bearing anionic groups and short chain polyether linkages in the backbone, were reported [9, 90, 149]. Therefore, incorporation of plasticizers enhance the ionic conductivity. On the other hand, they deteriorate the mechanical properties of the materials [147, 148].

In an alternative approach to decrease bi-ionic contribution, polymer matrices bearing anion trapping Lewis acidic units were developed [81]. For this purpose, Lewis acidic organoboron units were incorporated into the polymer chains of SPEs [150]. A number of groups carried out research concerning polymer electrolytes bearing borate functional groups. Kato et al. reported that increasing borate ester group concentration incorporated in polyethylene glycol polymer electrolytes increased the transference number of lithium of the dissolved salt (Figure 14-a) [151]. Materials having boroxine rings and pendant or network oligo(ethylene oxide) units were reported by Mehta and Fujinami et al. (Figure 14-b) [152, 153, 154]. The transference number of lithium in these polymer electrolytes was reported as 0.75 by using LiBF₄ salt [153, 154]. Although the transference number of lithium was higher than the convential SPEs obtained by dissolving salts in polymers, it was far below unity.

Matsumi et al. prepared polymer electrolytes from organoboron polymers. The authors reported that the transference number of lithium was increased over 0.5 in a poly(propylene oxide) polymer electrolyte having alkylborane end units [3]. They also investigated organoborate polymers bearing ethylene oxide units and generated polymer electrolytes from these polymers by addition of lithium salts, which is shown in Figure 14-c [155]. However, polymer electrolytes obtained from these polymers showed the transference number of lithium around 0.35 [155, 156]. Also, electrolytes were prepared by mixing comb like organoboron polymer bearing oligo(ethylene oxide) units with lithium salts [157]. These polymer electrolytes containing borate and oligo(ethylene oxide) units have low transference numbers for cation; i.e. $t_+ = 0.38$ at 30 °C [157]. An important approach to attain unity in lithium transference number is to immobilize the polymer network by covalently fixing opposite anions to the

macromolecular chain [158]. The mobility of anion is smaller than the cation as the anion sites are fixed on the chain. Solely cations will contribute to the conduction when the anions are chemically bound into the chain in these polyanionic species [129]. When anions are immobilized into the polymer matrix, the anions are not mobile and the number of charge carrier ions are decreased compared to the bi-ionic polymers; therefore, lower ionic conductivity values are obtained in single ion conductors. The design of the chains in immobilized anion bearing polymer matrices can be tailored in two different ways. The charged groups can be covalently bound either as a pendant group in the side chains or in the backbone of the polymer chain.



Figure 14: Examples of organoborate solid polymer electrolytes.

As a plausible model for the single ionic nature, several different side-chain anionic polymers with lithium ion counterparts were developed and some examples of charged groups investigated in these polyelectrolytes include :

- Carboxylate; --COO⁻ [9, 91, 93, 136, 138, 140, 141, 142, 159, 160],
- Sulfonate; $-SO_2O^-$ [95, 136, 137, 139, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166],
- Phenolate; —PhO⁻ [145, 167].

For example, Florjanczyk et al. reported that the ionic conductivities for the lithium carboxylate polymers were in the range of $10^{-9}-10^{-7}$ S cm⁻¹ at 30 °C [9]. Low conduc-

tivity values were obtained for the polyelectrolytes bearing the charged groups attached as a pendant group due to the tight ion pairing and ionic interactions.

In a further development, anions are covalently bounded in the main chain in order to decrease ion pairing. Some examples of the immobilized polyelectrolytes by anchoring the anionic groups in the main chain are:

- Tetraoxoborate; $(-O)_4B^-$ [4, 131, 135, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173],
- Diphenyldioxoorganoborate; $(-O)_2 Ph_2 B^-$ [5, 174],
- Tetraoxoaluminate; $(-O)_4 Al^-$ [128, 168, 175, 172, 173, 176, 177],
- Thioaluminate; $(-S)_4 Al^- [178]$,
- Siloxyaluminate; (-SiO)₄Al⁻ [98, 179, 129].

Chetri et al. prepared lithium, sodium and potassium derivatives of poly(vinyl borate) gel polyelectrolytes, which had the transport number of 0.83 for lithium [169]. These materials showed poor conductivity values (i.e. 7.3×10^{-9} S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C for potassium derivative) and poor mechanical properties because of the jelly nature [170, 171] (Figure 15-a). Matsumi et al. immobilized the borate anion in the polymer chain containing oligo(ethylene oxide), which showed ionic conductivity of 9.45×10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ at 50 °C with a lithium ion transference number of 0.82 [155, 156] (Figure 15-b). In another work, the same authors modified the chains of these oligo(ethylene oxide) containing poly(lithium organoborates), which is shown in Figure 15-c, but the transference number of these polyelectrolytes were not reported [180].

Doan et al. reported the main chain anionic polyelectrolytes containing a network of tetraalkoxyaluminates linked by polyethers and the ionic conductivity was about $10^{-7}-10^{-8}$ S cm⁻¹ at 50 °C due to the strong ion pairing (Figure 15-d) [175]. Polyelectrolytes from lithium siloxy aluminate polymers with oligo ethylene oxide side chains were reported by Fujinami et al. (Figure 15-e) [98]. Although a high conductivity in the order of 10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ was reported, the transference number of lithium was found as 0.71 [98]. Aoki et al. prepared polyelectrolytes with oligo(ethylene oxide) side chains bonded to the aluminate or borate backbones, which is shown in Figure 15-f. The authors showed that partial negative charge was dispersed on oxygen atoms around the boron or aluminum atoms, and ion pairing occured between lithium and these oxygen atoms. Furthermore, the same authors reported that borate polymers exhibited higher conductivities than aluminate polymers as a result of the increased mobility of lithium ion in borate polymers, because of the weaker interaction between lithium ion and oxygen atoms around the boron atom [173].

Single ionic conductors have one to two orders of magnitude lower ionic conductivities compared to bi-ionic conductors under the same conditions. This difference is originating from the fact that in polymer-salt complexes, the anion is usually the predominant charge carrier with a transport number typically greater than the one of the cation, because cation motions are inhibited by solvation from the macromolecular chain. Thus, fixing and immobilizing the anion would result in lower conductivities because of having fewer ion carriers [167]. Ion pairing can also occur in polyelectrolytes between lithium cations and counter ions fixed to the polymer chains, which will reduce the number of available conduction ions and conductivity [98, 150]. Sterically hindered anionic centers can be employed to reduce the ion pairing [167]. However, simulations showed that the performance in battery applications was improved even by using polyelectrolytes with one order of lower conductivity in comparison to solid polymer electrolytes [7].



Figure 15: Examples of polyelectrolytes

Hence, a polyelectrolyte appears to be the best system to cover the requirements for a battery electrolyte. The research on polymer backbones has mostly been based on oxygen-containing monomers, such as ethers in poly(ethylene oxide) and poly(propylene oxide) and polysiloxanes [90]. Despite the fact that oxygen atoms strongly coordinate towards lithium ion precluding the transport of cation, oxygen atom is characteristicly present and oligo(oxyethyleneoxide) is representatively employed in the ion conductive polymer matrices [4, 5, 131, 132]. Coulomb trapping of the cation can easily occur, and oxygen can coordinate to the mobile cation which creates locally fixed polymer framework [181, 182]. These effects lead to reduce the conductivity [81, 95]. More-over, binding of lithium cations by ether oxygen atoms prevents the transport of the cation [6, 173, 132, 183] and; therefore, the transport number of cation becomes very low (around 0.2-0.3) in these polyether electrolytes [2, 5, 4, 3, 6]. To the best of our knowledge, all of the polyelectrolytes that were previously investigated have oxygen atoms or Lewis base groups either in the main backbone or on the side chains.

The goal in the present work is to obtain a polyelectrolyte with a high transference number, a high charge carrier concentration and no strong ion pairing. Our motivation was to develop solid polyelectrolytes bearing alkylborate units, in which the anionic groups were immobilized in the chain. Previously, a borate containing polyelectrolyte was also synthesized in our group; however, the material could not fully characterized [184]. Moreover, lithium conducting polyelectrolytes based on organoaminoalanates were developed by Jansen et al. and a high lithium conductivity ($\sigma = 0.01 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at 297 °C) was achieved for phenyl substituted polymer [185]. Bulky alkyl groups were incorporated around the anion in order to inhibit close approach of the lithium cations and reduce ion pairing. Ion pairing is a significantly important factor that decreases the conductivity by reducing the cation mobility in polyelectrolytes. The other important aspect in the design is that the polymer backbones do not bear oxygen groups, on contrary to the traditional oxygen atom bearing polyelectrolytes, which are known to inhibit the mobility of lithium ions by trapping them; therefore, reducing the conductivity. Lithium was inserted into the polymer by addition of alkyl lithium reagents or by the educt lithium tetravinylborate. The effect of different alkyl groups on conductivity was investigated. For this purpose, a series of lithium conducting solid anionic polyelectrolytes with the repeating units of tetrakis(alkylboryl)borate groups were prepared. The ionic conductivities and structures have been analyzed along with the effect of annealing. In order to develop these novel polyelectrolytes, hydroboration reactions were applied. In a further attempt, modifications in the main chain were proceeded by incorporation of silicon atoms in the main alkyl borate chain of the lithium polyelectrolytes.

4.2 Poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB)

4.2.1 Synthesis and Characterization of Reactants

All reactions were carried out in a purified argon atmosphere using standard Schlenck techniques in rigorously dried reaction apparatus and solvents. Diethyl ether (Merck), n-hexane and boron trifluoride etherate (Merck) were distilled before usage. Commercially available tetravinyltin (Aldrich), n-butyl lithium (1.6 M in hexane, Acros) and monoborane-THF (1 M, Aldrich) complex were used without further purification.

The liquid NMR samples were prepared by using standard Schlenk techniques in a purified argon atmosphere. The samples were prepared in the NMR tubes at low temperatures by using 0.5 ml deuterated THF (C₄D₈O, Sigma Aldrich) and the measurements were carried out at -10 °C. Si(CH₃)₄ was used as an external standard for ¹H and ¹³C NMR measurements. BF₃. O(CH₂CH₃)₂ and the solution of 9.7 m LiCl in D₂O was applied as an external standard for ¹¹B NMR and ⁷Li NMR measurements, respectively.

4.2.1.1 Vinyllithium (1)

Vinyllithium was synthesized according to the procedure by Seyferth et al. [186] with the reaction:

$$Sn(CH=CH_2)_4 + x n-BuLi \rightarrow n-Bu_xSn(CH=CH_2)_{4-x} + x LiCH=CH_2$$

Transmetalation reaction of tetravinyltin and n-butyllithium in n-hexane was applied to synthesize vinyllithium, where x = 2.33 and $n-Bu = CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$. Vinyllithium is a highly pyrophoric material when exposed to the air. The product was kept below 0 °C and used directly after production.

Preparation of vinyllithium

The apparatus consisted of a 250 ml three-necked flask which was equipped with a filter (P3 pore size) from one neck. A 100 ml two-necked flask was placed on the other side of the filter. 2.7 ml (0.015 mol) tetravinyltin was added into the three-necked flask in the argon flow. Afterwards, 70 ml distilled n-hexane and subsequently 22 ml (0.035 mol) n-butyllithium solution (1.6 M, solvent n-hexane) were added under continuous stirring. White vinyllithium precipitates were observed in the solution after a short while. The reaction solution was concentrated with a rapid argon flow until approximately 30 ml of solution was left in the flask. Approximately 1.2 g (0.035 mol) precipitated vinyllithium was filtered and washed three times with 10 ml distilled hexane. It was further dissolved in 80 ml diethyl ether.

Characterization of vinyllithium

The identification of vinyllithium, which was dissolved in diethyl ether, was achieved with liquid NMR by measuring the signals of ¹³C, ¹H and ⁷Li.

The ¹³C NMR of vinyllithium, which is given in Figure 16, showed the signals at 133.0 ppm assigned to the = $\underline{C}H_2$ and at 183.7 ppm assigned to = $\underline{C}HLi$. ¹H NMR spectrum indicated three sets of doublet of doublet chemical shifts which were positioned at 7.21 ppm, 6.60 ppm and 5.85 ppm for the LiC \underline{H}^{gem} CH₂, LiCHCH \underline{H}^{trans} and LiCHCH \underline{H}^{cis} , respectively (Figure 17). The coupling constants for geminal H were found as 19.05 Hz for J (H^{gem}, H^{trans}) and 23.88 Hz for J (H^{gem}, H^{cis}). For the trans H, the coupling constants had the values of 7.56 Hz for J (H^{trans}, H^{gem}). Finally, the observed coupling constants for cis H were 7.56 Hz for J (H^{cis}, H^{trans}) and 23.88 Hz for J (H^{trans}, H^{gem}). The ⁷Li NMR spectrum of vinyllithium was also measured and the signal was found to be centered at -0.9 ppm as can be seen in Figure 18. Liquid NMR of vinyllithium in tetrahydrofuran solution was investigated by Bauer et al. The chemical shifts and the coupling constants in this study were found to be quite consistent with the results published by Bauer et al. [187].



Figure 16: ¹³C-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether.



Figure 17: ¹H-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether.



Figure 18: ⁷Li-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether.

4.2.1.2 Boron Trifluoride Etherate, BF₃·O(CH₂CH₃)₂

Boron trifluoride etherate (Merck) (bpt.: 126 °C) was distilled under argon, and the purified product was kept below 0 °C. In the synthesis, freshly distilled $BF_3 \cdot O(CH_2CH_3)_2$ was used.

 $BF_3 \cdot O(CH_2CH_3)_2$ serves as a calibration standard for the NMR spectrometers, and the ¹¹B NMR of boron trifluoride etherate is given in the Figure 19 which shows the typical signal at 0 ppm.

4.2.1.3 Lithium Tetravinylborate, $Li[B(CH=CH_2)_4](2)$

Lithium tetravinylborate was synthesized by applying the route as developed by Seyferth et al. [186], according to the following equation:



Figure 19: ¹¹B-NMR spectrum of boron trifluoride etherate.

$$4 \operatorname{LiCH} = \operatorname{CH}_2 + \operatorname{BF}_3 \cdot \operatorname{O}(\operatorname{CH}_2 \operatorname{CH}_3)_2 \quad \rightarrow \operatorname{Li}[\operatorname{B}(\operatorname{CH} = \operatorname{CH}_2)_4] + 3 \operatorname{LiF} + \operatorname{O}(\operatorname{CH}_2 \operatorname{CH}_3)_2$$

The reaction of vinyllithium in diethyl ether with the boron trifluoride etherate was produced the air sensitive lithium tetravinylborate in diethyl ether solution.

Preparation of lithium tetravinylborate

The apparatus consisted of a 250 ml three-necked flask which was equipped with a reflux column on one neck. Approximately 1.2 g (0.035 mol) vinyllithium, dissolved in 80 ml ether, was placed into the three-necked flask under the argon flow. Afterwards, 0.6 ml (0.005 mol) freshly distilled boron trifluoride etherate was added dropwise into the three-necked flask with vigorous stirring. The mixture was refluxed for 12 hours and subsequently filtered by using a filter with P3 pore size and 100 ml volume. The obtained lithium tetravinylborate/diethyl ether solution was stored below 0 °C.

Characterization of lithium tetravinylborate

An ether solution of lithium tetravinylborate was characterized by employing ¹³C, ¹H, ¹¹B and ⁷Li liquid NMR measurements. The ¹³C NMR signals of lithium tetravinylborate were found at 113.1 ppm and 165.3 ppm that is given in Figure 20. The signal at 113.1 ppm was assigned to LiB (CH=<u>C</u>H₂)₄ and the one at 165.3 ppm to LiB(<u>C</u>H=CH₂)₄. The ¹H NMR spectra and the chemical shift values were given in Figure 21. The hydrogen chemical shifts were observed as doublet of doublet of quartets (ddq) for both cis (LiB(CH=CH<u>H</u>^{cis})₄) and trans (LiB(CH=CH<u>H</u>^{trans})₄) hydrogen atoms. The chemical shifts for cis and trans hydrogen atoms were centered at 4.77 ppm and 4.96 ppm, respectively. The geminal hydrogen atoms, LiB(C<u>H</u>^{gem} = CH₂)₄, demonstrated a doublet of doublet which was positioned at a chemical shift of 6.30 ppm. The coupling constants of cis hydrogen atoms were found as 6.21 Hz for J (H^{cis}, H^{trans})



Figure 20: ¹³C-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether.



Figure 21: ¹H-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether.

and 19.20 Hz for J (H^{cis}, H^{gem}). Considering the trans hydrogen atoms, the coupling constant values were 12.71 Hz for J (H^{trans}, H^{gem}) and 6.21 Hz for J (H^{trans}, H^{cis}). The coupling constants of geminal hydrogen atoms were deduced as 12.71 Hz for J (H^{gem}, H^{trans}) and 19.20 Hz for J (H^{gem}, H^{cis}). The boron chemical shift of LiB(CH=CH₂)₄ was observed at -16.1 ppm with a sharp resonance as demonstrated in the Figure 22. The obtained ¹¹B signal is consisted with the one published by Thompson et al. [188]. The lithium chemical shift was measured at -2.6 ppm, which can be seen in Figure 23.



Figure 22: ¹¹B-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether.



Figure 23: ⁷Li-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether.

4.2.2 Synthesis of PLEB (3)

Hydroboration polymerization of lithium tetravinylborate in diethyl ether was performed by dropwise addition of BH_3 ·THF at 0 °C to obtain PLEB according to the following equation:

$$3n \operatorname{Li}[B(CH=CH_2)_4] + 4n \operatorname{BH}_3 \cdot THF \rightarrow Polymer + 4n THF$$

where THF is tetrahydrofuran. The synthesis route showing all the steps to obtain as-synthesized poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB) is shown in Figure 24.

Preparation of PLEB

0.0067 mol (1 M solution in tetrahydrofuran) borane-tetrahydrofuran complex was dropwise added at 0 °C into a 0.63 g (0.005 mol) lithium tetravinylborate, which was dissolved in 80 ml diethyl ether, under vigorous stirring. The polymerization was carried out for 24 hours at 0 °C and allowed to proceed a further 24 hours at 25 °C. Further crosslinking was achieved by subsequently refluxing at 70 °C for 12 hours. Then, the reaction flask was connected to a cooling trap, where the solvent was collected. The obtained polymer was dried 24 hours at 70 °C under vacuum to obtain as-synthesized polymer.

Sn(CH=CH₂)₄ + x n-BuLi
$$\xrightarrow{\text{n-hexane}}$$
 n-Bu_xSn(CH=CH₂)_{4-x} + x LiCH=CH₂
1

4 LiCH=CH₂ + BF₃· O(CH₂CH₃)₂
$$\longrightarrow$$
 Li[B(CH=CH₂)₄] + 3 LiF+ O(CH₂CH₃)₂
2

3n Li[B(CH=CH₂)₄] + 4n BH₃ · THF
$$\xrightarrow{0 \circ C}$$
, diethylether 4n THF + Polymer **3**

Figure 24: Synthesis route for PLEB polymer.

The as-synthesized PLEB polymer was annealed at 275 °C around 1 day under argon atmosphere to obtain the annealed PLEB polymer. Both the as-synthesized and the annealed PLEB polymers were extensively investigated in the present work.

4.2.3 As-synthesized PLEB

4.2.3.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The amorphous character of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer was confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction, the diffractogram is given in Figure 25.



Figure 25: XRD pattern of as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

4.2.3.2 Elemental Analysis

The elemental analysis (except hydrogen) of the PLEB polymer resulted in the empirical formula $B_2C_{10}Li_1$, which matches the expected composition of the polymer. The chemical composition of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer (Table 4) gave the B:Li ratio as 2:1, which is in agreement with neutral boron and negatively charged borate to exist in the network in equal proportions, with lithium compensating the charge on the borate. Protons and minor amounts of remaining THF were accounted for the rest of the mass.

| Element | Mass~(%) | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|----------|-----------------------------|
| В | 10.27 | $B_{1.8}C_{9.8}Li_1$ |
| С | 61.11 | $(\approx B_2 C_{10} Li_1)$ |
| Li | 3.61 | |

Table 4: Chemical composition of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

4.2.3.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer is displayed in Figure 26. The bands between 2925 cm⁻¹ and 2853 cm⁻¹ represent the aliphatic C—H stretching vibrations. The presence of a weak free B—H stretching band at 2292 cm⁻¹ implies the formation of tetrahydroborate ions by ligand exchange reactions during the polymerization process. The band at 1632 cm^{-1} is assigned to the symmetric stretching vibrations of a B—H—B bridge structure. B—C asymmetric stretching bands are noticeable between 1040 cm⁻¹ and 1124 cm⁻¹ [189] and the CH₂ deformation vibrations are observed in the range of $1378-1465 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The bands at 1262 cm^{-1} , 823 cm^{-1} and 754 cm^{-1} represent CH₂ wagging, CH₂ rocking and B—C stretching vibrations, respectively. No alkenyl C—H ($3010-3095 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) bands could be detected in the FT-IR spectrum, which indicates that no residual vinyl groups are present. This also proves that the hydroboration reaction was completed. The band positions with intensities and interpretations are collected in Table 5.

4.2.3.4 Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectrum recorded for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer is given in Figure 27. The spectrum is indicating the main building blocks of the polymer (Table 6). Hence, the results are in clear agreement with the FT-IR spectrum. Asymmetric and symmetric vibrations of CH_2 bands are detected at 2968 cm⁻¹ and 2911 cm⁻¹, respectively. The band at 2303 cm⁻¹ implies the existence of the free B—H stretching vibrations of tetrahydroborate ions. CH_2 deformation, CH_2 wagging and C—C skeletal

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| 2925 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2853 | \mathbf{S} | $\nu~(\mathrm{C}\mathrm{-\!-H})$ |
| 2292 | m | ν (B—H) |
| 1632 | W | ν (B—H—B) |
| 1465 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1378 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1262 | m | γ (C—H) |
| 1124 - 1040 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 823 | m | ρ (C—H) |
| 754 | W | ν (B—C) |
| 530 - 427 | W | ρ (C—H) |

Table 5: FT-IR band positions and assignments of as-synthesized PLEB polymer.



Figure 26: FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer.



Figure 27: Raman spectrum of as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| 2971 | m | ν (C—H) |
| 2911 | S | $\nu~(\mathrm{C}\mathrm{-\!-}\mathrm{H})$ |
| 2303 | W | $\nu~(\mathrm{B}\mathrm{-\!-H})$ |
| 1459 | m | $\delta~(\mathrm{C}\mathrm{-\!-}\mathrm{H})$ |
| 1308 | W | $\gamma~(\mathrm{C}\mathchar`-\mathrm{H})$ |
| 1043 | W | $\nu(C-C)$ |
| 794 | W | $\rho~(\mathrm{C}\mathrm{-\!-}\mathrm{H})$ |
| 716 | S | $\rho~(\mathrm{C}\mathrm{-\!-}\mathrm{H})$ |
| 495 | S | ν (C—H) |

Table 6: Raman band positions and assignments for as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

stretching vibrations appear at 1459 cm⁻¹, 1308 cm⁻¹, and 1043 cm^{-1} , respectively. The bands at 794 cm⁻¹ and 716 cm⁻¹ are assigned to CH₂ rocking, and at 495 cm⁻¹ for CH₂ skeletal vibration bands.

4.2.3.5 Solid State NMR Measurements

Further characterization was performed by ¹³C and ¹¹B solid-state NMR spectroscopies. The ¹³C spectrum of the freshly prepared PLEB polymer (Figure 28) shows only one broad signal in the region of the spectrum that corresponds to aliphatic carbon atoms; this indicates that the hydroboration reaction was effective in transforming all vinyl groups, confirming the FT-IR results. Thus, this provides proof that the band at 1629 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum is not caused by C=C stretching vibrations, but rather by those of B—H—B bridges in the structure. The single peak, centered around 27 ppm, is rather broad, but this is typical for amorphous samples. The chemical shift indicates that most of the carbon exists in CH₂ units and that therefore most of the addition reactions must have happened in an anti-Markovnikov fashion. The small peak at 70 ppm may be the result of some remaining THF coordinating to the trialkylborane units.



Figure 28: Solid state ¹³C-NMR of as-synthesized PLEB polymer. $\omega_r(2\pi)^{-1} = 25 \text{ kHz}$.

¹¹B solid-state NMR spectra (Figure 29) were complicated by the presence of a strong ¹¹B background signal from the NMR probe. However, the MQMAS technique
suppresses this background and allows the separation of the various boron signals in the sample. After shearing transformation of the 2D MQMAS spectrum, one obtains an isotropic spectrum in the first dimension, while the width in the second dimension gives an impression of the amplitude of the quadrupolar coupling (CQCC). The axis labeling of the isotropic dimension follows a convention in which the position of a line becomes equal to the chemical shift in the case of vanishing quadrupolar coupling or infinite static field.



Figure 29: Solid state ¹¹B MQMAS spectrum recorded for as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

It is assumed that hydrogen-bridged boron has a larger quadrupolar coupling as compared to four-coordinated boron. In the PLEB polymer, two different boron species are expected: four-coordinated boron that originated from the tetravinylborate and hydrogen-bridged boron introduced with the borane. During the polymerization reaction, some ligand exchange takes place, leading to the formation of tetrahydroborate ions [190]. These ions have an almost vanishing quadrupolar coupling, leading to a narrow peak positioned at -42 ppm. The tetraalkylborate resonance also has a low quadrupolar coupling and forms narrow bands at 0 ppm and +5 ppm. The reduced local symmetry of the hydrogen-bridged alkylborane species leads to a larger quadrupolar coupling, and as a consequence the peak is much broader (located at +25 ppm and +40 ppm). Thus, three different boron species can be distinguished in the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. Different types or different numbers of attached alkyl groups are probably the reason behind the presence of multiple peaks for the latter two species.

The deconvolution of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer into a collection of mixed Lorentzian/Gaussian peaks (Figure 30) revealed that at least three different hydrogen species are present in the polymer structure with chemical shifts of 0.6 ppm, 1.5 ppm and 5.4 ppm. The smallest and the sharpest peak, appearing at 0.6 ppm, represent the tetrahydroborate species [191]. The largest proportion of the hydrogen atoms in the structure are incorporated into alkane units and are responsible for the spectral component at 1.5 ppm. The bridging hydrogen atoms possess the highest chemical shift and are associated with the component at 5.4 ppm [192].



Figure 30: Solid state ¹H-NMR spectrum recorded for as-synthesized PLEB polymer (red line: components of deconvolution, green line: sum of components, blue line: experimental).

4.2.3.6 Thermal Analysis

Thermal stability of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer was monitored simultaneously by differential thermal analysis, thermogravimetric analysis and mass spectroscopy (DTA/TG/MS) under argon atmosphere. As shown in Figure 31, no mass loss was observed up to 150 °C. The volatile loss of the residual THF (m/z = 42) solvent entrapped in the sample started at 150 °C and continued up to 225 °C. It was followed by the loss of oligomers, which were not connected to the network or generated by cleavage and reorganization of endgroups [H₂ (m/z = 2), BC₂H₆ (m/z = 41), BCH₄ and C₂H₃ (m/z = 27), C₂H₄ (m/z = 28), BC₂H₄ (m/z = 39)] between 225 °C and 300 °C. The escape of H₂ in this temperature regime also indicates the dehydrogenation reactions. Hence, the loss of chain ends with temperature activation would lead to the rearrangement and recombination of chains giving a more crosslinked structure. The highest mass loss observed between 300 °C and 600 °C was due to the main degrada-



Figure 31: DTA/TG/MS curves for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer in argon flow at 10 °C min⁻¹.

tion of the network. The fragments of H_2 (m/z = 2), CH_3 (m/z = 15) and C_2H_4 (m/z = 28) were evolved between 440 and 575 °C due to the atomic rearrangement involved with the simultaneous ceramization and depolymerization processes. The ceramic yield was about 35 % after annealing to 1000 °C. Formation of thermally weak alkyl bridges by the hydroboration reaction might result in the formation of a high amount of volatile species during the pyrolysis [193].

4.2.3.7 Main Features of the Structure

The combination of the findings from the FT-IR, solid-state NMR and TG/MS analyses on the amorphous as-synthesized PLEB polymer are presented schematically in Figure 32. The drawing shows the building blocks that were identified. The main structural features of this highly crosslinked polymer include hydrogen-bridged borane units and tetraethylene borate units, with the negative charge on the borate units is compensated by lithium cations. Moreover, the borane and borate units are connected by ethylene linkages, formed by a hydroboration reaction that mainly followed the anti-Markovnikov rule.



Figure 32: Schematic drawing of the structure of PLEB polymer showing the main building blocks.

4.2.4 Annealed PLEB

The detailed investigations for "as-synthesized" PLEB were presented in section section 4.1.2. In this section, the characterization of the poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB) after annealing for around 1 day at 275 °C will be discussed. The annealing was performed under argon atmosphere with 1 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates.

4.2.4.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The amorphous structure was preserved after annealing at 275 °C, as can be seen in the powder X-ray diffractogram in Figure 33.



Figure 33: XRD pattern of annealed PLEB polymer at 275 °C.

4.2.4.2 Elemental Analysis

The annealing of the PLEB polymer resulted in a chemical composition of 1.5:4:1 for the ratio of B:C:Li (Table 7). Thus, carbon accounts for the main mass loss during the annealing process. This was also accompanied by a small loss of boron, which is consistent with the TG/MS results that the chain ends and oligomers were fragmenting during annealing to form a more crosslinked structure. Thus, the annealing process increases the concentration of charge carriers and shortens the distance between boron atoms which should enhance the ionic conductivity.

4.2.4.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy

Similar IR peaks have been observed for the annealed PLEB polymer like in assynthesized PLEB polymer (Figure 34). The observed frequencies and assignments are tabulated in Table 8. However, there is a significant decrease in the intensity of the band corresponding to the non-bridging terminal B—H groups (2312 cm⁻¹). Furthermore, the dehydrogenation by temperature activation, which was detected by TG, has lead to a smaller amount of vinyl groups into the structure.



Figure 34: FT-IR spectrum of the annealed PLEB polymer.

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|----------------------------|
| В | 20.95 | $B_{1.49}C_{3.7}Li_1$ |
| С | 56.77 | $(\approx B_2C_5Li_{1.3})$ |
| Li | 9.02 | |

Table 7: Chemical composition of the annealed PLEB polymer.

Table 8: FT-IR band positions and assignments of annealed PLEB polymer.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| 2918 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2852 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2312 | W | ν (B—H) |
| 1607 | W | ν (B—H—B) |
| 1460 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1391 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1249 | m | γ (C—H) |
| 1134 - 1043 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 846 | m | ρ (C—H) |
| 759 | W | ν (B—C) |
| 629 - 563 | W | ρ (C—H) |

4.2.4.4 Solid State NMR Measurements

¹³C and ¹¹B MQMAS NMR measurements were also performed for the PLEB polymer annealed at 275 °C under argon atmosphere. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum is dominated by the signal of saturated carbon atoms at 26 ppm (Figure 35). The smaller peak at 138 ppm indicates that the annealing process results in the formation of some unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds in the chain. TG measurements had revealed that the hydrogen evolution starts below the annealing temperature. Therefore, the formation of unsaturated bonds is probably associated with this dehydrogenation process. The unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds could also be the consequence of a reverse hydroboration in which some boron units, most probably those located at the chain ends, were eliminated by the formation of a gaseous hydroborane species. This process would leave unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds behind. A part of these vinyl functions subsequently reacts with remaining hydroborane species, providing a more cross-linked network.



Figure 35: Solid state ¹³C-NMR spectrum of annealed PLEB polymer. $\omega_r (2\pi)^{-1} = 25 \text{ kHz}.$

The ¹¹B MQMAS spectrum of annealed PLEB polymer (Figure 36) also exhibits differences in comparison to the spectrum of as-synthesized polymer. The main components, which were presented in the spectra of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer, are still there. However, the peaks of the hydrogen-bridged boron atoms (positioned at +25 ppm and +40 ppm) which had small intensity in the as-synthesized PLEB polymer become more pronounced. It is thought that as a result of the reverse hydroboration, three-coordinated boron species that were previously invisible as a consequence of the large quadrupolar coupling become visible because these are now able to form hydrogen bridges. The peak representing tetrahydroborate species (at a chemical shift of -41 ppm) is lower in intensity with respect to the spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer, which is in agreement with the FT-IR results.



Figure 36: Solid state ¹¹B MQMAS spectrum recorded for annealed PLEB polymer.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the annealed PLEB polymer was deconvoluted into three peaks like in the as-synthesized form. The three components have appeared at chemical shifts of 0.2 ppm, 1.5 ppm and 6.9 ppm (Figure 37). The smallest peak at 0.2 ppm indicates the presence of tetrahydroborate species. The intensity of this component is decreased with respect to the as-synthesized form by the annealing process, which is in agreement with the ¹¹B MQMAS results. The main peak at 1.5 ppm still shows that the hydrogen atoms in the material are in the form of CH₂ groups. The main difference with the as-synthesized form is the shift of the component at low field from 5.4 ppm to 6.9 ppm. However, this shift is quite reasonable as the annealed PLEB polymer structure contains additional unsaturated carbons that were identified with ¹³C NMR. Hence, the NMR signals of hydrogen atoms connected to the unsaturated carbon atoms and those of bridging hydrogen atoms overlap and form the peak at 6.9 ppm. It is also in agreement with the ¹¹B NMR results that annealing increases the concentration of bridging hydrogen atoms in the structure.



Figure 37: Solid state ¹H-NMR spectrum recorded for annealed PLEB polymer (red line: components of deconvolution, green line: sum of components, blue line: experimental).

4.2.4.5 Thermal Analysis

DTA/TG/MS data indicates that the annealed PLEB polymer has a lower mass loss as compared to the as-synthesized PLEB polymer, and 88 % ceramic yield has been obtained at 1000 °C (Figure 38). The main mass loss processes have been observed to occur by evolution of H₂ (m/z = 2), BC₂H₆ (m/z = 41), BCH₄ and C₂H₃ (m/z = 27), C₂H₄ (m/z = 28), BC₂H₄ (m/z = 39) and CH₃ (m/z = 15).



Figure 38: DTA/TG/MS curves for the annealed PLEB polymer in argon flow at 10 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{min^{-1}}.$

4.2.4.6 Main Features of the Structure

The results of the analyses indicate that the main features of the structure for the polymer remained the same after annealing. It still has the same building blocks (hydrogen bridged boranes and four coordinated borates), and, connectivities as the as-synthesized PLEB polymer, that are schematically depicted in Figure 32. The main differences in the annealed PLEB polymer are the formation of minor amounts of vinyl

groups as a result of reverse hydroboration and an increase in the concentration of the hydrogen bridged boron species.

4.2.5 Analysis of the Ionic Conduction

The bulk conductivity of PLEB was determined by non linear mean square deviation curve fitting of the impedance spectrum. Anionic and cationic transference numbers were measured at different temperatures with a multipotentiostat using cells in which polyelectrolytes were sandwiched with non-blocking (lithium) or blocking (gold) electrodes. The direct current (dc) was monitored with time after a stepwise change of the cell voltage from 0 mV to 50 mV. The motion of the cations were investigated by ⁷Li-NMR at different temperatures.

4.2.5.1 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements were conducted for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer until 110 °C and for the annealed PLEB polymer until 275 °C under argon atmosphere. The heating and cooling rates were 1 °C min⁻¹. The temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities were plotted in Arrhenius type diagrams for both polymers (Figure 39). The activation energies of the polymers were derived from the slope of the conductivity curves, which were equal to $145 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer and 70 kJ mol⁻¹ for the PLEB polymer annealed at 275 °C. The conductivity values at different temperatures and activation energies for both polymers are given in Table 9. Low frequency diffusion spikes were observed in the Nyquist plots (Figure 40), when blocking electrodes were used. This proves that the conduction is ionic in nature.

The impedance data presented in the complex plane format exhibits only one semicircle until 100 °C, which can be interpreted as a parallel RQ element (Q:constant phase element) in the equivalent circuit. An additional low-frequency spike appears, representing the barrier to charge transfer between the sample and the ion-blocking gold electrodes at higher temperatures (Figure 40). Hence, Q_{el} , an additional constant phase element, was included for the equivalent circuit in series with the RQ element. The capacitance, C, can be determined according to $C = Q^{1/n} \times R^{(1/n)-1}$. The calculated values for the semicircles at different temperatures ($C \approx 4 \times 10^{-12}$ F) are typical for the bulk capacitance of a sample [45]. Therefore, the semicircle can be associated with the lithium ion conductivity in the bulk.



Figure 39: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized and (b) annealed PLEB polymers at 275 °C. Heating and cooling are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

| | | | σ /S cm ⁻¹ | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | ${ m E}_a \ / \ { m kJ \ mol^{-1}}$ | 80 °C | 110 °C | 275 °C |
| As-synthesized PLEB polymer | 145 | $6.5 \ge 10^{-9}$ | $3.0 \ge 10^{-7}$ | _ |
| Annealed PLEB polymer at 275 °C | 70 | $3.0 \ge 10^{-10}$ | $2.5 \ge 10^{-9}$ | $1.6 \ge 10^{-6}$ |

Table 9: Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}C$, 110 $^{\circ}C$ and 275 $^{\circ}C$) for PLEB.



Figure 40: Nyquist plot of the PLEB polymer with blocking gold electrodes at 276 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

4.2.5.2 Direct Current Measurements

Transference numbers for cations were estimated by means of the potentiostatic polarization measurements on the polyelectrolyte sandwiched between two lithium electrodes. Dc measurements were performed by monitoring the electrical current response after a voltage step (Figure 41a). The effective lithium transference number (t_{Li^+}) was calculated from the ratio of the steady state current $(3.80(9) \times 10^{-7} \text{ mA})$ to the initial current $(3.5(8) \times 10^{-7} \text{ mA})$ as in the following equation (eqn (7));

$$t_{Li^+} = \frac{I_{(t \to \infty)}}{I_{(t \to 0)}} = \frac{\sigma_{Li^+}}{\sigma_{total}}$$
(7)

by using a cell with non-blocking lithium electrodes (Li/polymer/Li) [194]. The cationic transference number (t_+) was determined as 1.0(2) at 137 °C. The value of t_+ , which approaches unity, indicates that the polymer is a virtually pure Li⁺ conductor.

Dc potentials were also applied to a cell with ion blocking Au electrodes to test the contribution of the electronic component to the conductivity (Au/polymer/Au).



Figure 41: Electrical currents versus time for the cells (a) Au/polymer/Au (at 255°C and 277°C) and, (b) Li/polymer/Li (at 137°C) after switching on dc voltage at time zero.

As can be seen from the Figure 41b, smooth and gradual decays were observed. The initial current with blocking electrodes can be used to check whether the dc data is consistent with the ac data. At 277 °C, the initial resistance in the dc measurement is $6.2 \times 10^5 \Omega$. The ac measurement at 276 °C gave a lower resistance value ($1.6 \times 10^5 \Omega$), but this is expected because the dc measurement has a steep negative slope at the start of the measurement. The dc steady state conductivity was determined as 1.2×10^{-9} S cm⁻¹ at 277 °C, which was on the order of 1/1000 that of the ionic conductivity determined by ac impedance (1.6×10^{-6} S cm⁻¹ at 276 °C). The effective electronic transference numbers (t_{el}) were calculated as $t_{el} = 0.028(3)$ at 255 °C and 0.006(3) at 277 °C. The electronic transference numbers were almost close to 0, that there was negligible electronic contribution to the conductivity.

4.2.5.3 Static ⁷Li-NMR Measurements

⁷Li-NMR analyses were performed to track the motion of the cations. ⁷Li, being a quadrupolar nucleus, has interaction both with the electric field gradient in the sample as well as with the magnetic dipole moments of other nuclei. The central transition is not broadened by the first-order quadrupolar interaction, but purely by dipolar interactions. Proton decoupling does not influence the linewidth, therefore the main line broadening mechanism must be the homonuclear dipolar interaction. When taking the full width at half height of the entire resonance line, the actual linewidth that one measures is that of the central transition, since the satellite transitions are more strongly broadened and will only influence the shape of the foot of the line.

Static ⁷Li NMR was measured at different temperatures for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer (Figure 42). The static ⁷Li linewidth undergoes motional narrowing as the temperature increases. Since the polymer is heavily crosslinked, the motion of the polymer backbone is restricted. Most of the motional narrowing will be caused by the lithium ions moving through the polymer. The linewidth as a function of temperature is given in Figure 42a.

At low temperatures, the resonance line has a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 11 kHz. This value remains constant until -25 °C, indicating that the lithium ions are slow with respect to the NMR timescale. 11 kHz therefore represents the rigid lattice linewidth of the material. Above -25 °C, the NMR linewidth decreases due to the averaging of interactions between nuclear spins with other nuclear spins and between the spins with the environment, which is a consequence of the mobility of the



Figure 42: Temperature dependence of 7 Li-static NMR (a) line widths and (b) correlation time of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer.

cation entering the NMR timescale. Abragam gives a relation between the motional correlation time and the dipolar line narrowing as (eqn(8));

$$\tau_C = \frac{\alpha}{\Delta_{HT}} \tan\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{HT}}{\Delta_{RL}}\right)^2\right] \tag{8}$$

in which, τ_C is the correlation time, α is a constant of the order of unity, Δ_{HT} and Δ_{RL} are the FWHHs at a given temperature and in the rigid lattice [195].

The correlation time is related by an Arrhenius rule to the activation energy of the motional process as (eqn(9));

$$\tau_C = \tau_0 exp(E_a/\mathrm{kT}) \tag{9}$$

The activation energy can be calculated from ⁷Li line width measurements by fitting the equations 4.2 and 4.3. Only the linear part of the Arrhenius plot (Figure 4.27b) was taken into account. At temperatures above 100 °C, the plot is no longer linear because the T₂ relaxation and other interactions start to dominate the linewidth. The slope of the linear part yields $E_a = 18.10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. This activation energy is significantly lower than the activation energy obtained from conductivity measurements. Large differences in NMR and conductivity activation energies were also observed by other researchers; i.e., lithiumborate glasses [196] and poly(vinyl alcohol) based polymer electrolytes [197]. The most likely reason for this is that the motion causing the NMR line narrowing includes the local motions. The energy barriers encountered by the ions for long range motions are much higher than for local motions, leading to higher activation energy for the conductivity.

4.3 Poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenephenylboryl)borate] (PLEPB)

4.3.1 Synthesis of PLEPB

Poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB) was synthesized as described in Section 4.2.2 [198]. 0.0049 mol (2.55 ml) phenyl lithium (1.9 M solution in dibutylether, Fluka) was added dropwise into a freshly synthesized 0.84 g (0.0077 mol tri-coordinated borane unit) PLEB in 70 ml distilled ether under continuous stirring at 25 °C. The reaction was carried out for 24 hours at 25 °C. Then, the polymer was dried for 24 hours at 70 °C under vacuum to obtain the "as-synthesized PLEPB polymer".

The "annealed PLEPB polymer" was obtained by tempering the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer at 275 °C for 24 h under argon atmosphere. In this work, the detailed investigations were carried out for both as-synthesized and annealed PLEPB polymers.

4.3.2 Characterization of as-synthesized PLEPB

4.3.2.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer is given in Figure 43, indicating the amorphous character of the polymer.

4.3.2.2 Elemental Analysis

The elemental analysis of the PLEPB polymer was performed (except for hydrogen), the results of which are given in Table 10, and the empirical formula was found as $B_{1.1}C_{8.6}Li_1$. The PLEPB polymer contains boron and lithium in the ratio of B:Li as 2:1.8, which demonstrates that the number of lithium atoms per boron was increased in the PLEPB polymer by reacting as-synthesized PLEB, having the ratio of B:Li as 2:1, with phenyl lithium. Moreover, when the ratio of B:C is compared in both as-synthesized polymers before and after reacting with phenyl lithium, the respective results are 2:10 and 2:16. Thus, incorporation of phenyl lithium in the PLEB polymer increased both the carbon and lithium ratio with respect to boron in the as-synthesized



Figure 43: XRD pattern of as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

Table 10: Chemical composition of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|---|
| В | 7.70 | $\mathrm{B}_{1.1}\mathrm{C}_{8.6}\mathrm{Li}_1$ |
| С | 67.03 | $(\approx B_2 C_{16} Li_{1.8})$ |
| Li | 4.50 | |

polymers. The charge carrier concentration was thus increased in PLEPB, which should increase the ionic conductivity, along with the enhancement in the concentration of carbon atoms between boron atoms.

4.3.2.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy

In the FT-IR spectrum of the PLEPB polymer, which is presented in Figure 44, the C—H aromatic stretching of the phenyl group is noticeable at 3055 cm^{-1} , and the bands appear at 775 cm⁻¹, 704 cm⁻¹ and 645 cm⁻¹ stand for the monosubstituted aromatic C—H out of plane deformations [199]. The characteristic bands of the phenyl ring between 1593 cm⁻¹ and 1380 cm⁻¹ represent the aromatic C=C stretching vibrations. In the same region, where aromatic stretching vibrations occur, B—H—B symmetric



Figure 44: FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

| Wavenumbers $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|------------------------|--------------|---|
| 3055 | m | ν (C—H) aromatic |
| 2958 | \mathbf{S} | ν (C—H) |
| 2873 | \mathbf{S} | ν (C—H) |
| 2292 | m | ν (B—H) |
| 1593 | W | ν (C=C) aromatic and ν (B—H—B) |
| 1463 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) |
| 1427 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic |
| 1380 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) |
| 1254 | S | γ (C—H) |
| 1156 | W | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 1114 - 1073 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 943 | S | $\delta~({ m ring})$ |
| 823 | S | $\rho (C-H)$ |
| 775 | \mathbf{S} | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 741 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 704 | S | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 645 | W | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 483 | m—s | ρ (C—H) and δ (ring) |

Table 11: FT-IR band positions and assignments for PLPEB polymer.

stretching of the hydrogen bridged boranes at 1593 cm⁻¹, along with CH₂ deformation vibrations at 1463 cm⁻¹ and 1380 cm⁻¹ overlap. The B—H stretching band at 2292 cm^{-1} is assigned to the tetrahydroborate species, similar to the PLEB polymer. Further interpretations of assignments of wavenumbers together with intensities are compiled in Table 11. The IR spectrum of PLPEB polymer thus clearly indicates the incorporation of the phenyl groups in polymer, which can be figured out from the vibrations belonging to the phenyl group.

4.3.2.4 Raman Spectroscopy

The presence of the phenyl groups in the PLPEB polymer is identified with the characteristic aromatic C—H deformation vibrations at 1001 cm⁻¹ and aromatic C=C stretching vibrations at 1594 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum of PLEPB, which can be seen in Figure 45 [200]. Other characteristic bands that represent phenyl groups are



Figure 45: Raman spectrum of as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

aromatic C—H stretching vibrations at 3048 cm⁻¹, C—H deformation vibrations at 1032 cm^{-1} and ring vibrations at 622 cm^{-1} . Existence of very strong band at 1001 cm^{-1} along with vibrations at 622 cm^{-1} and 1032 cm^{-1} are thus interpreted for mono substituted phenyl groups [200]. Moreover, the band at 1156 cm^{-1} is assigned to asymmetric stretching of phenyl attached to boron [201]. An overview of the further interpretations on the Raman bands and their assignments are summarized in Table 12.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|---------------|---|
| 3048 | m | ν (C—H) aromatic |
| 2900 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 1594 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic |
| 1448 | m | δ (C—H) |
| 1308 | W | γ (C—H) |
| 1156 | W | ν (B—phenyl) |
| 1032 | m | δ (C—H) aromatic and ν (C—C) |
| 1001 | \mathbf{VS} | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 827 | W | ρ (C—H) |
| 622 | m | $\delta~({ m ring})$ |

Table 12: Raman band positions and corresponding assignments for PLEPB polymer.

4.3.2.5 Solid State NMR Measurements

The as-synthesized PLEPB polymer was further characterized by ¹H, ¹¹B and ¹³C solid-state NMR spectroscopy techniques. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEPB revealed that at least two different hydrogen species exist in the structure of polymer with chemical shifts around 1.4 ppm and 7 ppm. Similar to PLEB, the largest proportion of the hydrogen atoms in the structure are responsible for the spectral component at 1.4 ppm, and they represent the hydrogen atoms incorporated into alkane units. The aromatic hydrogen atoms possess the highest chemical shift which are associated with the component exhibiting a shoulder at 7 ppm. This represents the incorporation of phenyl groups into the PLEB structure. Since the solid state ¹H NMR spectrum was highly unresolved, definite assignments of the peaks for hydrogen atoms

in the spectrum can not be stated here. Therefore, hydroborate and bridging hydrogen components of the polymer can not be separated from the broad peak.



Figure 46: Solid state ¹H-NMR spectrum recorded for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

¹¹B solid state NMR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLPEB polymer, which is shown in Figure 47, was measured at room temperature by using the standard nutation pulse sequence. The lengths of nutation pulse sequence lengths were 5 μ s for the π -pulse combined with a τ_r of 1/25000 s. The signal from the background is broadened and appeared between -60 ppm and +40 ppm in the bottom of the spectrum, which could be separated from the polymer's signal by using nutation spectra. In the spectrum, the chemical shifts for three different four-coordinated boron species were identified with the chemical shifts located at -15 ppm, -42 ppm and between 0 ppm and +5 ppm. Similar to the case for PLEB polymer, tetraalkylborate species form narrow bands between 0 ppm and +5 ppm with a resonance of low quadrupolar coupling. Tetrahydroborate ions, which form by some ligand exchange reactions during the polymerization reactions, exhibit a narrow peak at -42 ppm with a nearly vanishing quadrupolar constant. However, the majority of the four coordinated boron species form a narrow peak at -15 ppm. Hansen et al. reported the ¹¹B chemical shift for sodium tetraphenylborate as -9.2 ppm [202], and Thompson et al. determined the ¹¹B chemical shift of lithium tetraethylborate as -17.5 ppm [188]. In accordance to the available chemical shift values in literature, the chemical shift at -15 ppm is assigned to the four-coordinated boron species substituted by three ethyl and one phenyl groups. Hence, ¹¹B NMR clearly depicts that phenyl lithium reacted with PLEB polymer, and phenyl groups were substituted into this four-coordinated boron species together with three ethyl groups forming the triethylenephenylborate groups in the structure of the PLEPB polymer. Apart from the four-coordinated boron species, hydrogen-bridged boron species, which were introduced via the borane species, are also expected to be present in the PLPEB polymer structure. It is assumed that as a result of the reduced local symmetry, hydrogen-bridged alkylborane species possess a larger quadrupolar coupling in comparison with the four-coordinated boron species. Hence, the broad peak located between +5 ppm and +50 ppm was assigned to the hydrogen-bridged alkylborane species. Therefore, four different boron species can be distinguished in the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. A different aspect of this polymer is the formation of highly intense peak at -15 ppm, which stands for a phenyl and three ethyl substituted four-coordinated boron species.



Figure 47: Solid state ¹¹B nutation NMR spectrum recorded for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer.

The solid state ¹³C NMR spectrum of the PLPEB polymer, which is depicted in Figure 48, exhibits three peaks. The broad signal centered around 26 ppm is the dominating signal in the solid state ¹³C NMR spectrum. This is attributed to the saturated carbon atoms and the chemical shift value points out that most of the carbon atoms exist in CH_2 units, similar to the PLEB polymer (without incorporation of phenyl lithium). The peak at 70 ppm most probably occurs as a result of the coordination of the some remaining THF to the trialkylborane units. The signal at 130 ppm, which was not observed in PLEB polymer, is assigned to the carbon atoms in the phenyl group, which clearly proves the incorporation of the phenyl groups into the PLPEB polymer.



Figure 48: Solid state ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. $\omega_r \ (2\pi)^{-1} = 25$ kHz.

4.3.2.6 Thermal Analysis

In order to investigate the thermal stability and the decomposition behaviour of the PLEPB polymer, DTA/TG/MS analyses were performed under argon flow by heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ between room temperature and 1000 °C. The measurements, see Figure 49, show that the PLEPB polymer is thermally stable up to 150 °C. The decomposition proceeded up to 500 °C and the ceramic yield at 1000 °C was found as 45 %. This shows that, phenyl substitution increased the ceramic yield at 1000 °C in comparison to the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. Most of the residual THF solvent entrapped in the PLEPB polymer was evolved until 210 °C (m/z = 42). The oligomeric groups and chain ends cleaved and reorganized at temperatures higher than

210 °C. The polymer network degraded mainly between 300 °C and 470 °C with the fragments of H₂ (m/z = 2), BC₂H₆ (m/z = 41), BCH₄and C₂H₃ (m/z = 27), C₂H₄ (m/z = 28), BC₂H₄ (m/z = 39) and CH₃ (m/z = 15). The atomic rearrangement and depolymerization of the network proceeded beyond this latter temperature range with the evolution of C₂H₄ (m/z = 28) and CH₃ (m/z = 15) until 750 °C, and H₂ (m/z = 2) until 900 °C.



Figure 49: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer in argon flow at 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ heating rate.

4.3.2.7 Main Features of the PLEPB Structure

The schematic drawing in Figure 50 shows the building blocks that we have identified via the combination of findings from the analyses conducted on the amorphous as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. The main features of the PLEB polymer remained almost the same after the reaction with phenyl lithium. The same building blocks of hydrogen bridged boranes and four-coordinated borates as well as the connectivities were identified. However, the main differences between these two polymers are the incorporation of phenyl groups in the main polymer backbone of PLEB polymer, and a new building block was identified with three ethylene and a phenyl substituents forming a four-coordinated borate unit.



Figure 50: Schematic drawing of the structure of PLEPB polymer showing the main building blocks.

4.3.3 Characterization of annealed PLEPB

The characterization of the poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenephenylboryl)bo- rate] after annealing will be discussed in this section. The annealing was performed for around one day at 275 °C under argon atmosphere with 1 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates.

4.3.3.1 X-ray Powder Diffraction Analysis

The amorphous character of the PLEPB polymer was preserved after annealing and Figure 51 shows the X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the annealed PLPEB polymer.



Figure 51: Powder XRD pattern of the annealed PLEPB polymer.

4.3.3.2 Elemental Analysis

The results of the elemental analysis for the annealed PLEPB polymer are presented in Table 13. According to the elemental analysis results, the ratio of B:C:Li is determined as 0.8:4.7:1. This shows that during annealing process, the major weight loss was due to the carbon atoms accompanied by the minor weight loss of boron atoms, in a similar manner as PLEB does. The ratio of carbon and boron atoms decreased and a high charge carrier concentration was obtained via annealing, which results a B:Li ratio as 2:2.4.

Table 13: Chemical composition of the annealed PLEPB.

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|---|
| В | 10.70 | $\mathrm{B}_{0.8}\mathrm{C}_{4.7}\mathrm{Li}_{1}$ |
| С | 66.25 | $(\approx B_2 C_{11} Li_{2.4})$ |
| Li | 8.16 | |

4.3.3.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy

In order to understand the effect of annealing on the PLEPB polymer, IR measurement was performed, and the assignment of the bands together with the intensities are given in Table 14. The similar peaks like in as-synthesized PLEPB polymer were detected as can be seen in Figure 52. However, the main difference is the clearly observable loss of the band representing non-bridging terminal B—H groups at 2292 cm⁻¹ by annealing. The same effect was also observed in the PLEB polymer. As mentioned in Section 4.2.4.3, introduction of some vinylic groups into the structure via annealing were detected from the IR spectrum in PLEB polymer. However, these groups could not be distinguished for PLEPB polymer from the IR spectrum, since vinylic vibrations appear in the same range of the spectrum as phenylic vibrations do.

| Wavenumbers $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|------------------------|-----------|---|
| 3047 | m | ν (C—H) aromatic |
| 2925 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2855 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 1597 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic and ν (B—H—B) |
| 1439 | S | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) |
| 1408 | S | ν (C=C) aromatic |
| 1298 | S | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) |
| 1263 | S | γ (C—H) |
| $1071 {-} 1025$ | m—s | ν (B—C) |
| 964 | S | $\delta~({ m ring})$ |
| 881 | S | ρ (C—H) |
| 748 | m | ν (B—C) |
| 702 | S | δ (C—H) aromatic |
| 504-436 | m—s | ρ (C—H) and δ (ring) |

Table 14: FT-IR band positions and assignments of the annealed PLEPB polymer.



Figure 52: FT-IR spectrum of the annealed PLEPB polymer.

4.3.3.4 Thermal Analysis

DTA/TG/MS analysis of the annealed PLEPB polymer was performed between room temperature and 1000 °C under argon flow with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The obtained ceramic yield was 73 % at 1000 °C, which is higher than for the assynthesized PLEPB polymer, as expected. The main decomposition of the network was observed between 300 °C and 550 °C with the evolution of H₂ (m/z = 2), BC₂H₆ (m/z = 41), BCH₄ and C₂H₃ (m/z = 27), C₂H₄ (m/z = 28), BC₂H₄ (m/z = 39) and CH₃ (m/z = 15). The evolution of CH₃ (m/z = 15) until 700 °C, and H₂ (m/z = 2) until 900 °C were additionally observed, which indicates the atomic rearrangement and depolymerization processes.

(PLEPB)



Figure 53: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the annealed PLEPB polymer in argon flow with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ heating rate.

4.3.4 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements were carried out via impedance spectroscopy by applying heating and cooling rates of 1 °C min⁻¹. The measurements were conducted from RT to 110 °C for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer and up to 275 °C for the annealed PLEPB polymer, under argon atmosphere. Figure 54 shows the temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities for both polymers, which were plotted in Arrhenius type diagrams. From the slope of the conductivity curves, activation energies were evaluated, with the values of 146 kJ mol⁻¹ for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer and 78 kJ mol⁻¹ for the annealed PLEPB polymer at 275 °C. Resulting activation energies for both polymers are slightly higher in comparison to the PLEB polymer counterparts before incorporation of the phenyl lithium (see Table 9). This is probably due to the

enhancement in bulkiness of the PLEB polymer via incorporation of the phenyl groups. Moreover, incorporation of phenyl lithium increases the charge carrier concentration and thus enhances the ionic conductivity values for both as-synthesized and annealed PLEPB polymers.



Figure 54: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized and (b) annealed PLEPB polymers at 275 °C. Heating and cooling are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

Furthermore, bulky phenyl groups in PLEPB polymer attached to borate units are expected to prevent close approach of the lithium cations and reduce the possible ion pairing, which should enhance the ionic conductivity for the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. Compared to PLEB, one order of magnitude increase in the ionic conductivity values has been observed for as-synthesized PLEPB polymer at 110 °C. The ionic conductivities at different temperatures and corresponding activation energies, for both as-synthesized and annealed PLEPB polymers, were tabulated in Table 15. When the measurements were performed by ion blocking gold electrodes, low frequency diffusion spikes were observed in the Nyquist plots. Measurements were also recorded by using ion non-bocking lithium electrodes and no low frequency spike could be observed. According to the these results, the nature of the conduction in PLEPB polymer is ionic.



Figure 55: Nyquist plot of the PLEPB polymer with blocking gold electrodes at 276 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

The interpretation of the impedance data was performed by a parallel RQ element in the equivalent circuit up to 90 °C, which possesses one semicircle in the complex plane format, in this temperature regime. When the measurements were performed at higher temperatures, a low frequency spike was observed in addition to the semicircle in Nyquist plots (Figure 55), indicating the presence of a charge transfer barrier between the sample and ion-blocking gold electrodes. Therefore, an additional constant phase element Q_{el} was used in series with the RQ element in the equivalent circuit. The capacitance values for the semicircles at different temperatures were determined and the corresponding values were in the range of 10^{-12} F. This order of magnitude is typically associated with the bulk capacitance of a sample, which proves that the lithium ion conductivity in the bulk can be expressed with the semicircle [45].
| | | | $\sigma~/S~cm^{-1}$ | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | ${ m E}_a \ / \ { m kJ \ mol^{-1}}$ | 80 °C | 110 °C | 275 °C |
| As-synthesized PLEPB polymer | 146 | $8.0 \ge 10^{-8}$ | $2.2 \text{ x } 10^{-6}$ | _ |
| Annealed PLEPB polymer at 275 °C | 78 | $3.4 \ge 10^{-10}$ | $2.9 \ge 10^{-9}$ | $3.9 \ge 10^{-6}$ |

Table 15: Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 110 $^{\circ}$ C and 275 $^{\circ}$ C) for PLEPB polymers.

4.4 Poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenemethylboryl)borate] (PLEMB)

4.4.1 Synthesis of PLEMB

Poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenemethylboryl)borate] (PLEMB) was synthesized by using standard Schlenk techniques. The reaction was performed by dropwise addition of 0.0064 mol (4 ml) methyl lithium (1.6 M solution in diethylether, Sigma Aldrich) into a freshly synthesized 0.6884 g PLEB polymer (0.0064 mol tri-coordinated borane unit) in 80 ml distilled ether under continuous mixing at 25 °C. The reaction was carried out for 72 hours at 25 °C and the product further dried for 64 hours at 70 °C.

4.4.2 X-ray Powder Diffraction

Figure 56 shows the X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the PLEMB polymer, which indicates that incorporation of methyl lithium into the polymer did not change the amorphous character of the PLEB polymer.

4.4.3 Elemental Analysis

According to the elemental analysis results, the empirical formulae of B:C:Li in PLEMB polymer was determined as 2:11:2.2. The lithium ratio per boron atom, which is 1.1 Li for each boron atom, is higher than the as-synthesized PLEB and PLEPB polymers. The ratio of carbon per boron atom is around 5.5, which is more than the PLEB polymer. Therefore, elemental analysis results show the expected increase in lithium and carbon concentrations in the PLEMB polymer via incorporating methyl lithium into the PLEB polymer.



Figure 56: XRD pattern of PLEMB polymer.

| Table 16: Ch | hemical con | position of | f the | PLEMB | polymer |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|---------|
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|---------|

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|---|
| В | 10.51 | $\mathrm{B}_{0.9}\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{Li}_{1}$ |
| С | 62.63 | $(\approx B_2 C_{11} Li_{2.2})$ |
| Li | 7.60 | |

4.4.4 FT-IR Analysis

Figure 57 displays the FT-IR spectrum of PLEMB polymer. The incorporation of methyl groups into the PLEMB polymer is characterized with the bands at 1392 cm⁻¹ and 1294 cm⁻¹ representing asymmetric and symmetric CH₃ deformation vibrations, respectively. CH₃ rocking and B—C asymmetric stretching vibrations appear at 934 cm⁻¹ and in the range of 1039–1119 cm⁻¹, respectively. Similar to PLEB polymer, a free B—H stretching band is observed at 2292 cm⁻¹, which belongs to non-bridging B—H species. Furthermore, the band at 1578 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the symmetric stretching vibration of a B—H—B bridge structure. An overview of the peak assignments along with intensities for IR bands are tabulated in Table 17.



Figure 57: FT-IR spectrum of the PLEMB polymer.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| 2955 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2891 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2292 | m | ν (B—H) |
| 1578 | W | ν (B—H—B) |
| 1461 | m | δ (C—H) |
| 1392 | W | δ (C—H) |
| 1294 | m | δ (C—H) |
| 1248 | S | γ (C—H) |
| 1119 - 1039 | w—s | ν (B—C) |
| 934 | S | ρ (C—H) |
| 832 | S | ρ (C—H) |
| 745 | m | ν (B—C) |
| 535 - 437 | m | ρ (C—H) |

Table 17: FT-IR band positions and assignments of PLEMB polymer.

4.4.5 Thermal Analysis

The thermal stability of the PLEMB polymer was analysed simultaneously by differential thermal analysis, thermogravimetric analysis and mass spectroscopy (DTA/TG/MS) technique from room temperature to 1000 °C under argon flow with a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate. The mass loss for the PLEMB polymer starts at higher temperatures compared to phenyl lithium incorporated polymer (PLEPB). No mass loss for the PLEMB polymer was observed up to 175 °C, which can be seen in Figure 58. The major loss of volatile residual THF (m/z = 42), that were entrapped in the sample, was observed in the temperature range from 175 °C to 250 °C. The mass loss observed above 250 °C was assigned to the loss of oligomers that are not connected to the main network and the reorganization of the PLEMB network. The main decomposition of the network was proceeded approximately between 300 °C and 500 °C, and monitored with the evolution of the fragments such as H₂ (m/z = 2), BC₂H₆ (m/z = 41), BCH₄ and C_2H_3 (m/z = 27), C_2H_4 (m/z = 28), BC_2H_4 (m/z = 39), and CH_3 (m/z = 15). In addition, the fragments of CH_3 (m/z = 15) and C_2H_4 (m/z = 28) between 500 °C and 700 °C, and H_2 (m/z = 2) between 500 °C and 900 °C were evolved due to the atomic rearrangement involved with the simultaneous depolymerization and ceramization processes. The ceramic yield of the PLEMB polymer is determined as 50 % after heating up to 1000 °C. Methyl substitution thus increased the ceramic yield at 1000 °C compared to the both as-synthesized PLEB and PLEPB polymers.



Figure 58: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLEMB polymer in argon flow at 10 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{min^{-1}}.$

4.4.6 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements of the PLEMB polymer were performed up to $150 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $350 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ under argon flow with heating and cooling rates of $1 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,^{-1}$. The PLEMB polymer was annealed under argon atmosphere up to the $350 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the annealed PLEMB polymer were used for ionic conductivity measurements. Figure 59 shows the

Arrhenius plots of the temperature dependent ionic conductivities for PLEMB polymers. The activation energies of PLEMB polymers were deduced from the slopes of the Arrhenius plots, which were found as 134 kJ mol⁻¹ and 66 kJ mol⁻¹ for the measurements performed up to 150 °C and 350 °C, respectively. The activation energies and the ionic conductivities at different temperatures for both measurements are tabulated in Table 18.



Figure 59: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized and (b) annealed PLEMB polymers at 350 °C. Heating and cooling are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

Methyl lithium incorporation decreased the activation energy values of the PLEB polymers. In addition, lower ionic conductivity values are obtained for the PLEMB polymer measured up to 150 °C (see Figure 61); on the other hand, the ionic conductivity is enhanced in the as-synthesized PLEB polymer with incorporated bulky phenyl groups. Ion pair formation of lithium cations with the immobilized negative charge on the chain is more probable in methyl incorporated polymer than in phenyl counterpart. It is also noteworthy to remember that PLEMB polymer possesses the highest lithium ratio per boron atom in these as-synthesized polymer series (ratio of boron to lithium is 1:1.1). Related to this, it is also plausible that a slight excess of lithium atoms per boron atom will increase the possibility of trapping of the lithium atoms by the small amount of THF solvent present in the polymer matrix. Obviously, 150 °C

is not a sufficiently high temperature to remove the THF entrapped in the polymer, which can also be seen in DTA/TG/MS thermograms. On the other hand, when the PLEMB polymer was annealed at 350 °C, removal of THF in polymer releases lithium atoms free from THF traps such that ionic conductivity of PLEMB polymer increases in this stage significantly, surpassing the conductivities of PLEB and PLEPB polymers. This is consistent with the higher lithium concentration in PLEMB polymer than its counterparts.

In the Nyquist plots, the one recorded at 350 °C is present in Figure 60, low frequency diffusion spikes were observed when ion blocking gold electrodes were used. Thus, it shows that the nature of the conduction is ionic. The impedance data presented in the complex plane format exhibit in all temperatures a semicircle and an additional low frequency spike, which corresponds to the charge transfer barrier between ion blocking gold electrodes and the sample. In the equivalent circuit, the semicircle was interpreted as a parallel RQ element, and an additional constant phase element was included in series with the RQ element to interpret the low frequency spike. The capacitance values for the semicircles were determined at different temperatures ($C \approx 7 \times 10^{-12}$ F), which corresponds to the typical values for the bulk capacitance of the samples [45]. Therefore, the lithium ion conductivity of PLEMB in the bulk can be associated with the semicircle.



Figure 60: Nyquist plot of the PLEMB polymer with blocking gold electrodes at 350 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

| | | | $\sigma \ /S \ cm^{-1}$ | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | ${ m E}_a \ / { m kJ \ mol^{-1}}$ | 80 °C | 150 °C | 350 °C |
| As-synthesized PLEMB polymer | 134 | $3.4 \ge 10^{-11}$ | $4.1 \ge 10^{-8}$ | _ |
| Annealed PLEMB polymer at 350 °C | 66 | $1.9 \ge 10^{-9}$ | $1.9 \ge 10^{-7}$ | $3.2 \ge 10^{-5}$ |

Table 18: Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 150 $^{\circ}$ C and 350 $^{\circ}$ C) for PLEMB polymers.

4.5 Conclusions

A series of novel alkylborane type solid polyelectrolytes has been investigated. PLEB polymer was prepared by the hydroboration reaction of lithiumtetravinylborate and borane in tetrahydrofuran. In order to reveal the effect of annealing, ionic conductivities and structures were analyzed for both the as-synthesized and the annealed PLEB polymers. In order to enhance the ionic conductivity values, firstly, phenyl lithium was incorporated into PLEB polymer, which was investigated in detail for both as-synthesized and annealed forms. In another experiment, methyl lithium was incorporated into the PLEB polymer structure. The as-synthesized PLEMB polymer possesses the highest lithium to boron ratio compared to as-synthesized PLEB and PLEPB polymers.

The building blocks for the amorphous as-synthesized PLEB polymer, that we have identified by combination of findings from FT-IR, solid-state NMR and TG/MS analyses, are schematically presented in Figure 32. The polymer is composed of hydrogenbridged borane and tetraethylene borate units as the main structural features, and lithium atoms compensate the negative charge on the borate units. The borane and borate units are connected by ethylene linkages. In as-synthesized polymers, which were dried at 70 °C after synthesis, the minor amount of THF remained in the polymer matrix. However, annealing leaves the polymer free from this residual THF according to thermal investigations and NMR results. When PLEB polymer was annealed at 275 °C, the main building blocks (hydrogen bridged boranes and four coordinated borates) composing the main features of the structure along with connectivities remain same. Additionally, minor amounts of vinyl groups have been detected in the annealed PLEB polymer as a structural feature. Incorporation of methyl groups into the PLEB polymer is assigned from the IR spectrum. Apart from the main structural units (hydrogen-bridged boranes and tetraethylene borate units), a new building block is identified from the combination of findings and solid-state NMR results performed on the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. Appearance of this three ethylene and one phenyl substituted four coordinated borate unit, thus, shows the incorporation of phenyl groups into the polymer network (see Figure 50).

The comparison of temperature dependent ionic conductivities for these amorphous PLEB, PLEPB and PLEMB polymers is presented in Figure 61. The annealed PLEB polymer exhibits a lower activation energy for ionic conduction when compared with the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. In this pure lithium conductive polymer, t_+ value

113

was determined as 1.0 (2) at 137 °C. The temperature induced crosslinking by annealing modifies and densifies the structure along with increasing the charge carrier concentration. Incorporation of phenyl lithium increases the ionic conductivity values of both as-synthesized and annealed PLEB polymers, which is in accordance with the presence of a higher charge carrier concentration in PLEPB polymer. Moreover, bulky phenyl groups in PLEPB polymer should reduce the possible ion pairing by preventing close approach of the lithium cations and borate units immobilized on the chain. On the other hand, incorporation of the methyl groups results in a lower conductivity for the as-synthesized PLEMB polymer (see in Figure 61). However, when PLEMB polymer was annealed up to 350 °C, ionic conductivities exceed those of the PLEB and PLEPB polymers in the temperature regime between ambient temperature and 250 °C. In addition, the lowest activation energy for ionic conduction in these polymer series is obtained for the annealed PLEMB polymer up to 350 °C. Since PLEMB polymer possesses the highest lithium ratio per boron atom (that is 1.1) in these as-synthesized polymer series, it is probable that the slightly excess lithium cations will increase the possible trapping of lithium atoms by the small amount of THF solvent that has remained in the polymer matrix. DTA/TG/MS thermograms indicates that 150 °C is not adequate temperature to remove the THF entrapped in the polymer. On the other hand, when the PLEMB polymer is annealed at 350 °C, lithium atoms become free from THF traps. Consequently, ionic conductivity of PLEMB polymer considerably enhanced and exceeded the conductivities of PLEB and PLEPB polymers, consistent with the higher lithium concentration of PLEMB polymer.



Figure 61: A comparison of the temperature dependent ionic conductivities for the PLEB (plotted with black symbols), PLEPB (plotted with blue symbols) and PLEMB (plotted with red symbols) polymers. As-synthesized and annealed polymers are represented by respective closed and open symbols.

4.6 Poly[tris(tetraethylenesilyl)borane] (PESB)

4.6.1 Reactants

The reactions were performed by utilizing standard Schlenk techniques in a purified argon atmosphere via employing rigorously dried solvents and reaction apparatus. The solvent, diethyl ether (Merck), was distilled prior to the use. Commercially available tetravinylsilane (97 %, Sigma Aldrich), monoborane-dimethylsulfide (2 M solution in toluene, Sigma Aldrich), mono borane-THF (1 M, Aldrich), phenyllithium (1.9 M solution in dibutylether, Fluka), n-butyllithium (1.6 M solution in hexane, Alfa Aesar), t-butyllithium (1.7 M solution in pentane, Sigma Aldrich) were used without purification.

4.6.2 Synthesis of PESB

The PESB polymer was synthesized by hydroboration polymerization at 25 °C, which was conducted by dropwise addition of $BH_3 \cdot S(CH_3)_2$ into tetravinylsilane in diethyl ether. The PESB polymer was obtained according to the following reaction:

 $3n \operatorname{Si}(CH=CH_2)_4 + 4n \operatorname{BH}_3 \cdot \operatorname{S}(CH_3)_2 \longrightarrow PESB + 4n \operatorname{S}(CH_3)_2$

In further reactions, the PESB polymer was allowed to add phenyl lithium, n-butyl lithium or t-butyl lithium, respectively, in order to obtain the PLESPB, PLESnBB or PLEStBB polymers, which will be discussed in the following chapters. Figure 62 depicts the schematical representation of the main building blocks of the idealized structure of the PESB polymer, and its further reaction with organyl lithium compounds. Remarkably, in the Figure 62, alpha addition of borane to the vinylic units during the formation of the PESB polymer is not included, but this possibility should be noted as stated in the literature [203].

Preparation of PESB

 $0.02 \text{ mol BH}_3 \cdot S(CH_3)_2$ was added dropwise into a solution of 0.015 mol tetravinylsilane in 100 ml diethyl ether at room temperature under vigorous stirring. The polymerization reaction was allowed to proceed at this temperature for 23 hours. Then, the temperature was increased slowly until 110 °C. Afterwards, the reaction flask was connected to a cooling trap in order to strip the solvent off. After the solvent was removed, the PESB polymer was dried for 23 hours at 110 °C under vacuum.



Figure 62: Schematic drawing of the idealized reaction of the PESB polymer with RLi; where R is equal to phenyl, n-butyl or t-butyl groups.

4.6.3 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the PESB polymer, which is given in the Figure 63, indicates the amorphous character of the polymer.

4.6.4 Elemental Analysis

According to the elemental analysis of the PESB samples as obtained, the approximate empirical formula of the PESB polymer was determined as $Si_1B_{1.8}C_8$ (without hydrogen). The chemical composition is given in the Table 19 and protons account for the rest of the mass. The calculated formula in accordance with the reactants for the PESB polymer is $Si_1B_{1.33}C_8$, which is in good agreement with the experimental formula acquired as $Si_1B_{1.8}C_8$.



Figure 63: XRD pattern of the PESB polymer.

| Table 19: Chemical composition | on of the PESB polymer |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
|--------------------------------|------------------------|

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Si | 18.25 | ${\rm Si_1B_{1.82}C_{7.64}}$ |
| В | 12.76 | $(\approx \mathrm{Si_1B_{1.8}C_8})$ |
| С | 59.58 | |

4.6.5 FT-IR Spectroscopy

In the FT-IR spectrum of the PESB polymer, which is presented in the Figure 64, B—C asymmetric stretching vibrations are observed between 1155 cm⁻¹ and 1013 cm⁻¹. The band at 1262 cm⁻¹ represents Si—C symmetric bending vibrations. Si—C rocking and B—C stretching vibrations appear at 799 cm⁻¹ and 726 cm⁻¹, respectively. The bands at 1720 cm⁻¹ and 1631 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the vinylic terminal groups connected to the polymer chain. This is supported by the chemical shifts acquired between 125 ppm and 138 ppm in the ¹³C NMR liquid NMR spectrum of the PESB polymer. (As a footnote, the chemical shifts of vinylic carbon atoms connected to silicon atom are in accordance with the available literature data; i.e., Delmulle et al. reported the assignments for $(CH_3)_3$ —Si— $(C^aH=C^bH_2)$ as C^a at 138.7 ppm and C^b at 129.6 ppm [204].) The possible presence of hydrogen bridged boron species is not clearly distinguishable from the FT-IR spectrum, since they appear in the same range as vinylic groups. However, in contrast to the PLEB polymer, no terminal B—H bonds, which exhibit the stretching vibrations around 2300 cm⁻¹, seem to be present in the PESB polymer. The assignments together with intensities of the IR bands are given in the Table 20.

4.6.6 Thermal Analysis

The pyrolytic conversion of the PESB polymer to the ceramic was monitored by simultaneous thermal gravimetric analysis, differential thermal analysis and mass spectrometry (DTA/TG/MS) upon heating up to 1000 °C under argon atmosphere via a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The PESB polymer exhibits almost no weight loss up to 300 °C, and it loses % 39 of its initial mass up to 1000 °C as presented in the Figure 65. H₂ (m/z = 2) and CH₃ (m/z = 15) species were mainly detected during the decompositon, evolving between 300 °C and 710 °C. The observed mass change between 300 °C and 640 °C is attributed to the main thermal degradation of the PESB polymer. In this temperature range, the main volatile thermolysis products detected by mass-spectrometric investigations include H₂ (m/z = 2), CH₃ (m/z = 15), C₂H₃ and BCH₄ (m/z = 27), C₂H₅ (m/z = 29) and BCH₃ (m/z = 26) species.



Figure 64: FT-IR spectrum of the PESB polymer.

| Table 20: | F I-IR | band | positions | and | assignments | for | the | PE2B | polymer |
|-----------|--------|------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 2959 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2873 | \mathbf{S} | ν (C—H) |
| 1721 - 1631 | W | u (C=C) |
| 1467 | m | δ (C—H) |
| 1385 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1303 | S | γ (C—H) |
| 1262 | m | δ (Si—C) |
| 1155 - 1013 | m | ν (B—C) |
| 799 | m | ρ (Si—C) and ρ (C—H) |
| 726 | m | ν (B—C) |



Figure 65: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PESB polymer in argon flow with a 10 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\ \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ heating rate.

4.7 Poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)phenylborate] (PLESPB)

4.7.1 Synthesis

The synthesis of the PLESPB polymer was carried out by dropwise addition of 0.02 mol phenyl lithium into a solution of PESB polymer (0.02 mol borane unit) in 100 ml diethyl ether under vigorous mixing at room temperature. The polymerization reaction was allowed to proceed 24 hours at room temperature. Afterwards, the PLESPB polymer was dried for 24 hours at 110 °C under vacuum.

4.7.2 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The amorphous character of the PESB polymer was preserved after the incorporation of phenyl lithium, that can be seen in the powder X-ray diffractogram of the PLESPB polymer in the Figure 66.

4.7.3 Elemental Analysis

The results of the elemental analysis for the PLESPB polymer pointed out an approximate ratio for Si:B:C:Li as 1:1.8:12:1, which is tabulated in the Table 21. The chemical composition of the PLESPB polymer represents a uniform Si:B ratio likewise the PESB polymer possesses. Furthermore, incorporation of the phenyl lithium into the PESB polymer increased the carbon ratio in the resulting polymer, as expected.



Figure 66: XRD pattern of the PLESPB polymer.

Table 21: Chemical composition of the PLESPB polymer.

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|--|
| Si | 13.17 | ${\rm Si_1B_{1.84}C_{12.06}Li_{1.03}}$ |
| В | 9.37 | $(\approx \mathrm{Si_1B_{1.8}C_{12}Li_1})$ |
| С | 68.04 | |
| Li | 3.40 | |

4.7.4 FT-IR Spectroscopy

In the Figure 67, FT-IR spectrum of the PLESPB polymer is shown. The specterum presents the C—H aromatic stretching vibrations of the phenyl groups at 3054 cm^{-1} along with the aromatic C=C stretching vibrations of phenyl ring between 1594 cm⁻¹ and 1379 cm⁻¹. The other observable characteristic aromatic vibrations include the ring deformation at 950 cm⁻¹, and the aromatic C—H out of plane deformations at 703 cm⁻¹ and 626 cm^{-1} . The vibrations at 1687 cm⁻¹ and 1594 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the C=C stretching vibrations of terminal vinyl groups in the PLESPB polymer, which are overlapped with the aromatic C=C stretching vibrations. The observed vibrations of the PLESPB polymer and assignments, together with intensities are given in the Table 20.



Figure 67: FT-IR spectrum of the PLESPB polymer.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--|--|
| 3054 | m | ν (C—H) aromatic | |
| 2935 | S | ν (C—H) | |
| 2871 | S | ν (C—H) | |
| 1687 | W | u (C=C) | |
| 1594 | m | u (C=C) aromatic | |
| 1458 | S | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) | |
| 1428 | S | u (C=C) aromatic | |
| 1379 | m | ν (C=C) aromatic and δ (C—H) | |
| 1286 | S | γ (C—H) | |
| 1254 | S | δ (Si—C) | |
| 1153 - 1076 | S | ν (B—C) | |
| 950 | S | δ (ring) | |
| 822 | m | ρ (C—H) | |
| 774 | S | ρ (Si—C) and δ (C—H) aromati | |
| 739 | S | ν (B—C) | |
| 703 | S | δ (C—H) aromatic | |
| 620 | W | δ (C—H) aromatic | |
| 503 | W | ρ (C—H) and δ (ring) | |

Table 22: FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLESPB polymer.

4.7.5 Thermal Analysis

In order to monitor the thermal stability of the PLESPB polymer, simultaneous DTA /TG/MS analysis was carried out. During the measurement, the sample was heated with a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in the range between room temperature and 1000 °C under argon flow. Incorporation of the phenyl lithium into the PESB polymer has reduced the onset temperature of the mass loss from 300 °C to 150 °C, as seen in the Figure 68. In parallel, the ceramic yield of PESB polymer at 1000 °C (which was equal to 60 %) is decreased due to incorporating the phenyl groups, and consequently a ceramic yield of only 44 % at 1000 °C is attained for the PLESPB polymer. A two step pyrolytic conversion is observed in the PLESPB polymer. The observed mass change in the first step up to 260 °C is 13 % and is attributed to the evaporation of unconnected oligomers or the reorganization of end groups. The significant mass loss of the polymeric product is observed between 260 °C and 550 °C suggesting the decomposition of the polymer network. In this temperature range, % 43 of the initial mass is evolved and the main volatile thermolysis products detected by mass-spectrometric investigations include



Figure 68: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLESPB polymer in argon flow with a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate.

 $H_2 (m/z = 2)$, $CH_3 (m/z = 15)$, C_2H_3 or $BCH_4 (m/z = 27)$, $BCH_3 (m/z = 26)$ and $C_2H_5 (m/z = 29)$ species. Then, simultaneous ceramization and depolymerization processes are continued by the evolution of fragments of $CH_3 (m/z = 15)$ up to 700 °C and $H_2 (m/z = 2)$ up to 1000 °C.

4.7.6 Impedance Spectroscopy

The ionic conductivity measurements for PLESPB polymer were carried out via impedance spectroscopy by using gold electrodes. The measurements were performed up to 115 °C by applying heating and cooling rates as 1 °C min⁻¹ under argon flow. The temperature dependence of bulk ionic conductivities are figured out in Arrhenius type diagrams, which is shown in the Figure 69. The PLESPB polymer exhibits an activation energy of 69 kJ mol⁻¹, determined from the slope of the conductivity curve, and a bulk ionic conductivity of 2.8×10^{-11} S cm⁻¹ at 140 °C. The activation energy and ionic conductivities of the PLESPB polymer at different temperatures are represented in the Table 27.



Figure 69: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLESPB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

127

The interpretation of the impedance data was performed by a parallel RQ element in the equivalent circuit, since the impedance data presented in the complex plane format exhibits one semicircle. The capacitance values of the semicircles are calculated at different temperatures, and which possess values of approximately 5×10^{-12} F . These values correspond to the typical bulk capacitance values of a sample, thus representing that lithium ion conductivity in the bulk is associated with the semicircle.

4.8 Poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)t-butylborate] (PLEStBB)

4.8.1 Synthesis

The PLEStBB polymer was synthesized by dropwise addition of 0.02 mol t-butyl lithium into the solution of PESB polymer (0.02 mol borane unit) in 100 ml diethyl ether at room temperature under continuous mixing. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 25 hours at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the PLEStBB polymer was allowed to dry for 25 hours at 110 °C under vacuum. In order to comprehend the coordination of the boron as a consequence of the alkyl lithium addition to the PESB polymer, an ether solution of the PLEStBB polymer in CDCl₃ was analyzed as an example within the series by employing ¹¹B NMR analysis at room temperature. In the light of this preliminary acquisition, two chemical shifts are discerned at 82.3 ppm and -19.6 ppm. The chemical shift at 82.3 ppm is interpreted as the tri-coordinated boron species and the chemical shift at -19.6 ppm is assigned to the four-coordinated boron species [205, 206]. Accordingly the latter chemical shift implies that t-butyl groups are incorporated into the polymer, leading to the formation of four-coordinated boron species.

4.8.2 X-ray Powder Diffraction

Powder X-ray diffractogram of the PLEStBB polymer, which is given in the Figure 70, indicates the amorphous structure similar to the PESB and PLESPB polymers.

4.8.3 Elemental Analysis

An ratio of 1:1.8:11.4:0.9 was attained for the PLEStBB polymer, accounting for the corresponding ratio of Si:B:C:Li, as provided by the elemental analysis measurements. It is depicted in the Table 23 that the Si:B ratio matches well with that of the PESB

polymer. Additionally, an increase in the carbon ratio of the polymer has also been verified via incorporation of the t-butyl groups.



Figure 70: XRD pattern of the PLEStBB polymer.

| Table 23: Chemica | l composition | of the PL | EStBB | polymer. |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|----------|
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|----------|

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|---------|-------------|---|
| Si | 12.22 | ${\rm Si_1B_{1.81}C_{11.36}Li_{0.89}}$ |
| В | 8.52 | $(\approx\mathrm{Si_1B_{1.8}C_{11.4}Li_{0.9}})$ |
| С | 59.38 | |
| Li | 2.74 | |

(PLEStBB)

4.8.4 FT-IR Spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectrum of the PLEStBB polymer is presented in the Figure 71. The similar peaks as for the aforementioned PESB polymer are discerned in the PLEStBB polymer including Si—C and B—C vibrations of the main polymer backbone. The bands appeared at 1409 cm⁻¹ and 971 cm⁻¹ are assigned for the respective CH₃ deformation and CH₃ rocking vibrations along with the CH₃ deformation vibration at 1377 cm^{-1} , wherefore pointing out the presence of the t-butyl groups in the polymer. The bands at 1693 cm⁻¹ and 1597 cm⁻¹ are assigned for C=C terminal groups. Table 24 illustrates the assignments and intensities of all the corresponding vibrations observed in the PLEStBB polymer.



Figure 71: FT-IR spectrum of the PLEStBB polymer.

4.8.5 Thermal Analysis

As plotted in the Figure 72, thermal stability of the PLEStBB polymer was investigated by simultaneous DTA/TG/MS analysis. Therefore, a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate was utilized up to 1000 °C under argon flow. The PLEStBB polymer is thermally stable up to 210 °C and 51 % ceramic yield is acquired at 1000 °C. Insertion of the t-butyl lithium into the PESB polymer lowered the onset temperature for the mass loss from 300 °C to 210 °C, as well as decreased the ceramic yield at 1000 °C by 10 %. On the other hand, within the series of alkyl lithium incorporated PESB polymers, the PLEStBB polymer has the highest thermal stability and the ceramic yield at 1000 °C. The species detected by MS during decomposition of the network up to 540 °C are H₂ (m/z = 2), CH₃ (m/z = 15), C₂H₃ or BCH₄ (m/z = 27), BCH₃ (m/z = 26) and C₂H₅ (m/z = 29). Depolymerization and simultaneous ceramization processes are attributed to be proceeded by the evolution of H₂ (m/z = 2) and CH₃ (m/z = 15) fragments up to 780 °C as designated via the mass spectroscopy.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 2952 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2873 | S | ν (C—H) |
| $1693 {-} 1597$ | W | $ u ~({ m C=C})$ |
| 1409 | \mathbf{S} | δ (C—H) |
| 1458 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1377 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1298 | S | γ (C—H) |
| 1242 | m | δ (Si—C) |
| 1153 - 1060 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 971 | S | ρ (C—H) |
| 832 | m | ρ (C—H) |
| 783 | m | ρ (Si—C) |
| 743 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 518 | m | $\rho (C-H)$ |

Table 24: FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLEStBB polymer.



Figure 72: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLEStBB polymer in argon flow with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ heating rate.

4.8.6 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements for the PLEStBB polymer were conducted via impedance spectroscopy up to 200 °C by using 1 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates under argon flow for the samples squeezed between gold electrodes. Figure 73 represents the temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities of the PLEStBB polymer, that is plotted as an Arrhenius type diagram. The activation energy of the PLEStBB polymer was evaluated from the slope of Arrhenius plot, which is appraised to a value of 81 kJ mol^{-1} . The bulk ionic conductivity of this polymer is assessed as $1.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at 200 °C. The activation energy and conductivity values at different temperatures are charted in the Table 27.

The interpretation of the impedance data was performed by a parallel RQ element in the equivalent circuit, since a semicircle was discerned in the complex plane format. The capacitance values for the semicircles are calculated at different temperatures, yielding an approximate value of $\approx 1.6 \times 10^{-12}$ F and, accordingly, typical for the bulk capacitance of a sample, prevailing upon that the semicircle ergo represents the lithium ion conductivity in the bulk.



Figure 73: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLEStBB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

(PLEStBB)

4.9 Poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)n-butylborate] (PLESnBB)

4.9.1 Synthesis

The synthesis of the PLESnBB polymer was accomplished by dropwise addition of 0.02 mol n-butyl lithium into a solution of PESB polymer (0.02 mol borane unit) in 100 ml diethyl ether at room temperature under continuous mixing. Then, the reaction was carried out for 20 hours at room temperature. In order to obtain the PLESnBB polymer, following on the solvents were stripped off from the polymer under vacuum for 24 hours at 110 °C.

4.9.2 X-ray Powder Diffraction

The powder X-ray diffractogram of the PLESnBB polymer is given in the Figure 74, which manifests the amorphous structure of the PLESnBB polymer similar to the PESB, PLEStBB and PLESPB polymers.



Figure 74: XRD pattern of the PLESnBB polymer.

4.9.3 Elemental Analysis

Incorporation of the n-butyl lithium groups into the PESB polymer demonstrates an increase in the carbon ratio, while at the same time providing no change in the Si:B ratio, as consequently figured out from the investigations on elemental analysis. The results reveal a composition for the ratio of 1:1.8:12.7:1.1 that accounts for the depicted sequence of the Si:B:C:Li as presented in Table 25.

| Element | Mass $(\%)$ | Empirical Formulae |
|--------------|-------------|---|
| Si | 11.59 | ${\rm Si_1B_{1.80}C_{12.68}Li_{1.07}}$ |
| В | 8.04 | $(\approx\mathrm{Si_1B_{1.8}C_{12.7}Li_{1.1}})$ |
| \mathbf{C} | 63.00 | |
| Li | 3.11 | |

Table 25: Chemical composition of the PLESnBB polymer.

4.9.4 FT-IR Spectroscopy

In the FT-IR spectrum of the PLESnBB polymer, which is graphed in the Figure 75, similar characteristic vibrations of the PESB polymer are observed. Moreover, the PLESnBB polymer exhibits deformation vibrations of CH_3 at 1405 cm⁻¹ and 1377 cm⁻¹. Also, the band at 966 cm⁻¹ represents the rocking vibration of CH_3 groups. The detailed information about the vibrations and their corresponding assignments for the PLESnBB polymer are tabulated in Table 26.



Figure 75: FT-IR spectrum of the PLESnBB polymer.

4.9.5 Thermal Analysis

The pyrolysis of the PLESnBB polymer was monitored by simultaneous DTA/TG/MS analysis. The measurement was proceeded with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ heating rate from room temperature up to 1000 $^{\circ}$ C under argon flow. As can be revealed from the Figure 76, the thermolytic behaviour of the n-butyl substituted polymer upon pyrolsis is in good agreement with the t-butyl substituted PESB polymer. In a similar manner to the other alkyl lithium incorporated PESB polymers, incorporation of n-butyl lithium decreased the decomposition temperature of the PESB polymer. However, onset temperature for the mass loss in the PLESnBB polymer is detected at a higher temperature than in the PLESPB polymer. The PLESnBB polymer has a ceramic yield of 50 % at 1000 $^{\circ}$ C, which is as similar to that monitored as for the PLEStBB polymer, and also is only 10 % less than the PESB polymer. The PLESnBB polymer demonstrates almost no weight loss upon 190 $^{\circ}$ C.

Following that in the subsequent temperatures, the decompositon of the PLESnBB polymer is realized and then proceeds up to 540 °C by volatilization of H₂ (m/z = 2), CH₃ (m/z = 15), C₂H₃ or BCH₄ (m/z = 27), BCH₃ (m/z = 26) and C₂H₅ (m/z = 29) species. This process is thereafter followed by the evolution of H₂ (m/z = 2) and CH₃ (m/z = 15) species up to 1000 °C and 840 °C, respectively, in a parallel manner to its other polymer counterparts.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 2955 | S | ν (C—H) |
| 2871 | \mathbf{S} | ν (C—H) |
| 1692 - 1595 | W | $\nu~({ m C=C})$ |
| 1458 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1405 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1377 | S | δ (C—H) |
| 1303 | S | γ (C—H) |
| 1244 | m | δ (Si—C) |
| 1130 - 1093 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 966 | S | ρ (C—H) |
| 828 | m | ρ (C—H) |
| 778 | S | ρ (Si—C) |
| 742 | S | ν (B—C) |
| 497 | m | ρ (C—H) |

Table 26: FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLESnBB polymer.



Figure 76: DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLESnBB polymer in argon flow with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ heating rate.

4.9.6 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements were performed up to 180 °C under argon flow for the PLESnBB polymer. The heating and cooling rates were $1 \,^{\circ}\text{Cmin}^{-1}$, and gold electrodes were used. Arrhenius type diagrams are plotted to figure out the temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities, as illustrated in Figure 77. The activation energy was derived from the slope of the conductivity curve, which exhibits a value of $183 \,\text{kJ} \,\text{mol}^{-1}$. Table 27 depicts the activation energy and conductivity values at different temperatures.

A parallel RQ element was used in the equivalent circuit to interpret the semicircle thus expressing the impedance data in the complex plane. The capacitance values are determined for the semicircles at different temperatures, which indicate an approximate value of $\approx 3 \times 10^{-12}$ F. Therefore, the semicircle can be associated with the lithium ion conductivity in the bulk, since the attained values are typical for the bulk capacitance of the sample.


Figure 77: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLESnBB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.

4.10 Conclusions

In this chapter, a series of novel poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborate] polymers are presented. The synthesis of PESB polymer was accomplished by the initial hydroboration of tetravinylsilane by borane. The PESB polymer was modified in a second step by reacting it with a variety of organyl lithium compounds; i.e. RLi, where R denotes for phenyl, n-butyl or t-butyl. Investigations of the thermal stabilities of these polymers via DTA/TG/MS analysis have revealed that insertion of alkyl lithium groups decreases not only the decomposition temperature but also the ceramic yield of the original PESB polymer. Within the series, the thermal stability of the phenyl containing polymer is not as high as its counterpart polymers possessing t-butyl or n-butyl groups.

In addition, the ionic conductivities of the polymers obtained by incorporating various organyl substituent units into the PESB polymer have been analyzed. The tabulated data of activation energies and ionic conductivities at different temperatures for PESB polymers are compiled in the Table 27. Resultingly, the series of poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborate] polymers are recognized with their lower ionic conductivities as compared those values depicted within the poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenealkylboryl)borate] polymer series (see Figure 61). Overall, to acco-

| | | $\sigma \ / S \ cm^{-1}$ | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| | ${ m E}_a \ / \ { m kJ \ mol^{-1}}$ | 140 °C | 180 °C | 200 °C | |
| PLESPB | 69 | $2.8 \ge 10^{-11}$ | _ | | |
| PLEStBB | 81 | $4.6 \ge 10^{-11}$ | $4.9 \ge 10^{-10}$ | $1.1 \ge 10^{-9}$ | |
| PLESnBB | 183 | $1.9 \ge 10^{-11}$ | $9.7 \ge 10^{-10}$ | _ | |

Table 27: Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 140 °C, 180 °C and 200 °C) for the PLESPB, PLEStBB and PLESnBB polymers.

unt for this difference, the most probable interpretation is that insertion of tetraalkylsilane groups between borate groups increases the hopping distance as well as decreases the charge carrier concentration of lithium ions; therefore, reduces the ionic conductivity in the resulting polymer.

5 Alkali fluorooxoborates

5.1 State of the Arts and Concepts

Solid alkali electrolytes, where only ionic species serve as an electric charge carrier in solids, are one of the key functional materials in solid state batteries. Therefore, particular attention has recently been given to discover new solid electrolytes possessing high ionic conductivity. One of the materials investigated in that respect is alkali borate systems. In the literature, alkali borate glasses have been reported to have certain ionic conductivity but those values appeared not to be sufficient to compete with the best ion conducting materials, i.e. Na- β -Alumina [12]. An alternative approach was considered to incorporate fluorine atoms into glass network in order to increase the ionic conductivity in alkaline borate glasses and a significant improvement in ionic conductivity was reported [207]. Although alkali borate materials have been extensively studied, there is very limited knowledge about fluorooxoborates. Moreover, no reports have become available before this work, concerning neither the ionic conductivity in crystalline alkali fluorooxoborates nor the crystal structures of these compounds. Potassium and sodium fluorooxoborates have been mentioned, however insufficiently studied and identified in the literature as far as our knowledge, while no identification of lithium fluorooxoborate has been traced.

The first alkali fluorooxoborate was reported on the example of the sodium compound by Ryss et al., which was obtained from aqueous solutions [208]. Maya et al. performed Raman spectroscopy analysis of this compound synthesized in aqueous solution and indicated the presence of boroxine ring by pointing out the similarities in frequencies of the Raman bands to those in metaboric acid [209]. Further, the same authors studied the phase diagram of the NaF–NaBF₄–B₂O₃ system and reported the formation of Na₃B₃O₃F₆, but accompanied with NaF and NaBF₄ by-products [210]. Solely an attempt to determine the lattice parameters of Na₃B₃O₃F₆ from the powder data was undertaken by Babich et al., and an orthorhombic unit cell with a = 10.245 Å, b = 14.462 Å, c = 9.46 Å and Z = 8 was contended, while no structure determination has been reported up to now [211].

On the other hand, Ryss et al. postulated potassium derivative of fluorooxoborate anion, and stated that it decomposed with water leading to replacement of some fluorines by hydroxyl groups [212]. Babich et al. reported the formation of potassium fluorooxoborate in K₂CO₃-KBF₄ system [211]. Andriiko et al. communicated the formation of K₃B₃O₃F₆ from mixtures in KF-KBF₄-B₂O₃ system and further indexing of the powder X-ray diffraction data depicted an orthorhombic crystal system with the lattice parameters a = 10.126(4) Å, b = 14.88(2) Å and c = 9.662(3) Å [213].

Overall, the given literature data on sodium and potassim fluorooxoborates show that a hexafluorotriborate ring was postulated only on the basis of IR [214] and Raman [209] spectra as a derivative of the boroxine ring, but no structural investigations of the $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ anion were reported before the present work. Concerning the lithium fluorooxoborates, no report was communicated.

Altogether, fluorooxoborate anions are unknown, or not conclusively confirmed, in inorganic solid state compounds. More common are fluoride oxoborates like jeremejevite (Al₆[BO₃]₅F₃) [215], to examplify. A feature that received attention is that isolated F^- anions are included in all those compounds, which interact with cations without forming any B—F bonds.

One of the basic requirements for high conductivity is high long range mobility of charge carriers. On the other hand, the mobile cations can be trapped easily if oxygen atom is in terminal positions (bearing a charge of -1) like in oxoanionic matrices. These traps for the mobile cations alleviate the mobility of the charge carriers, and thus the conductivity. Consequently, in order to improve conductivity of the cation, the aim is to avoid these terminal oxygen atoms, which are possible traps comprised of negative charges accumulated on the pathways of the mobile cations. A promising candidate to achieve this goal is thought to be alkali fluorooxoborates. In these compounds, the addition of fluorine atom to a boron atom coordinated with oxygen atoms reduces the effective negative charge of fluorine by adopting the respective adjacent four-coordinated boron atom with a formal charge of -1. Moreover, borate based anionic matrices are promising to achieve good weight capacities.

With these aims, the present thesis reports for the first structural characterization of alkali fluorooxoborates via the single crystal X-ray analysis, namely, lithium fluorooxoborate (LiB₆O₉F) and sodium fluorooxoborate (Na₃B₃O₃F₆). These two compounds have further been characterized by FT-IR, Raman, DTA/TG/MS, impedance spectroscopy and direct current measurement techniques. Furthermore, the structure of K₃B₃O₃F₆ has been manifested by comparing its vibrational spectrum with that of its sodium analogue. Finally, the ionic conductivities of crystalline alkali fluorooxoborate compounds as a foremost are evaluated and reported.

5.2 Lithium Fluorooxoborate, LiB_6O_9F

 LiB_6O_9F was synthesized from LiF and B_2O_3 in all-solid state reaction. However, this synthetic approach implies a number of pitfalls: (1) the driving force for the reaction between LiF and B_2O_3 is rather low because lithium fluoride is a weak fluoride base only, (2) BF_3 may form and easily escape from the reaction mixture, (3) traces of water in the system might lead to hydrolysis, and evolution of HF, and (4) borate systems show a strong tendency to glass formation. In order to avoid such intricacies, or to at least minimize them, we have used thoroughly dried starting materials, have intensively milled the starting mixtures, annealed them repeatedly, and have chosen the reaction temperature as low as possible, but as high as necessary.

Finally, fully crystalline, single phase powders of the new fluorooxoborate LiB_6O_9F have been obtained that contained single crystals of a size sufficient for a single crystal X-ray structure determination. The colourless compound is sensitive to humid air, and decomposes slowly at room temperature into an amorphous solid residue.

5.2.1 Synthesis of LiB₆O₉F

All reactions were carried out in a purified argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and glove boxes (M.Braun GmbH, Garching, Germany), and rigorously dried reaction apparatus. Stoichiometric amounts of anhydrous B_2O_3 (Puratronic anhydrous glass disc, 99.9995 %, Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe, Germany) and anhydrous LiF (ultra dry, 99.99%, Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe, Germany) were used to prepare appropriate starting mixtures, these were wet ball milled with distilled hexane (5 ml) for 1 hour. The mixtures were dried inside Teflon crucibles in a Schlenk system at 150 °C to remove hexane. 6 mm pellets of reactants were placed inside gold crucibles, which were sealed under argon atmosphere in glass ampoules. The reactions were carried out at 400 °C following a specific temperature schedule: 2 hours heated until 400 °C, held 552 hours at 400 °C, cooled 99 hours to 200 °C, held 9 hours at 200 °C and cooled 99 hours to room temperature. The reacted samples were ground, wet ball milled and the same process (except by holding 297 hours at 400 °C) repeated once more time in order to increase the crystallinity of the products.

5.2.2 Single Crystal Structure Analysis

A colourless single crystal was chosen from the product, which was suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction and has allowed to solve the crystal structure. The single crystal was placed on top of a glass capillary and sealed within another glass capillary, in a glove box.

The experimental intensity data of the single crystal were collected with a dual wavelength diffractometer system: Smart APEX II three circle single crystal diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) equipped with a CCD-detector, a Siemens X-ray sealed tube (MoK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), an Incoatec (Geesthacht, Germany) microfocus X-ray source I μ S (CuK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å). Because of the light elements contained in the title compound and the small crystal size (Table 28) the copper source was used. The collection, done at 23 (2) °C, and reduction of data were carried out with the Bruker Suite software package [216]. Intensities were corrected for absorption effects applying a semi-empirical method [217].

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares fitting with the SHELXTL software package [218]. Experimental details on crystallographic data and data collection are given in the Table 28. Table 29 shows the atomic parameters and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, and Table 30 tabulates the anisotropic displacement parameters from the single crystal data. Further details may be obtained from Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany (fax: (+49)-7247-808-666; e-mail: crysdata(at)fiz-karlsruhe.de, http:// www.fiz-karlsruhe.de/request for deposited data.html) on quoting the CSD number: 420286.

5.2.3 X-ray Powder Analysis

Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded at room temperature using a high resolution laboratory X-ray powder diffractometer (D8 ADVANCE with CuK_{α 1}, Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany), which was operating in Debye-Scherrer geometry. The samples were sealed inside the glass capillary ($\emptyset = 0.3 \text{ mm}$) under argon atmosphere, and diffraction patterns were collected between 5 and 95° in 2 θ during 18h. The refinement of lattice constants was performed by using the program TOPAS (AXS, Bruker, Karlsruhe) [219]. Le-Bail fit [220] yielded the precise values as a = 7.6555(1) Å, b = 8.5318(1) Å and c = 10.7894(2) Å, based on 349 reflections. The X-ray powder diffractogram of the LiB₆O₉F and fitted profile are presented in Figure 78.

| Chemical formula | LiB_6O_9F |
|---|--|
| Formula weight | 234.80 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group (no.), Z | $Pna2_1$ (33), 4 |
| Lattice constants $/\text{\AA}$ | a=7.6555(1) |
| | b=8.5318(1) |
| | c = 10.7894(2) |
| Volume $/Å^3$ | V=704.70(2) |
| Density (calculated) $/{\rm g~cm^{-3}}$ | ho=2.213 |
| Crystal size $/\mathrm{mm}^3$ | $0.12 \times 0.09 \times 0.06$ |
| Diffractometer | Smart APEX II, Bruker AXS |
| X-ray source | $I\mu S$ microfocus, Incoatec |
| X-ray radiation, λ /Å | $CuK\alpha$, 1.54178 |
| Monochromator | Montel optic, Incoatec |
| Absorption coefficient $\mu~/{\rm mm^{-1}}$ | 1.981 |
| heta range /° | 6.61 to 55.03 |
| Index range | $-8 \le h \le 8, -9 \le k \le 8, -11 \le l \le 11$ |
| Absorption correction | multi-scan, SADABS [217] |
| Reflections collected | 2656 |
| Independent reflections, R_{int} | 853,0.023 |
| Transmission t_{min} , t_{max} | 0.7970, 0.8904 |
| No. of parameters | 154 |
| $R_1[F^2>2\sigma(F^2)],\ wR(F^2)$ | 0.0184,0.0481 |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.20(16) |
| $	riangle ho_{max}, \ 	riangle ho_{min} \ / { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$ | 0.122, -0.105 |

Table 28: Crystallographic data for LiB_6O_9F .

| Atom | Wyckoff position | x | y | 2 | U_{eq} |
|-------|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| F(1) | 4a | -0.2658(1) | 0.9326(1) | 0.6947(1) | 0.0316(6) |
| O(1) | 4a | 0.4320(2) | 0.9582(2) | 0.6639(1) | 0.0253(4) |
| O(2) | 4a | 0.0640(2) | 0.7060(2) | 0.0650(1) | 0.0281(4) |
| O(3) | 4a | 0.3640(2) | 0.9896(2) | 0.9966(2) | 0.0260(4) |
| O(4) | 4a | 0.2485(2) | 0.1697(1) | 0.7303(2) | 0.0288(4) |
| O(5) | 4a | 0.0837(1) | 0.9547(1) | 0.8105(1) | 0.0240(4) |
| O(6) | 4a | -0.0999(2) | 0.7519(2) | 0.8869(1) | 0.0242(4) |
| O(7) | 4a | 0.0660(2) | 0.2114(2) | 0.8985(1) | 0.0274(4) |
| O(8) | 4a | -0.1091(2) | 0.0051(2) | 0.9788(1) | 0.0270(4) |
| O(9) | 4a | 0.1053(2) | 0.7528(2) | 0.5801(2) | 0.0250(4) |
| B(1) | 4a | 0.5986(3) | 0.9144(3) | 0.6046(2) | 0.0224(6) |
| B(2) | 4a | -0.0614(3) | 0.6510(3) | 0.9844(2) | 0.0223(6) |
| B(3) | 4a | 0.3715(3) | 0.1068(3) | 0.6534(2) | 0.0246(6) |
| B(4) | 4a | 0.1353(3) | 0.1113(3) | 0.8138(2) | 0.0243(6) |
| B(5) | 4a | -0.0423(3) | 0.9049(2) | 0.8928(2) | 0.0223(5) |
| B(6) | 4a | 0.0499(3) | 0.8421(2) | 0.4859(2) | 0.0236(4) |
| Li(1) | 4a | 0.2647(4) | 0.8023(3) | 0.7265(4) | 0.0309(8) |

Table 29: Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters U_{eq} /Å² for LiB₆O₉F. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

| Atom | U_{11} | U_{22} | U_{33} | U_{12} | U_{13} | U_{23} |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| F(1) | 0.0331(6) | 0.0269(5) | 0.0347(7) | -0.0010(4) | -0.0117(6) | -0.0020(5) |
| O(1) | 0.0279(7) | 0.0230(8) | 0.0249(9) | 0.0023(6) | 0.0031(6) | 0.0031(6) |
| O(2) | 0.0339(8) | 0.0204(8) | 0.0300(8) | -0.0029(7) | -0.0090(8) | 0.0036(9) |
| O(3) | 0.0309(7) | 0.0206(7) | 0.0264(7) | 0.0037(5) | -0.0059(8) | -0.0030(6) |
| O(4) | 0.0328(7) | 0.0235(6) | 0.0302(8) | 0.0004(7) | 0.0097(6) | 0.0024(7) |
| O(5) | 0.0283(8) | 0.0201(8) | 0.0236(8) | 0.0000(5) | 0.0018(6) | -0.0016(7) |
| O(6) | 0.0327(8) | 0.0198(9) | 0.020(1) | -0.0014(5) | -0.0035(8) | 0.0009(7) |
| O(7) | 0.0325(8) | 0.0204(7) | 0.0291(9) | -0.0019(6) | 0.0094(8) | -0.0014(8) |
| O(8) | 0.0338(7) | 0.0217(8) | 0.0254(9) | -0.0031(5) | 0.0078(7) | -0.0017(6) |
| O(9) | 0.0331(8) | 0.020(1) | 0.022(1) | -0.0012(6) | -0.0031(7) | -0.0004(6) |
| B(1) | 0.026(1) | 0.016(1) | 0.025(1) | -0.000(1) | -0.001(1) | -0.000(1) |
| B(2) | 0.026(1) | 0.022(1) | 0.019(1) | 0.003(1) | 0.000(1) | -0.004(1) |
| B(3) | 0.026(1) | 0.025(1) | 0.023(1) | -0.001(1) | 0.001(1) | -0.000(1) |
| B(4) | 0.028(1) | 0.021(1) | 0.024(1) | 0.002(1) | -0.002(1) | 0.001(1) |
| B(5) | 0.026(1) | 0.021(1) | 0.019(1) | 0.0012(9) | -0.002(1) | 0.000(1) |
| B(6) | 0.026(1) | 0.020(1) | 0.021(1) | 0.001(1) | 0.003(1) | 0.001(1) |
| Li(1) | 0.033(1) | 0.028(1) | 0.031(1) | -0.002(1) | -0.002(1) | 0.000(1) |

Table 30: Anisotropic displacement parameters U_{ij} /Å² for LiB₆O₉F. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.



Figure 78: Plot for LeBail refinement of LiB_6O_9F at RT ($\lambda = 1.54015\text{\AA}$). Observed pattern indicated by squares. (a) Best fit profile together with reflection positions is shown in upper part, and (b) difference curve between measured and calculated profiles is shown in lower part of the figure.

5.2.4 Structure Description

LiB₆O₉F crystallizes in a new type of structure with the orthorhombic space group $Pna2_1$ (no. 33, Pearson code oP68). The structure is composed of boroxine rings (B₃O₃) and fluorinated boroxine rings (B₃O₃F) in the ratio 1:1. A pair of boroxine rings, one of them bearing the fluoride ion, represents the repetition unit for the polymeric anion (Figure 79). These two rings are connected to each other, and to next neighbouring rings, by B—O—B linkages such that each boroxine ring connects to three others. Figure 80 displays a perspective view of the unit cell, emphasizing the principle of connectivity.



Figure 79: Repetition unit of the polymeric anion in LiB_6O_9F , showing the atom labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

The BO₃F geometry deserves particular attention. The boron atom B(1) is the only one in this structure, which is tetrahedrally coordinated by three oxygen and one fluorine atom. All other boron atoms B(2)-B(6) are trigonally surrounded by three O atoms (Table 31). The mean distances d(B-O) are 1.365 Å within the BO₃ units and 1.460 Å for the BO₃F unit, and are in good agreement with the respective sums of covalent radii (Table 31). They are also similar to the B-O distances in other borates [221]. The structure refinement, based on X-ray intensities, does not allow to discriminate between oxygen and fluorine. However, the F(1) atom is the only atom which is connected to one boron, exclusively. All other anions O(1)-O(9) are linking



Figure 80: Perspective representation of the crystal structure of LiB_6O_9F , with the margins of the unit cell (black).

| Atom contact | Distance | Atom contact | Angle |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| BO ₃ F Tetrahedron | | | |
| $B(1) - F(1)^{vii}$ | 1.431(3) | $F(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(1)$ | 107.8(2) |
| B(1) - O(1) | 1.475(3) | $F(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(3)^{viii}$ | 109.9(2) |
| $B(1) - O(3)^{viii}$ | 1.452(3) | $F(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(9)^{iv}$ | 101.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1) - \mathrm{O}(9)^{iv}$ | 1.452(3) | $O(9)^{iv}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(3)^{viii}$ | 113.7(2) |
| mean | 1.452(3) | $O(9)^{iv}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(1)$ | 111.0(2) |
| mean B—O | 1.459(3) | $O(3)^{viii}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(1)$ | 112.1(2) |
| | | mean | 109.4(2) |
| BO ₃ triangles | | | |
| B(2)—O(2) | 1.377(3) | $O(3)^{ii}$ — $B(2)$ — $O(2)$ | 122.8(2) |
| $B(2) - O(3)^{ii}$ | 1.335(3) | $O(3)^{ii}$ — $B(2)$ — $O(6)$ | 122.7(2) |
| B(2) - O(6) | 1.391(3) | O(2) - B(2) - O(6) | 114.5(2) |
| mean | 1.368(3) | mean | 120.0(2) |
| B(3) - O(1) | 1.355(3) | O(1) - B(3) - O(4) | 123.6(2) |
| $B(3) - O(2)^{ix}$ | 1.367(3) | $O(1) - B(3) - O(2)^{ix}$ | 120.9(2) |
| B(3) - O(4) | 1.365(3) | $O(4) - B(3) - O(2)^{ix}$ | 115.5(2) |
| mean | 1.362(3) | mean | 120.0(2) |
| B(4) - O(4) | 1.346(3) | O(4) - B(4) - O(5) | 121.4(2) |
| B(4) - O(5) | 1.393(3) | O(4) - B(4) - O(7) | 118.0(2) |
| B(4) - O(7) | 1.359(3) | O(7) - B(4) - O(5) | 120.6(2) |
| mean | 1.366(3) | mean | 120.0(2) |
| B(5) - O(5) | 1.378(3) | O(8) - B(5) - O(6) | 120.4(2) |
| B(5) - O(6) | 1.379(3) | O(8) - B(5) - O(5) | 120.5(2) |
| B(5) - O(8) | 1.361(3) | O(5) - B(5) - O(6) | 119.1(2) |
| mean | 1.373(3) | mean | 120.0(2) |
| B(6)—O(7) ^x | 1.372(3) | $O(9) - B(6) - O(7)^x$ | 122.45(2) |

Table 31: Selected interatomic distances /Å and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of the $B_6O_9F^-$ anion for LiB_6O_9F . Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

| Atom contact | Angle | Atom contact | Angle |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| $B(6) - O(8)^x$ | 1.382(2) | $O(9) - B(6) - O(8)^x$ | 118.3(2) |
| B(6)— $O(9)$ | 1.340(3) | $O(7)^{x}$ — $B(6)$ — $O(8)^{x}$ | 119.2(2) |
| mean | 1.365(3) | mean | 120.0(2) |
| | | | |
| B—O—B angles | | | |
| Atom contact | Angle | Atom contact | Angle |
| $B(1)^{v}$ —O(3)—B(2) ^{iv} | 120.1(2) | B(4) - O(4) - B(3) | 134.85(1) |
| B(1) - O(1) - B(3) | 119.8(2) | B(4) - O(5) - B(5) | 118.5(2) |
| $B(1)^{ii}$ — $O(9)$ — $B(6)$ | 133.3(2) | $B(4) - O(7) - B(6)^{vi}$ | 120.3(2) |
| $B(2) - O(2) - B(3)^{iii}$ | 118.8(2) | $B(5) - O(8) - B(6)^{vi}$ | 120.5(2) |
| B(2) - O(6) - B(5) | 118.9(2) | | |

Table 31 continued

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: ^[ii] x-1/2, -y+3/2, z; ^[iii] -x+1/2, y-1/2, z+1/2; ^[iv]x+1/2, -y+3/2, z; ^[v] -x+1, -y+2, z+1/2; ^[vi] -x, -y+2, z+1/2; ^[vii] x+1, y, z; ^[viii] -x+1, -y+2, z-1/2; ^[ix] -x+1/2, y+1/2, z-1/2; ^[x] -x, -y+2, z-1/2

two boron atoms, forming the boroxine rings, and the bridges between them. This is suggesting that the F(1) position is the most likely position where the fluoride ions are placed. The distance d(B(1)-F) = 1.431 Å is slightly smaller than the remaining B(1)-O contacts. The BO₃F tetrahedra are oriented approximately along the *c*direction, with a significant tilt of 47.07° (Figure 80). This results in a polar structure, in accordance with the acentric space group $Pna2_1$. The mean values of O-B-O angles for BO₃ triangles are in all cases 120°, within limits of the experimental errors. In the BO₃F tetrahedra, the mean O-B-O angle is 112.3° and the mean O-B-F angle is 106.5°. O-B-F angle is slightly decreased from the ideal tetrahedral angle in order to compensate the splitting of O-B-O angle due to the ring.

Interconnected boroxine rings were found previously in amorphous B_2O_3 [222] and in numerous oxoborates [223]. In the case discussed here, to one boron atom in every second ring a fluorine atom is added, thus generating the desired situation where (part of) the negative charge is shifted to the central boron atom, and thus will be experienced by lithium at a longer distance only.

The fluorooxoborate anion forms a two-dimensional infinite network represented as $^2_{\infty}[B_6O_9F]^-$. These strongly corrugated layers, oriented in the *b*-*c*-plane (Figure 81), reveal huge meshes (~7 Å) build from six boroxine rings. The meshes are filled by Li⁺

ions on the one hand and closed by the bumps of neighbouring layers on the other hand. The layers are packed along the *a*-direction and interconnected by Li⁺ ions (Figure 82). The distance between two sheets is given as a/2 = 3.8277 Å. The connectivity of the boroxine rings inside the layers, as well as the arrangement of the layers, is directly related to the structure of black phosphorous. This topological relationship is becoming obvious when comparing the barycenters of the boroxine rings in LiB₆O₉F and atom positions in black phosphorous (Figure 83).

The lithium atoms are located inside the cavities formed by the fluorooxoborate anion. The coordination sphere contains both fluorine and oxygen atoms. Lithium atom is coordinated by four oxygen atoms, mean d(Li-O) = 2.046 Å, and by one fluorine atom, d(Li-F) = 2.047 Å, forming a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramid, with F⁻ located in the basal plane (Figure 84). Although five-fold coordination for lithium atom is not very common, it was suggested that the mean Li-O distances for five-coordinate lithium atom should be 2.10(6) Å [224], which is in fair agreement with the distances observed in LiB₆O₉F, as listed in Table 32.



Figure 81: View of a corrugated mono layer along the [100] direction.



Figure 82: View along the [010] direction showing two layers interconnected by lithium atoms.



Figure 83: Topological relationship between LiB_6O_9F (top) and black phosphorous (bottom): Drawn are connection lines between the centres of the B_3O_3 boroxine rings, showing the analogy to the P—P bond in P_{black} .



Figure 84: Representation of the lithium coordination in LiB_6O_9F .

Table 32: Selected bond lengths $/\text{\AA}$ and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of lithium coordination for $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_9\text{F}$. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

| Atom contact | Distance | Atom contact | Angle |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|-----------|
| $Li(1)$ — $F(1)^{iv}$ | 2.047(3) | O(1)—Li(1)—O(9) | 105.3(2) |
| Li(1) - O(1) | 1.966(3) | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ — $\mathcal{Li}(1)$ — $\mathcal{F}(1)^{iv}$ | 132.8(2) |
| Li(1) - O(5) | 2.106(4) | O(1)—Li(1)—O(6) ^{<i>iv</i>} | 96.46(15) |
| $\mathrm{Li}(1) - \mathrm{O}(6)^{iv}$ | 2.070(4) | O(1)—Li(1)—O(5) | 99.1(1) |
| Li(1)— $O(9)$ | 2.040(4) | $O(9)$ —Li (1) —F $(1)^{iv}$ | 66.4(1) |
| mean | 2.046(4) | $O(9)$ —Li(1)— $O(6)^{iv}$ | 154.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Li}(1) - \mathrm{B}(1)^{ii}$ | 2.601(4) | O(9)—Li(1)—O(5) | 93.85(15) |
| Li(1)— $B(3)$ | 2.835(4) | $\mathrm{F}(1)^{iv}$ — $\mathrm{Li}(1)$ — $\mathrm{O}(6)^{iv}$ | 88.75(13) |
| | | $\mathrm{F}(1)^{iv}$ — $\mathrm{Li}(1)$ — $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 127.0(2) |
| | | $O(5)$ —Li(1)— $O(6)^{iv}$ | 96.2(2) |

5.2.5 Thermal Analysis

Differential thermal analysis, thermogravimetric analysis and mass spectroscopy (DTA /TG/MS) were applied simultaneously under argon atmosphere to investigate the thermal stability of lithium flurooxoborate (Figure 85). The compound represents almost no weight loss up to 470 °C, and then reveals a one-step weight loss up to 900 °C. The decomposition proceeds with thermal evolution of BF₃, which was detected as F (m/z = 19) and BF₂ (10 BF₂ m/z = 48, 11 BF₂ m/z = 49) species with the mass-spectroscopy. 92 % of the initial mass is remained at 1000 °C.



Figure 85: DTA/TG/MS thermograms of LiB_6O_9F in argon flow with a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate.

5.2.6 FT-IR Spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectrum of $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_6\text{F}$ is depicted in Figure 86. Single crystal analysis has revealed that $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_6\text{F}$ possesses a triborate unit (having a BO₃F tetrahedra) connected to a cyclic metaborate unit through a bridging oxygen. Even though the existence of BO₃F tetrahedra was claimed for BiB₂O₄F, no vibrational assignments for this unit were reported [225]. It appears that LiB₃O₅ has the closest structural silmilarities to LiB₆O₆F. Therefore, the assignments for IR absorptions of LiB₆O₆F are mainly performed according to the available data for lithium triborate, LiB₃O₅ [226].

Moreover, literature data available for alkali borates have additionally been considered for the interpretation of IR peaks. Relatedly, early investigations in IR spectra of various alkali borates assigned IR vibrational regions between 1450 cm⁻¹ and 1250 cm⁻¹ as B—O stretching vibrations of trigonal BO₃ groups [227]. Analogously, the vibrations at 1482 cm⁻¹ and 1370 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the asymmetric stretching vibrations of B—O bonds in BO₃ triangles. The band at 1223 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the ring stretching vibrations [226]. The asymmetric stretching vibrations of BO₃F tetrahedra are represented with the bands at 1085 cm⁻¹ and 1059 cm⁻¹, while the symmetric stretching vibrations of the corresponding group appear at 871 cm⁻¹ and 832 cm⁻¹ in accordance with the typical vibrations of the BO₄ tetrahedra [228, 229]. The bands appear between 971 cm⁻¹ and 921 cm⁻¹ are assigned to BO₃ groups are responsible for the respective bands centering at 724 cm⁻¹ and 706 cm⁻¹. The other vibrations positioned between

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignment |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1482-1370 | s-vs | $\nu_{asym} \operatorname{BO}_3$ |
| 1223 | \mathbf{VS} | $ u \ ({ m ring}) $ |
| $1085 {-} 1059$ | \mathbf{S} | $\nu_{asym} \ { m BO}_3 { m F}$ |
| 971 - 921 | \mathbf{S} | $\nu_{sym} \; \mathrm{BO}_3$ |
| 871 - 832 | \mathbf{S} | $\nu_{sym} \ {\rm BO}_3 {\rm F}$ |
| 724 | S | $\delta \ { m BO}_3 { m F}$ |
| 706 | m | $\delta \operatorname{BO}_3$ |
| 600 - 400 | w-m | γ (ring) and δ (ring) |

Table 33: FT-IR band positions and assignments for LiB_6O_9F .



Figure 86: FT-IR spectrum of LiB_6O_9F .

 400 cm^{-1} and 600 cm^{-1} are associated with the bending and ring deformation vibrations. An overview of the most important IR absorptions of $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_6\text{F}$ together with the corresponding assignments are compiled in Table 33.

5.2.7 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivity measurements were carried out for $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_9\text{F}$ from RT to 400 °C under argon atmosphere by means of impedance spectroscopy. The measurements were recorded at 1 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates. Arrhenius type diagrams were used to plot the temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities (Figure 87). The activation energy for ionic conduction was deduced from the slope of the conductivity curves and amounts to 160 kJ mol⁻¹.

Lithium fluorooxoborate can be regarded as a solid electrolyte $(10^{-5} \,\mathrm{S}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1} < \sigma < 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{S}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ according to the classification suggested by Tuller and Moon [52]. The bulk ionic conductivity of LiB₆O₉F was determined as 6.6 × 10⁻⁹ S cm⁻¹ at 400 °C.



Figure 87: Temperature dependence of bulk ionic conductivities for lithium fluorooxoborate. Heating and cooling are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively (two cycles are given).



Figure 88: Nyquist plot of lithium fluorooxoborate at T = 400 °C with ion-blocking gold electrodes. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

However, the ionic conductivity value may be further enhanced by aliovalent doping of the material. Low frequency diffusion spikes were observed in the Nyquist plots (Figure 88), when blocking electrodes were used, which proves that the conduction is ionic in nature.

Only one semicircle in the complex plane format of the impedance data occurred in the temperature range up to 350 °C. In the equivalent circuit, it can be interpreted as a parallel RQ element (Q:constant phase element). An additional low-frequency "spike" appeared at higher temperatures, which indicates the barrier to charge transfer between the sample and the ion-blocking gold electrodes (Figure 88). Thus, it can be expressed in the equivalent circuit with an additional constant phase element, Q_{el} , in series with the RQ element. The capacitance C, can be determined according to C = $Q^{1/n} \times R^{(1/n)-1}$. The capacitance values for the semicircles at different temperatures ($C \approx 6 \times 10^{-12}$ F) are corresponding to the typical values for the bulk capacitance of a sample [45]. Therefore, the lithium ion conductivity in the bulk can be associated with the semicircle.

5.2.8 Conclusions

Single phase, crystalline LiB_6O_9F has been synthesized from LiF and B_2O_3 by solid state reaction. At this example, we present the first crystal structure of an alkali fluorooxoborate. The principal building unit is a pair of boroxine rings, one bearing a fluoride ion. This unit is connected through bridging oxygen to two dimensionally corrugated sheets. Lithium cations are located in between the layers.

Fluorooxoborate anions are unknown, or not conclusively confirmed, in inorganic, solid state compounds. More common are fluoride oxoborates like jeremejevite $(Al_6[B O_3]_5F_3)$ [215], $Ba_5[B_2O_5]_2F_2$ [230], or $Gd_3[BO_3]_2F_3$ [231], just naming a few examples. As a distinguished feature, all those compounds contain isolated F^- anions which are interacting with cations, exclusively, and no B—F bonding is observed.

B—F bonds were supposed to exist in BaBOF₃ [232], with isolated, tetrahedral $[BOF_3]^{2-}$ anions. But the results are highly questionable, this very compound has been assumed later to be the sulfate, in fact [233]. BiB₂O₄F, containing one dimensional infinite ${}^{1}_{\infty}$ [B₂O₄F]³⁻ chains, might also be considered to be a fluorooxoborate [225]. (C₂H₁₀N₂)[BPO₄F₂] has to be mentioned within this context [234]. It is not a real fluorooxoborate in the strict sense, but the first fluoroborophosphate, where BO₂F₂ and PO₄ tetrahedra share common corners, forming ${}^{1}_{\infty}$ [BPO₄F₂]^{2−} chains, separated by the protonated organic templates. The observed bond distances, d(B-O) = 1.463 Å

and d(B-F) = 1.395 Å are in good agreement with the values specified for LiB₆O₉F (Table 31).

To our knowledge, LiB_6O_9F is the first lithium fluorooxoborate, unambiguously characterized.

5.3 Sodium Fluorooxoborate, $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$

5.3.1 Synthesis of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$

 $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ was acquired by applying solid state syntheses with different reactants. The reaction mixtures of $NaF-B_2O_3-NaBF_4$, $NaF-H_3BO_3-NaBF_4$, $NaF-Na_2B_4O_7\cdot 10 H_2O-NaBF_4$, $H_3BO_3-NaBF_4$, $B_2O_3-NaBF_4$ and $NaBO_2-NaBF_4$ were utilized to attain $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$, employing appropriate amounts of the reactants. The purest phase and the highest crystalline $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ was obtained by solid state reaction of a mixture formed from H_3BO_3 and $NaBF_4$ at a temperature of 350 °C according to the following reaction:

$$3 \text{ NaBF}_4 + 2 \text{ H}_3\text{BO}_3 \rightarrow \text{Na}_3\text{B}_3\text{O}_3\text{F}_6 + 2 \text{ BF}_3 \uparrow + 3 \text{ H}_2\text{O}\uparrow$$

The white colored sodium fluorooxoborate is found to be highly soluble in water. On the contrary, it is insoluble in ethanol and methanol.

Preparation of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$

Purity of the reactants was confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction and FT-IR prior to the reactions. In a typical reaction, 3 ml hexane (> 99 %, Merck) was added into a 2 g mixture prepared from appropriate amounts of NaBF₄ (> 98 %, Sigma Aldrich) and H₃BO₃ (99.99 %, Alfa Aeasar). Then, wet ball milling of the mixture with hexane was performed for 1 hour. Afterwards, the mixture was allowed to stay at 150 °C for overnight in a platinum crucible in order to strip the hexane off. The reaction was accomplished in air in a platinum crucible at 350 °C following a specific temperature schedule: 48 hours heated up to 350 °C, held 90 hours at 350 °C, cooled 24 hours to 325 °C, held 48 hours at 325 °C, cooled 24 hours to 300 °C, held 48 hours at 300 °C and cooled 48 hours down to the room temperature.

Preparation of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ single crystal

The single crystal of the title compound was obtained by further crystallization of one part of the obtained compound (0.001 mol) via solvothermal reactions applying ethanol (0.075 mol) and water (0.030 mol) as solvents. The reactions were carried out at 170 °C for more than one month by using 10 ml autoclaves. The products were filtered, washed with ethanol and then dried in air. A single crystal was chosen from the residue which was suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis, and placed on top of a glass capillary.

5.3.2 Single Crystal Structure Analysis of Na₃B₃O₃F₆

The experimental intensity data of the single crystal were collected with a Bruker AXS Smart APEX three circle single crystal diffractometer (MoK_{α} radiation, graphite monochromator) equipped with a CCD-detector at 23 °C. Intensities were corrected for absorption effects by applying a semi-empirical method using the SADABS program [217].

The crystal structure was solved by using the program package SHELXS-97 with direct methods [25]. The structure refinement was carried out by using full-matrix least-square techniques in SHELXL-97 [26], which is implemented in the WinGX Software [27].

Further experimental details on the crystallographic data and the data collection are listed in Table 34. The atomic parameters and the equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are compiled in Table 35. Moreover, Table 36 displays the values for the anisotropic displacement parameters from the single crystal data.

5.3.3 Structure Description

 $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ crystallizes in monoclinic space group C2/c, possessing four formula units in the unit cell. Therefore, as claimed by Babich et al, indexing of the powder data for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ based on a orthorhombic unit cell together with lattice parameters a = 10.245 Å, b = 14.462 Å, c = 9.46 Å appears inappropriate [211]. Three BO_2F_2 tetrahedra that are connected through sharing corners from O(1) or O(2) assemble together and compose the hexafluorotriborate rings. The main repetition unit of the structure is, thus consisting of a hexafluorotriborate ring, which is displayed in Figure 89. The ring contains a two fold axis passing from B(1) through O(2) with a C₂ symmetry. Based on the fact that B—F distances are not significantly differing with-

| Chemical formula | Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ |
|--|--|
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (no.), Z | C2/c(15),2 |
| Lattice constants | $a = 11.866(7) { m \AA}$ |
| | $b=6.901(4)~{ m \AA}$ |
| | $c = 9.367(6) 	ext{ Å}$ |
| | $\beta=113.724(9)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume $/Å^3$ | 702.32(28) |
| Density (calculated) $/{\rm g~cm^{-3}}$ | 2.491 |
| Temperature $/^{\circ}C$ | 23 (2) |
| Diffractometer | Bruker AXS, Smart APEX CCD |
| Monochromator | Graphite |
| Wavelength | ${ m MoK}_{lpha}~(\lambda=0.71073~{ m \AA})$ |
| hkl - range | $-14{<}h{<}14,-8{<}k{<}8,-11{<}l{<}11$ |
| Absorption correction | Program SADABS |
| F(000) | 504.0 |
| Theta range for data collection /° | $3.50 \le \theta \le 26.57$ |
| Reflections collected | 2719 |
| Independent reflections | $730[\mathrm{R}_{int}=0.0555]$ |
| Completeness to theta = 26.57° | 99.3~% |
| Absorption coefficient $\mu~/mm^{-1}$ | 0.44 |
| Structure refinement | WinGX 1.64.05 /SHELXL 97 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 |
| Parameters refined | 71 |
| $R_1 \; ({F}_0 > 4 \sigma \; {F}_0 \; / { m all})$ | 0.074, 0.094 |
| ${ m w}R_2~({F}_0>4\sigma~{F}_0~{ m /all})$ | 0.1798,0.1957 |
| Goodness of fit on F^2 | 1.207 |
| $\Delta \rho \min, \Delta \rho \max / e Å^{-3}$ | -0.43, 1.03 |

Table 34: Crystallographic data for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.

| Atom | Wyckoff position | x | y | z | U_{eq} |
|-------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Na(1) | 4d | 3/4 | 1/4 | 0 | 0.0267(7) |
| Na(2) | 8f | 0.5933(1) | 0.2247(2) | 0.4982(1) | 0.0259(6) |
| F(1) | 8f | 0.2876(2) | 0.4135(3) | 0.1192(2) | 0.0276(7) |
| F(2) | 8f | 0.4541(2) | 0.9181(3) | 0.1163(2) | 0.0272(7) |
| F(3) | 8f | 0.6256(2) | 0.4088(3) | 0.1135(3) | 0.0314(8) |
| O(1) | 8f | 0.4004(2) | 0.6807(4) | 0.2555(3) | 0.0241(8) |
| O(2) | 4e | 1/2 | 0.3782(5) | 1/4 | 0.024(1) |
| B(1) | 4e | 1/2 | 0.789(1) | 1/4 | 0.020(1) |
| B(2) | 8f | 0.3956(4) | 0.4758(7) | 0.2536(5) | 0.022(1) |

Table 35: Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, $U_{eq}/\text{\AA}^2$ for Na₃B₃O₃F₆. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

in the experimental errors (B(2)—F(1), B(2)—F(3) and B(1)—F(2) distances are 1.454(5) Å, 1.443(5) Å and 1.450(5) Å, respectively), thus these distances can be assumed to be equal. Hence, it is plausible that three boron atoms are located at the sites of C_{2v} symmetry, whereas hexafluorotriborate ring is of point group symmetry D_{3h} . The novel crystal structure is composed of layers formed by rings of hexafluorotriborate (B₃O₃F₆³⁻) anions, and sodium cations are located in between these layers. The layer structure is illustrated in Figure 90 along the [100] direction and the distance between layers of planar hexafluorotriborate anions is evaluated as 4.421(3) Å.

| Atom | U_{11} | U_{22} | U_{33} | U_{12} | U_{13} | U_{23} |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Na(1) | 0.026(1) | 0.028(1) | 0.019(1) | 0.003(1) | 0.003(1) | 0.0016(9) |
| Na(2) | 0.032(1) | 0.025(2) | 0.018(1) | 0.0022(7) | 0.0081(8) | 0.0014(6) |
| F(1) | 0.027(1) | 0.027(1) | 0.022(1) | -0.005(1) | 0.002(1) | -0.005(1) |
| F(2) | 0.038(1) | 0.021(1) | 0.018(1) | 0.003(1) | 0.005(1) | 0.0059(9) |
| F(3) | 0.041(1) | 0.033(1) | 0.021(1) | 0.006(1) | 0.014(1) | -0.004(1) |
| O(1) | 0.024(1) | 0.020(1) | 0.027(1) | 0.00(1) | 0.009(1) | -0.001(1) |
| O(2) | 0.022(2) | 0.016(2) | 0.033(2) | 0 | 0.008(1) | 0 |
| B(1) | 0.024(3) | 0.020(3) | 0.013(3) | 0 | 0.002(2) | 0 |
| B(2) | 0.025(2) | 0.023(2) | 0.018(2) | -0.001(2) | 0.005(1) | 0.001(1) |

Table 36: Anisotropic thermal displacement parameters, U_{ij} /Å², for Na₃B₃O₃F₆. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

Herein, the uniqueness of the structure should be itemized, since $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is the first compound that is originating solely from BO_2F_2 subunits. Figure 91 illustrates the crystal structure focusing on the polyhedral representation comprised of tetrahedral BO_2F_2 groups. The coordination environments of the boron atoms are slightly distorted tetrahedra. The BO_2F_2 tetrahedra feature a mean tetrahedral angle of 109.4(3)° and the mean value for O—B—F angles is assessed as 108.9(2)°. It is to be discerned that the F—B—F angle is slightly smaller than the ideal tetrahedral angle (104.6(4)°) in order to compensate for the widening of the O—B—O angle (116.14(45)°) due to the hexafluorotriborate ring. The selected bond lengths and angles for the $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ anion with their standard deviations are compiled in Table 37.



Figure 89: Representation of the hexafluorotriborate ring along the [001] direction in $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$, showing the atom labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

The hexafluorotriborate anion, represented in Figure 89, exhibits the planar configuration of a six membered ring. The average of O—B—O angles and B—O—B angles are recognized as 116.14(45)° and 123.8(4)°, respectively. Therefore, an overall sum of 720° is assembled, which supports the fact that the planarity of the ring is sustained. The overall sum of the torsion angles in the ring amounts to zero. The almost planar six membered hexafluoroborate ring sets only slight variations forth concerning the torsion angles, in a specifically elaborated manner recites the minimum as -1.7(5)° for the B(1)—O(1)—B(2)—O(2) and the maximum as 0.9(5)° for the B(2)—O(1)—B(1)—O(1). Thus, the previous consideration reporting the nonplanarity of the B₃O₃F₆³⁻ ring originating from the spectroscopic data is herein proven to be improper [235]. Further information's about the torsion angles of the B₃O₃F₆³⁻ ring are compiled in Table 38.



Figure 90: Representation of the crystal structure along the [100] direction of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with the margins of the unit cell (black).

The mean B—F distance is found as 1.449(5) Å, and is thus slightly larger than the corresponding distance of the BO₃F tetrahedron in LiB₆O₉F (1.431 Å) [236]. Then, the mean distance between boron and oxygen in Na₃B₃O₃F₆ is attained as 1.418(5) Å. Similar distances in the corresponding bond have been frequently encountered [221, 236]. To exemplify, a similar value was reported for the corresponding average B—O distances in LiB₃O₅ (1.473 Å in BO₄) [237], which contains triborate rings, [B₃O₅]⁻, consisting of two BO₃ units fused to a BO₄ unit. Hence, the replacement of two oxygen atoms in a BO₄ unit by two fluorine atoms in the title compound creates a slight decrease (0.05 Å) in the B—O distance. In turn, the B—O distances in the hexafluorotriborate ring are much larger than in the boroxine ring in sodium metaborate, [NaBO₂]₃, (1.38 Å) which is composed of three BO₃ groups [238], showing a clear difference to the tetrahedral arrangement of the BO₂F₂ groups in Na₃B₃O₃F₆.

| Atom contact | Distance | Atom contact | Angle |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| B(1)—O(1) | 1.419(5) | $F(2)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $F(2)$ | 104.6(4) |
| $\mathbf{B}(1) - \mathbf{O}(1)^{vii}$ | 1.419(5) | O(1) - B(1) - F(2) | 109.1(2) |
| B(1) - F(2) | 1.450(5) | $O(1) - B(1) - F(2)^{vii}$ | 108.7(2) |
| $\mathbf{B}(1) - \mathbf{F}(2)^{vii}$ | 1.450(5) | $O(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $F(2)$ | 108.7(2) |
| mean | 1.434(4) | $O(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $F(2)^{vii}$ | 109.1(2) |
| | | $O(1)^{vii}$ — $B(1)$ — $O(1)$ | 116.0(5) |
| | | mean | 109.4(3) |
| | | | |
| B(2)—O(1) | $1.415(6) [2 \times]$ | $F(1)B-(2)-F(3)^{vii}$ | $104.6(3) [2 \times]$ |
| B(2) - O(2) | $1.422(5) [2 \times]$ | $O(2) - B(2) - F(3)^{vii}$ | $109.0(3) [2 \times]$ |
| $\mathbf{B}(2) - \mathbf{F}(3)^{vii}$ | $1.443(5) [2 \times]$ | O(2) - B(2) - F(1) | $108.3(3) [2 \times]$ |
| B(2) - F(1) | $1.454(5) [2 \times]$ | O(1) - B(2) - F(1) | $108.9(3) [2 \times]$ |
| mean | 1.433(5) | $O(1) - B(2) - F(3)^{vii}$ | $109.2(3) [2 \times]$ |
| | | O(1) - B(2) - O(2) | $116.3(4) [2 \times]$ |
| | | mean | 109.4(3) |
| | | | |
| | | B—O—B angles | |
| | | B(2)—O(1)—B(1) | $124.0(4) [2\times]$ |
| | | $B(2)^{vii} - O(2) - B(2)$ | 193.5(3) |

Table 37: Selected interatomic distances /Å and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of $B_3O_3F_6{}^{3-}$ anion for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: $^{[vii]}$ –x–1, y, –z+1/2



Figure 91: Representation of the crystal structure along the [010] direction of Na₃B₃O₃F₆ with the margins of the unit cell (black).

| Fable 38: Torsion angles | /° of the $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ | ring in $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$. |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|

| Atom contact | Angle |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| B(1)-O(1)-B(2)-O(2) | -1.7(5) |
| $B(2)-O(2)-B(2)^{vii}-O(1)$ | 0.8(5) |
| $B(2) - O(1) - B(1) - O(1)^{vii}$ | 0.9(5) |

The crystal structure of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is assembled from two kinds of sodium ions. Na(1) atom is eightfold coordinated by six fluorine and two oxygen atoms in the form of a distorted cubic polyhedra with an average distance of 2.458(3) Å composed of two equidistant atoms of O(1) with 2.389(3) Å, F(3) with 2.402(3) Å, F(2) with 2.505(3) Å and F(1) with 2.538(3) Å, as illustrated in Figure 92a. The bond angles for all pairs (namely, F(1)—Na(1)—F(1), F(2)—Na(1)—F(2), F(3)—Na(1)—F(3), O(1)—Na(1)—O(1)) are equal with each other and account to 180°. Two equidistant F(3) and O(1) compose a square planar with identical F(3)—Na(1)—O(1) angles of 90° within the distorted cubic polyhedra.

Similar to Na(1), Na(2) also presents an eightfold coordination implicated by O(1), O(2), F(1), F(2) and F(3). Thus, a mean distance of 2.480(3) Å is attested for this irregular polyhedra, which is figured out in Figure 92b. It was reported that sodium atoms in NaBF₄ also form eight coordinated irregular polyhedra, akin to the Na(2) in Na₃B₃O₃F₆. Moreover, the mean distances are evaluated as 2.376(3) Å for the Na(2)—O and 2.514(3) Å for the Na(2)—F, while the nearest boron atom is located at a distance of 2.972(5) Å.

The connection polyhedra of Na(1)O₂F₆ and Na(2)O₂F₆ have been denoted in Figure 93. Thus, Na(1) and Na(2) atoms are connected with the boron atom through sharing edges constituted of oxygen and fluorine atoms. Hence, Na(1) atom is connected with six Na(2) atoms through sharing edges comprised from the F(1)—F(2), F(2)—F(1), F(1)—F(3), F(3)—F(1), F(2)—F(3) and F(3)—F(2). Furthermore, Na(2) atoms are connected to both three of Na(2) atoms by sharing the edges composed of F(1)—F(1), F(2)—F(2), F(3)—F(3), and also three of Na(1) atoms by sharing the edges composed of edges enclosed by F(1)—F(3), F(1)—F(2), F(2)—F(3).

Accordingly, the mean distances are evaluated as 2.39 Å for the Na(1)—O and 2.38 Å for the Na(2)—O, wherefore both Na(1) and Na(2) exhibits contacts shorter than the Na—O distances in NaBO₂, for which the shortest Na—O distance was reported as 2.46 Å [238]. The contacts of Na(1) and Na(2) with fluorine atoms are in well agreement with the corresponding distances in NaBF₄ (2.29–2.61 Å) [239]. Further details disclosing the Na—O and Na—F distances have been represented in Table 39, displaying the selected interatomic distances as well as the bond angles concerning the sodium coordination for Na₃B₃O₃F₆.

The structures of almost all of the fluorine containing oxoborates thus for represented in the literature manifests isolated fluorine anions which are located at the cation polyhedra, without formation of any B—F bonds. To illustrate, the reaction of $2Al_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ and B_2O_3 in the presence of a fluorine containing mineralizer



(b)

Figure 92: Representation of the (a) Na(1) and (b) Na(2) coordinations in $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.
resulted the formation of $Al_6[BO_3]_5F_3$, the structure of which consists of fluorine atoms at the vertices of aluminum octahedra without forming the B—F bonds and boron atoms form $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles [240]. As explained in Section 5.2, the crystal structure of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is the first example illustrating the BO_2F_2 tetrahedra. Indeed, following to the crystal structure of LiB_6O_9F (see Section 5.2), $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is the second "true" alkali fluorooxoborate known.



Figure 93: Representation of the connection of the sodium polyhedra along the [001] direction in the Na₃B₃O₃F₆, with the margins of the unit cell (black).

Table 39: Selected interatomic distances /Å and bond angles /° of the sodium coordination for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ from the single crystal data. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

| Atom contact | Distance | Atom contact | Angle |
|-------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| $Na(1) - O(1)^i$ | 2.389(3) | $O(1)^{i}$ —Na(1)—O(1) ^{<i>ii</i>} | 180.0(1) |
| $Na(1)$ — $O(1)^{ii}$ | 2.389(3) | ${ m O}(1)^i$ —Na (1) —F $(3)^{iii}$ | 90.4(1) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(3)^{iii}$ | 2.402(3) | $O(1)^{ii}$ —Na (1) —F $(3)^{iii}$ | 89.6(1) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(3)^{iv}$ | 2.402(3) | $O(1)^{i}$ —Na (1) —F $(3)^{iv}$ | 89.6(1) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(2)^i$ | 2.505(3) | $O(1)^{ii}$ —Na (1) —F $(3)^{iv}$ | 90.4(1) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(2)^{ii}$ | 2.505(3) | $F(3)^{iii}$ —Na (1) — $F(3)^{iv}$ | 180.0(0) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(1)^i$ | 2.538(3) | $\mathbf{F}(1)^{iii}$ — $\mathbf{Na}(1)$ — $\mathbf{F}(1)^{iv}$ | 180.0(0) |
| $Na(1)$ — $F(1)^{ii}$ | 2.538(3) | $F(2)^{iii}$ —Na (1) — $F(3)^{iv}$ | 180.0(0) |
| mean | 2.458(3) | | |
| $Na(1)$ — $B(1)^i$ | 2.961(1) | | |
| $Na(1)$ — $B(1)^{ii}$ | 2.961(1) | | |
| $Na(1)$ — $B(2)^i$ | 2.984(5) | | |
| $Na(1)$ — $B(2)^{ii}$ | 2.984(5) | | |
| | | | |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(1)^v$ | 2.326(3) | $F(1)^{v}$ —Na(2)— $F(2)^{vi}$ | 82.0(1) |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(2)^{vi}$ | 2.337(3) | $F(1)^{v}$ —Na (2) —O $(1)^{ii}$ | 90.1(1) |
| $Na(2)$ — $O(1)^{ii}$ | 2.369(3) | $F(2)^{vi}$ —Na (2) —O $(1)^{ii}$ | 127.1(1) |
| Na(2)— $O(2)$ | 2.384(2) | $F(1)^v$ —Na (2) —O (2) | 129.6(9) |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(1)^{vii}$ | 2.482(3) | $F(2)^{vi}$ —Na(2)—O(2) | 91.3(1) |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(2)^{viii}$ | 2.528(3) | $O(1)^{ii}$ —Na(2)—O(2) | 130.4(1) |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(3)^{vii}$ | 2.696(3) | | |
| $Na(2)$ — $F(3)^{viii}$ | 2.716(3) | | |
| mean | 2.480(3) | | |
| $Na(2)$ — $B(2)^{vii}$ | 2.972(5) | | |
| $Na(2)$ — $B(1)^{ii}$ | 2.983(2) | | |
| | | | |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: ^[i] x+1/2, y-1/2, z+1; ^[ii] -x-1, -y+1, -z+1; ^[iii] -x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; ^[iv] x, y, z+1; ^[v] x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2; ^[vi] -x-1, y-1, -z+1/2; ^[vii] -x-1, y, -z+1/2; ^[viii] x, -y+1, z+1/2

5.3.4 FT-IR Spectroscopy

Further characterization of the sodium fluorooxoborate was contrived by recording the FT-IR spectrum between 400 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm⁻¹, as demonstrated in Figure 94. The characteristic stretching vibrations manifesting the hexafluorotriborate ring are the very strong vibrations centering at 918 cm^{-1} , 1072 cm^{-1} and 1203 cm^{-1} [210]. The other characteristic strong band is observed at 797 cm⁻¹, which discloses the B—F stretching vibration of BO₂F₂ groups [235]. Furthermore, two small shoulders appear at 1447 cm⁻¹ and 1374 cm⁻¹, which are also ascribed for the ring stretching vibrations. The assignments and the corresponding intensities are tabulated in Table 40.



Figure 94: FT-IR spectrum of Na₃B₃O₃F₆.

| Wawanunahan /ana-1 | Interativ | Aggionnanta |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| wavenumber / cm - | Intensity | Assignments |
| 1447 - 1374 | W | $ u \ (ring) $ |
| 1203 | S | u (ring) |
| 1071 | S | u (ring) |
| 918 | S | ν (ring) |
| 797 | S | ν (B—F) |
| 579 | m | δ (ring) |
| 511 - 427 | W | δ (B—O) |

Table 40: FT-IR band positions and assignments of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.

5.3.5 Raman Spectroscopy

The strongest Raman band assessed at 600 cm⁻¹ is construed to the breathing vibration of the $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ ring [235], as discerned in Figure 95. The other band belonging to the ring is the ring deformation band attained at 304 cm⁻¹. The band at 333 cm⁻¹ is ascribed as the BF₂ rocking and the band at 735 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the B—F symmetric stretching of BF₂ [235]. The bands centering at 374 cm⁻¹, 527 cm⁻¹ and 513 cm⁻¹ are assigned to bending modes of BO₂F₂ groups [235]. The circumstantial of the band interpretations together with the intensities are gathered in Table 41.

5.3.6 Thermal Analysis

Thermal behavior of the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ was investigated via DTA/TG/MS measurements up to 1000 °C (Figure 96). The endothermic peak observed at 400 °C in DTA is accounted for the decomposition of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ by yielding NaF and an amorphous



Figure 95: Raman spectrum of the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignments |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| 735 | m | ν (B—F) |
| 600 | VS | ν (ring) |
| 527 | W | $\delta (\mathrm{BO}_2\mathrm{F}_2)$ |
| 513 | W | $\delta (\mathrm{BO}_2\mathrm{F}_2)$ |
| 374 | m | δ (B—F) |
| 333 | W | ρ (B—F) |
| 304 | W | δ (ring) |

Table 41: Raman band positions and assignments of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.



Figure 96: DTA/TG/MS thermograms of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate.

phase. It is remarkable that no recognizable weight loss is attained accompanying the decomposition. Therefore, it is attributed that the decomposition should probably lead to an amorphous phase of $Na_2B_3O_3F_5$ in addition to NaF, confirming the results of Maya et al. [210].

The results reveal that no weight loss is espied up to 550 °C. Onwards to this temperature, an amorphous phase, originated from the decomposition of Na₃B₃O₃F₆, starts to decompose. The decomposition occurred between 550 °C and 850 °C, and in this temperature range the evolution of F (m/z = 19) and BF₂ (¹⁰BF₂ m/z = 48, ¹¹BF₂ m/z = 49) species are detected by mass spectroscopy. 91 % of the initial mass is attained at 1000 °C.

In order to grasp the thermal demeanor of the material, DSC measurements were also conducted up to 500 °C under argon flow, as plotted in Figure 97. Accordingly, the consequent thermogram reveals a well-matching result with the DTA. When the thermogram is analyzed in detail, the most prominent peak is noticed possessing the peak maximum at 409 °C. In addition to that, the substantial change of the slope in the thermogram can be recognized at 215 °C. However, this effect can not be as a consequence of a phase transition since it is hardly discerned in the thermogram. Moreover, X-ray powder diffraction measurements performed at high temperatures, involving this temperature interval, have not distinguished a phase transition. The decomposition of the material is assessed at 409 °C. In order to identify the decomposition products, X-ray powder diffraction of the material was consummated following the DSC measurements. Thus, it is figured out the presence of NaF in the material as a single phase without any remaining traces of the Na₃B₃O₃F₆ reflections. Eventually, the material decomposes into NaF and an amorphous phase (which could be Na₂B₃O₃F₅).



Figure 97: DSC thermograms of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with 5 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates up to 500 °C.

5.3.7 Impedance Spectroscopy

The ionic conductivity measurements were attained via the impedance spectroscopy under argon flow with 1 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates up to 350 °C. Prior to

the measurements, the samples were dried in vacuum at 150 °C in a Schlenck line to remove the effect of water. The Arrhenius type diagram representing the temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities are graphed in Figure 98. The material demonstrates fully reversible ionic conductivity values upon heating and cooling cycles. The activation energies were derived from the slopes of the conductivity curves. The activation energy is found as 67 kJ mol⁻¹ between the temperatures of 25 $^{\circ}$ C and 200 $^{\circ}$ C. The slope of the conductivity curve alters at 200 °C and the material represents an activation energy of 107 kJ mol⁻¹ between 200 °C and 350 °C. According to the powder X-ray diffraction analysis conducted at higher temperatures, no change in the reflections of the material is distinguished in the specified temperature regime, explicitly onwards to 200 °C. Thus, the nebulous change in the slope is not attributed to a phase change in the material. On the other hand, this kind of alters in the Arrhenius plot for ionic conduction is typical for ionic conductors and is established for a crossover from the extrinsic to the intrinsic region. Accordingly, it is interpreted for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ that the regime below 200 °C corresponds to the extrinsic region of the material, in which defect motion accounts only for E_a . While, onwards to 200 °C is associated with the energies not only for defect motion but also for defect formation, thus both sums up for the resulting activation energy [242].

The activation energies and ionic conductivity values at different temperatures are summarized in Table 42. The ionic conductivity values of this material range from 1.1×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 310 °C to 3.6×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 350 °C. The high ionic conductivity values of Na₃B₃O₃F₆ points out that this material is a fast ion conductor, and indeed the ionic conductivity values are comparable with the well known ion conductors like Li- β -alumina. The latter material was reported to possess an ionic conductivity of 9.2×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 300 °C [243].

The impedance data represented in the complex plane exhibit only one semicircle until 67 °C, which is fitted by utilizing a R_1Q_1 element (Q:constant phase element) in the equivalent circuit. At higher temperatures, the low frequency spike is discerned which accounts for the barrier to charge transfer between the sample and the ion blocking gold electrodes. Thus, in the equivalent circuit a supplemental constant phase element (Q_{el}) was used in series with the R_1Q_1 element. The capacitance, C, can be determined according to the equation of $C = Q^{1/n} \times R^{(1/n)-1}$. The capacitance values of the semicircle at different temperatures are derived, and an approximate value of 3×10^{-12} F is evaluated, which is typical for the bulk capacitance of a sample. Hence, the semicircle is associated with the sodium conductivity in the bulk.



Figure 98: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with gold electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.)

| $\sigma~/{ m S~cm^{-1}}$ | $\mathbf{E}_a \ / \mathbf{k} \mathbf{J} \ \mathbf{mol}^{-1}$ |
|---|--|
| $3.6 \times 10^{-3} (350 \text{ °C})$ | 107 (200 °C-350 °C) |
| $8.7 \times 10^{-5} (250 \ ^{\circ}\text{C})$ | 67 (25 °C -200 °C) |
| $1.5 \times 10^{-8} (85 \ ^{\circ}\text{C})$ | |

Table 42: Activation energies and ionic conductivity values at different temperatures for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.



Figure 99: (a) Nyquist plot of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with blocking gold electrodes at 350 °C, (b) enlarged view emphasizing the part framed by the red box in the Figure 99a. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

At temperatures higher than 175 °C, the low frequency spike is replaced with a second semicircle. The Nyquist plot at 350 °C in Figure 99-a depicts the bulk conductivity along with the accompanied second semicircle. Figure 99-b also illustrates the Nyquist plot at 350 °C, however with an elaborate on the high frequency region consorted via the enlargement of the issued part. Hence, the interpretation is accomplished in the equivalent circuit via replacing the constant phase element with an additional R_2Q_2 element. Ergo, R_1Q_1 element is connected in series with the R_2Q_2 element in the equivalent circuit. The capacitance values of the second semicircle at different temperatures are $C \approx 3 \times 10^{-7}$ F, which then infers that the second semicircle could be as a result of a surface layer formed at higher temperatures or of the sample-electrode interface.



Figure 100: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with sodium electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively)

The ionic conductivities have also been analyzed by employing a cell composed of squeezing the sample between ion blocking sodium electrodes. The measurements have been conducted up to 80 °C. Temperature dependence of ionic conductivities are sketched in an Arrhenius type of graph, which is displayed in Figure 100. The activation energies are derived from the slope of the conductivity curve by using the Arrhenius equation which appraises a value of 62 kJ mol⁻¹ for both heating and cooling cycles. The evaluated ionic conductivity at 85 °C amounts to 2.2×10^{-8} S cm⁻¹.

The impedance data presented in the complex plane exhibits only one semicircle, as graphed in Figure 101, for all temperatures within the measurement is performed, and the fitting of data is performed by using a RQ element in the equivalent circuit. No low frequency spike is detected, which in turn proves that no barrier for the charge transfer has occured between the material and ion non-blocking sodium electrodes. The semicircle reveals an approximate capacitance value of 2.5×10^{-12} F, which is typical for the bulk capacitance. Hence, the semicircle is related with the sodium conductivity in the bulk.

5.3.8 Direct Current Measurements

The potentiostatic polarization measurements have been utilized in order to estimate the cationic and electronic transference numbers of the material. In dc measurements, the electrical current response has been monitored following a voltage step as can be discerned in Figure 102. The sodium transference numbers are evaluated by using a cell, in which the material has been squeezed between non-blocking sodium electrodes. Subsequently, the effective sodium transference numbers (t_{Na^+}) have been derived from the ratio of steady state current to the initial current. The cationic transference numbers are inferred as 1.0 at 55 °C and 0.91 at 77 °C. The values of t_{Na^+} , which approach to unity, manifest that the material is almost a pure sodium conductor.

In order to delineate the contribution of the electronic component to the conductivity, dc potentials have also been applied to the cell composed of squeezing the sample between ion blocking gold electrodes. Figure 103 depicts the results evaluated at 180 °C and 287 °C, which discloses smooth and gradual decays in the current. The effective electronic transference numbers (t_{el}) are calculated from the ratio of steady state current to the initial current. The t_{el} values are attained as 0.046 at 180 °C and 0.0016 at 287 °C. Hence, the electronic contribution to the conductivity is negligible as the electronic transference number values are very close to zero. The initial resistance in the dc measurement performed at 287 °C amounts to $3.9 \times 10^4 \Omega$. While the ac measurements at the same temperature have been pointed out to a lower resistance value ($1.1 \times 10^3 \Omega$), which is in turn expected as a consequence of the associated steep negative slope at the onset of the dc measurement. The dc steady state conductivity is also assessed in order to establish a comparison between ac and dc conductivities. The dc conductivity is deduced as 3.5×10^{-8} S cm⁻¹ at 287 °C, which is rather on the order of 1/10000 that of the ionic conductivity evaluated from the ac impedance $(4.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \text{ at } 287 \text{ °C}).$



Figure 101: Nyquist plot of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with sodium electrodes at 79 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

In consequence of direct current measurements performed via ion blocking gold as well as ion non-blocking sodium electrodes, it is attributed that $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is almost a pure sodium conductor.



Figure 102: Electrical currents versus time for the cells composed of Na/Na₃B₃O₃F₆/Na after switching on dc voltage at time zero at (a) 55 °C and (b) 77 °C.



Figure 103: Electrical currents versus time for the cells composed of Au/Na₃B₃O₃F₆/Au after switching on dc voltage at time zero at (a) 180 °C and (b) 287 °C.

5.3.9 Conclusions

The Na₃B₃O₃F₆ has been synthesized and characterized successfully in both structural and electrochemical manners. Thus, it is represented here the crystal structure of the first sodium fluorooxoborate, namely the Na₃B₃O₃F₆. Besides, the structure is the unique example of an alkali fluorooxoborate within the exempt of LiB₆O₉F. The fundamental building unit of the Na₃B₃O₃F₆ comprises of unique corner sharing BO₂F₂ tetrahedra. Three of these tetrahedra solely then generate the hexafluorotriborate rings forming the repetition unit of the anion in the structure. The structure is composed of planar hexafluorotriborate rings forming the layers and sodium ions are located within these layers. This material can also be classified as a fast ion conductor, with an ionic conductivity of 3.6×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 350 °C and an activation energy for ionic conduction as 107 kJ mol⁻¹ between 200 °C and 350 °C. Furthermore, it is demonstrated via the direct current measurements that Na₃B₃O₃F₆ exhibits a pure sodium conductivity, with t₊ = 1 at 55 °C and t_{el} = 0.0016 at 287 °C.

5.4 Potassium Fluorooxoborate, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$

5.4.1 Synthesis of K₃B₃O₃F₆

All reactions were carried out in a purified argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and glove boxes in rigorously dried reaction apparatus. The complete drying of the reactants were accomplished prior to the reactions. For this purpose, KBO₂ (purum, Fluka Analytical, UK) and KBF₄ (99.99%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were exposed upon vacuum for four days at 200 °C and 150 °C, respectively. Stoichiometric amounts of anhydrous KBO₂ and anhydrous KBF₄ were used in order to prepare the appropriate starting mixtures. The pellets, having 6 mm diamaters, were pressed from finely ground reactants, and then positioned inside gold crucibles, which in turn were sealed under argon atmosphere in glass ampoules. The reactions were carried out at 400 °C by following a specific temperature schedule composed of 48 hours heating from room temperature to 400 °C, 120 hours dwelling at 400 °C, and cooling down to the room temperature within 72 hours.

Resultingly, solving the structure of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ from the powder data is inhibited since the powder pattern of this compound was highly overcrowded. Moreover, an appropriate crystal of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ could not be picked up even though the product was further subjected for annealing to enhance the crystallinity. As a consequence possessing either bad quality or small size of crystals prevented to solve the structure from single crystal data. On the other hand, identification of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ has been performed from spectroscopy techniques by taking into account the similarities with the sodium analog (namely, $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$).

5.4.2 FT-IR Spectroscopy

FT-IR measurements were acquired in order to appoint the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$, as depicted in Figure 104. It is remarkable that the FT-IR spectrum of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ possesses the same vibrations assigned for the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ (see Figure 94), together with the accompanied matching in the corresponding intensities. Furthermore, the centers of all bands for $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ exhibit a shift to the lower wavenumbers in the spectrum if compared to the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$.



Figure 104: FT-IR spectrum of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$.

Table 43: FT-IR band positions and assignments of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignments |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1377 - 1334 | W | u (ring) |
| 1210 | \mathbf{S} | ν (ring) |
| 1054 | S | ν (ring) |
| 908 | S | ν (ring) |
| 772 | S | ν (B—F) |
| 564 | m | δ (ring) |
| 500 - 400 | W | δ (B—O) |

Resultingly, the band at 772 cm⁻¹ is assigned for the B—F stretching vibrations. Moreover, the characteristic bands for the ring stretching vibrations of the fluorooxoborate ring appear at 908 cm⁻¹, 1054 cm⁻¹ and 1197 cm⁻¹. Further assignments along with the intensities are given in Table 43.

Conclusively, the highly analogous behaviour among the IR bands in the new single phase potasium compound and $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ is attributed that this compound is potassium analog of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$, namely $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. This is in turn supported by the Raman measurements as will be reported in the next section.

5.4.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectrum of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ also reveals the congeneric vibrations like evaluated for the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ conforming the analogy in the corresponding IR spectra. The distinguishable sharp vibration appeared at 594 cm⁻¹ manifests the presence of the fluorooxoborate ring also in the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ compound connate to its sodium analog. The identified vibrations and assignments are given in Table 44. Consequently, the completely kindred peculiarities in IR and Raman spectra of the entitled compound to the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ attests that the attributed material is $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. Moreover, as a remark that Raman spectrum confirms the X-ray powder diffraction results hereof the sample is attained in a single phase via manifesting the consumption of all the reactants during the reaction, since neither KBF₄ (exhibiting a strong band at 775 cm⁻¹ [235, 244]) nor KBO₂ (possessing the strongest vibration at 612 cm⁻¹ [245]) is traced in the sample.

5.4.4 Thermal Analysis

Thermal stability of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ was assessed by using differential thermal analysis, thermal gravimetry and mass spectrum techniques simultaneously between ambient temperature and 1000 °C under argon flow and upon a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate (Figure 106). The decomposition of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is detected with an onset temperature at 421 °C and a peak maximum at 436 °C, as illustrated in the DTA thermogram. The decomposition proceeds without the detection of any volatile products abide by the unperceivable mass loss in the depicted TG thermogram.



Figure 105: Raman spectrum of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$.

Table 44: Raman band positions and assignments of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$.

| Wavenumber $/cm^{-1}$ | Intensity | Assignments |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| 741 | \mathbf{S} | ν (B—F) |
| 592 | \mathbf{VS} | $\nu \ (ring)$ |
| 524 | W | $\delta (\mathrm{BO}_2\mathrm{F}_2)$ |
| 499 | W | $\delta (\mathrm{BO}_2\mathrm{F}_2)$ |
| 354 | W | δ (B—F) |
| 321 | W | ρ (B—F) |
| 302 | W | δ (ring) |



Figure 106: DTA/TG/MS thermograms of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate.

The onset of the mass loss in the sample is discerned at 720 °C and only 3 % of the material is evolved onwards up to 1000 °C. The material possesses a ceramic yield of 97% at 1000 °C, in turn, when compared to its sodium analog, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is distinguished with its higher ceramic yield. On the contrary to its sodium counterpart, neither BF₂ nor F species is evolved upon thermal treatment of the sample comprehended by the mass spectrum. Consequently, the distinction in the decomposition process is described for the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ by contrast to its sodium analog. Rather, the decomposition of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is espied by the evolution of the BF species, which is assessed by the mass spectroscopy with ¹⁰BF (m/z = 29) and ¹¹BF (m/z = 30). Moreover, the decomposition of the potassium fluorooxoborate is originated at a higher temperature confronting with the sodium analog as well as the onset for the mass loss commences.

In order to investigate the thermal behaviour in detail, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ was also subjected to DSC measurements up to 500 °C by 5 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates under argon flow, as illustrated in Figure 107. A sharp endothermic peak is depicted with a peak maximum at 440 °C along with a shoulder possessing a peak maximum at 422 °C. The peak at 422 °C denotes the melting of the K₃B₃O₃F₆, and afterwards the decomposition is detected by conversion of the material into an amorphous phase at 440 °C. The last mentioned process is also observable by the formation of a new peak discerned at 125 °C in the cooling cycle. Thus, it represents a phase is formed upon heating up to 500 °C and manifests the decomposition of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$, since this peak is not existed in the heating cycle (see Figure 107) as well as during the cycling of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ up to 350 °C (see Figure 108); the latter will be presented in Section 5.4.5. When a further cycle in DSC analysis has been performed after 500 °C, a new endothermic peak appears with an onset temperature of 201 °C possessing a peak maximum of 210 °C belonging to the decompositon product, which further affirms the decomposition of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. The $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ sample has also been heat treated at 500 °C, and afterwards, analyzed via X-ray powder diffraction. KF reflections have been originated as a single phase, and no $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ reflections has been traced. Thus, according to these findings, the decomposition is proceeded by the formation of KF and companied with an amorphous phase. Andriiko et al. claimed that the melting point of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is at 560 °C [213]. However, no peak could be observed at 560 °C from the DTA as well as from the DSC analyses that have been conducted both up to 1000 °C. Moreover, the obvious decomposition of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is further proved from the described findings via the X-ray powder diffraction analysis.

5.4.5 Impedance Spectroscopy

Ionic conductivities of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ were acquired by utilizing impedance spectroscopy measurements under argon flow within a range comprised of room temperature up to 360 °C. The heating and cooling rates were 1 °C min⁻¹ and the sample in the form of a pellet was squeezed between gold electrodes for measurements. The temperature dependent bulk ionic conductivities are graphed in Arrhenius type diagrams as illustrated in Figure 109. The associated activation energies (E_a) are deduced from the slope of the conductivity curves by employing the Arrhenius equation. Both the activation energies and also the ionic conductivities at selected temperatures are assembled in Table 45.

A considerable increase in the ionic conductivity is recognized with the temperature, and the ionic conductivity curve is compiled of two different regimes which differentiates from each other with respect to their slopes. The conductivity at 150 °C is detected as 4.5×10^{-10} S cm⁻¹. However, the steep slope positioning up to 275 °C accounts for a five-orders of magnitude increase in the conductivity and a value of 4.2×10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ is retrieved at 275 °C. Then, a change in the slope of the Arrhenius plot is discerned at 275 °C. Eventually, onwards this temperature, the material exhibits a higher ionic conductivity and it reaches to 1.2×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹ at 360 °C. When the comparison is performed between sodium and potassium fluorooxoborates, it is depicted that the potassium compound does not exhibit as high ionic conductivity as the sodium counterpart represents. On the other hand, K₃B₃O₃F₆ can also be classified as a fast-solid ion conductor at temperatures higher than 275 °C, and moreover, this high conductivity is accompanied with a flatten slope of 46 kJ mol⁻¹. In this temperature regime, a contribution of one order of magnitude increase in the conductivity is recognized. A highly reversible conductivity values are attained upon heating and cooling cycles. Furthermore, when the material is analyzed with the X-ray powder diffraction following the impedance measurements, completely same material is recovered. In order to



Figure 107: DSC thermograms of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with 5 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates up to 500 °C.

grasp whether the material reveals a phase transition during heating or not, the material is further analyzed by DSC measurements from room temperature up to 350 °C under argon flow, as depicted in Figure 108. Resultingly, as already discussed in Section 5.4.4, no noticable transition is distinguished within the temperature range that impedance measurements are performed and thus no conclusive evidence for a phase transition is appeared.



Figure 108: DSC thermogram of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with 5 °C min⁻¹ heating and cooling rates up to 350 °C.

 $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ reveals two semicircles in the complex plane format up to 165 °C. The interpretation of the impedance data was proceeded via utilizing a parallel R_1Q_1 element representing the first semicircle, and this element was further connected in series with another RQ element, namely R_2Q_2 , to account the second semicircle in the equivalent circuit. A low frequency spike was discerned at higher temperatures displaying the barrier for the transfer of the charge between the sample and ion-blocking gold electrodes. In order to expound the spike, a supplemental constant phase element, Q_{el} , was inclosed in the equivalent circuit in series with the other RQ elements. In order to elucidate the response of the material, the capacitance values originating from both of



Figure 109: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with gold electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively.)

Table 45: Activation energies and ionic conductivity values at different temperatures for $K_3B_3O_3F_6$.

| $\sigma \ /{ m S} \ { m cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{E}_a \ / \mathbf{k} \mathbf{J} \ \mathbf{mol}^{-1}$ |
|--|--|
| $1.1 \times 10^{-4} (350 \text{ °C})$ | 46 (275 °C-360 °C) |
| $4.0 \times 10^{-6} (250 \text{ °C})$ | 173 (25 °C -275 °C) |
| $4.5 \times 10^{-10} (150 \ ^{\circ}\text{C})$ | |

the semicircles were calculated using the relation of $C = Q^{1/n} \times R^{(1/n)-1}$. According to the ensued results of the capacitance values, the semicircle appearing at higher frequencies possesses an approximate value of 5×10^{-12} F. Therefore, the high frequency semicircle is attributed to the bulk resistance of the K₃B₃O₃F₆.



Figure 110: Nyquist plot of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with gold electrodes at 287 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively.

5.4.6 Direct Current Measurements

In order to estimate the contribution of the electronic component to the conductivity, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ was analyzed via direct current measurements conducted by means of monitoring the electrical current response after a voltage step, as illustrated in Figure 111. The electronic contribution to the conductivity has been evaluated via using a cell which assembled from squeezing the sample between ion blocking gold electrodes. The ratio of steady state current to the initial current has been used to calculate the effective electronic transference number of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. Smooth and gradual decays are recognized as depicted in Figure 111. The effective electronic transference number (t_{el}) is calculated as 0.0025 at 185 °C. Therefore, it points out that the electronic contribution to the conductivity is negligible as the electronic transference number reveals a value that amounts almost close to zero.



Figure 111: Electrical currents versus time for the cell $Au/K_3B_3O_3F_6/Au$ after switching on dc voltage at time zero at 185 °C.

5.4.7 Conclusions

 $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ has been synthesizesd from anhydrous KBO₂ and KBF₄ at 400 °C by solid state reaction. The thermal investigations reveal that $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ decomposes at 440 °C into an amorphous phase and KF. The complete similarities of the titled compound with the Na₃B₃O₃F₆ have been deduced from the spectroscopical investigations, verifying that the structure of the $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ also consists of hexafluorotriborate rings connate to its sodium analog. The material exhibits an ionic conductivity of 1.2×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹ at 360 °C and an accompanied activation energy between 275 °C and 350 °C as 46 kJ mol⁻¹. Moreover, negligible electronic contribution to the ionic conductivity is observed since t_{el} is found as 0.0025 at 185 °C. Thus, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ can be classified as a fast-solid ion conductor, although the attained ionic conductivity does not reach to its sodium analog.

6 Summary

Polyelectrolytes

The polyelectrolytes studied in the present work are divided into two general groups, namely poly(lithium organylborylborates) and poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborates]. The representative polymers have been synthesized for each group, which have further been modified with incorporation of various organyl lithium compounds.

Such representative polymer for poly(lithium organylborylborates) is poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate], PLEB. This polymer has been reacted with RLi reagents, where R denotes for methyl or phenyl. All the polymers of poly(lithium organylborylborates) have been further annealed and the effect of annealing on the structure and the ionic conductivity have additionally been investigated. Analogously, the representative polymer for poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborates] is poly[tris(tetraethylenesilyl)borane], PESB, which has further been reacted with RLi reagents, where R is phenyl, n-butyl or t-butyl. The PLEB polymer has been synthesized through a hydroboration reaction between lithium tetravinylborate and BH₃. THF, whereas the PESB polymer has been prepared through a hydroboration reaction of tetravinylsilane with BH₃·S(CH₃)₂.

Amorphous nature of all these polyelectrolytes has been deduced by powder X-ray analysis. Structural properties have been studied via FT-IR and solid-state NMR spectroscopy techniques. Elemental analyses have been performed to characterize the chemical compositions. Thermal properties have been investigated by DTA/TG/MS analysis. Ionic conductivities of these polyelectrolytes have been analysed by impedance spectroscopy.

Poly(lithium organylborylborates)

Novel alkylborane-type single ionic solid polyelectrolytes have been prepared with immobilized anions, reduced ion trapping and a lithium transference number close to one in this thesis. Even though poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] (PLEB) is a polyelectrolyte, it has additionally been served as a starting material for the other poly(lithium organylborylborates). According to results from FT-IR, solid-state NMR and DTA/TG/MS analyses, the main structural building blocks of the poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate (PLEB) has been determined to contain mainly tetraethylene borate and hydrogen-bridged borane units, which are connected through ethylene linkages. In these three dimensional network, lithium atoms are compensated by the negative charge on the borate units. The ionic conductivities and structures have been analyzed along with the effect of annealing, which has been performed at 275 °C. Annealing of the PLEB polymer reveals that the main building blocks and connectivity of the structure for the polymer have been remained the same but minor amount of vinyl groups have additionally been observed. The activation energy of the ionic conduction was lower for the annealed PLEB polymer (70 kJ mol⁻¹) as compared to the as-synthesized PLEB polymer (145 kJ mol⁻¹). The temperature induced crosslinking by annealing modifies the structure along with increasing the charge carrier concentration. Most salts and linear or crosslinked networks which were investigated in the literature have the cationic transference number in the range of 0.3 to 0.5. In contrast, poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate] is a pure lithium conductor having t_{+} value of 1.0(2) at 137 °C.

Incorporation of phenyllithium into PLEB polymer (which is poly[lithium tetrakis-(triethylenephenylboryl)borate], PLEPB) increases the ionic conductivity values (i.e. 3×10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ for PLEB and 2.2×10^{-6} S cm⁻¹ for PLEPB at 80 °C) both for the as-synthesized and annealed PLEB polymers, while no significant improvement in activation energies (145 kJ mol⁻¹ for PLEB and 146 kJ mol⁻¹ for PLEPB) is emerged. In addition to the main structural units of the PLEB polymer, a new building block has been identified from the combination of performed analyses, which is a four coordinated borate unit substituted by three ethylene and one phenyl in PLEPB polymer. Moreover, incorporation of methyllithium into PLEB polymer (which is poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenemethylboryl)borate], PLEMB) has also been examined, and among these polyelectrolytes, the highest conductivity has been obtained for the annealed PLEMB as 3.2×10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ at 350 °C. In all cases, annealing decreases the activation energies.

Poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)organylborates]

Analogous to polyelectrolytes based on poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borates], various lithium conducting polyelectrolytes have been synthesized by reacting poly[tris-(tetraethylenesilyl)borane] (PESB) polymer with phenyl-, n-butyl- and t-butyllithium,

which are poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)phenylborate] (PLESPB), poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)n-butylborate] (PLESnBB) and poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)t-butylborate] (PLEStBB), respectively. Compared to the polyelectrolytes based on poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borates], all of the polyelectrolytes based on poly[tris (tetraethylenesilyl)borane] have lower ionic conductivities, which is most probably resulted from increase in hopping distances and decrease in charge carrier concentration of lithium ions due to incorporation of tetraalkylsilane groups between borate groups in the polymer networks.

Alkali Fluorooxoborates

Lithium Fluorooxoborate, LiB₆O₉F

An all-solid state reaction between LiF and B_2O_3 at 400 °C has been applied for the synthesis of crystalline LiB₆O₆F. This work presents the first crystal structure analysis of an alkali fluorooxoborate. LiB₆O₆F crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $Pna2_1$ with lattice parameters a = 7.6555(1) Å, b = 8.5318(1) Å and c = 10.7894(2) Å. The structure of LiB₆O₆F contains a pair of boroxine rings, which are connected via a bridging oxygen atom constituting the basic building unit of the fluorooxoborate anion. Moreover, fluoride ion is bonded to one of the boroxine rings. The fluorooxoborate anions form two-dimensional corrugated sheets, whereas lithium cations are embedded between the layers.

DTA/TG/MS analysis has shown that LiB_6O_6F has almost no weight loss up to 470 °C and only 8 % of the initial mass is exhausted at 1000 °C under argon atmosphere. The activation energy for ionic conduction amounts to 160 kJ mol⁻¹ and according to the ionic conductivity measurements deduced by impedance spectroscopy, this material can be classified as a solid electrolyte with a lithium ion conductivity of $6.6 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ at 400 °C.

Sodium Fluorooxoborate, $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$

Crystalline $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ has been synthesized by reacting H_3BO_3 and $NaBF_4$ at 350 °C. The crystal structure of this compound is a unique example of a fluorooxoborate anion with alkali ions (besides LiB_6O_9F). As well, it is the first crystal structure known which is composed solely from BO_2F_2 tetrahedra. Herein, the hexafluoro derivation of the boroxine ring, namely $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ anion, is also manifested. Na₃B₃O₃F₆ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c with lattice parameters a = 11.866(7) Å, b = 6.901(4) Å, c = 9.367(6) Å, $\beta = 113.724(9)^{\circ}$. Two fluorine atoms are coordinated with each boron atom forming BO_2F_2 tetrahedral units. The basic building unit of the structure is a six-membered hexafluorotriborate ring with constitution of $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$. The $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ anions form a layered structure and sodium cations are located in space between these layers.

Na₃B₃O₃F₆ has been characterized by single crystal X-ray analysis, FT-IR, Raman, DTA/TG/MS, DSC, direct current measurements and impedance spectroscopy techniques. Direct current measurements at 55 °C yield t₊ as 1, and at 287 °C reveal t_{el} as 0.0016; in turn confirming that Na₃B₃O₃F₆ is a pure sodium conductor. The compound has a sodium ion conductivity of 3.6×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 350 °C and an activation energy for ion conduction as 107 kJ mol⁻¹ between 200 °C and 350 °C. Hence, Na₃B₃O₃F₆ can be classified as a fast ion conductor.

Potassium Fluorooxoborate, K₃B₃O₃F₆

Crystalline $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ has been synthesized by a solid-state reaction between anhydrous KBO₂ and KBF₄ at 400 °C. Based on the full characterization of Na₃B₃O₃F₆ (which is analogous to the titled compound) in this work by single crystal analysis and spectroscopic techniques, the complete similarity in the spectroscopic analyses between these two analogous compounds manifests the structure of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. Conclusively, the structure of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ is proven to compose of six-membered hexafluorotriborate rings of the $B_3O_3F_6^{3-}$ anions, in which two fluorine atoms are coordinated to each boron atom, forming BO_2F_2 tetrahedral units in a similar manner to the Na₃B₃O₃F₆ analog. $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ can also be classified as a fast ion conductor since it exhibits an ionic conductivity of 1.2×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹ at 350 °C, and a negligible electronic contribution is observed.

7 Zusammenfassung

Polyelektrolyte

Die Polyelektrolyte, die im Rahmen dieser Arbeit untersucht wurden, lassen sich in zwei Gruppen einteilen, nämlich Poly-Lithium-organylborylborate und Poly-Lithiumtris(tetraethylensilyl)organylborate. Repräsentatives Polymer synthetisiert, welches durch den Einbau verschiedener Lithiumorganyle modizifiert wurde.

Ein repräsentatives Polymer für Poly-Lithium-organylborylborate ist beispielsweise Poly-Lithium-tetrakis(ethylenboryl)borat, PLEB. Dieses Polymer wurde mit Lithiumorganylen RLi, mit R = Methyl, Phenyl, umgesetzt. Alle Polymere auf Poly-Lithiumorganylborylborate Basis wurden getempert und der Einfluß des Temperns auf die Struktur und Ionenleitfähigkeit wurde untersucht. Entsprechend wurde das für Poly-Lithium-tris(tetraethylensilyl)organylborate repräsentative Polymer Poly-tris(tetraethylensilyl)boran, PESB, mit Lithiumorganylen RLi (R = Phenyl, n-Butyl oder t-Butyl) umgesetzt. PLEB wurde durch Hydroborierung von Lithium-tetravinylborat mit BH₃. THF hergestellt, wohingegen PESB durch Hydroborierung von Tetravinylsilan mit BH₃·S(CH₃)₂ synthetisiert wurde.

Die amorphe Struktur all dieser Polymere wurde durch Pulverdiffraktometrie nachgewiesen und die strukturellen Eigenschaften dann mittels FT-IR und Festkörper-NMR Spektroskopie näher untersucht. Die chemische Zusammensetzung der Polymere wurde durch Elementaranalysen bestimmt. Die thermischen Eigenschaften wurden mittels DTA/TG/MS -Analysen und die Ionenleitfähigkeit dieser Polyelektrolyte mit Hilfe der Impedanzspektroskopie untersucht.

Poly-Lithium-organylborylborate

Im Rahmen dieser Arbeit wurden neuartige feste Polyelektrolyte auf Alkylboranbasis, mit immobilisierten Anionen, verringertem Ioneneinfang und einer Lithiumionenüberführungszahl von nahe eins realisiert. Obwohl Poly-Lithium -tetrakis(ethylenboryl)borat (PLEB) selbst ein Polyelektrolyt ist, wurde es auch als Startmaterial für andere Poly-Lithium-organylborylborate eingesetzt. Den Ergebnissen der FT-IR-, Festkörper-NMR- und DTA/TG/MS- Analysen zufolge, enthält der wichtigste Strukturbaustein von PLEB hauptsächlich Tetraethylenborat und über Wasserstoffbrückenbindungen verknüpfte Boraneinheiten, welche über Ethylenbrücken miteinander verbunden sind. In diesem dreidimensionalen Netzwerk wird die positive Ladung der Lithiumionen durch Boratanionen ausgeglichen. Die Strukturen, die Ionenleitfähigkeit dieser Verbindungen, sowie der Effekt des Temperns - in diesem Fall bei 275 °C - wurde untersucht. Nach dem Tempern des PLEB Polymers bleiben die Hauptbausteine und deren Konnektivität erhalten, wobei die Bildung einer geringen Zahl an Vinylgruppen beobachtet wurde. Die Aktivierungsenergie der Ionenleitung war für das getemperte Polymer (70 kJ mol⁻¹) geringer als für ungetemperte Proben (145 kJ mol⁻¹). Die durch das Tempern induzierte Vernetzung verändert die Struktur des Polymers was zu einer Erhöhung der Ladungsträgerkonzentration führt. Die meisten Salze und lineare oder vernetzte Netzwerke, die in der Literatur beschrieben werden, weisen Kationentransferzahlen zwischen 0.3 und 0.5 auf. Im Gegensatz dazu beträgt t₊ von Poly-Lithiumtetrakis(ethylenboryl)borat, einem reinen Lithiumionenleiter, 1.0(2) bei 137 °C.

Der Einbau von Phenyllithium in das PLEB Polymer zur Bildung von Poly-Lithiumtetrakis(triethylenphenylboryl)borat (PLEPB) führt zu einer Erhöhung der Ionenleitfähigkeit (3 x 10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ für PLEB und 2.2 x 10^{-6} S cm⁻¹ für PLEPB bei 80 °C), sowohl des unbehandelten als auch des getemperten PLEB Polymers, eine signifikante Verbesserung der Aktivierungs-energien (145 kJ mol⁻¹ für PLEB und 146 kJ mol⁻¹ für PLEPB) wird hierbei nicht beobachtet. Zusätzlich zum Hauptbaustein des PLEB Polymers, wurde durch Kombination der Ergebnisse ein neuartiger Baustein identifiziert der aus vierfach koordinerten Boratbaueinheiten bestehend aus drei Ethylenund einem Phenylliganden aufgebaut ist.

Darüberhinaus wurde auch der Einbau von Methyllithium in PLEB untersucht (Poly-Lithium-tetrakis(triethylenmethylboryl)borat, PLEMB). Die größte Ionenleitfähigkeit für diese Art von Polyelektrolyten wurde bei getempertem PLEMB erhalten, sie beträgt $3.2 \ge 10^{-5}$ S cm⁻¹. In allen Fällen verringert Tempern die Aktivierungsenergie.

Poly-Lithium-tris(tetraethylensilyl)organylborate

Analog der Synthese von Polyelektrolyten auf Basis von Poly-Lithium-(tetrakisethylenboryl)borate wurden verschiedene lithiumionenleitende Polyelektrolyte durch Umsetzung von Poly-tris(tetraethylensilyl)boran (PESB) Polymer mit Phenyl-, n-Butyl- und t-Butyllithium dargestellt (Poly-Lithium-tris(tetraethylensilyl)phenylborat (PLESPB), Poly-Lithium-tris(tetraethylensilyl)n-butylborat (PLESnBB) bzw. Poly-Lithium-tris-(tetraethylensilyl)t-butylborat (PLEStBB)). Verglichen mit den Polyelektrolyten auf Basis von Poly-Lithium-tetrakis(ethylenboryl)borate weisen alle auf Poly-tris(tetraethylensilyl)boran Basierenden Polyelektrolyte eine geringere Ionenleitfähigkeit auf. Dies rührt wahrscheinlich von einer Vergrößerung der Sprungdistanz und einer Abnahme der Ladungsträgerkonzentration der Lithiumionen durch den Einbau von Tetraalkylsilangruppen zwischen den Boratanionen innerhalb des Polymernetzwerks her.

Alkali Fluorooxoborat

Lithium Fluorooxoborat, LiB_6O_9F

Zur Darstellung von kristallinem LiB₆O₆F wurde eine Festkörperreaktion mit LiF und B₂O₃ bei 400 °C durchgeführt. In dieser Arbeit ist es erstmals gelungen, eine Einkristallstruktur eines Alkali-Fluorooxoborates erfolgreich zu lösen. LiB₆O₆F kristallisiert in der orthorhombischen Raumgruppe $Pna2_1$ mit dem Gitterparametern a = 7.6555(1) Å, b = 8.5318(1) Å und c = 10.7894(2) Å. Die Struktur von LiB₆O₆F enthält ein Paar von Boroxin-Ringen, die über ein Sauerstoffatom miteinander verknüpft sind. Außerdem ist das Fluorid-Ion an eines der beiden Boroxinringe gebunden. Die Fluorooxoboratanionen binden zweidimensional gewölbte Schichten, in denen das Lithium zwischen den einzelnen Lagen eingebettet ist.

In DTA/TG/MS Untersuchungen (Argonatmosphäre) zeigt LiB_6O_6F beim Aufheizen bis 470 °C keinen erkennbaren Massenverlust, erst beim Erhitzen auf 1000 °C wird ein Massenverlust von 8 % beobachtet.

Die Aktivierungenergie für die Ionenleitung beträgt 160 kJ mol⁻¹ und wurde aus der Impedanzspektroskopie bestimmt. Die Lithiumionen-Leitfähigkeit beträgt 6.6×10^{-9} S cm⁻¹ bei 400 °C. Durch diese Ergebnisse kann die Verbindung in die Klasse der Festkörperelektrolyten eingestuft werden.

Natrium Fluorooxoborat, $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$

 $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ wurde bei der Reaktion von H_3BO_3 and $NaBF_4$ bei 350 °C erhalten. Die Verbindung stellt neben LiB_6O_6F die einzige Struktur dar, die aus Fluorooxoboratanionen und Alkaliionen gebildet wird. Auserdem ist es die erste bekannte Kristallstruktur, deren anionische Trimere ausschließlich aus BO_2F_2 Tetraedern aufgebaut sind. In der Struktur sind die einzelnen Tetraeder zu $B_3O_6F_6^{3-}$ -Anionen kondensiert. $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ kristallisiert in der monoklinen Raumgruppe C2/c mit den Gitternparametern a = 11.866(7) Å, b = 6.901(4) Å, c = 9.367(6) Å, $\beta = 113.724(9)^{\circ}$. Bor ist in den BO₂F₂ Tetraedern direkt an zwei Fluoratome koordiniert. Die grundlegende Baueinheit der Kristallstruktur ist der oben genannte sechsgliedrige Hexafluorotriborat-Ring mit der Zusammensetzung B₃O₆F₆³⁻. Die B₃O₆F₆³⁻-Anionen bilden Schichten mit Natrium-Kationen in den Zwischenräumen. Na₃B₃O₃F₆ wurde mittels Röntgenstrukturanalyse, DTA/TG/MS-Messungen, FT-IR, Raman- und Impedanzspektroskopie untersucht und charakterisiert. In Gleichstromleitfähigkeits messungen ergab sich bei einer Temperatur von 55 °C ein Wert von t₊ = 1. Der Anteil der elektronischen Leitfähigkeit wurde bei einer Temperatur von 287 °C mit t_{el} = 0.0016 bestimmt. Hieraus ergibt sich, das Na₃B₃O₃F₆ ein reiner Natrium-Ionenleiter ist. Die Ionenleitfähigkeit beträgt 107 kJ mol⁻¹ zwischen 200 und 350 °C. Diese Ergebnisse bestätigen, dass es sich bei Na₃B₃O₃F₆ um einen schnellen Ionenleiter handelt.

Kalium Fluorooxoborat, $K_3B_3O_3F_6$

Kristallines $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ wurde in einer Festkörperreaktion aus wasserfreiem KBO₂ und KBF₄ bei 400 °C dargestellt. Basierend auf der vollständigen Charakterisierung von Na₃B₃O₃F₆, konnte durch die Übereinstimmung der Schwingungsspektren der beiden analogen Alkali-Fluorooxoborate die Struktur von $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ hergeleitet werden. Anhand der Untersuchungen konnte eindeutig bewiesen werden, dass $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ ebenfalls aus sechs-gliedrigen Hexafluorotriborat Ringen B₃O₃F₆³⁻ aufgebaut wird. Wie in der analogen Natriumverbindung ist jedes Boratom von zwei Fluor- und zwei Sauerstoffatomen tetraedrisch koordiniert. Ebenso kann $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ als schnelles Ionenleiter klassifiziert werden. Die Ionenleitfähigkeit beträgt 1.2 x 10⁻⁴ S cm⁻¹ bei 350 °C und gleichzeitig vernachlässigbarem elektronischem Beitrag.
List of Figure

List of Figures

| 1 | Schematic view of the argon and vacuum line (Schlenk system) | 11 |
|----|--|----|
| 2 | Schlenk apparatus which has LV 29 in the upper part and LV 14 in | |
| | the remaining connections for (a) sealing of ampoules, and (b) filling of | |
| | capillaries | 11 |
| 3 | Schematic set up for the argon purification | 13 |
| 4 | Dual wavelength diffractometer system: (1) sealed tube (Mo), (2) $I\mu S$ | |
| | microfocus source (Cu), (3) N-Helix cooling device. | 17 |
| 5 | Graphical representation of an impedance spectrum as Nyquist plot | |
| | (top) and Bode plot (bottom). \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | 23 |
| 6 | (a) Impedance spectrum with one semicircle, and (b) equivalent circuit | |
| | constructed from parallel combination of resistance and capacitance $\ .$ | 27 |
| 7 | (a) Impedance spectrum with two semicircles, and (b) equivalent circuit | |
| | for two serial connected RC elements | 27 |
| 8 | Schematic representation of the set up for impedance spectroscopy mea- | |
| | surements | 30 |
| 9 | Schematic drawing of the impedance spectroscopy cell. \ldots \ldots \ldots | 31 |
| 10 | Classification of electrical conductivities of solids by magnitude. \ldots . | 34 |
| 11 | Schematic representation of Li diffusion assisted by the segmental motion $% \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(\mathcal{L})$ | |
| | of the PEO matrix. Ether oxygen atoms of PEO are represented with | |
| | the circles | 38 |
| 12 | Transport of ions by (a) vacancy mechanism, (b) interstitial mechanism | |
| | and (c) interstitialcy mechanism showing two possible locations of ions | |
| | after movement. | 40 |
| 13 | Examples of (a) blend, (b) copolymer and (c) homopolymer matrices for $(a) = (a) + $ | |
| | single ion conduction [127] | 47 |
| 14 | Examples of organoborate solid polymer electrolytes | 49 |
| 15 | Examples of polyelectrolytes | 51 |
| 16 | 13 C-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether | 55 |
| 17 | ¹ H-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether | 55 |
| 18 | ⁷ Li-NMR spectrum of vinyllithium solution in diethyl ether | 56 |

| 19 | ¹¹ B-NMR spectrum of boron trifluoride etherate | 57 |
|----|--|----|
| 20 | $^{13}\mbox{C-NMR}$ spectrum of lithium tetravinyl borate, solution in diethyl ether. | 58 |
| 21 | ¹ H-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether. | 59 |
| 22 | ¹¹ B-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether. | 60 |
| 23 | ⁷ Li-NMR spectrum of lithium tetravinylborate, solution in diethyl ether. | 60 |
| 24 | Synthesis route for PLEB polymer. | 61 |
| 25 | XRD pattern of as-synthesized PLEB polymer | 62 |
| 26 | FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer | 64 |
| 27 | Raman spectrum of as-synthesized PLEB polymer | 65 |
| 28 | Solid state ¹³ C-NMR of as-synthesized PLEB polymer. $\omega_r(2\pi)^{-1} = 25 \text{kHz}.$ | 66 |
| 29 | Solid state ^{11}B MQMAS spectrum recorded for as-synthesized PLEB | |
| | polymer | 67 |
| 30 | Solid state ¹ H-NMR spectrum recorded for as-synthesized PLEB poly- mer (red line: components of deconvolution, green line: sum of compo- nents, blue line: experimental) | 68 |
| 21 | DTA /TC /MS curves for the as synthesized PLEB polymer in argon flow | 00 |
| 91 | at 10 °C min ⁻¹ | 69 |
| 32 | Schematic drawing of the structure of PLEB polymer showing the main | |
| | building blocks | 70 |
| 33 | XRD pattern of annealed PLEB polymer at 275 °C | 71 |
| 34 | FT-IR spectrum of the annealed PLEB polymer | 72 |
| 35 | Solid state ¹³ C-NMR spectrum of annealed PLEB polymer. $\omega_r(2\pi)^{-1} =$ | |
| | 25 kHz | 74 |
| 36 | Solid state ¹¹ B MQMAS spectrum recorded for annealed PLEB polymer. | 75 |
| 37 | Solid state ¹ H-NMR spectrum recorded for annealed PLEB polymer (red line: components of deconvolution, green line: sum of components, blue | |
| | line: experimental) | 76 |
| 38 | DTA/TG/MS curves for the annealed PLEB polymer in argon flow at $10 ^{\circ}\text{C}\text{min}^{-1}$ | 77 |
| 39 | Temperature dependence of the jonic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized | 1 |
| 00 | and (b) annealed PLEB polymers at 275 °C. Heating and cooling are | 70 |
| 40 | Nyquist plot of the DIFP polymon with blocking gold closter desired | 19 |
| 40 | 276 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are | |
| | represented with dots and the solid line, respectively. | 80 |
| | | |

| 41 | Electrical currents versus time for the cells (a) Au/polymer/Au (at 255°C and 277°C) and, (b) Li/polymer/Li (at 137°C) after switching | |
|----|---|-----|
| | on dc voltage at time zero | 81 |
| 42 | Temperature dependence of ⁷ Li-static NMR (a) line widths and (b) correlation time of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | 83 |
| 43 | XRD pattern of as-synthesized PLEPB polymer | 86 |
| 44 | FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer | 87 |
| 45 | Raman spectrum of as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. | 89 |
| 46 | Solid state ¹ H-NMR spectrum recorded for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer | 01 |
| 47 | Solid state ¹¹ B nutation NMR spectrum recorded for the as-synthesized | 91 |
| | PLEPB polymer | 92 |
| 48 | Solid state ¹³ C-NMR spectrum of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer. $\omega_r \ (2\pi)^{-1} = 25 \text{ kHz.}$ | 93 |
| 49 | DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer in argon flow at 10 °C min ⁻¹ heating rate. | 94 |
| 50 | Schematic drawing of the structure of PLEPB polymer showing the main building blocks. | 95 |
| 51 | Powder XRD pattern of the annealed PLEPB polymer | 96 |
| 52 | FT-IR spectrum of the annealed PLEPB polymer | 99 |
| 53 | $\rm DTA/TG/MS$ thermograms for the annealed PLEPB polymer in argon | |
| | flow with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min ⁻¹ heating rate | 100 |
| 54 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized and (b) annealed PLEPB polymers at 275 °C. Heating and cooling are | 1 |
| | represented by filled and open symbols, respectively. | 101 |
| 55 | Nyquist plot of the PLEPB polymer with blocking gold electrodes at 276 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are | |
| | represented with dots and the solid line, respectively. | 102 |
| 56 | XRD pattern of PLEMB polymer | 105 |
| 57 | FT-IR spectrum of the PLEMB polymer | 106 |
| 58 | DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLEMB polymer in argon flow at | |
| | $10 ^{\circ}\mathrm{Cmin^{-1}}$. | 108 |
| 59 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the (a) as-synthesized and (b) annealed PLEMB polymers at 350 °C. Heating and cooling are | 1 |
| | represented by filled and open symbols, respectively. | 109 |
| | | |

| 60 | Nyquist plot of the PLEMB polymer with blocking gold electrodes at 350 °C. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively |
|----|--|
| 61 | A comparison of the temperature dependent ionic conductivities for the PLEB (plotted with black symbols), PLEPB (plotted with blue symbols) and PLEMB (plotted with red symbols) polymers. As-synthesized and annealed polymers are represented by respective closed and open symbols.114 |
| 62 | Schematic drawing of the idealized reaction of the PESB polymer with RLi; where R is equal to phenyl, n-butyl or t-butyl groups 116 |
| 63 | XRD pattern of the PESB polymer |
| 64 | FT-IR spectrum of the PESB polymer |
| 65 | DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PESB polymer in argon flow with a 10 °C min ⁻¹ heating rate |
| 66 | XRD pattern of the PLESPB polymer |
| 67 | FT-IR spectrum of the PLESPB polymer |
| 68 | DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLESPB polymer in argon flow with a 10 °C min ⁻¹ heating rate |
| 69 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLESPB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open |
| 70 | symbols, respectively |
| 70 | XRD pattern of the PLEStBB polymer. 129 |
| 71 | FT-IR spectrum of the PLEStBB polymer |
| 72 | DTA/TG/MS thermograms for the PLEStBB polymer in argon flow with a 10 $^{\circ}$ C min ⁻¹ heating rate |
| 73 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLEStBB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open |
| | symbols, respectively |
| 74 | XRD pattern of the PLESnBB polymer |
| 75 | FT-IR spectrum of the PLESnBB polymer |
| 76 | $\rm DTA/TG/MS$ thermograms for the PLESnBB polymer in argon flow with a 10 °C min^{-1} heating rate |
| 77 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the PLESnBB polymer. Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled and open symbols, respectively |

| 78 | Plot for LeBail refinement of $\text{LiB}_6\text{O}_9\text{F}$ at RT ($\lambda = 1.54015\text{Å}$). Observed pattern indicated by squares. (a) Best fit profile together with reflec- |
|----------|---|
| | tion positions is shown in upper part, and (b) difference curve between |
| | measured and calculated profiles is shown in lower part of the figure 149 |
| 79 | Repetition unit of the polymeric anion in $\text{LiB}_{e}O_{0}F$, showing the atom |
| | labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% proba- |
| | bility level. |
| 80 | Perspective representation of the crystal structure of $\text{LiB}_{e}\Omega_{0}\text{F}$ with the |
| 00 | margins of the unit cell (black). |
| 81 | View of a corrugated mono layer along the [100] direction 154 |
| 82 | View along the $[010]$ direction showing two layers interconnected by |
| 02 | lithium atoms |
| 83 | Topological relationship between LiB_{-} (top) and black phosphorous |
| 00 | (bettom): Drawn are connection lines between the control of the B-O- |
| | (bottom). Drawn are connection miles between the centres of the D_3O_3 |
| 81 | Bepresentation of the lithium coordination in LiB \cap E 157 |
| 04 95 | DTA/TC/MS thermograms of LiB O E in argon flow with a 10 °C min ⁻¹ |
| 00 | D IA/IG/MS thermograms of LID6O9r in argon now with a 10 C minheating rate 158 |
| 96 | ET ID spectrum of L:D O E |
| 80 87 | F 1-1R spectrum of $\text{LiB}_6 O_9 F$ |
| 87 | resurbanets. Heating and easling are represented by filed and ener |
| | rooxoborate. Heating and cooling are represented by fined and open |
| 0.0 | symbols, respectively (two cycles are given). $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$ |
| 88 | Nyquist plot of lithium fluorooxoborate at $I = 400^{\circ}$ C with ion-blocking |
| | gold electrodes. The experimental and the simulated impedance spec- |
| 20 | Democrate tion of the base formate is a slow the [001] direction |
| 89 | in No. B. O. E., showing the stars labelling scheme. Displacement ellip |
| | In Na ₃ D ₃ O ₃ F ₆ , showing the atom labelling scheme. Displacement emp- |
| 00 | Bepresentation of the emistel at metrue along the [100] direction of Ne D O E |
| 90 | Representation of the crystal structure along the [100] direction of Na ₃ D ₃ O ₃ F ₆ with the marging of the unit cell (black) 170 |
| 01 | with the margins of the unit cerr (black). $\dots \dots \dots$ |
| 91 | Representation of the crystal structure along the [010] direction of Na ₃ D ₃ O ₃ F ₆ with the marging of the unit cell (black) 172 |
| 0.9 | with the margins of the unit cerr (black). $\dots \dots \dots$ |
| 92 02 | Representation of the (a) Na(1) and (b) Na(2) coordinations in Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ .174 |
| 93 | Representation of the connection of the sodium polyhedra along the $[001]$ |
| 0.4 | direction in the Na ₃ B ₃ \cup_3 F ₆ , with the margins of the unit cell (black). 175 |
| 94 07 | F 1-1K spectrum of Na ₃ B ₃ U ₃ F ₆ |
| 95 | Kaman spectrum of the $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ |

| 96 | $\rm DTA/TG/MS$ thermograms of $\rm Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with a 10 $^\circ \rm C\ min^{-1}$ | |
|-----|--|-----|
| | heating rate. | 180 |
| 97 | DSC thermograms of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with 5 °C min ⁻¹ heating | |
| | and cooling rates up to 500 °C. | 181 |
| 98 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with | |
| | gold electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled | |
| | and open symbols, respectively.) | 183 |
| 99 | (a) Nyquist plot of Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ with blocking gold electrodes at 350 °C, | |
| | (b) enlarged view emphasizing the part framed by the red box in the | |
| | Figure 99a. The experimental and the simulated impedance spectrum | |
| | are represented with dots and the solid line, respectively. | 184 |
| 100 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with | |
| | sodium electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled | |
| | and open symbols, respectively) | 185 |
| 101 | Nyquist plot of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ with sodium electrodes at 79 °C. The ex- | |
| | perimental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with | |
| | dots and the solid line, respectively. | 187 |
| 102 | Electrical currents versus time for the cells composed of Na / Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ /N | a |
| | after switching on dc voltage at time zero at (a) 55 °C and (b) 77 °C. | 188 |
| 103 | Electrical currents versus time for the cells composed of Au / Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ /A | u |
| | after switching on dc voltage at time zero at (a) 180 °C and (b) 287 °C. | 189 |
| 104 | FT-IR spectrum of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. | 192 |
| 105 | Raman spectrum of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. | 194 |
| 106 | $DTA/TG/MS$ thermograms of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with a 10 °C min ⁻¹ | |
| | heating rate. | 195 |
| 107 | DSC thermograms of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ in argon flow with 5 °C min ⁻¹ heating | |
| | and cooling rates up to 500 °C. | 197 |
| 108 | DSC thermogram of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with 5 °C min ⁻¹ heating and cooling | |
| | rates up to 350 °C. | 198 |
| 109 | Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with | |
| | gold electrodes. (Heating and cooling cycles are represented by filled | |
| | and open symbols, respectively.) | 199 |
| 110 | Nyquist plot of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ with gold electrodes at 287 °C. The experi- | |
| | mental and the simulated impedance spectrum are represented with dots | |
| | and the solid line, respectively | 200 |
| 111 | Electrical currents versus time for the cell $Au/K_3B_3O_3F_6/Au$ after switch- | |
| | ing on dc voltage at time zero at 185 °C. | 201 |
| | | |

List of Tables

List of Tables

| 1 | NMR active nuclei with corresponding Larmor frequencies and reference | |
|----|--|-----|
| | solutions. | 18 |
| 2 | The characteristics of basic impedance elements and the representations | |
| | in Nyquist and Bode plots | 26 |
| 3 | Typical orders of magnitude of some common capacitances. | 28 |
| 4 | Chemical composition of the as-synthesized PLEB polymer. \ldots . | 63 |
| 5 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of as-synthesized PLEB polymer. | 64 |
| 6 | Raman band positions and assignments for as-synthesized PLEB polymer. | 65 |
| 7 | Chemical composition of the annealed PLEB polymer. | 73 |
| 8 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of annealed PLEB polymer. $$. | 73 |
| 9 | Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 110 $^{\circ}$ C and 275 $^{\circ}$ C) | |
| | for PLEB | 79 |
| 10 | Chemical composition of the as-synthesized PLEPB polymer | 86 |
| 11 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for PLPEB polymer | 88 |
| 12 | Raman band positions and corresponding assignments for PLEPB poly- | |
| | mer | 90 |
| 13 | Chemical composition of the annealed PLEPB | 97 |
| 14 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of the annealed PLEPB polymer. | 98 |
| 15 | Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 110 $^{\circ}$ C and 275 $^{\circ}$ C) | |
| | for PLEPB polymers | .03 |
| 16 | Chemical composition of the PLEMB polymer | 05 |
| 17 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of PLEMB polymer 1 | .07 |
| 18 | Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 150 $^{\circ}$ C and 350 $^{\circ}$ C) | |
| | for PLEMB polymers | .11 |
| 19 | Chemical composition of the PESB polymer | .17 |
| 20 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PESB polymer 1 | .19 |
| 21 | Chemical composition of the PLESPB polymer | 22 |
| 22 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLESPB polymer 1 | .24 |
| 23 | Chemical composition of the PLEStBB polymer | .29 |

| 24 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLEStBB polymer | 131 |
|----|--|-----|
| 25 | Chemical composition of the PLESnBB polymer. | 135 |
| 26 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for the PLESnBB polymer | 137 |
| 27 | Activation energies and ionic conductivities (at 140 $^{\circ}$ C, 180 $^{\circ}$ C and 200 $^{\circ}$ C) | |
| | for the PLESPB, PLEStBB and PLESnBB polymers | 140 |
| 28 | Crystallographic data for LiB_6O_9F | 146 |
| 29 | Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters | |
| | $U_{eq}/\text{Å}^2$ for LiB ₆ O ₉ F. Estimated standard deviations are given in paren- | |
| | theses | 147 |
| 30 | Anisotropic displacement parameters U_{ij} /Å ² for LiB ₆ O ₉ F. Estimated | |
| | standard deviations are given in parentheses | 148 |
| 31 | Selected interatomic distances $/\text{\AA}$ and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of the $B_6O_9F^-$ an- | |
| | ion for LiB_6O_9F . Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses. | 152 |
| 32 | Selected bond lengths $/\text{\AA}$ and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of lithium coordination for | |
| | LiB_6O_9F . Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses | 157 |
| 33 | FT-IR band positions and assignments for LiB_6O_9F | 159 |
| 34 | Crystallographic data for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 166 |
| 35 | Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, | |
| | $U_{eq}/\text{\AA}^2$ for Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ . Estimated standard deviations are given in | |
| | parentheses | 167 |
| 36 | Anisotropic thermal displacement parameters, U_{ij} /Å ² , for Na ₃ B ₃ O ₃ F ₆ . | |
| | Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses | 168 |
| 37 | Selected interatomic distances /Å and bond angles /° of $\rm B_3O_3F_6{}^{3-}$ anion | |
| | for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses. | 171 |
| 38 | Torsion angles /° of the $B_3O_3F_6{}^{3-}$ ring in $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 172 |
| 39 | Selected interatomic distances $/{\rm \AA}$ and bond angles $/^{\circ}$ of the sodium | |
| | coordination for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ from the single crystal data. Estimated | |
| | standard deviations are given in parentheses | 176 |
| 40 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 178 |
| 41 | Raman band positions and assignments of $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 179 |
| 42 | Activation energies and ionic conductivity values at different tempera- | |
| | tures for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 183 |
| 43 | FT-IR band positions and assignments of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 192 |
| 44 | Raman band positions and assignments of $K_3B_3O_3F_6$ | 194 |
| 45 | Activation energies and ionic conductivity values at different tempera- | |
| | tures for $K_3B_3O_3F_6$. | 199 |

References

- [1] M. B. Armand. Polymer Electrolytes. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci., 16:245–261, 1986.
- [2] Y. Katoa, M. Watanabe, K. Sanuia and N. Ogata. Ionic Transport Number of Network PEO Electrolytes. Solid State Ionics, 40-41:632-636, 1990.
- [3] T. Mizumo, K. Sakamato, N. Matsumi and H. Ohno. Facile Preparation of Anion Trapping Polymer Electrolytes by Reaction Between 9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) and Poly(propylene oxide). *Chem. Lett.*, 33(4):396–397, 2004.
- [4] W. Xu, M. D. Williams and C. A. Angell. Novel Polyanionic Solid Electrolytes with Weak Coulomb Traps and Controllable Caps and Spacers. *Chem. Mater.*, 14(1):401–409, 2002.
- [5] X. G. Sun, W. Xu, S. S. Zhang and C. A. Angell. Polyanionic Electrolytes with High Alkali Ion Conductivity. J. Phys.:Condens. Matter., 13(36), 2001.
- [6] W. Xu and C. A. Angell. Preparation and Characterization of Novel "polyMOB" Polyanionic Solid Electrolytes with Weak Coulomb Traps. *Solid State Ionics*, 147:295–301, 2002.
- [7] M. Doyle, T. F. Fuller and J. Newman. The Importance of the Lithium Ion Transference Number in Lithium/Polymer Cells. *Electrochim. Acta*, 39(13):2073– 2081, 1994.
- [8] L. Dominquez and W. H. Meyer. Solid Polyelectrolytes. Solid State Ionics, 28-30:941-949, 1988.
- [9] Z. Florjanczyk, W. Bzducha, W. Wieczorek, E. Z. Monikowska, W. Krawiec and S. H. Chung. Highly Conducting Lithium Polyelectrolytes Based on Maleic Anhydride Styrene Copolymers. J. Phys. Chem. B, 10243):8409–8416, 1998.
- [10] M. Jansen. Volume Effect or Paddle-Wheel Mechanism-Fast Alkali-Metal Ionic Conduction in Solids with Rotationally Disordered Complex Anions. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 30(12):1547–1558, 1991.
- [11] K. Funke. Jump Relaxation in Solid Electrolytes. Prog. Solid State Chem., 22(2):111-195, 1993.
- [12] Y.-F. Y. Yao and J. T. Kummer. Ion Exchange Properties of and Rates of Ionic Diffusion in Beta-Alumina. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 29(9):2453-2466, 1967.

- [13] H. Y.-P. Hong. Crystal-Structure and Ionic-Conductivity of Li₁₄Zn(GeO₄)₄ and Other New Li⁺ Superionic Conductors. *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 13(2):117–124, 1978.
- [14] P. Becker. A Contribution to Borate Crystal Chemistry:Rules for the Occurrence of Polyborate Anion Types. Z. Kristallogr., 216(10):523-533, 2001.
- [15] G. Yuan and D. Xue. Crystal Chemistry of Borates: The Classification and Algebraic Description by Topological Type of Fundamental Building Blocks. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B:Struct. Sci., 63:353–362, 2007.
- [16] P. C. Burns, J. D. Grice and F. C. Hawthorne. Borate Minerals. I. Polyhedral Clusters and Fundamental Building Block. *Can. Mineral.*, 33:1131–1151, 1995.
- [17] W. Schlenk and H. Thal. Metal-Ketyls, a Great Class of Compounds with Three Valent Carbon II . Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 46:2840–2854, 1913.
- [18] NETZSCH Proteus. Thermal Analysis, Ver. 4.2., 2002.
- [19] NETZSCH. Software Package SW/STA/652.72-2, Ver. 3.6., 1999.
- [20] Varian Australia Pty. Ltd. Vista Pro CCD Simultaneous ICP-OES, Ver. 4.0, 1997-2000.
- [21] O. Buresch and H. G. Schnering. A New Teflon Liner for the Digestion of Small Samples with Acids in the Pressure System Berghof-Da-I. Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem., 319(4):418-420, 1984.
- [22] Stoe and Cie. WinXPOW Software Pocket Stoe Stadi P, Ver. 1.1, 1999.
- [23] ICSD. Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, FIZ, Karlsruhe.
- [24] ICDD-JCDPS. Joint Committee on Powder Standards, Swartmore, USA.
- [25] G. M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97 Program to Solve Crystal Structures, 1997.
- [26] G. M. Sheldrick. SHELXL-97 Program to Refine Crystal Structures, 1997.
- [27] L. J. Farrugia. WinGX Suite for Small-Molecule Single-Crystal Crystallography. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 32:837–838, 1999.
- [28] Bruker Analytic. XWin-NMR Ver. 2.5, 1998.
- [29] Bruker Analytic. XWin-PLOT Ver. 2.6.0, 1998.
- [30] Mathworks. *MatLab*, Ver. 7, 2005.

- [31] J. D. van Beek. matNMR: A Flexible Toolbox for Processing, Analyzing and Visualizing Magnetic Resonance Data in Matlab. J. Magn. Reson., 187:19–26, 2007.
- [32] S. Hediger, B. H. Meier and R. R. Ernst. Adiabatic Passage Hartmann-Hahn Cross Polarization in NMR Under Magic Angle Sample Spinning. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 240:449–456, 1995.
- [33] L. Frydman and J. S. Harwood. Isotropic Spectra of Half-Integer Quadrupolar Spins from Bidimensional Magic-Angle Spinning NMR. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117:5367–5368, 1995.
- [34] J. P. Amoureux, C. Fernandez and S. Steuernagel. Z Filtering in MQMAS NMR. J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A, 123:116–118, 1996.
- [35] J. R. Macdonald and W. B. Johnson. Fundamentals of Impedance Spectroscopy. In J. R. Macdonald, editor, *Impedance Spectroscopy, Emphasizing Solid Materials* and Systems. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [36] J. R. MacDonald. Impedance Spectroscopy and its Use in Analyzing the Steady-State AC Response of Solid and Liquid Electrolytes. J. Electroanal. Chem., 223(1-2):25-50, 1987.
- [37] J. E. Bauerle. Study of Solid Electrolyte Polarization by a Complex Admittance Method. J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 30:2657–2670, 1969.
- [38] J. Randles. Kinetics of Rapid Electrode Reactions. Faraday Discuss. Soc., 1:11– 19, 1947.
- [39] S. P. Jiang, J. G. Love and S. P. S. Badwal. Electrochemical Techniques in Studies of Solid Ionic Conductors. *Key Eng. Mater.*, 125-126:81–132, 1997.
- [40] W. Scheider. Theory of Frequency Dispersion of Electrode Polarization-Topology of Networks with Fractional Power Frequency-Dependence. J. Phys. Chem., 79(2):127–136, 1975.
- [41] J. F. McCann and S. P. S. Badwall. Equivalent-Circuit Analysis of the Impedance Response of Semiconductor Electrolyte Counter-Electrode Cells. J. Electrochem. Soc., 129(3):551–559, 1982.
- [42] J. Bates, J. C. Wang and Y. T. Chu. Impedance of Metal-Solid Electrolyte Interfaces. Solid State Ionics, 18-19:1045–1049, 1986.

- [43] A. Jonscher. Universal Dielectric Response. Nature, 267(5613):673-679, 1977.
- [44] A. R. West. Solid State Chemistry and its Applications, page 452. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1984.
- [45] J. T. S. Irvine, D. C. Sinclair and A. R. West. Electroceramics: Characterization by Impedance Spectroscopy. Adv. Mater., 2, 1990.
- [46] Novocontrol GmbH. WinDeta, Ver. 4.5, 1995-2003.
- [47] U. Kohler. PhD thesis, Universität Hannover, Germany, 1987.
- [48] Novocontrol GmbH. WinFit, Ver. 2.9, 1996.
- [49] BioLogic. EC Lab, Ver. 8.3, 2004.
- [50] Crystal-Impact. Diamond, Ver. 3.0b, Bonn, Germany, 1997-2005.
- [51] P. Mcgeehin and A. Hooper. Fast Ion Conduction Materials. J. Mater. Sci., 12(1):1–27, 1977.
- [52] H. Tuller and P. K. Moon. Fast Ion Conductors-Future-Trends. Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 1(2):171–191, 1988.
- [53] P. Knauth and H. L. Tuller. Solid-State Ionics:Roots, Status, and Future Prospects. J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 85(7):1654–1680, 2002.
- [54] C. Tubandt and E. Lorenz. Molecular State and Electrical Conductivity of Crystallised Salts. Z. Phys. Chem., 87(5):513-542, 1914.
- [55] B. B. Owens and G. R. Argue. High-Conductivity Solid Electrolytes-MAg₄I₅. Science, 157(3786):308-310, 1967.
- [56] J. B. Bates, J. C. Wang and N. J. Dudney. Solid Electrolytes-the Beta Aluminas. *Phys. Today*, 35(7):46–53, 1982.
- [57] B. E. Fenton, J. M. Parker and P. V. Wright. Complexes of Alkali Metal Ions with Poly(ethylene oxide). *Polymer*, 14(11):589–589, 1973.
- [58] M. B. Armand, J. M. Chabagno and M. J. Duclot. Poly-Ethers as Solid Electrolytes. In P. Vashishta, J. N. Mundy and G. K. Shenoy, editor, *Fast Ion Transport in Solids. Electrodes and Electrolytes*, pages 131–136. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

- [59] J. Y. Song, Y. Y. Wang and C. C. Wan. Review of Gel-Type Polymer Electrolytes for Lithium-Ion Batteries. J. Power Sources, 77(2):183–197, 1999.
- [60] F. B. Dias, L. Plomp and J. B. J. Veldhuis. Trends in Polymer Electrolytes for Secondary Lithium Batteries. J. Power Sources, 88(2):169–191, 2000.
- [61] J. M. Tarascon and M. Armand. Issues and Challenges Facing Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. Nature, 414(6861):359–367, 2001.
- [62] A. Patil, V. Patila, D. W. Shina, J.-W. Choia, D.-S. Paika and S.-J. Yoon. Issue and Challenges Facing Rechargeable Thin Film Lithium Batteries. *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 43(8-9):1913–1942, 2008.
- [63] B. Scrosati. Lithium Polymer Electrolytes. In W. A. van Schalkwijk and B. Scrosati, editor, Advances in Lithium-Ion Batteries, page 251. Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York, 2002.
- [64] M. Gauthier, A. Belanger, B. Kapfer, G. Vassort and M. Armand. Polymer Electrolyte Lithium Batteries. In J. R. MacCallum and C. A. Vincent, editor, *Polymer Electrolyte Reviews-2*, page 285. Elsevier, New York, 1989.
- [65] K. Murata, S. Izuchi and Y. Yoshihisa. An Overview of the Research and Development of Solid Polymer Electrolyte Batteries. *Electrochim. Acta*, 45(8-9):1501– 1508, 2000.
- [66] P. V. Wright. Polymer Electrolytes-the Early Days. *Electrochim. Acta*, 43(10-11):1137–1143, 1998.
- [67] Y. Okamato and M. G. Mc Lin. Ionically Conductive Polymers. In K. Natada, T. Kitayama and O. Vogl, editor, *Macromolecular Design of Polymeric Materials*, page 573. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [68] W. H. Meyer. Polymer Electrolytes for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Adv. Mater., 10(6):941-949, 1998.
- [69] A. G. Einset and G. E. Wnek. Polymer Electrolyte Review. In Z. A. Munshi, editor, Handbook of Solid State Batteries and Capacitors, page 289. World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [70] D. G. Fauteux and M. F. van Buren. Solid Polymer Electolytes. In J. C. Salamone, editor, *Polymer Materials Encyclopedia*, volume 10, page 7791. CRC Press, New York, 1996.

- [71] M. Kumar and S. S. Sekhon. Ionic Conductance Behaviour of Plasticized Polymer Electrolytes Containing Different Plasticizers. *Ionics*, 8(3-4):223-233, 2002.
- [72] A. M. Stephan and K. S. Nahm. Review on Composite Polymer Electrolytes for Lithium Batteries. *Polymer*, 47:5952–5964, 2006.
- [73] V. Afanasev and A. G. Grechin. Chemical Modification of the Electrolytes for Lithium Batteries. Russ. Chem. Rev., 71(9):775-787, 2002.
- [74] R. C. Agrawal and G. P. Pande. Solid Polymer Electrolytes:Materials Designing and All-Solid-State Battery Applications:an Overview. J. Phys. D:Appl. Phys., 41(22):1–18, 2008.
- [75] I. I. Olsena, R. Koksbanga and E. Skou. Transference Number Measurements on a Hybrid Polymer Electrolyte. *Electrochim. Acta*, 40(11):1701–1706, 1995.
- [76] C. Julien and G. A. Nazri. Polymer Electrolytes. In H. L. Tuller, editor, Solid State Batteries:Materials Design and Optimization, page 347. Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [77] M. Watanabe, M. Itoh, K. Sanui and N. Ogata. Carrier Transport and Generation Processes in Polymer Electrolytes Based on Poly(ethylene oxide) Networks. *Macromolecules*, 20(3):569–573, 1987.
- [78] G. Petersen, P. Jacobsson and L. M. Torell. A Raman Study of Ion Polymer and Ion Interactions in Low Molecular Weight Polyether LiCF₃SO₃ Complexes. *Electrochim. Acta*, 37(9):1495–1497, 1992.
- [79] D. Fauteux, A. Massucco, M. McLin, M. Van Buren and J. Shi. Lithium Polymer Electrolyte Rechargeable Battery. *Electrochim. Acta*, 40(13-14):2185–2190, 1995.
- [80] D. Baril, C. Michot and M. Armand. Electrochemistry of Liquids vs. Solids:Polymer Electrolytes. Solid State Ionics, 94(1-4):35-47, 1997.
- [81] J. McBreen, H. S. Lee, X. Q. Yang and X. Sun. New Polymer and Liquid Electrolytes for Lithium Batteries. In S. Surampudi, R. A. Marsch, Z. Oguni and J. Prakash, editor, *Lithium Batteries:Proceedings of the International Symposium*, volume 99, pages 494–503. The Electrochemical Society Inc., New Jersey, 1999.
- [82] C. A. Angell, C. Liu and E. Sanchez. Rubbery Solid Electrolytes with Dominant Cationic Transport and High Ambient Conductivity. *Nature*, 362(6416):137–139, 1993.

- [83] K. Xu. Nonaqueous Liquid Electrolytes for Lithium Based Rechargeable Batteries. Chem. Rev., 104:4303-4417, 2004.
- [84] G. Fellade and Ph. Perche. Ion Conductive Macromolecular Gels and Membranes for Solid Lithium Cells. J. Appl. Electrochem., 5:63–69, 1975.
- [85] A. M. Stephan. Review on Gel Polymer Electrolytes for Lithium Batteries. Eur. Polym. J., 42:21–42, 2006.
- [86] C. S. Kim and S. M. Oh. Importance of Donor Number in Determining Solvating Ability of Polymers and Transport Properties in Gel-Type Polymer Electrolytes. *Electrochim. Acta*, 45(13):2101–2109, 2000.
- [87] M. Wakihara. Recent Developments in Lithium Ion Batteries. Mater. Sci. Eng., R, 33(4):109–134, 2001.
- [88] B. Scrosati. New Approaches to Developing Lithium Polymer Batteries. Chem. Rec., 1(2):173–181, 2001.
- [89] J. F. Synder, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Polymer Electrolytes and Polyelectrolytes:Monte Carlo Simulations of Thermal Effects on Conduction. *Solid State Ionics*, 147:249–257, 2002.
- [90] M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Ion Transport in Solvent-Free Polymers. Chem. Rev., 88(1):109-124, 1988.
- [91] N. Kobayashi, M. Uchiyama and E. Tsuchida. Poly[lithium methacrylateco-oligo(oxyethylene)methacrylate] as a Solid Electrolyte with High Ionic-Conductivity. Solid State Ionics, 17(4):307–311, 1985.
- [92] Y. Nakacho, A. Inubushi and Y. Tada. All Solid State Battery. US Patent 5 183 716, 1993.
- [93] E. Tsuchida, N. Kobayashi and H. Ohno. Single-Ion Conduction in Poly[(oligo(oxyethylene)methacrylate)-co-(alkali-metal methacrylates). *Macromolecules*, 21(1):96–100, 1988.
- [94] H. Tsutsumi, M. Yamamoto, M. Morita, Y. Matsuda, T. Nakamura and H. Asai. Novel Single and Double Ion Type Siloxane Polymeric Solid Electrolytes Prepared by Same Prepolymers. *Electrochim. Acta*, 37(7):1183–1186, 1992.
- [95] S. Zhang, Z. Deng and G. Wan. Cationic Conductivity of Blend Complexes Composed of Poly[oligo(oxyethylene)methacrylate] and the Alkali-Metal Salts of Poly(sulfoalkyl methacrylate). *Polym. J.*, 23(2):73–78, 1991.

- [96] D. Benrabah, S. Sylla, J. Y. Sanchez and M. Armand. Cationic Conductivity in Poly(oxyethylene oxide) Networks. J. Power Sources, 54:456–460, 1995.
- [97] D. Benrabah, S. Sylla, F. Alloin, J. Y. Sanchez and M. Armand. Perfluorosulfonate-Polyether Based Single Ion Conductors. *Electrochim. Acta*, 40(13-14):2259, 1995.
- [98] T. Fujinami, A. Tokimune, M. A. Mehta, G. C. Rawsky and D. F. Shriver. Siloxyaluminate Polymers with High Li⁺ Ion Conductivity. *Chem. Mater.*, 9:2236-2239, 1997.
- [99] F. M. Gray. Solid Polymer Electrolytes: Fundamentals and Technological Applications. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1997.
- [100] C. Bertier, W. Gorecki, M. Minier, M. B. Armand, J. M. Chabagno and P. Rigaud. Microscopic Investigation of Ionic Conductivity in Alkali Metal Salt-Poly(ethylene oxide) Adducts. *Solid State Ionics*, 11:91–95, 1983.
- [101] D. F. Shriver and P. G. Bruce. Polymer Electrolytes I. In P. G. Bruce, editor, Solid State Electrochemistry, page 95. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [102] B. L. Papke, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Conformation and Ion-Transport Models for the Structure and Ionic Conductivity in Complexes of Polyethers with Alkali Metal Salts. J. Electrochem. Soc., 129:1694–1701, 1982.
- [103] Y. G. Andreev and P. G. Bruce. Polymer Electrolyte Structure and its Implications. *Electrochim. Acta*, 45(8-9):1417–1423, 2000.
- [104] Z. Gadjourova, Y. G. Andreev, D. P. Tunstall and P. G. Bruce. Ionic Conductivity in Crystalline Polymer Electrolytes. *Nature*, 412(6846):520–523, 2001.
- [105] M. A. Ratner. Aspects of the Theoretical Treatment of Polymer Solid Electrolytes. In J. R. MacCallum and C. A. Vincent, editor, *Polymer Electrolyte Reviews-1*, page 173. Elsevier, London, 1987.
- [106] W. V. Gool. Fast Ion Conduction. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci., 4:311-335, 1974.
- [107] G. C. Farrington and J. L. Briant. Fast Ionic Transport in Solids. Science, 204(4400):1371–1379, 1979.
- [108] S. Chandra. Superionic Solids: Principles and Applications, page 225. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.

- [109] H. Sato. Solid Electrolytes. In S. Geller, editor, Topics in Applied Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [110] C. Richard and A. Catlow. Atomistic Mechanisms of Ionic Transport in Fast-Ion Conductors. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 86(8):1167–1176, 1990.
- [111] J. B. Goodenough. Review Lecture:Fast Ionic Conduction in Solids. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 393(1805):215-234, 1984.
- [112] J. R. Manning. Diffusion Kinetics for Atoms in Crystals. Am. J. Phys., 36(10):922-923, 1968.
- [113] P. Padma Kumar and S. Yashonath. Ion Conduction in the Solid State. J. Chem. Sci., 118(1):135–154, 2006.
- [114] R. A. Huggins. Crystal Structures and Fast Ionic Conduction. In P. Hagenmuller and W. van Gool, editor, Solid Electrolytes: General Principles, Characterization, Materials, Applications, page 27. Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [115] M. S. Whittingham and R. A. Huggins. Measurement of Sodium Ion Transport in Beta Alumina Using Reversible Solid Electrodes. J. Chem Phys., 54(1):414–416, 1971.
- [116] B. Dunn, G. C Farrington and J. O. Thomas. Frontiers in β"-Alumina Research. MRS Bulletin, 14(9):22–30, 1989.
- [117] J. B. Bates, J. C. Wang and N. J. Dudney. Solid Electrolytes-The Beta Aluminas. *Phys. Today*, 35(7):46–53, 1982.
- [118] C. R. Peters, M. Bettman, J. W. Moore and M. D. Glick. Refinement of the Structure of Sodium beta Alumina. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B:Struct. Sci, 27(9):1826– 1834, 1971.
- [119] J. B. Goodenough, H. Y. P. Hong and J. A. Kafalas. Fast Na⁺-Ion Transport in Skeleton Structures. Mater. Res. Bull., 11(2):203-220, 1976.
- [120] H.Y-P. Hong. Crystal Structures and Crystal Chemistry in the System $Na_{1+x}Zr_2Si_xP_{3-x}O_{12}$. Mater. Res. Bull., 11(2):173–182, 1976.
- [121] U. Alpen, A. Rabenau and G. H. Talat. Ionic Conductivity in Li₃N Single Crystals. Appl. Phys. Lett., 30(12):621–623, 1977.
- [122] B. A. Boukamp and R. A. Huggins. Lithium Ion Conductivity in Lithium Nitride. *Phys. Lett. A*, 58(4):231–233, 1976.

- [123] R. A. Huggins. Recent Results on Lithium Ion Conductors. *Electrochim. Acta*, 22(7):773–781, 1977.
- [124] L. Strock. The Crystal Structure of High Temperature Iodine Silver alpha-AgI.
 Z. Phys. Chem. B:Chem. E., 25(5/6):441-459, 1934.
- [125] M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Polymer Ionics. MRS Bulletin, September:39–51, 1989.
- [126] F. M. Gray. Polymer Electrolytes. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1997.
- [127] S. Takeoka, H. Ohno and E. Tsuchida. Recent Advancement of Ion-Conductive Polymers. Polym. Adv. Technol., 4:53–73, 1993.
- [128] K. Onishi, M. Matsumoto, Y. Nakacho and K. Shigehara. Synthesis of Aluminate Polymer Complexes as Single-Ionic Solid Electrolytes. *Chem. Mater.*, 8:469–472, 1996.
- [129] D. P. Siska and D.F. Shriver. Enhanced Ion Mobility in Aluminosilicate/Polysiloxane Network Polyelectrolytes. *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.*, 575:131–136, 2000.
- [130] S. W. Ryu, P. E. Trapa, S. C. Olugebefola, J. A. Gonzalez-Leon, D. R. Sadoway and A. M. Mayes. Effect of Counter Ion Placement on Conductivity in Single-Ion Conducting Block Copolymer Electrolytes. J. Electrochem. Soc., 152:A158–A163, 2005.
- [131] Y. Nishihara, M. Miyazaki, Y. Tomita, Y. Kadono and K. Takagi. Synthesis and Ion Conductive Characteristics of Inorganic-Organic Hybrid Polymers Bearing a Tetraarylpentaborate Unit. J. Polym. Sci., Part A:Polym. Chem., 46(23):7913– 7918, 2008.
- [132] K. Xu and C. A. Angell. Synthesis and Characterization of Lithium Sulfonates as Components of Molten Salt Electrolytes. *Electrochim. Acta*, 40(13-14):2401– 2403, 1995.
- [133] J. F. Snyder, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Optimizing the Design of Polyelectrolytes Using Monte Carlo Simulations. J. Electrochem. Soc., 148:A858–A863, 2001.

- [134] S. Takeoka, K. Horiuchi, S. Yamagata and E. Tsuchida. Sodium Ion Conduction of Perfluorosulfonate Ionomer/Poly(oxyethylene) Composite Films. *Macromolecules*, 24(8):2003–2006, 1991.
- [135] T. Aoki, A. Konno and T. Fujinami. Lithium Ion Conductivity of Blend Polymer Electrolytes Based on Borate Polymers Containing Fluoroalkane Dicarboxylate and Poly(ethylene oxide). *Electrochim. Acta*, 50(2-3):301–304, 2004.
- [136] D. J. Banister, G. R. Davies, I. M. Ward and J. E. Mc Intyre. Ionic Conductivities for Poly(ethylene oxide) Complexes with Lithium Salts of Monobasic and Dibasic Acids and Blends of Poly(ethylene oxide) with Lithium Salts of Anionic Polymers. *Polymer*, 25(9):1291–1296, 1984.
- [137] G. B. Zhou, I. M. Khan and J. Smid. Cation Transport Polymer Electrolytes. Siloxane Comb Polymers with Pendant Oligooxyethylene Chains and Sulphonate Groups. Polym. Commun., 30(2):52–55, 1989.
- [138] H. Ohno and K. Ito. Copolymerization of Methacrylic Acid Alkali Metal Salts and Polyether-containing Monomers in Solid Polymer Electrolytes. *Polym. Adv. Technol*, 2(2):97–101, 1991.
- [139] W. Xu, K. S. Siow, Z. Gao and S. Y. Lee. Novel Alternating Comblike Copolymer Electrolytes with Single Lithium Ionic Conduction. *Chem. Mater.*, 10(7):1951– 1957, 1998.
- [140] H. T. Kim and J. K. Park. Poly(oligo-oxyethylene methacrylate-co-alkali metal acrylamidocaproate) as a Single Ion Conductor. *Polym. Bull.*, 36(4):427–433, 1996.
- [141] E. Tsuchida, H. Ohno, N. Kobayashi and H. Ishizaka. Poly[(ωcarboxy)oligo(oxyethylene) methacrylate] as a New Type of Polymeric Solid Electrolyte for Alkali-Metal Ion Transport. *Macromolecules*, 22(4):1771–1775, 1989.
- [142] S. Takeoka, Y. Maeda, H. Ohno and E Tsuchida. Synthesis, Polymerization and Cation Conductive Properties of (ω-carboxy)-oligo (oxyethylene) Methacrylate. *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 1:201–205, 1990.
- [143] R. Dupon, B. L. Papke, M. A. Ratner, D. H. Whitmore and D. F. Shriver. Influence of Ion Pairing on Cation Transport in the Polymer Electrolytes Formed by Poly(ethylene oxide) with Sodium Tetrafluoroborate and Sodium Tetrahydroborate. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 104(23):6247-6251, 1982.

- [144] L. C. Hardy and D. F. Shriver. Chloride Ion Conductivity in a Plasticized Quaternary Ammonium Polymer. *Macromolecules*, 17(4):975–977, 1984.
- [145] Y. Okamoto, T. F. Yeh, H. S. Lee and T. A. Skotheim. Design of Alkaline Metal Ion Conducting Polymer Electrolytes. J. Polym. Sci., Part A:Polym. Chem., 31(10):2573-2581, 1993.
- [146] L. C. Hardy and D. F. Shriver. Preparation and Electrical Response of Solid Polymer Electrolytes with Only One Mobile Species. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 107(13):3823– 3828, 1985.
- [147] S. C. Narang and S. C. Ventura. Single-Ion Conducting Solid Polymer Electrolytes. US Patent 5 548 055, 1996.
- [148] S. C. Narang and S. C. Ventura. Batteries Containing Single-Ion Conducting Solid Polymer Electrolytes. US Patent 5 633 098, 1997.
- [149] E. A. Rietman and M. L. Kaplan. Single-Ion Conductivity in Comblike Polymers. J. Polym. Sci., Part C:Polym. Lett., 28(6):187–191, 1990.
- [150] T. Fujinami. Design and Applications of Boron Compounds for High Performance Polymer Electrolytes. In B. V. R. Chowdari, M. A. Careem, M. A. K. L. Dissanayake, R. M. G. Rajapakse, V. A. Seneviratne, editor, Advanced Materials for Emerging Technologies:Proceedings of the 10th Asian Conference on Solid State Ionics, pages 449–458. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2006.
- [151] Y. Kato, K. Suwa, H. Ikuta, Y. Uchimoto, M. Wakihara, S. Yokoyama, T. Yabe and M. Yamamoto. Influence of Lewis Acidic Borate Ester Groups on Lithium Ionic Conduction in Polymer Electrolytes. J. Mater. Chem., 13(2):280–285, 2003.
- [152] M. A. Mehta, T. Fujinami, S. Inoue, K. Matsushita, T. Miwa and T. Inoue. The Use of Boroxine Rings for the Development of High Performance Polymer Electrolytes. *Electrochim. Acta*, 45(8-9):1175–1180, 2000.
- [153] M. A. Mehta and T. Fujinami. Novel Inorganic-Organic Polymer Electrolytes-Preparation and Properties. Solid State Ionics, 113-115:187–192, 1998.
- [154] M. A. Mehta, T. Fujinami and T. Inoue. Boroxine Ring Containing Polymer Electrolytes. J. Power Sources, 81-82:724-728, 1999.
- [155] N. Matsumi, K. Sugai and H. Ohno. Ion Conductive Characteristics of Alkylborane Type and Boric Ester Type Polymer Electrolytes Derived from Mesitylborane. *Macromolecules*, 36(7):2321–2326, 2003.

- [156] N. Matsumi, K. Sugai and H. Ohno. Selective Ion Transport in Organoboron Polymer Electrolytes Bearing a Mesitylboron Unit. *Macromolecules*, 35(15):5731– 5733, 2002.
- [157] N. Matsumi, T. Mizumo and H. Ohno. Preparation of Comb-Like Organoboron Polymer Electrolyte Without Generation of Salt. *Chem. Lett.*, 33(4):372–373, 2004.
- [158] J. F. Le Nest, A. Gandini, H. Cheradame and J. P. Cohen Addad. Cationic Transport Features of Ionomeric Polymer Networks. *Polymer Comm.*, 28(11):302–305, 1987.
- [159] H. Ohno and K. Ito. Poly(ethylene oxide)s having Carboxylate Groups on the Chain End. Polymer, 36:891–893, 1995.
- [160] K. Ito and H. Ohno. Ionic Conductivity of Poly(ethylene oxide) having Charges on the Chain End. Solid State Ionics, 79:300–305, 1995.
- [161] G. B. Zhou, I. M. Khan and J. Smid. Solvent-Free Cation-Conducting Polysiloxane Electrolytes with Pendant Oligo(oxyethylene) and Sulfonate Groups. *Macromolecules*, 26(9):2202–2208, 1993.
- [162] S. Ganapathiappan, K. Chen and D. F. Shriver. A New Class of Cation Conductors:Polyphosphazene Sulfonates. *Macromolecules*, 21(7):2299–2301, 1988.
- [163] S. Ganapathiappan, K. Chen and D. F. Shriver. Synthesis, Characterization and Electrical Response of Phosphazene Polyelectrolytes. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 111(11):4091-4095, 1989.
- [164] K. Ito, N. Nishina and H. Ohno. High Lithium Ionic Conductivity of Poly(ethylene oxide)s Having Sulfonate Groups on their Chain Ends. J. Mater. Chem., 7(8):1357–1362, 1997.
- [165] Y. G. Lee, C. H. Kim, J. K. Park and D. Y. Seung. Lithium Sulfonated Styrene Oligomer (LiSSO) as a New Class of Lithium Salt for Polymer Electrolytes. *Polym. Bull.*, 47(2):167–174, 2001.
- [166] J. F. Snyder, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Ion Conductivity of Comb Polysiloxane Polyelectrolytes Containing Oligoether and Perfluoroether Sidechains. J. Electrochem. Soc., 150:A1090-A1094, 2003.

- [167] M. C. Lonergan, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Cryptand Addition to Polyelectrolytes: A Means of Conductivity Enhancement and a Probe of Ionic Interactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 117(8):2344–2350, 1995.
- [168] T. Fujinami, M. A. Mehta, K. Sugie and K. Mori. Molecular Design of Inorganic-Organic Hybrid Polyelectrolytes to Enhance Lithium Ion Conductivity. *Elec*trochim. Acta, 45(8-9):1181–1186, 2000.
- [169] P. Chetri, N. N. Dass and N. S. Sarma. Conductivity Measurement of Poly(vinyl borate) and its Lithium Derivative in Solid State. *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, B, 139(2-3):261-264, 2007.
- [170] P. Chetri, N. Sarma and N. N. Dass. Preparation, Characterization and Conductivity Measurement of Poly(vinyl borate) and its Sodium Derivative. J. Polym. Mater., 14:165–170, 1997.
- [171] N. S. Sarma, P. Chetri and N. N. Dass. Synthesis, Characterization and Conductivity Measurement of Poly(vinyl borate) and its Potassium Derivative. J. Polym. Mater., 15(1):23-26, 1998.
- [172] N. S. Sarma and N. N. Dass. Measurement of Ionic Conductivity of Some Electrolytes, Polyelectrolytes and Complexes in Solid State. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys., 37(4):321–324, 1999.
- [173] T. Aoki, A. Konno and T. Fujinami. Li-Ion Conductivity of Aluminate and Borate Complex Polymers Containing Fluoroalkane Dicarboxylate. J. Electrochem. Soc., 151:A887–A890, 2004.
- [174] X. G. Sun and C. A. Angell. "Acid-in-Chain" versus "Base-in-Chain" Anionic Polymer Electrolytes for Electrochemical Devices. *Electrochim. Acta*, 46(10-11):1467–1473, 2001.
- [175] K. E. Doan, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver. Synthesis and Electrical Response of Single-Ion Conducting Network Polymers Based on Sodium Poly(tetraalkoxyaluminates). *Chem. Mater.*, 3:418–423, 1991.
- [176] O. K. Onishi, M. Matsumoto and K. Shigehara. Lithium Batteries Composed of Aluminate Polymer Complexes as Single-Ion Conductive Solid Electrolytes. J. Power Sources, 92(1-2):120–123, 2001.
- [177] K. Matsushita, Y. Shimazaki, M. A. Mehta and T. Fujinami. Synthesis and Characterization of Aluminate Polymer Electrolytes and their Blends with Poly(ether)s. Solid State Ionics, 133:295–301, 2000.

- [178] K. Onishi, M. Matsumoto and K. Shigehara. Thioaluminate Polymer Complexes as Single-Ionic Solid Electrolytes. *Chem. Mater.*, 10:927–931, 1998.
- [179] G. C. Rawsky, T. Fujinami and D. F. Shriver. Aluminosilicate/Poly(ethylene glycol) Copolymers: A New Class of Polyelectrolytes. *Chem. Mater.*, 6:2208–2209, 1994.
- [180] N. Matsumi, K. Sugai, K. Sakamoto, T. Mizumo and H. Ohno. Direct Synthesis of Poly(lithium organoborate)s and their Ion Conductive Properties. *Macromolecules*, 38(12):4951–4954, 2005.
- [181] K. E. Doan, S. D. Druger, D. F. Shriver, M. A. Ratner and A. Nitzan. Coulomb Trapping Effects in Polymer Solid Electrolytes: A Simulation Study of Stoichiometry Dependence. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Crys.*, 160(1):311–319, 1988.
- [182] S. Zhang, Z. Chang, K. Xu and C. A. Angell. Molecular and Anionic Polymer and Oligomer Systems with Microdecoupled Conductivities. *Electrochim. Acta*, 45(8-9):1229–1236, 2000.
- [183] W. Xu, X. G. Sun and C. A. Angell. Anion-Trapping and Polyanion Electrolytes Based on Acid-in-Chain Borate Polymers. *Electrochim. Acta*, 48(14-16):2255– 2266, 2003.
- [184] M. Pompetzki. PhD thesis, Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Germany, 2003.
- [185] L. Hildebrandt. PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart, Germany, 2006.
- [186] D. Seyferth and M. A. Weiner. The Preparation of Organolithium Compounds by the Transmetalation Reaction: I. Vinyllithium. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83:3583–3586, 1961.
- [187] W. Bauer and C. Griesinger. Vinyllithium:Dynamic Behavior in Tetrahydrofuran Solution and Comprehensive Analysis of NMR Spin-Spin Coupling Constants. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115:10871–10882, 1993.
- [188] R. J. Thompson and J. C. Davis. Electronegativity Effects on ¹¹B Chemical Shifts in Tetrahedral BX₄⁻ Ions. *Inorg. Chem.*, 4:1464–1467, 1965.
- [189] H. J. Becher and F. Bramsiepe. Schwingungsspektren und Kraftkonstanten von Bortrimethyl und Rubidiumtetramethylboranat. Spectrochim. Acta, 35 A:53-57, 1979.

- [190] W. Biffar, H. Nöth and D. Sedlak. Chemistry of Boron 130:The Reaction of Organo-Lithium Compounds with Borane Donors-Preparation and Isolation of Lithium Monoorganotrihydroborates. Organometallics, 2(5):579–585, 1983.
- [191] B. E. Smith, B. D. James and R. M. Peachey. Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange between MBH₄ and MBD₄ (M = Li, Na)-Isotope Effects on ¹H and ¹¹B NMR-Spectra of BH_nD_{4-n} Anions and a Discussion of Exchange-Reactions in Some Covalent Tetrahydroborate Systems. *Inorg. Chem.*, 16(8):2057–2062, 1977.
- [192] J. B. Leach, C. B. Ungermann and T. P. Onak. Proton Magnetic Resonance Studies on Methyl and Chloro Substituted Diboranes. J. Magn. Reson., 6(1):74– 83, 1972.
- [193] J. K. Jeon, Q. D. Nghiem, D. P. Kim and J. Lee . Olefin Hydroboration of Borazine with Vinylsilanes as Precursors of Si-B-C-N Ceramics. J. Organomet. Chem., 689:2311-2318, 2004.
- [194] P. M. Blonsky, D. F. Shriver, P. Austin and H. R. Allock. Complex Formation and Ionic Conductivity of Polyphosphazene Solid Electrolytes. *Solid State Ionics*, 18-19:258–264, 1986.
- [195] A. Abragam. In J. Birman, S. F. Edwards, R. H. Friend, C. H. L. Smith, M. Rees, D. Sherrington and G. Vaneziano, editor, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetism*, pages 455–456. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [196] S. G. Bishop and P. J. Bray. NMR Studies of Diffusion in Glassy and Crystalline Lithium Borates. J. Chem. Phys., 48:1709–1717, 1968.
- [197] H. A. Every, F. Zhou, M. Forsyth and D. R. MacFarlane. Lithium Ion Mobility in Poly(vinyl alcohol) Based Polymer Electrolytes as Determined by ⁷Li NMR Spectroscopy. *Electrochim. Acta*, 43(10-11):1465–1469, 1998.
- [198] G. Cakmak, A. Verhoeven and M. Jansen. Synthesis and Characterization of Solid Single Ion Conductors Based on Poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate]. J. Mater. Chem., 19:4310–4318, 2009.
- [199] G. Sokrates. Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies. John Wiley&Sons, Chichester, 2001.
- [200] B. Schrader. Organic Substances. In B. Schrader, editor, Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy, page 189. VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 1985.

- [201] A. Haoudi-Mazzah, A. Mazzah, J. Gnado and P. Dhamelincourt. Vibrational Study of Eight-Membered Zirconium- and Boron-Containing Siloxane Rings. J. Raman Spectrosc., 27(6):451–455, 1996.
- [202] M. R. Hansen, T. Vosegaard, H. J. Jakobsen and J. Skibsted. ¹¹B Chemical Shift Anisotropies in Borates from ¹¹B MAS, MQMAS, and Single-Crystal NMR Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. A, 108:586–594, 2004.
- [203] M. Weinmann, T. W. Kamphowe, P. Fischer and F. Aldinger. Tris(hydridosilyethyl)boranes:Highly Reactive Synthons for Polymeric Silicon Compounds. J. Organomet. Chem., 592:115–127, 1999.
- [204] L. Delmulle and G. Vanderkelen. NMR Study (¹H, ¹³C and ²⁹Si) of (CH₃)_{4-x} Si(CH=CH₂)_x Compounds where x= 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. J. Mol. Struct., 66:309–314, 1980.
- [205] T. P. Onak, H. Landesman, R. E. Williams and I. Shapiro. The ¹¹B Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Chemical Shifts and Spin Coupling Values for Various Compounds. J. Phys. Chem., 63(9):1533-1535, 1959.
- [206] H. Nöth and H. Vahrenkamp. Kernresonanzuntersuchungen an Bor-Verbindungen, I. ¹¹B Kernresonanzspektren von Boranen mit Substituenten aus der ersten Achterperiode des Periodensystems. *Chem. Ber.*, 99(3):1049–1067, 1966.
- [207] A. A. Pronkin, V. N. Naraev, I. V. Murin and I. A. Sokolov. Concentration Dependence of Electric Conductivity for Fluorine-Containing Sodium Borate Glasses. *Glass Phys. Chem.*, 26(3), 2000.
- [208] I. G. Ryss. The Trimeric Diffuoro-Orthoborates of Sodium and Potassium. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 97, 1954.
- [209] L. Maya. Identification of Polyborate and Fluoropolyborate Ions in Solution by Raman Spectroscopy. *Inorg. Chem.*, 15(9):2179-2184, 1976.
- [210] L. Maya. Crystalline Compounds and Glasses in System B₂O₃-NaF-NaBF₄. J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 60(7-8):323-328, 1977.
- [211] N. N. Babich, B. F. Dmitruk and G. N. Novitskaya. The Synthesis and Study of Sodium Hexafluorotriborate. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 37(7):729-731, 1992.

- [212] I. G. Ryss and L. P. Bogdanova. Potassium Hexa Fluoroboron-Oxolate K₃[B₃O₃F₆] and Potassium Hydroxopenta Fluoroboron-Oxolate K₃[B₃O₃F₅OH]. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem*, 4(8):831, 1959.
- [213] A. A. Andriiko, N. I. Parkhomenko and A. N. Antishko. KF-K₃B₃O₃F₆ System. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 33:410–413, 1988.
- [214] M. V. Akhamanova and G. E. Kurilchikova. Infrared Absorption Spectra of Hydroyfluoroboric Complexes of Potassium and Sodium. Opt. Spectrosc., 8(4):264– 267, 1960.
- [215] C. Rodellas, S. Garcia-Blanco and A. Vegas. Crystal Structure Refinement of Jeremejevite (Al₆B₅F₃O₁₅). Z. Kristallogr., 165(1-4):255-260, 1983.
- [216] Bruker Suite. Ver. 2008/3, Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, USA, 2007.
- [217] G. M. Sheldrick. SADABS-Bruker AXS Area Detector Scaling and Absorption, Ver. 2007/4, 2007.
- [218] G. M. Sheldrick. A Short History of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A:Found. Crystallogr., 64:112–122, 2008.
- [219] A. A. Coelho. TOPAS-Graphics Based Profile and Structure Analysis Software, Ver. 3.0, 2005.
- [220] A. LeBail, H. Duroy and J. L. Fourquet. Ab-initio Structure Determination of LiSbWO₆ by X-ray Powder Diffraction. *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 23:447–452, 1988.
- [221] H. Koenig and R. Hoppe. On Borates of Alkaline Metals II. On the Knowledge of LiB₃O₅. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 439(2):71–79, 1978.
- [222] J. Krogh-Moe. Interpretation of the Infra-red Spectra of Boron Oxide and Alkali Borate Glasses. *Phys. Chem. Glasses*, 6(2):46–54, 1965.
- [223] J. D. Grice, P. C. Burns and F. C. Hawthorne. Borate Minerals. II. A Hierarchy of Structures Based Upon the Borate Fundamental Building Block. Can. Mineral., 37:731-762, 1999.
- [224] M. Wenger and T. Armbruster. Crystal Chemistry of Lithium:Oxygen Coordination and Bonding. Eur. J. Mineral., 3:387–399, 1991.
- [225] L. Li, G. Li, Y. Wang, F. Liao and J. Lin. Bismuth Borates:One-Dimensional Borate Chains and Nonlinear Optical Properties. *Chem. Mater.*, 17(16):4174– 4180, 2005.

- [226] G. Xiong, G. Lan, H. Wang and C. Huang. Infrared Reflectance and Raman Spectra of Lithium Triborate Single Crystal. J. Raman Spectrosc., 24:785–789, 1993.
- [227] E. I. Kamitsos and G. D. Chryssikos. Borate Glass Structure by Raman and Infrared Spectroscopies. J. Mol. Struct., 247:1–16, 1991.
- [228] E. I. Kamitsos, A. P. Patsis, M. A. Karakassides and G. D. Chryssikos. Infrared Reflectance Spectra of Lithium Borate Glasses. J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 126:52–67, 1990.
- [229] G. D. Chryssikos, E. I. Kamitsos, A. P. Patsis, M. S. Bitsis and M. A. Karakassides. The Devitrification of Lithium Metaborate:Polymorphism and Glass Formation. J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 126(1-2):42-51, 1990.
- [230] T. Alekel and D. A. Keszler. The Pyroborate Fluoride Ba₅(B₂O₅)F₂. J. Solid State Chem., 106(2):310-316, 1993.
- [231] H. Muller-Bunz and T. Schleid. Two Fluoride Borates of Gadolinium: $Gd_2F_3[BO_3]$ and $Gd_3F_3[BO_3]_2$. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 628:2750-2756, 2002.
- [232] D. Chakraburtty. The Structure of BaBOF₃. Acta Crystallogr., 10(3):199–200, 1957.
- [233] M. J. R. Clark and H. Lynton. The Existence of Salts of the Oxyfluoroborate Ion [BF₃O]²⁻. Can. J. Chem., 47:2943–2946, 1969.
- [234] Y.-X. Huang, G. Schäfer, H. Borrmann, J.-T. Zhao and R. Kniep. $(C_2H_{10}N_2)[BPO_4F_2]$ -Structural Relations between $[BPO_4F_2]^{2-}$ and $[Si_2O_6]^{4-}$. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 629(1):3-5, 2003.
- [235] J. H. Von Barner, K. B. Andersen and R. W. Berg. Vibrational Spectroscopic Study on Fluorooxoborate Formation in Fluoride Melts:Indications of B₂OF₆ and B₃O₃F₆. J. Mol. Liq., 83:141–151, 1999.
- [236] G. Cakmak, J. Nuss and M. Jansen. LiB₆O₉F, the First Lithium Fluorooxoborate-Crystal Structure and Ionic Conductivity. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 635(4-5):631-636, 2009.
- [237] Yu. F. Shepelev, R. S. Bubnova, S. K. Filatov, N. A. Sennova and N. A. Pilneva. LiB₃O₅ Crystal Structure at 20, 227, and 377 °C. J. Solid State Chem., 178:2987– 2997, 2005.

- [238] M. Marezio, H. A. Plettinger and W. H. Zachariasen. The Bond Lengths in the Sodium Metaborate Structure. Acta Crystallogr., 16:594–595, 1963.
- [239] G. Brunton. Refinement of the Structure of NaBF₄. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B:Struct. Sci., 24(12):1703-1704, 1968.
- [240] E. V. Sokolova, K. Yu. Egorov-Tismenko, S. V. Kargal'tsev, V. A. Klyakhin and V. S. Urnsov. Refinement of the Crystal Structure of Synthetic Fluorian Jeremejevite Al₆(BO₃)₅F₃. Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Geol., 3:82–84, 1987.
- [241] H. D. Fischer, W. J. Lehmann and I. Shapiro. Trifluoroboroxine: Preparation, Infrared Spectrum and Structure. J. Phys. Chem., 65:1166-1168, 1961.
- [242] A. Hooper. Fast Ionic Conductors. Contemp. Phys., 19:147–168, 1978.
- [243] G. C. Farrington and J. L. Briant. Fast Ionic Transport in Solids. Science, 204(4400):1371–1379, 1979.
- [244] H. Bonadeo and E. Silberman. The Vibrational Spectra of Sodium, Potassium and Ammonium Fluoroborates. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A*, 26:2337–2343, 1970.
- [245] T. W. Bril. PhD thesis, Eindhoven Technical University, Holland, 1976.

Part IV Appendixes

Ionic conductivity data for as-synthesized PLEB polymer

Diameter: 0.06 cm Thickness: 0.215 cm (2^{nd} cycle)

| т /к | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | Q_1 | n 1 | C_1 / F | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 384 15 | $\frac{2.32 \times 1}{2.32 \times 6}$ | 6 54E-11 | 0 7648 | $\frac{-1}{4}$ 4 F-12 | -3.90 | -6.485 |
| 384 15 | 2.02 ± 0 2.47 ±6 | 6.59E-11 | 0.7635 | $4.4E_{12}$ | -3.93 | -6.512 |
| 382 15 | 2.11 ± 0 2.79E6 | 6 11E-11 | 0 7671 | $4.4E_{-12}$ | -3.98 | -6 565 |
| 382.15 | 2.10 ± 0 2.47 ± 6 | $6.01E_{-}11$ | 0.7707 | $4.4E_{12}$ | -3.93 | -6.512 |
| 383 15 | 2.41E0 2.44E6 | 6 53E 11 | 0.7653 | 4.5E 12 | 3 9 2 | 6 506 |
| 383 15 | 2.44D0 2.57E6 | 6.58 ± 11 | 0.7641 | 4.5E 12 | 3 95 | 6 529 |
| 382 15 | 2.57 ± 0 2.75 ± 6 | 6.61E.11 | 0.7628 | 4.5E 12 | 3 98 | 6 558 |
| 381 15 | 2.70E0 3.00E6 | 6.60 ± 11 | 0.7604 | 4.01-12 4.6E 12 | 4.01 | 6 506 |
| 381 15 | 3 30E6 | 6.70 ± 11 | 0.7589 | 4.01-12 4.6E 12 | 4.06 | 6 638 |
| 380 15 | 3.68F6 | 6 79F 11 | 0.7566 | 4.01-12 4.6E 12 | 4.11 | 6 685 |
| 370.15 | 4 19F6 | 6.60 ± 11 | 0.7561 | 4.0E-12 4.7E-12 | 4.11 | 6 734 |
| 279 15 | 4.12150 | 6.64 ± 11 | 0.7501 | 4.7E - 12 | -4.10 | 6 787 |
| 376 15 | 4.05E0 5.25E6 | 6.46E 11 | 0.7535 0.7531 | 4.7E - 12 4.7E - 12 | 4.21 | 6 830 |
| 375.15 | 5.80F6 | 6.35E 11 | 0.7531 0.7523 | 4.7E - 12 4.7E - 12 | -4.20 | 6 8 8 0 |
| 274 15 | 6 69 5 6 | 6 22E 11 | 0.7523 | 4.712-12 | 4.97 | -0.889 |
| 272 15 | 0.02E0 7.46E6 | 6 94E 11 | 0.7302 | 4.01-12 | -4.37 | -0.940 |
| 272.15 | 0 45 E C | 0.24E-11 6.14E-11 | 0.7409 | 4.0E-12 | -4.42 | -0.992 |
| 372.10 271.15 | 0.40E0 | 0.14E-11 6.10E-11 | 0.7479 | 4.0E-12 | -4.47 | -7.040 |
| 371.13 270.15 | 9.01E0 | 0.10E-11 6.02E-11 | 0.7437 | 4.0E-12 | -4.00 | -7.102 |
| 370.15 | 1.09년7 | 0.03E-11 5 00E 11 | 0.7437 0.7424 | 4.8E-12 4.0E-12 | -4.09 | -7.108 |
| 309.13 | 1.20E7 | 5.88E-11 5.09E-11 | 0.7434 0.7205 | 4.9E-12 | -4.00 | -1.214 |
| 308.13 | 1.40 E7 | 5.92E-11 | 0.7393 | 4.9E-12 | -4.71 | -7.200 |
| 307.13 | 1.07E7 | 5.08E-11 | 0.7398 | 4.9E-12 | -4.78 | -1.341 |
| 300.15 | 1.93E7 | 5.54E-11 | 0.7387 | 4.9E-12 | -4.84 | -7.404 |
| 365.15 | 2.23E7 | 5.41E-11 | 0.7370 | 4.9E-12 | -4.91 | -7.468 |
| 363.15 | 2.59E7 | 5.34E-11 | 0.7346 | 5.0E-12 | -4.97 | -7.532 |
| 362.15 | 2.99E7 | 5.30E-11 | 0.7314 | 5.0E-12 | -5.04 | -7.595 |
| 361.15 | 3.45E7 | 5.02E-11 | 0.7332 | 5.0E-12 | -5.10 | -7.657 |
| 360.15 | 3.98E7 | 4.09E-11 | 0.7301 | 4.9E-12 | -0.10 | -7.719 |
| 359.15 | 4.61E7 | 4.52E-11 | 0.7362 | 4.9E-12 | -5.23 | -1.183 |
| 358.15 | 5.40E7 | 4.00E-11 | 0.7300 | 5.0E-12 | -0.30 | -7.851 |
| 357.15 | 6.28E7 | 4.23E-11 | 0.7341 | 4.9E-12 | -5.30 | -7.917 |
| 356.15 | (.2(E) | 4.35E-11 | 0.7273 | 5.0E-12 | -5.43 | -7.981 |
| 355.15 | 8.57E7 | 4.35E-11 | 0.7236 | 5.1E-12 | -5.50 | -8.052 |
| 354.15 | 9.88E7 | 3.57E-11 | 0.7405 | 4.9E-12 | -5.56 | -8.114 |
| 353.15 | 1.16E8 | 4.07E-11 | 0.7205 | 5.1E-12 | -5.64 | -8.183 |
| 352.15 | 1.34E8 | 3.15E-11 | 0.7449 | 4.8E-12 | -5.70 | -8.245 |
| 351.15 | 1.56E8 | 3.14E-11 | 0.7388 | 4.8E-12 | -5.((| -8.313 |
| 350.15 | 1.87E8 | 3.67E-11 | 0.7153 | 5.1E-12 | -5.85 | -8.390 |
| 349.15 | 2.12E8 | 3.18E-11 | 0.7300 | 5.0E-12 | -5.90 | -8.445 |
| 348.15 | 2.51E8 | 2.95E-11 | 0.7310 | 4.9E-12 | -5.98 | -8.519 |
| 346.15 | 3.41E8 | 2.87E-11 | 0.7273 | 5.1E-12 | -6.11 | -8.652 |
| 346.15 | 3.98 ± 8 | 3.12E-11 | 0.7082 | 5.1E-12 | -6.18 | -8.718 |
| 345.15 | 4.53 E8 | 2.45 ± 11 | 0.7346 | 4.8E-12 | -6.24 | -8.775 |
| 344.15 | 5.32E8 | 2.81E-11 | 0.7225 | 5.6E-12 | -6.31 | -8.845 |
| 343.15 | 6.11E8 | 2.51E-11 | 0.7231 | 5.1E-12 | -6.37 | -8.905 |
| 342.15 | 6.95E8 | 2.44E-11 | 0.7227 | 5.1E-12 | -6.43 | -8.961 |
| 340.15 | 1.09E9 | 2.24E-11 | 0.7233 | 5.4E-12 | -6.62 | -9.155 |
| 339.15 | 1.24E9 | 2.05E-11 | 0.7273 | 5.2E-12 | -6.68 | -9.212 |
| 338.15 | 1.61E9 | 1.72E-11 | 0.7535 | 5.3E-12 | -6.80 | -9.325 |
| 332.15 | 4.85E9 | 1.12E-11 | 0.7766 | 4.9E-12 | -7.28 | -9.804 |
| 331.15 | 6.38 ± 9 | 1.05E-11 | 0.7733 | 4.8E-12 | -7.40 | -9.923 |
| $_{329.15}$ | 9.22 E9 | 1.40 E- 11 | 0.7114 | 6.1E-12 | -7.57 | -10.084 |

Ionic conductivity data for annealed PLEB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.075 cm

| Т /к | \mathbf{R}_{1}/Ω | Ω_1 | n 1 | \mathbf{C}_1 /F | $\log (\sigma T)$ | log (m) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 551.15 | 1.44E5 | 1.48E-10 | 0.7902 | $\frac{0.1}{8.5E-12}$ | -2.99 | -5.735 |
| 549.15 | 1.62 E5 | 1.55 E-10 | 0.7856 | 8.6E-12 | -3.05 | -5.787 |
| 548.15 | $1.55 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.29 E{-}10$ | 0.7978 | 8.3E-12 | -3.03 | -5.768 |
| 549.15 | $1.45 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.36 E_{-}10$ | 0.7950 | 8.3E-12 | -3.00 | -5.738 |
| 551.15 | 1.42E5 | 1.43E-10 | 0.7917 | 8.4E-12 | -2.99 | -5.727 |
| 551.15 | 1.46E5 | 1.51E-10 | 0.7881 | 8.4E-12 | -3.00 | -5.739 |
| 550.15 | 1.54E5 1.66E5 | 1.54E-10 1 50E 10 | 0.7861 | 8.5E-12 9 FE 19 | -3.02 | -5.765 |
| 548.15 547.15 | 1.00E0 1.64E5 | 1.30E-10 1.37E-10 | 0.7807 | 8.0E-12 8.3E-12 | -3.00 | -0.797 5 701 |
| 547.15 547 15 | 1.04E5 1.71E5 | 1.57E-10 1.50E-10 | 0.7323 0.7863 | 8.4E-12 | -3.05 | -5.808 |
| 545.15 | 1.84E5 | 1.54E-10 | 0.7837 | 8.5E-12 | -3.10 | -5.841 |
| 543.15 | 2.02 E5 | 1.53 E-10 | 0.7827 | 8.6E-12 | -3.15 | -5.881 |
| 540.15 | 2.02 E5 | $1.34 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7911 | 8.4E-12 | -3.15 | -5.882 |
| 540.15 | $2.00 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.39 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7892 | 8.4E-12 | -3.15 | -5.878 |
| 540.15 | 2.06E5 | 1.44E-10 | 0.7865 | 8.5E-12 | -3.16 | -5.891 |
| 539.15 | 2.18E5 | 1.45E-10 1.45E-10 | 0.7853 | 8.5E-12 9.6E-19 | -3.18 | -5.916 |
| 000.10 535 15 | 2.50E5 2.58E5 | 1.40E-10 1.49E-10 | 0.7843 | 0.0E-12 8.6E 12 | -0.22 | -0.949 |
| 533.15 | 2.5015 2.64E5 | 1.42E-10 1.42E-10 | 0.7843 | 8.6E-12 | -3.27 | -5.998 |
| 531.15 | 2.87E5 | 1.39E-10 | 0.7846 | 8.6E-12 | -3.31 | -6.034 |
| 529.15 | 3.11E5 | 1.38E-10 | 0.7842 | 8.6E-12 | -3.35 | -6.069 |
| 527.15 | 3.39 E5 | 1.35 E-10 | 0.7846 | 8.6E-12 | -3.38 | -6.106 |
| 525.15 | $3.39 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.25 E{-}10$ | 0.7895 | 8.5 E-12 | -3.39 | -6.107 |
| 524.15 | $3.53 E_{2}^{5}$ | 1.31E-10 | 0.7859 | 8.6E-12 | -3.40 | -6.124 |
| 523.15 | 3.80E5 | 1.32E-10 | 0.7847 | 8.7E-12 | -3.44 | -6.157 |
| 518.15 516.15 | 4.52E5 4.59E5 | 1.20E-10 1.23E 10 | 0.7857 | 8.7E-12 8.7E-12 | -3.02 3.50 | -0.231 |
| 515.15 515.15 | 4.02E5 4.66E5 | 1.25E-10 1.25E-10 | 0.7857 | 8.7E-12 8.7E-12 | -3.52 | -6 245 |
| 514.15 | 4.93E5 | 1.26E-10 | 0.7844 | 8.8E-12 | -3.56 | -6.269 |
| 513.15 | $5.33 \mathrm{E5}$ | 1.26 E - 10 | 0.7841 | 8.9E-12 | -3.59 | -6.303 |
| 510.15 | 5.87 E5 | $1.18 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7870 | 8.8E-12 | -3.64 | -6.345 |
| 508.15 | 6.04E5 | 1.22E-10 | 0.7846 | 8.9E-12 | -3.65 | -6.357 |
| 507.15 | 6.43E5 | 1.24E-10 1.94E-10 | 0.7830 | 9.0E-12 | -3.68 | -6.385 |
| 503.15 | 0.90E0 7.58E5 | 1.24E-10 1.21E 10 | 0.7822 | 9.1E-12 9.9E 19 | -3.71 | -0.410 |
| 505.15 501.15 | 7.93E5 | 1.21E-10 1.16E-10 | 0.7848 | 9.1E-12 | -3 78 | -6.476 |
| 500.15 | 7.84E5 | 1.17E-10 | 0.7846 | 9.1E-12 | -3.77 | -6.471 |
| 499.15 | 8.13 E5 | $1.17 E{-}10$ | 0.7842 | 9.2E-12 | -3.79 | -6.486 |
| 498.15 | 8.85 E5 | 1.20 E-10 | 0.7818 | 9.3E-12 | -3.83 | -6.523 |
| 496.15 | 9.61E5 | 1.19E-10 | 0.7814 | 9.4E-12 | -3.86 | -6.559 |
| $491.10 \\ 400.15$ | 1.10E0 1.10E6 | 1.14E-10 1.13E-10 | 0.7829 0.7837 | 9.4E-12 0.4F 12 | -3.93 | -0.019 |
| 490.15 490.15 | 1.10E0 1.12E6 | 1.13E-10 1.14E-10 | 0.7825 | 9.4E-12 9.4E-12 | -3.93 | -6.624 |
| 489.15 | 1.16 ± 6 | 1.14E-10 | 0.7823 | 9.5E-12 | -3.95 | -6.640 |
| 488.15 | 1.24 E 6 | 1.14E-10 | 0.7823 | 9.7E-12 | -3.98 | -6.669 |
| 483.15 | 1.52 E 6 | 1.11E-10 | 0.7806 | 9.7E-12 | -4.07 | -6.759 |
| 482.15 | 1.52 ± 6 | 1.09E-10 | 0.7817 | 9.6E-12 | -4.08 | -6.759 |
| 481.15 481.15 | 1.54Eb 1.50F6 | 1.10E-10 1.11E-10 | 0.7814 0.7801 | 9.7E-12 0.7E-12 | -4.08 | -0.703 |
| 481.15 | 1.09E0 1.69E6 | 1.11E-10 1.12E-10 | 0.7793 | $9.8E_{-12}$ | -4.10 | -6.804 |
| 478.15 | 1.82 ± 6 | 1.11E-10 | 0.7791 | 9.9E-12 | -4.16 | -6.836 |
| 474.15 | 2.12 E6 | 1.06 E-10 | 0.7794 | 9.9E-12 | -4.23 | -6.902 |
| 473.15 | 2.15 E 6 | 1.05 E-10 | 0.7798 | 9.9E-12 | -4.23 | -6.909 |
| 472.15 | 2.20 ± 6 | 1.06E-10 | 0.7794 | 9.9E-12 | -4.25 | -6.920 |
| 471.15 470.15 | 2.31E0 2.47E6 | 1.06E-10 1.02E-10 | 0.77810 | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.27 | -6.941 |
| 470.15 467.15 | 2.47E0 2.78E6 | 1.02E-10 1.04E-10 | 0.7313 0.7777 | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.30 | -7.020 |
| 466.15 | 2.87 ± 6 | 1.02E-10 | 0.7782 | 1.0E-11 | -4.37 | -7.034 |
| 465.15 | 2.95 E6 | 1.01E-10 | 0.7783 | 1.0E-11 | -4.38 | -7.046 |
| 464.15 | 3.09 E 6 | 1.02 E-10 | 0.7775 | 1.0E-11 | -4.40 | -7.066 |
| 462.15 | 3.29 ± 6 | 1.02E-10 | 0.7766 | 1.0E-11 | -4.43 | -7.093 |
| 461.15 450.15 | 3.57Eb 2.60F6 | 1.02E-10 0.02E-11 | 0.7748 0.7765 | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.47 | -7.130 |
| 458 15 | 3 93E6 | 9.94E-11 9.98E-11 | 0.7753 | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.40 | -7171 |
| 456.15 | 4.18 E 6 | 9.95E-11 | 0.7748 | 1.0E-11 | -4.54 | -7.197 |
| 455.15 | 4.48 ± 6 | 9.93E-11 | 0.7741 | 1.0E-11 | -4.57 | -7.228 |
| 451.15 | 5.25 E 6 | 9.72E-11 | 0.7730 | 1.0E-11 | -4.64 | -7.297 |
| 450.15 | 5.37E6 | 9.67E-11 | 0.7729 | 1.0E-11 | -4.65 | -7.307 |
| 449.15 | 5.55E6 5.84E6 | 9.63E-11 0.65E 11 | 0.7729 0.7729 | 1.1E-11 1.1E-11 | -4.67 | -7.320 7.249 |
| 440.10 447 15 | 6.27E6 | 9.05E-11 9.76E-11 | 0.7722 0.7701 | 1.112-11 1.11E-11 | -4.09 -4 79 | -1.343 |
| 445.15 | 6.78 ± 6 | 9.74E-11 | 0.7693 | 1.1E-11 | -4.76 | -7.407 |
| 444.15 | 7.38 E 6 | $9.60\overline{\mathrm{E}}$ -11 | 0.7691 | 1.1E-11 | -4.80 | -7.444 |
| 442.15 | 7.71 ± 6 | 9.23E-11 | 0.7720 | 1.1E-11 | -4.82 | -7.463 |
| 440.15 | 8.18 E 6 | $9.35 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7697 | 1.1E-11 | -4.85 | -7.489 |

| | 420.15 | 8 65 FG | 0.41E 11 | 0 7689 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 97 | 7 519 |
|--|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| | 439.13 | 0.00E0 | 9.41E-11 | 0.7082 | | -4.07 | -7.010 |
| | 438.15 | 9.23E6 | 9.38E-11 | 0.7678 | 1.1E-11 | -4.90 | -1.541 |
| | 437.15 | $1.00 \mathrm{E7}$ | 9.36 ± 11 | 0.7663 | 1.1E-11 | -4.94 | -7.578 |
| | 435.15 | $1.01 \mathrm{E7}$ | 8.88 E-11 | 0.7711 | 1.1E-11 | -4.94 | -7.581 |
| | 434.15 | $1.07 \mathrm{E7}$ | 9.34 E- 11 | 0.7653 | 1.1E-11 | -4.97 | -7.607 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 433.15 | $1.14\mathrm{E7}$ | $9.32 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7644 | 1.1E-11 | -5.00 | -7.635 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 432.15 | 1.23 E7 | 9.28E_{-11} | 0.7639 | 1.1E-11 | -5.03 | -7.666 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 430.15 | 1.33E7 | 9.11E-11 | 0.7644 | 1.2E-11 | -5.07 | -7 700 |
| | 427 15 | 1.61E7 | 8 93E 11 | 0.7624 | 1.2 ± 11 1.2 ± 11 | 5.15 | 7 782 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 495 15 | 1.6757 | 8 05 F 11 | 0.7611 | 19511 | 5.17 | 7 800 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 420.10 | 1.07157 | 0.95E-11 9 74E 11 | 0.7011 | 1.212-11 | -5.17 | -7.800 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 424.10 | 1.70E7 | 0./4E-11 | 0.7031 | 1.2E-11 | -0.19 | -7.019 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 423.15 | 1.92E7 | 9.00E-11 | 0.7580 | 1.2E-11 | -5.23 | -7.801 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 421.15 | $2.08 \mathrm{E7}$ | $8.66\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}11}$ | 0.7604 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | -5.27 | -7.893 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 419.15 | $2.27\mathrm{E7}$ | $8.62 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7590 | 1.2 E- 11 | -5.31 | -7.932 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 416.15 | $2.66 \mathrm{E7}$ | 8.21E-11 | 0.7602 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -5.38 | -8.002 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 415.15 | $2.78 \mathrm{E7}$ | 8.21E-11 | 0.7595 | 1.2 E- 11 | -5.40 | -8.021 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 414.15 | $2.93 \mathrm{E7}$ | 8.51E-11 | 0.7542 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -5.43 | -8.042 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 413.15 | 3.07 E7 | 7.95 E - 11 | 0.7605 | 1.2E-11 | -5.45 | -8.064 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 411 15 | 3.36E7 | 8 39 E-11 | 0 7525 | $1.2E_{-11}$ | -5.49 | -8 103 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 410.15 | 3.64F7 | 8 30 F 11 | 0.7513 | 1.2 ± 11 1.9 ± 11 | 5 59 | 8 137 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 410.15 | 2.04E7 | 0.59E-11 0.19E-11 | 0.7513 | 1.215-11 1.917 11 | -0.02 | 9 179 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 408.15 | 3.94E7 | 0.12E-11 | 0.7323 | 1.2E-11 | -0.00 | -0.172 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 407.15 | 4.38E7 | 8.24E-11 | 0.7493 | 1.3E-11 | -5.61 | -8.218 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 405.15 | $4.69 \mathrm{E7}$ | 7.78 ± 11 | 0.7527 | 1.2 ± 11 | -5.64 | -8.248 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 403.15 | $4.96\mathrm{E7}$ | $8.00 \mathrm{E}{-} 11$ | 0.7491 | 1.3 ± 11 | -5.67 | -8.272 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 402.15 | $5.35\mathrm{E7}$ | 7.73 ± 11 | 0.7516 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | -5.70 | -8.305 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 395.15 | $7.73 \mathrm{E7}$ | 7.11E-11 | 0.7518 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -5.87 | -8.465 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 394.15 | $8.34 \mathrm{E7}$ | 7.23 E - 11 | 0.7478 | 1.3 E - 11 | -5.90 | -8.497 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 391.15 | 9.60 E7 | $6.73 E_{-11}$ | 0.7516 | 1.3E-11 | -5.97 | -8.559 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 300.15 | 1.04 E 8 | 6 88E 11 | 0.7468 | 1.3 ± 11 | 6.00 | 8 593 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 388 15 | 114E8 | 6 80 F 11 | 0.7400 | 1.3E 11 | 6.04 | 8 633 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 200.15 | 1.14E0 | 0.89E-11 | 0.7422 | 1.012-11 | -0.04 | -0.033 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 387.15 | 1.24E8 | 6.95E-11 | 0.7383 | 1.3E-11 | -6.08 | -8.669 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 384.15 | 1.47 E8 | 6.81E-11 | 0.7427 | 1.4E-11 | -6.16 | -8.743 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 382.15 | 1.61 E8 | $6.70 \mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | 0.7375 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | -6.20 | -8.782 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 380.15 | 1.79 ± 8 | $6.55 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.7423 | $1.4 \text{E}{-}11$ | -6.25 | -8.830 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 379.15 | $1.94\mathrm{E8}$ | 6.57 E-11 | 0.7327 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | -6.29 | -8.865 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 377.15 | 2.08 E8 | $5.95 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7504 | 1.4E-11 | -6.32 | -8.894 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 376.15 | 2.24 E 8 | 5.85 E - 11 | 0.7449 | 1.3 E - 11 | -6.35 | -8.927 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 375.15 | 2.43E8 | 6.33E-11 | 0.7332 | 1.4E-11 | -6.39 | -8 961 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 373 15 | 2.64 ± 8 | 5 99E-11 | 0.7342 | $1.3E_{-}11$ | -6.43 | -8 997 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 379.15 | 2.04100 | 5.80E 11 | 0.7342 0.7364 | 1.3E 11 | 6 46 | 0.000 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 971 15 | 2.04150 | 6 20E-11 | 0.7304 | 1.515-11 1.417(11) | -0.40 | -9.029 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 371.13 | 3.11E8 | 0.38E-11 | 0.7220 | 1.4E-11 | -0.50 | -9.069 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 369.15 | 3.39E8 | 5.17E-11 | 0.7568 | 1.4E-11 | -6.54 | -9.107 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 368.15 | $3.71\mathrm{E8}$ | 6.31 E- 11 | 0.7203 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | -6.58 | -9.146 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 367.15 | 3.97 E8 | $6.08 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7250 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -6.61 | -9.176 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 365.15 | $4.32 	ext{E8}$ | $5.65 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7299 | $1.4 \text{E}{-}11$ | -6.65 | -9.212 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 364.15 | $4.63 	ext{E8}$ | $5.36\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.7312 | $1.4 \text{E}{-}11$ | -6.68 | -9.242 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 362.15 | $5.32 	ext{E8}$ | 5.12 ± 11 | 0.7312 | 1.4E-11 | -6.74 | -9.302 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 360.15 | 5.79 E 8 | $5.38 E_{-}11$ | 0.7284 | 1.5 E - 11 | -6.78 | -9.339 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 359 15 | 6.21E8 | 5.22E-11 | 0.7284 | 1.5E-11 | -6.81 | -9.370 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 358 15 | 6 79E8 | $5.34E_{-11}$ | 0 7197 | $1.5E_{-}11$ | -6.85 | -9 408 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 357.15 | 7.44 F 8 | 5.04E 11 | 0.7396 | $1.5E \cdot 11$ | 6.80 | 0 448 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 252 15 | 8 06E8 | 4.40 ± 11 | 0.7320 | 1.015-11 | -0.89 | -9.440 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 333.13 | 0.9010 | 4.40 E-11 | 0.7431 | 1.4E-11 | -0.98 | -9.029 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 348.15 | 1.29E9 | 4.53E-11 | 0.7104 | 1.3E-11 | -7.10 | -9.088 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 347.15 | 1.41E9 | 4.67E-11 | 0.7211 | 1.6E-11 | - (.18 | -9.725 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 346.15 | 1.47 ± 9 | $4.06 \mathrm{E}{-11}$ | 0.7396 | 1.5 ± -11 | -7.20 | -9.743 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 345.15 | $1.55 \mathrm{E9}$ | 4.31E-11 | 0.7239 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -7.23 | -9.766 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 343.15 | $1.84 \mathrm{E9}$ | 3.74 E- 11 | 0.7415 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | -7.31 | -9.842 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 340.15 | 2.23 E9 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7352 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | -7.39 | -9.925 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 339.15 | 2.54 E9 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7208 | $1.7 E{-}11$ | -7.45 | -9.982 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 336.15 | 3.22E9 | 4.11E-11 | 0.7081 | 1.8E-11 | -7.56 | -10.084 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $334\ 15$ | 3.71E9 | 3.79E-11 | 0.7117 | 1.7E-11 | -7.62 | -10 145 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 330.15 | 4 56F0 | 3 30 F 11 | 0.7225 | 1.6 ± 11 | 7 79 | 10.140 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 220.15 | 4.50E9 5.45E0 | 2.50E-11 2.55E 11 | 0.7225 | 19511 | -1.12 | 10.230 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 329.13 | 0.40E9 6.49E9 | 3.33E-11 | 0.7037 | 1.0E-11 | -1.00 | -10.313 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 327.15 | 6.43E9 | 3.23E-11 | 0.7097 | 1.(E-11 | - (.8 (| -10.385 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 322.15 | 8.97 ± 9 | 2.72 ± 11 | 0.7276 | 1.6 ± -11 | -8.02 | -10.529 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 318.15 | 1.21 ± 10 | 2.61E-11 | 0.7235 | $1.7 \text{E}{-}11$ | -8.16 | -10.658 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 316.15 | $1.43\mathrm{E10}$ | $2.70\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.6990 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -8.23 | -10.732 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 314.15 | $1.58\mathrm{E10}$ | 2.07 E-11 | 0.7665 | $1.5\mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | -8.28 | -10.775 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 313.15 | 1.68 ± 10 | $2.13 E{-}11$ | 0.7449 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -8.31 | -10.802 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 312.15 | 1.99 ± 10 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7380 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | -8.38 | -10.876 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 311 15 | 1.97 E10 | $2.18E_{-11}$ | 0.7371 | 1.6E-11 | -8.38 | -10.871 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 308 15 | 2.42 E10 | $190E_{11}$ | 0 7569 | $1.5E_{-11}$ | -8 47 | -10 961 |
| | 307.15 | 2.54E10 | 1.55 ± 11 | 0.7615 | 1.0 ± 11 | _8 /0 | _10 0.81 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 307.10 | 2.04E10 9.87E10 | 1.00E-11 1.74E 11 | 0.7010 | 1 / 10-11 | -0.43 0 KE | -10.901 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 204.15 | 2.07E10 2.97E10 | 1.74E-11 1.60E-11 | 0.7007 | 1917-11 | -0,00 0,21 | -11.004 |
| 303.15 4.49 ± 10 1.73 ± 11 0.7463 1.6 ± 11 -8.75 -11.229 | 304.15 | 3.27 EIU | 1.00E-11 | 0.7570 | 1.3E-11 | -8.01 | -11.090 |
| | 303.15 | 4.49E10 | 1.73E-11 | 0.7463 | 1.0E-11 | -8.75 | -11.229 |

Ionic conductivity data for as-synthesized PLEPB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.08 cm

| T /K | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | n ₁ | $\mathbf{C}_1 \ / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma \mathbf{T})$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 381.15 | 1.33 E5 | 3.19 E-10 | 0.8095 | 3.0E-11 | -3.09 | -5.672 |
| 382.15 | $1.26 \mathrm{E5}$ | $3.33 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.8070 | 3.0 E-11 | -3.07 | -5.650 |
| 382.15 | 1.24 E5 | $3.48 \text{E}{-10}$ | 0.8042 | 3.0 E-11 | -3.06 | -5.640 |
| 383.15 | $1.24\mathrm{E5}$ | $3.59 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.8018 | $3.0 	ext{E-} 11$ | -3.06 | -5.642 |
| 383.15 | 1.28 E5 | $3.67 E_{-10}$ | 0.7999 | 3.0 E-11 | -3.07 | -5.655 |
| 382.15 | 1.34 E5 | $3.72 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7983 | 3.0E-11 | -3.09 | -5.675 |
| 382.15 | $1.42 E_{5}$ | 3.78 ± 10 | 0.7963 | 3.1E-11 | -3.12 | -5.702 |
| 381.15 | $1.66 E_{5}$ | 3.73E-10 | 0.7951 | 3.1E-11 | -3.19 | -5.769 |
| 380.15 | 1.81E5 | 3.70 ± 10 | 0.7944 | 3.1E-11 | -3.23 | -5.807 |
| 379.15 | 1.99E5 | 3.66E-10 | 0.7938 | 3.1E-11 | -3.27 | -5.848 |
| 378.15 | 2.20E5 | 3.64E-10 | 0.7927 | 3.1E-11 | -3.31 | -5.891 |
| 377.13 | 2.44E0 9.70E5 | 3.38E-10 2 52E 10 | 0.7924 | 3.1E-11 2.1E-11 | -3.30 | -0.930 |
| 370.13 274.15 | 2.70E3 | 3.33E-10 2.47E 10 | 0.7920 0.7014 | 3.1E-11 2.1E-11 | -3.41 | -0.960 |
| 373 15 | 3 36F5 | 3 41E 10 | 0.7914 0.7010 | 3.112-11 3.1E-11 | -3.40 | -0.027 |
| 379.15 | 3.75E5 | 3.35E 10 | 0.7910 | 3.1E-11 3.1E-11 | 3 55 | 6 1 2 2 |
| 371.15 | 4.20E5 | $3.28E_{-10}$ | 0.7903 | $3.1E_{-11}$ | -3.60 | -6 171 |
| 370.15 | 4.20E5 | 3.22E-10 | 0 7897 | $3.1E_{-11}$ | -3.65 | -6 221 |
| 369.15 | 5.28E5 | 3.15E-10 | 0.7895 | 3.1E-11 | -3.70 | -6.271 |
| 368.15 | 5.93 E5 | 3.09E-10 | 0.7892 | 3.1E-11 | -3.76 | -6.321 |
| 367.15 | 6.67 E5 | $3.02 E_{-10}$ | 0.7890 | 3.1E-11 | -3.81 | -6.372 |
| 366.15 | $7.49 \mathrm{E5}$ | 2.94E-10 | 0.7889 | 3.1E-11 | -3.86 | -6.423 |
| 365.15 | 8.43 E5 | $2.88 \text{E}{-10}$ | 0.7885 | 3.1E-11 | -3.91 | -6.474 |
| 363.15 | $9.49 \mathrm{E5}$ | 2.81E-10 | 0.7887 | 3.1E-11 | -3.97 | -6.525 |
| 362.15 | 1.07 E6 | $2.73 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7888 | 3.1E-11 | -4.02 | -6.577 |
| 361.15 | 1.20 E6 | $2.67 E_{-10}$ | 0.7885 | 3.1E-11 | -4.07 | -6.629 |
| 360.15 | 1.35 ± 6 | 2.60 ± 10 | 0.7889 | 3.1E-11 | -4.12 | -6.680 |
| 359.15 | 1.52E6 | 2.52E-10 | 0.7893 | 3.1E-11 | -4.18 | -6.731 |
| 358.15 | 1.72E6 | 2.45E-10 | 0.7895 | 3.1E-11 | -4.23 | -6.784 |
| 357.15 | 1.94E0 | 2.39E-10 | 0.7896 | 3.1E-11 2.1E-11 | -4.28 | -0.830 |
| 355.15 | 2.10E0 2.47E6 | 2.32E-10 2.30E 10 | 0.7901 | 3.1E-11 3.1E-11 | -4.34 | -0.000 |
| 354.15 | 2.47E0 2.79E6 | 2.30E-10 2.21E-10 | 0.7887 | $3.1E_{-11}$ $3.1E_{-11}$ | -4.35 | -6.994 |
| 353 15 | 3.54E6 | 2.09E-10 | 0.7905 | $3.1E_{-11}$ | -4.55 | -7.098 |
| 352.15 | 4.00 E6 | 2.06E-10 | 0.7893 | 3.1E-11 | -4.60 | -7.151 |
| 351.15 | 4.51 E6 | $2.02 \text{E}{-}10$ | 0.7887 | 3.1E-11 | -4.66 | -7.202 |
| 350.15 | 5.07 E6 | $1.96 E_{-10}$ | 0.7892 | 3.1E-11 | -4.71 | -7.254 |
| 349.15 | 5.73 E 6 | 1.94E-10 | 0.7877 | 3.1E-11 | -4.76 | -7.307 |
| 348.15 | 6.47 E 6 | 1.90 E-10 | 0.7868 | 3.1E-11 | -4.82 | -7.359 |
| 347.15 | $8.25 	ext{E6}$ | 1.81E-10 | 0.7865 | 3.1E-11 | -4.92 | -7.465 |
| 346.15 | 9.30 ± 6 | 1.77E-10 | 0.7859 | 3.1E-11 | -4.98 | -7.517 |
| 345.15 | 1.05E7 | 1.73E-10 1.62E-10 | 0.7855 | 3.1E-11 2.1E-11 | -5.03 | - (.5(0 |
| 344.13 242.15 | 1.33ビイ | 1.03E-10 1.56E-10 | 0.7801 | 3.1E-11 2.1E-11 | -0.14 | -1.013 |
| 343.15 | 1.70 E7 | 1.50E-10 1.52E 10 | 0.7881 | 3.112-11 3.1E-11 | -5.13 | 7 7 7 8 |
| 341.15 341.15 | 1.92E7 | 1.52E-10 1.46E-10 | 0.7881 | $3.1E_{-11}$ $3.1E_{-11}$ | -5.30 | -7.831 |
| 340.15 | 2.16E7 | 1.45E-10 | 0.7880 | 3.1E-11 | -5.35 | -7.883 |
| 340.15 | $2.44\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.42 ± 10 | 0.7880 | 3.1E-11 | -5.40 | -7.935 |
| 339.15 | $2.74\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.38E-10 | 0.7880 | 3.1E-11 | -5.46 | -7.986 |
| 338.15 | $3.52 \mathrm{E7}$ | 1.35 E-10 | 0.7827 | 3.1E-11 | -5.57 | -8.095 |
| 337.15 | $3.96\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.28 E - 10 | 0.7866 | 3.1E-11 | -5.62 | -8.146 |
| 336.15 | $4.46\mathrm{E7}$ | $1.27 E{-}10$ | 0.7844 | 3.1E-11 | -5.67 | -8.198 |
| 335.15 | 5.68E7 | 1.18E-10 | 0.7879 | 3.1E-11 | -5.78 | -8.302 |
| 334.15 | 6.41E7 | 1.17E-10 | 0.7860 | 3.1E-11 | -5.83 | -8.355 |
| 333.15 | 8.20E7 | 1.11E-10 0.02E-11 | 0.7859 | 3.1E-11 | -5.94 | -8.462 |
| 002.10 221.1≝ | 9.21E7 1.16E9 | 9.95E-11 1.01E 10 | 0.7900 | 3.0E-11 2.1E-11 | -0.99 | -0.010 |
| 331.13 330-15 | 1.10E0 | 1.01E-10 0.35E 11 | 0.7901 | 3.1E-11 3.0E-11 | -0.09 | -0.014 |
| 320.15 | 189E8 | 8.84E 11 | 0.7037 | 3.0E-11 3.0E-11 | 6.20 | 8 809 |
| 328.15 328.15 | 2.05E8 | 8.55E-11 | 0.7925 | 3.0E-11 3.0E-11 | -6.34 | -8.861 |
| 327.15 | 2.55E8 | 8.35E-11 | 0.7899 | 3.0E-11 | -6.44 | -8.955 |
| 326.15 | 3.16 E8 | $7.70 	ext{E-11}$ | 0.8008 | 3.1E-11 | -6.53 | -9.048 |
| 325.15 | 3.91 E8 | $7.28 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7967 | 2.9E-11 | -6.63 | -9.141 |
| 324.15 | 4.35 E8 | 6.94 E-11 | 0.8025 | 2.9E-11 | -6.68 | -9.187 |
| 323.15 | 5.34E8 | $6.58 \text{E}{-11}$ | 0.8068 | 3.0E-11 | -6.77 | -9.276 |
| 322.15 | 8.10E8 | 6.17E-11 | 0.8089 | 3.0E-11 | -6.95 | -9.457 |
| 321.15 | 9.76 ± 8 | 6.17E-11 | 0.7962 | 3.0E-11 | -7.03 | -9.538 |
| 3∠U.15 210 1≝ | 1.18E9 | 5.((E-11 5.9617-11 | 0.8072 | 3.Uビ-11 2.0F 11 | -1.12 | -9.021 |
| 019.10 318 15 | 1.44Ľ9 1.79F0 | 0.00E-11 5 34E 11 | 0.7901 | る.Uビー11 30F 11 | -1.20 | -9.101 0.785 |
| 317.15 | 2 3750 | $4 40 \pm 11$ | 0.8380 | $2.8E_{11}$ | _7 49 | -0 023 |
| 316.15 | 2.76E9 | 4.59E-11 | 0.8186 | $\tilde{2.9E}_{-11}$ | -7.49 | -9,990 |
| 315.15 | 3.35 E9 | $3.54\overline{\mathrm{E}}-11$ | 0.8599 | 2.5E-11 | -7.57 | -10.073 |
| 314.15 | 4.33 E9 | $3.42 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8611 | 2.5E-11 | -7.69 | -10.185 |
| 313.15 | 6.05 E9 | 3.69 E-11 | 0.8527 | 2.8E-11 | -7.83 | -10.330 |

| 312.15 | 8.03 E9 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.8532 | $2.4 \text{E}{-}11$ | -7.96 | -10.453 |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 311.15 | 9.01 E9 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.8714 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -8.01 | -10.503 |
| 311.15 | $1.08\mathrm{E10}$ | 3.31 E- 11 | 0.8452 | $2.7 	ext{E-11}$ | -8.09 | -10.580 |
| 310.15 | 1.24 ± 10 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8964 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | -8.15 | -10.642 |
| 309.15 | $1.44 \mathrm{E10}$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.9384 | $2.3 E{-}11$ | -8.22 | -10.706 |
| 308.15 | $2.04 \mathrm{E10}$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.8823 | $2.4 \text{E}{-}11$ | -8.37 | -10.858 |
| 307.15 | $2.59\mathrm{E10}$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | 0.8631 | 2.4 E - 11 | -8.47 | -10.963 |
| | | | | | | |

Ionic conductivity data for annealed PLEPB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm

Thickness: 0:155 cm

| T /K | P ./0 | 0 | | C. /F | log (gT) | |
|------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <u>1 / K</u> | $\frac{\mathbf{n}_{1/32}}{1.22\mathbf{F}^{5}}$ | $\frac{Q_1}{1.97E 10}$ | $\frac{n_1}{0.7718}$ | $\frac{C_1 / r}{4.0 F 12}$ | $\frac{\log(\sigma \mathbf{I})}{264}$ | $\frac{\log(\sigma)}{5.284}$ |
| 550.15 | 1.33153 | 1.27 ± 10 1.28 ± 10 | 0.7710 | 4.9E-12 5.0E-19 | -2.04 | -0.004 |
| 548 15 | 1.40100 | 1.30E-10 1.23E 10 | 0.7038 0.7725 | 5.0E - 12 5.0E 12 | -2.08 | 5 430 |
| 548.15 | 1.01E5 | 1.23E-10 1.14E-10 | 0.7723 | 4.0 ± 12 | -2.10 2.67 | 5 407 |
| 540.15 | 1.30E5 | 1.14D-10 1.18E 10 | 0.7761 | 4.0 ± 12 | 2.07 | 5 389 |
| 550 15 | 1.32E5 1.32E5 | 1.10E-10 1.26E-10 | 0.7701 | 4.915-12 4.9E 12 | 2.04 | 5 383 |
| 550.15 | 1.02E5 | 1.20 ± 10 1.33 ± 10 | 0.7682 | 5.0E 12 | 2.04 2.67 | 5 413 |
| 548.15 | 1.58E5 | 1.35 ± 10 1.40 ± 10 | 0.7640 | 5.0E-12 5.1E-12 | -2.07 | -5 459 |
| 546.15 | 1.58E5 | 1.40 ± 10 1.21 ± 10 | 0.7732 | $5.0E_{-12}$ | _2.72 | -5 458 |
| 546.15 | 1.53E5 | $1.21E_{10}$ $1.20E_{10}$ | 0.7740 | $4.9E_{-}12$ | $_{-271}^{2.12}$ | -5 444 |
| 546.15 | 1.50E5 1.54E5 | 1.25E-10 | 0.7710 | 5.0E - 12 | -2.71 | -5 450 |
| 545.15 | 1.67E5 | 1.25 ± 10 1.35 ± 10 | 0.7659 | 5.0 ± 12 5.1 ± 12 | -2.75 | -5 483 |
| 544.15 | 1.86E5 | 1.43 ± 10 | 0.7623 | 5.3E-12 | -2.79 | -5.530 |
| 541.15 | $2.08 E_{-}5$ | 1.37E-10 | 0.7640 | 5.4E-12 | -2.85 | -5.579 |
| 539.15 | 2.07E5 | 1.18 ± 10 | 0.7738 | 5.3E-12 | -2.85 | -5.577 |
| 537.15 | 2.31E5 | 1.29 ± 10 | 0.7683 | 5.6 E - 12 | -2.89 | -5.625 |
| 535.15 | 2.58 E 5 | 1.31E-10 | 0.7676 | 5.8 ± 12 | -2.94 | -5.673 |
| 533.15 | $2.73 E_{2}$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}_{-}10$ | 0.7721 | $5.7 	ext{E-} 12$ | -2.97 | -5.697 |
| 532.15 | $2.66 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.17 E{-}10$ | 0.7738 | $5.6 	ext{E-12}$ | -2.96 | -5.686 |
| 532.15 | $2.65 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.7762 | $5.6 \operatorname{E-12}$ | -2.96 | -5.685 |
| 531.15 | $2.81 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.7751 | $5.8 \text{E}{-}12$ | -2.98 | -5.709 |
| 530.15 | $3.06 \mathrm{E5}$ | $1.12{ m E}{ m -}10$ | 0.7784 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -3.02 | -5.747 |
| 527.15 | $3.41 E_{5}$ | $1.09\mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.7806 | 6.2 E - 12 | -3.07 | -5.794 |
| 525.15 | 3.62 E 5 | $1.03\mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.7831 | 6.1 E - 12 | -3.10 | -5.820 |
| 524.15 | $3.56\mathrm{E5}$ | $9.96\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.7851 | $6.0 	ext{E-} 12$ | -3.09 | -5.812 |
| 524.15 | $3.54 \mathrm{E5}$ | $9.16 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.7917 | $6.0 	ext{E-} 12$ | -3.09 | -5.811 |
| 523.15 | $3.80 \mathrm{E5}$ | $9.06 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.7937 | 6.3 E- 12 | -3.12 | -5.840 |
| 521.15 | $4.16 E_{5}$ | 8.74E-11 | 0.7976 | $6.5 	ext{E-} 12$ | -3.16 | -5.880 |
| 519.15 | $4.61 \mathrm{E5}$ | $8.50\mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8005 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -3.21 | -5.924 |
| 517.15 | $4.78 \mathrm{E5}$ | $8.92 	ext{E-} 11$ | 0.7950 | $6.7 	ext{E-} 12$ | -3.23 | -5.940 |
| 516.15 | $4.70 E_{-5}$ | $8.26 	ext{E-11}$ | 0.8007 | 6.6 ± 12 | -3.22 | -5.933 |
| 516.15 | $4.80 \mathrm{E5}$ | $7.86 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8048 | $6.7 	ext{E}{-} 12$ | -3.23 | -5.942 |
| 515.15 | 5.04E5 | 7.31E-11 | 0.8129 | 7.0 ± 12 | -3.25 | -5.964 |
| 513.15 | 5.36E5 | 6.12 ± 11 | 0.8305 | 7.4 ± 12 | -3.28 | -5.990 |
| 511.15 | 6.09E5 | 7.21E-11 | 0.8157 | 7.5E-12 | -3.34 | -6.046 |
| 509.15 | 6.07E5 | 7.07E-11 | 0.8163 | 7.3E-12 | -3.34 | -6.045 |
| 509.15 | 5.99E5 | 6.83E-11 | 0.8190 | 7.3E-12 | -3.33 | -6.039 |
| 509.15 | 6.11E5 | 0.37E-11 | 0.8251 | 7.4E-12 | -3.34 | -6.047 |
| 507.15 | 0.02E0 7.01EF | 0.80E-11 | 0.8206 | (.(E-12 9.1E-19 | -3.38 | -0.082 |
| 000.10 502.15 | (.21E) 9 09E5 | 0.01E-11 6.00E-11 | 0.8209 | 8.1E-12 9.2E-12 | -3.41 | -0.119 |
| 503.15 | 0.00E5 9.56E5 | 6.05E-11 | 0.0222 | 0.3E-12 9.1E-19 | -3.47 | -0.109 |
| 501.15 | 8.72E5 | 6.31×11 | 0.8109 | 8.1E-12 8.1E-12 | - 3.49 | -0.194 |
| 499 15 | 0.72E5 | 6.44 ± 11 | 0.8280 | 85E12 | - 3.50 | 6 227 |
| 497 15 | 1.00E6 | 6 30 E 11 | 0.8218 | 8 9E 12 | 3 56 | 6 261 |
| 495 15 | 1.00 ± 0 1.11 ± 6 | 6.67E-11 | 0.8264 | $9.0E_{12}$ | -3.61 | -6.307 |
| 493 15 | 1.11E0 1.14E6 | $6.54E_{-11}$ | 0.8266 | 89E-12 | -3.63 | -6.320 |
| 492.15 | 1.14E0 1.16E6 | 6.33E-11 | 0.8292 | 8.9E-12 | -3.64 | -6.327 |
| 491.15 | 1.22 E 6 | 6.36E-11 | 0.8297 | 9.1 ± 12 | -3.66 | -6.348 |
| 490.15 | 1.32 ± 6 | 6.35 E - 11 | 0.8311 | 9.4E-12 | -3.69 | -6.380 |
| 488.15 | 1.45 ± 6 | 6.73 - 11 | 0.8245 | 9.4E-12 | -3.73 | -6.422 |
| 487.15 | 1.47 E 6 | $6.41 \text{E}{-11}$ | 0.8286 | 9.4 E - 12 | -3.74 | -6.427 |
| 486.15 | 1.47 E 6 | 6.19 ± 11 | 0.8319 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}$ - $1\overline{2}$ | -3.74 | -6.430 |
| 485.15 | 1.54 E6 | 6.24E-11 | 0.8315 | $9.6 \operatorname{E-12}$ | -3.76 | -6.447 |
| 484.15 | 1.65 ± 6 | $6.28 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8318 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -3.79 | -6.478 |
| 481.15 | 1.95 E6 | 6.81 E- 11 | 0.8214 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -3.87 | -6.551 |
| 479.15 | 2.04 E 6 | $6.69 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8229 | 9.8 ± 12 | -3.89 | -6.570 |

| 478.15 | 2.19 ± 6 | 6.78E-11 | 0.8221 | 1.0E-11 | -3.92 | -6.601 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 472.15 | 2.09E0 2.80E6 | 7.01E-11 | 0.8137 0.8140 | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.01 | -6.708 |
| 471.15 | 2.94E6 | 6.90E-11 | 0.8154 | 1.0E-11 0.0E-12 | -4.06 | -6.729 |
| 466.15 466.15 | 3.40E0 3.56E6 | 7.33E-11 7.13E-11 | $0.8056 \\ 0.8075$ | 9.9E-12 9.9E-12 | -4.13 -4.14 | -6.812 |
| 465.15 | 3.73 E 6 | 7.01E-11 | 0.8086 | 1.0E-11 | -4.17 | -6.833 |
| $464.15 \\ 462.15$ | 3.98 ± 6 4 42 \exp{A} 6 | 6.91E-11 7 34E-11 | $0.8095 \\ 0.8007$ | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.19 -4.24 | -6.861 |
| 461.15 | 4.61E6 | 7.35E-11 | 0.3001 0.7991 | 9.9E-12 | -4.24 | -6.925 |
| 460.15 | 4.79 ± 6 | 7.21E-11 | 0.8004 | 9.9E-12 | -4.28 | -6.941 |
| 459.15 | 5.10E0 5.80E6 | 8.11E-11 | $0.7918 \\ 0.7852$ | 1.0E-11 1.0E-11 | -4.31 -4.36 | -0.975 |
| 455.15 | 6.06 E 6 | 7.67 E-11 | 0.7893 | 9.9E-12 | -4.39 | -7.044 |
| $454.15 \\ 453.15$ | 6.34E6 6.80E6 | 7.54E-11 8.07E-11 | $0.7893 \\ 0.7817$ | 9.8E-12 9.9E-12 | -4.41 -4.44 | -7.063 |
| 451.15 | 7.32 E 6 | 8.32E-11 | 0.7784 | 1.0E-11 | -4.47 | -7.126 |
| 450.15 | 8.10E6 | 8.36E-11 | 0.7739 | 9.9E-12 | -4.52 | -7.170 |
| 446.15 447.15 | 8.43 ± 6 8.77 ± 6 | 8.13E-11 | $0.7740 \\ 0.7747$ | 9.9E-12 9.9E-12 | -4.54 -4.55 | -7.100 |
| 446.15 | 9.35E6 | 8.11E-11 | 0.7736 | 9.9E-12 | -4.58 | -7.232 |
| $445.15 \\ 443.15$ | 1.03E7 1.12E7 | 7.80E-11 7.88E-11 | $0.7738 \\ 0.7706$ | 9.7E-12 9.7E-12 | -4.62 -4.66 | -7.273 |
| 441.15 | 1.19E7 | 7.60E-11 | 0.7727 | 9.7E-12 | -4.69 | -7.335 |
| 440.15 | 1.25 E7 1.35 E7 | 7.86E-11 7.88E-11 | 0.7681 | 9.7E-12 0.8E 12 | -4.72 | -7.359 |
| 435.15 437.15 | 1.35E7 1.46E7 | 7.53E-11 | 0.7684 | 9.7E-12 | -4.73 | -7.426 |
| 436.15 | 1.50E7 | 7.97E-11 | 0.7611 | 9.6E-12 | -4.80 | -7.437 |
| $430.15 \\ 435.15$ | 1.48E7 1.53E7 | 7.46E-11 | $0.7654 \\ 0.7675$ | 9.6E-12 9.6E-12 | -4.79 -4.81 | -7.432 |
| 434.15 | $1.68 \mathrm{E7}$ | 7.77E-11 | 0.7594 | 9.5E-12 | -4.85 | -7.487 |
| $433.15 \\ 432.15$ | $1.82 { m E7}$ 2.04 { m E7} | 7.88 ± 11 7.66 \pm 11 | $0.7573 \\ 0.7559$ | 9.7E-12 9.5E-12 | -4.88 | -7.521 |
| 428.15 | 2.36E7 | 6.82E-11 | 0.7654 | 9.5E-12 | -5.00 | -7.634 |
| 426.15 | 2.54E7 | 7.21E-11 | 0.7561 | 9.4E-12 0.6E 12 | -5.04 | -7.666 |
| 425.15 424.15 | 2.08E7 2.95E7 | 7.33E-11 7.12E-11 | 0.7523 | 9.0E-12 9.3E-12 | -5.00 -5.10 | -7.731 |
| 422.15 | 3.25E7 | 6.94E-11 | 0.7528 | 9.4E-12 | -5.15 | -7.773 |
| $418.15 \\ 416.15$ | $3.93E7 \\ 4.12E7$ | 6.21E-11 6.05E-11 | $0.7598 \\ 0.7619$ | 9.3E-12 9.3E-12 | $-5.23 \\ -5.26$ | -7.855 -7.876 |
| 415.15 | 4.55 E7 | 6.18E-11 | 0.7541 | 9.1E-12 | -5.30 | -7.919 |
| 414.15 412.15 | 4.95E7 5.25E7 | 6.23E-11 6.31E-11 | $0.7501 \\ 0.7491$ | 9.1E-12 9.3E 12 | $-5.34 \\ 5.37$ | -7.956 |
| 408.15 | 6.46E7 | 5.79E-11 | 0.7511 | 9.1E-12 | -5.46 | -8.071 |
| 407.15 | 6.79E7 | 5.64E-11 | 0.7543 | 9.2E-12 | -5.48 | -8.093 |
| 405.15 404.15 | 7.79E7 | 4.72E-11 | $0.7645 \\ 0.7710$ | 8.9E-12 8.9E-12 | -5.51 -5.55 | -8.120 -8.153 |
| 402.15 | 9.43E7 | 6.30E-11 | 0.7292 | 9.4E-12 | -5.63 | -8.235 |
| 401.15 399.15 | 9.91E7 1.20E8 | 6.04E-11 | $0.7245 \\ 0.7216$ | 9.6E-12 9.0E-12 | -5.65 -5.74 | -8.257 |
| 397.15 | 1.26 E8 | 6.13E-11 | 0.7215 | 9.4E-12 | -5.76 | -8.362 |
| $395.15 \\ 394.15$ | $1.31E8 \\ 1.41E8$ | 5.55E-11 5.57E-11 | $0.7268 \\ 0.7260$ | 8.7E-12 8.9E-12 | -5.78 -5.81 | -8.377 |
| 392.15 | 1.43E8 | 3.18E-11 | 0.8022 | 8.4E-12 | -5.82 | -8.416 |
| 390.15 | 1.62E8 | 3.37E-11 6.42F-11 | 0.7817 | 7.9E-12 0.4E-12 | -5.88 | -8.470 |
| 387.15 | 2.09E8 2.05E8 | 5.81E-11 | $0.0917 \\ 0.7027$ | 9.4E-12 8.9E-12 | -5.99 | -8.573 |
| 385.15 | 2.27E8 | 5.51E-11 | 0.7096 | 9.2E-12 | -6.03 | -8.617 |
| 384.15 383.15 | 2.15E8 2.67E8 | 2.00E-11 4.88E-11 | 0.8120 0.7114 | 8.1E-12 8.4E-12 | -6.10 -6.10 | $-8.594 \\ -8.687$ |
| 381.15 | 3.08 E8 | 5.70E-11 | 0.6857 | 8.9E-12 | -6.17 | -8.749 |
| $380.15 \\ 378.15$ | 3.33 ± 8 3.65 ± 8 | 5.52E-11 5.15E-11 | $0.6950 \\ 0.6910$ | 9.6E-12 8 7E-12 | -6.20 -6.25 | -8.783 |
| 377.15 | 4.05 E8 | 5.59E-11 | 0.6771 | 9.2E-12 | -6.29 | -8.869 |
| 375.15 374.15 | 4.15 ± 8 | 4.29E-11 | 0.7124 | 8.4E-12 8.6E 12 | -6.30 6.38 | -8.879 |
| $374.15 \\ 372.15$ | 4.92E8 5.18E8 | 4.62E-11 4.48E-11 | 0.6831 0.6929 | 8.5E-12 8.5E-12 | -6.40 | -8.975 |
| 371.15 | 5.92 E 8 | 4.41E-11 | 0.6912 | 8.7E-12 | -6.46 | -9.034 |
| $369.15 \\ 368.15$ | 6.44E8 6.46E8 | 4.61E-11 3.88E-11 | $0.6838 \\ 0.7273$ | 9.1E-12 9.7E-12 | -6.50 -6.51 | -9.070 |
| 366.15 | 6.27 E8 | 2.76E-11 | 0.7970 | 9.8E-12 | -6.49 | -9.058 |
| $365.15 \\ 363.15$ | 9.88 ± 8 1.05 \pm 9 | 5.79E-11 5.54E-11 | $0.6365 \\ 0.6359$ | 1.1E-11 1.1E-11 | -6.69 -6.72 | -9.256 |
| 359.15 | 1.35E9 | 4.87E-11 | 0.6322 | 1.0E-11 | -6.84 | -9.392 |
| 358.15 | 1.41E9 | 3.70E-11 | 0.6890 | 9.8E-12 | -6.86 | -9.412 |
| 354.15 | 1.73E9 1.82E9 | 3.33E-11 | 0.6201 0.6824 | 9.0E-11 | -6.97 | -9.520 |
| 353.15 | 1.98E9 | 3.17E-11 | 0.7139 | 1.0E-11 | -7.01 | -9.557 |
| 352.15 351.15 | 2.02E9 2.19E9 | ⊿.79E-11 3.36E-11 | $0.7058 \\ 0.6819$ | о.4E-12 1.0E-11 | -7.02 | -9.566 |
| 350.15 | $2.59\overline{\text{E9}}$ | 2.91E-11 | 0.7031 | 9.8E-12 | -7.13 | -9.674 |
| $348.15 \\ 347.15$ | 2.86E9 2.92E9 | 3.41E-11 2.76E-11 | $0.6518 \\ 0.7055$ | 9.8E-12 9.7E-12 | -7.18 -7.19 | -9.718 -9.726 |
| 346.15 | 3.29E9 | 2.40E-11 | 0.7277 | 9.3E-12 | -7.24 | -9.779 |
| 344.15 343.15 | 3.35E9 3.30E0 | 2.38E-11 | 0.7361 | 9.6E-12 8.0E 12 | -7.25 | -9.786 |
| 341.15 | 3.39E9 4.74E9 | 3.25E-11 | 0.7306 0.6116 | 9.9E-12 | -1.20 -7.40 | -9.791 -9.937 |

| 340.15 | 4.9950 | 1.64 ± 11 | 0 7750 | 76F 19 | 7 25 | 0.886 |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 220.15 | 4.54150 | 0.02E 11 | 0.7709 | 0 4E 10 | -7.30 | -9.000 |
| 339.15 | 4.51E.9 | 2.03E-11 | 0.7308 | 8.4E-1Z | -7.39 | -9.915 |
| 338.15 | $4.76 \mathrm{E9}$ | $2.05 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7337 | 8.8 ± 12 | -7.41 | -9.938 |
| 334.15 | 7.45 E9 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}$ - 11 | 0.6704 | 9.4 E - 12 | -7.61 | -10.133 |
| 331.15 | 7.80 ± 9 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.7462 | 8.9 ± 12 | -7.63 | -10.153 |
| 331.15 | $1.10\mathrm{E10}$ | $2.07 E{-}11$ | 0.7099 | 1.1E-11 | -7.78 | -10.304 |
| 329.15 | 9.58 E9 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7607 | 7.6 E - 12 | -7.73 | -10.243 |
| 328.15 | 9.84E9 | 1.84E-11 | 0.7000 | 8.8E-12 | -7.74 | -10.254 |
| 326.15 | 1.54 ± 10 | $1.90 \text{ E} \cdot 11$ | 0.7090 | 1.2E-11 | -7.94 | -10.449 |
| 325.15 | 1.27 E10 | $1.68E_{-}11$ | 0.7245 | 93E-12 | -7.85 | -10 364 |
| 310.15 | 2.61 E10 | 1.61E 11 | 0.7240 | 1.9 ± 11 | 8.17 | 10.677 |
| 319.10 | 2.01E10 | 1.01E-11 | 0.7200 | 1.20-11 | -0.17 | -10.077 |
| 318.15 | 2.74 ± 10 | 1.07E-11 | 0.6540 | 1.1E-11 | -8.20 | -10.698 |
| 317.15 | $2.39\mathrm{E10}$ | $1.17 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8475 | $9.3 \text{E}{-}12$ | -8.14 | -10.639 |
| 315.15 | $2.80\mathrm{E10}$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.7252 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -8.21 | -10.709 |
| 314.15 | $3.21 \mathrm{E10}$ | $1.56{ m E}{ m -}11$ | 0.7150 | 1.2 ± 11 | -8.27 | -10.767 |
| 313.15 | 4.87 ± 10 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.6694 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | -8.45 | -10.949 |
| 313.15 | $5.54\mathrm{E10}$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.6522 | 1.3 ± 11 | -8.51 | -11.005 |
| 312.15 | $2.95 \mathrm{E10}$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7585 | 8.6 E - 12 | -8.24 | -10.731 |
| 312.15 | $3.20\mathrm{E10}$ | 8.57 E-12 | 0.8603 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}_{-12}$ | -8.27 | -10.767 |
| 310.15 | $3.36\mathrm{E10}$ | $9.20 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.8697 | $7.7 E{-}12$ | -8.30 | -10.788 |
| 309.15 | $4.40\mathrm{E10}$ | $8.25 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.7652 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}_{-}12$ | -8.41 | -10.904 |
| 308.15 | $3.11 \mathrm{E10}$ | $5.09 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.9634 | 4.7 E - 12 | -8.27 | -10.754 |
| 307.15 | $3.75\mathrm{E10}$ | $8.45 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.8564 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -8.35 | -10.835 |
| | | | | | | |

Ionic conductivity data for as-synthesized PLEMB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm

Thickness: $0.15~\mathrm{cm}$

| T/K | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{C}_1 / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 423.15 | $1.32 \mathrm{E7}$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}10$ | 0.7026 | 6.8 E - 12 | -4.77 | -7.397 |
| 423.15 | $1.40 \mathrm{E7}$ | $1.09{ m E}$ - 10 | 0.6996 | $6.7 E{-}12$ | -4.79 | -7.421 |
| 422.15 | $1.54\mathrm{E7}$ | 9.53 ± 11 | 0.7067 | 6.4 E - 12 | -4.84 | -7.462 |
| 422.15 | $1.44 \mathrm{E7}$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}{-} 11$ | 0.7107 | 6.2 E - 12 | -4.81 | -7.435 |
| 422.15 | $1.37 \mathrm{E7}$ | $9.55 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.7081 | 6.2 E- 12 | -4.79 | -7.413 |
| 423.15 | $1.35 \mathrm{E7}$ | 9.64 E- 11 | 0.7070 | 6.1 E - 12 | -4.78 | -7.406 |
| 423.15 | $1.37 \mathrm{E7}$ | $9.50 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.7069 | 6.1 E - 12 | -4.79 | -7.414 |
| 423.15 | $1.44 \mathrm{E7}$ | 9.31E-11 | 0.7065 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -4.81 | -7.435 |
| 423.15 | $1.57 \mathrm{E7}$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}{-} 11$ | 0.7041 | 5.9 E- 12 | -4.84 | -7.470 |
| 422.15 | 1.72 E7 | 8.51 E- 11 | 0.7085 | $5.8 \text{E}{-}12$ | -4.88 | -7.510 |
| 421.15 | $1.95\mathrm{E7}$ | 8.34E-11 | 0.7065 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -4.94 | -7.565 |
| 420.15 | 2.21 E7 | $8.16 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7042 | $5.7 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.00 | -7.620 |
| 419.15 | 2.48 E7 | 7.64 E- 11 | 0.7069 | $5.7 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.05 | -7.669 |
| 417.15 | $2.82 \mathrm{E7}$ | $6.73 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7144 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -5.11 | -7.726 |
| 416.15 | 3.29 ± 7 | $7.03 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7055 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -5.17 | -7.792 |
| 414.15 | $3.71 \mathrm{E7}$ | $6.09 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.7158 | $5.4 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.23 | -7.844 |
| 413.15 | $4.34 \mathrm{E7}$ | $6.05\mathrm{E}	ext{-}11$ | 0.7116 | 5.4E-12 | -5.30 | -7.913 |
| 411.15 | $5.00 \mathrm{E7}$ | 5.61 E- 11 | 0.7143 | $5.3 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.36 | -7.975 |
| 409.15 | $5.88 \mathrm{E7}$ | $5.29 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7151 | $5.3 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.43 | -8.044 |
| 408.15 | $6.81 \mathrm{E7}$ | 5.33 E- 11 | 0.7099 | 5.4E-12 | -5.50 | -8.108 |
| 406.15 | $8.07 \mathrm{E7}$ | 5.13 ± 11 | 0.7075 | $5.3 E{-}12$ | -5.57 | -8.182 |
| 404.15 | $9.19\mathrm{E7}$ | $4.81 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7101 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -5.63 | -8.239 |
| 403.15 | 1.09 ± 8 | $4.81 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7068 | $5.5 E{-}12$ | -5.71 | -8.312 |
| 401.15 | 1.26 ± 8 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}\text{-}11$ | 0.7134 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -5.77 | -8.377 |
| 398.15 | 1.71 E 8 | $4.30\mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7047 | 5.5 E - 12 | -5.91 | -8.509 |
| 397.15 | 1.95 E8 | 4.01 E - 11 | 0.7030 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -5.97 | -8.565 |
| 394.15 | 2.71 E8 | $4.10 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.6934 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -6.11 | -8.708 |
| 392.15 | $3.06 	ext{E8}$ | $3.15 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.7160 | $5.0 	ext{E-} 12$ | -6.17 | -8.761 |
| 391.15 | $3.53 	ext{E8}$ | 3.21 E- 11 | 0.7046 | 4.9 E- 12 | -6.23 | -8.823 |
| 390.15 | 4.07 E 8 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.7218 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.29 | -8.885 |
| 386.15 | $6.29 	ext{E8}$ | $2.78 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 11$ | 0.7085 | $5.3 E{-}12$ | -6.49 | -9.074 |
| 383.15 | $8.22 	ext{E8}$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}{-} 11$ | 0.7053 | $4.9 \text{E}{-}12$ | -6.61 | -9.190 |
| 382.15 | 9.58 ± 8 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.7102 | $5.3 E{-}12$ | -6.67 | -9.257 |
| 380.15 | $1.07 \mathrm{E9}$ | 1.91 E- 11 | 0.7295 | $4.5 E{-}12$ | -6.73 | -9.305 |
| 379.15 | $1.27 \mathrm{E9}$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}$ - 11 | 0.7007 | 5.4 E - 12 | -6.80 | -9.380 |
| 378.15 | 1.48 E9 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}$ - 11 | 0.6769 | $4.9 \text{E}{-}12$ | -6.87 | -9.446 |
| 377.15 | $1.65 \mathrm{E9}$ | 1.64 E- 11 | 0.7141 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}_{-12}$ | -6.92 | -9.492 |
| 376.15 | 1.82 E 9 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}{-} 11$ | 0.7981 | 4.9 ± 12 | -6.96 | -9.535 |
| 374.15 | $2.10 \mathrm{E9}$ | $1.59\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.7311 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -7.03 | -9.598 |
| 367.15 | $5.37 \mathrm{E9}$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}11$ | 0.7480 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -7.44 | -10.005 |
| 356.15 | 2.34 ± 10 | 6.98 ± 12 | 0.7868 | 4.3 ± 12 | -8.09 | -10.644 |
| 347.15 | $6.31 \mathrm{E10}$ | $4.70 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}12$ | 0.8419 | $3.7 	ext{E-} 12$ | -8.53 | -11.075 |
| 343.15 | $1.56\mathrm{E11}$ | $4.15 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.7711 | 3.6 ± 12 | -8.93 | -11.469 |

Ionic conductivity data for annealed PLEMB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.03 cm

| | \mathbf{R}_{\star} /0 | 0. | n. | C. /F | | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| $\frac{1}{627.15}$ | $\frac{101/32}{2.98E3}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{21}}{6.10E_{-}12}$ | 0 9998 | $\frac{C_1 / r}{6.1 E_{-12}}$ | <u>-1 65</u> | <u>-4 449</u> |
| 626.15 | 3.17E3 | 7.37E-12 | 0.9888 | 6.0E-12 | -1.68 | -4.475 |
| 625.15 | 2.99E3 | 4.74 ± 12 | 1.0142 | 6.1E-12 | -1.65 | -4.450 |
| 626.15 | 3.02 E3 | $6.53 \text{E}{-}12$ | 0.9958 | 6.1E-12 | -1.66 | -4.455 |
| 625.15 | $3.21\mathrm{E}3$ | $7.86 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.9851 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.69 | -4.481 |
| 623.15 | $3.30\mathrm{E}3$ | $6.45 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.9963 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.70 | -4.493 |
| 624.15 | 3.10 E3 | $5.40 \operatorname{E}{-} 12$ | 1.0066 | 6.1E-12 | -1.67 | -4.465 |
| 626.15 | 2.98 E3 | 5.82 ± 12 | 1.0024 | $6.1 E{-}12$ | -1.65 | -4.449 |
| 626.15 | 3.02E3 | 6.73 ± 12 | 0.9940 | 6.1E-12 | -1.66 | -4.454 |
| 625.15 | 3.18E3 | 7.90E-12 | 0.9848 | 6.0E-12 | -1.68 | -4.477 |
| 024.10 | 3.20E3 2.07E2 | 0.17E-12 5.04E-19 | 0.9989 | 0.1E - 1Z | -1.08 | -4.480 |
| 626.15 | 3.04E3 | 5.94E-12 6.66E 12 | 0.0012 | 6.1E - 12 | -1.00 | -4.401 |
| 625.15 | 3.16E3 | 7.75E-12 | 0.9858 | 6.0E-12 | -1.68 | -4.473 |
| 623.15 | 3.37E3 | 8.93 ± 12 | 0.9776 | 6.0E-12 | -1.71 | -4.501 |
| 621.15 | 3.58 E3 | $9.07 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.9764 | 6.0 E-12 | -1.73 | -4.528 |
| 620.15 | $3.50\mathrm{E3}$ | $7.63 	ext{E-} 12$ | 0.9863 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.73 | -4.519 |
| 621.15 | 3.48 E3 | 8.63 E - 12 | 0.9793 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.72 | -4.515 |
| 619.15 | 3.68 E3 | 1.02 ± 11 | 0.9695 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.75 | -4.540 |
| 617.15 | 3.95E3 | 1.20 ± 11 | 0.9602 | 5.9E-12 | -1.78 | -4.571 |
| 615.15 | 4.13E3 | 1.07E-11 | 0.9666 | 5.9E-12 | -1.80 | -4.591 |
| 613.15 | 4.41E3 | 1.51E-11 1.02E-11 | 0.9466 | 5.9E-12 6.0F 12 | -1.83 | -4.619 |
| 600.15 | 4.00100 | 1.95E-11 1.45E-11 | 0.9323 | 6.0E - 12 | -1.07 | -4.059 |
| 608.15 | 4.80E3 | $1.40 E_{-11}$ | 0.9488 | 6.0E-12 | -1.89 | -4.030 -4.672 |
| 607.15 | 5.42E3 | 2.29E-11 | 0.9223 | 6.0E - 12 | -1.92 | -4.708 |
| 604.15 | 5.94E3 | 2.37E-11 | 0.9200 | 6.0 - 12 | -1.97 | -4.748 |
| 603.15 | 6.03 E3 | $1.71 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.9388 | 6.0 E - 12 | -1.97 | -4.755 |
| 602.15 | 6.58 E3 | $5.39 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | 0.8722 | 6.1 E - 12 | -2.01 | -4.793 |
| 600.15 | $7.06\mathrm{E3}$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 11$ | 0.8709 | 6.1E-12 | -2.04 | -4.823 |
| 598.15 | 7.69 E3 | 5.99 ± 11 | 0.8649 | 6.1E-12 | -2.08 | -4.860 |
| 596.15 | 7.77E3 | 4.36 ± 11 | 0.8830 | 6.1E-12 | -2.09 | -4.865 |
| 595.15 | 8.05E3 9.07E3 | 5.67E-11 6.27E-11 | 0.8675 | 6.1E-12 6.1E-19 | -2.11 | -4.880 |
| 593.15 500.15 | 8.07E3 | 0.37E-11 6 20F 11 | 0.8603 | 0.1E-12 6.1F 19 | $^{-2.14}_{-2.17}$ | -4.912 |
| 589 15 | 9.30E3 9.49E3 | $6.17E_{-11}$ | 0.8003 | 6.1E-12 | -2.17 | -4.940 |
| 588.15 | 9.96E3 | 6.80E-11 | 0.8552 | 6.1E-12 | -2.20 | -4.973 |
| 586.15 | 1.07E4 | 7.80 ± 11 | 0.8466 | 6.2E-12 | -2.24 | -5.004 |
| 583.15 | $1.11\mathrm{E4}$ | 7.36 E-11 | 0.8497 | 6.2E-12 | -2.26 | -5.021 |
| 582.15 | $1.17 \mathrm{E4}$ | $8.13 E{-}11$ | 0.8434 | 6.2 E - 12 | -2.28 | -5.044 |
| 580.15 | $1.26 \mathrm{E4}$ | $8.72 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8387 | 6.2 E - 12 | -2.31 | -5.073 |
| 577.15 | $1.35\mathrm{E4}$ | 8.57E-11 | 0.8390 | 6.2E-12 | -2.34 | -5.103 |
| 576.15 | 1.35E4 | 8.06E-11 | 0.8426 | 6.2E-12 | -2.34 | -5.103 |
| 572.15 | 1.41E4 1.51E4 | 8.83E-11 0.28E 11 | 0.8308 | 0.2E-12 6.2E-12 | -2.30 | -0.122 5.150 |
| 570.15 | 1.51E4 1.64E4 | 9.30E-11 8.85E 11 | 0.8320 0.8351 | 6.2E-12 | -2.39 | 5 188 |
| 569.15 | 1.63E4 | 7.71E-11 | 0.8434 | 6.2E - 12 | -2.43 | -5.186 |
| 568.15 | 1.70E4 | 9.16E-11 | 0.8328 | 6.2E-12 | -2.45 | -5.204 |
| 566.15 | $1.79 \mathrm{E4}$ | $9.56 \mathrm{E}{-}11$ | 0.8297 | 6.3E-12 | -2.48 | -5.228 |
| 564.15 | $1.93 \mathrm{E4}$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.8247 | 6.3 E - 12 | -2.51 | -5.259 |
| 562.15 | $2.00 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.67 E-11 | 0.8344 | 6.2 E - 12 | -2.53 | -5.276 |
| 561.15 | 2.02E4 | 9.22 ± 11 | 0.8306 | 6.3E-12 | -2.53 | -5.279 |
| 560.15 | 2.10E4 | 9.66E-11 | 0.8274 | 6.3E-12 | -2.55 | -5.296 |
| 556 15 | 2.23E4 2.20E4 | 9.90E-11 1.02E 10 | 0.8249 | 0.3E-12 6 3F 19 | -2.56 | -0.044 |
| 550.15 554 15 | 2.35 ± 4 2.45 \exp{E}4 | $8.53E_{-11}$ | 0.8227 | $6.2E_{-12}$ | -2.01 | -5 363 |
| 553.15 | 2.51E4 | 9.43E-11 | 0.8270 | 6.3E-12 | -2.63 | -5.373 |
| 552.15 | 2.61 E4 | 9.70 ± 11 | 0.8249 | 6.3E-12 | -2.65 | -5.392 |
| 550.15 | $2.77 \mathrm{E4}$ | $9.96\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.8227 | 6.3E-12 | -2.68 | -5.416 |
| 548.15 | $2.95 \mathrm{E4}$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}{-}10$ | 0.8216 | $6.3 E{-}12$ | -2.70 | -5.444 |
| 546.15 | $3.00\mathrm{E4}$ | 9.14E-11 | 0.8271 | $6.3 	ext{E}{-}12$ | -2.71 | -5.451 |
| 545.15 | 3.09E4 | 9.45E-11 | 0.8248 | 6.3E-12 | -2.73 | -5.464 |
| 544.15 549.15 | 3.24E4 2.44E4 | 9.8∠E-11 1.01E-10 | 0.8220 | 0.3E-12 6.4E-19 | -2.(5 | -0.484 5 5 1 1 |
| 542.10 540 15 | 3.44E4 3.60E4 | 9 15 E 11 | 0.0197 0.8950 | 0.4E-12 6.3E-19 | -2.10 | -0.011 -5.541 |
| 53915 | 3.66 E4 | $9.17E_{-11}$ | 0.8249 | 6.3E-12 | -2.81 | -5.537 |
| 538.15 | 3.76 ± 4 | 9.46 ± 11 | 0.8228 | $6.3 \overline{E} - 12$ | -2.82 | -5.549 |
| 537.15 | $3.92 \mathrm{E4}$ | $9.66 \mathrm{E}{-11}$ | 0.8210 | 6.4E-12 | -2.84 | -5.568 |
| 536.15 | $4.17 \mathrm{E4}$ | $9.83 	ext{E-11}$ | 0.8193 | 6.4E-12 | -2.86 | -5.594 |
| 534.15 | 4.44E4 | $9.59 	ext{E-11}$ | 0.8202 | 6.4E-12 | -2.89 | -5.621 |
| 531.15 | 4.67E4 | 9.00 ± 11 | 0.8236 | 6.4E-12 | -2.92 | -5.644 |
| 53U.15 520 15 | 4.72E4 | 8.95E-11 0.12E-11 | 0.8238 | 0.4E-12 6.4E-12 | -2.92 | -5.648 |
| 0⊿9.10 590 15 | 4.00E4 5.04E4 | 9.10E-11 039E 11 | 0.0224 0.8907 | 0.4E-12 6 4E 19 | -2.90 205 | -0.000 |
| 527.15 | 5.38E4 | 9.50 ± 11 | 0.8188 | $6.4E \cdot 12$ | -2.98 | -5.705 |
| 525.15 | $5.73 \mathrm{E4}$ | 9.24E-11 | 0.8198 | 6.4E-12 | -3.01 | -5.733 |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|
| 523.15 | $5.86\mathrm{E4}$ | 8.40 E - 11 | 0.8256 | 6.4E-12 | -3.02 | -5.742 |
| 522.15 | $5.90\mathrm{E4}$ | 8.58E-11 | 0.8242 | 6.4 E- 12 | -3.03 | -5.745 |
| 521.15 | $6.08 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.76E-11 | 0.8226 | $6.4 \text{E}{-}12$ | -3.04 | -5.758 |
| 520.15 | $6.35 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.79 E- 11 | 0.8219 | 6.4 E- 12 | -3.06 | -5.777 |
| 519.15 | $6.73 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.81E-11 | 0.8212 | 6.4E-12 | -3.09 | -5.802 |
| 517.15 | $7.21\mathrm{E4}$ | 9.25 E- 11 | 0.8174 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.12 | -5.832 |
| 515.15 | $7.41 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.05 E- 11 | 0.8260 | 6.4E-12 | -3.13 | -5.844 |
| 514.15 | $7.41 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.01E-11 | 0.8264 | 6.4E-12 | -3.13 | -5.844 |
| 513.15 | $7.67 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.27E-11 | 0.8239 | 6.4E-12 | -3.15 | -5.859 |
| 512.15 | $8.01 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.25E-11 | 0.8236 | 6.4E-12 | -3.17 | -5.878 |
| 511.15 | $8.47 \mathrm{E4}$ | 8.18E-11 | 0.8236 | 6.4E-12 | -3.19 | -5.902 |
| 509.15 | $8.97 \mathrm{E4}$ | 7.78E-11 | 0.8263 | 6.4E-12 | -3.22 | -5.927 |
| 507.15 | $9.44 \mathrm{E}4$ | 7.56E-11 | 0.8276 | 6.4E-12 | -3.24 | -5.949 |
| 506.15 | 9.63 E 4 | 7.56E-11 | 0.8274 | 6.4E-12 | -3.25 | -5.958 |
| 505.15 | 9.97E4 | 7.62E-11 | 0.8266 | 6.4E-12 | -3.27 | -5.973 |
| 504.15 | 1.05E5 | $7.60E_{-}11$ | 0.8263 | $6.4E_{-}12$ | -3.29 | -5 994 |
| 502.15 | 1.00 ± 0 1.11 ± 5 | $7.50E_{-11}$ | 0.8266 | $6.4E_{-12}$ | -3.32 | -6.020 |
| 500.15 | 1.19E5 | 7 77E-11 | 0.8236 | $6.5E_{-}12$ | -3.35 | -6.050 |
| 498 15 | 1.15 ± 5 1.25 ± 5 | 6 98E 11 | 0.8301 | 6.4 ± 12 | 3 37 | 6.071 |
| 498.15 | 1.20E5 1.26E5 | 6 89E 11 | 0.8316 | 6.4E - 12 | 3 3 8 | 6.074 |
| 497.15 | 1.2015 | 6 97E 11 | 0.8310 | 6 4E 12 | - 3, 3 0 | 6 079 |
| 490.15 | 1.27E5 | 6 09E 11 | 0.0311 | 6 4E-12 | - 3,30 | -0.078 |
| 490.15 | 1.30E3 | 0.92E-11 | 0.0304 | 0.4E - 12 | -3.39 | -0.088 |
| 495.15 | 1.3015 | 0.94E-11 | 0.8298 | 0.5E-12 | -3.41 | -0.105 |
| 494.15 | 1.42E5 | 6.85E-11 | 0.8302 | 6.5E-12 | -3.43 | -6.127 |
| 492.15 | 1.51E5 | 6.76E-11 | 0.8306 | 6.5E-12 | -3.46 | -6.152 |
| 490.15 | 1.61E5 | 6.61E-11 | 0.8313 | 6.5E-12 | -3.49 | -6.180 |
| 488.15 | 1.66E5 | 6.39E-11 | 0.8332 | 6.5E-12 | -3.51 | -6.195 |
| 487.15 | 1.72E5 | 6.35E-11 | 0.8334 | 6.5E-12 | -3.52 | -6.210 |
| 486.15 | 1.78 ± 5 | 6.34E-11 | 0.8332 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.54 | -6.225 |
| 485.15 | 1.87 E5 | $6.37 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8325 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.56 | -6.247 |
| 483.15 | $1.97 \mathrm{E5}$ | 6.04 E- 11 | 0.8356 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.58 | -6.269 |
| 482.15 | $2.08\mathrm{E5}$ | 6.06 E-11 | 0.8348 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.61 | -6.293 |
| 480.15 | $2.15 E_{2}$ | 5.98E-11 | 0.8354 | 6.5 E-12 | -3.63 | -6.307 |
| 479.15 | $2.21 E_{5}$ | 5.99E-11 | 0.8350 | 6.5 E-12 | -3.64 | -6.319 |
| 478.15 | $2.31 E_{2}$ | 5.95 E- 11 | 0.8351 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.66 | -6.337 |
| 477.15 | $2.43 E_{2}$ | 5.89E-11 | 0.8353 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.68 | -6.359 |
| 475.15 | 2.57 E5 | 5.83E-11 | 0.8355 | 6.5 E - 12 | -3.71 | -6.385 |
| 474.15 | $2.73 E_{2}$ | 5.72E-11 | 0.8363 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.74 | -6.411 |
| 472.15 | 2.82 E5 | 5.62E-11 | 0.8371 | 6.5 E- 12 | -3.75 | -6.424 |
| 471.15 | $2.89 \mathrm{E5}$ | $5.60 E_{-}11$ | 0.8371 | 6.6E-12 | -3.76 | -6.435 |
| 470.15 | $2.99 \mathrm{E5}$ | 5.58E-11 | 0.8371 | 6.6E-12 | -3.78 | -6.449 |
| 469.15 | $3.12 E_{5}$ | $5.55 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8370 | 6.6E-12 | -3.80 | -6.469 |
| 468.15 | $3.29 \mathrm{E5}$ | 5.51E-11 | 0.8371 | 6.6E-12 | -3.82 | -6.491 |
| 466.15 | $3.49 \mathrm{E5}$ | $5.45 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8373 | 6.6E-12 | -3.85 | -6.516 |
| 465.15 | 3.59 E5 | 5.37E-11 | 0.8380 | 6.6E-12 | -3.86 | -6.530 |
| 464.15 | 3.68 E 5 | 5.34E-11 | 0.8382 | 6.6E-12 | -3.87 | -6.540 |
| 463.15 | 3.79E5 | 5.33E-11 | 0.8381 | 6.6E-12 | -3.89 | -6.553 |
| 462.15 | $3.98 \overline{\text{E5}}$ | 5.29E-11 | 0.8383 | 6.6E-12 | -3.91 | -6.574 |
| 461 15 | 4.22E5 | 5 19E-11 | 0.8391 | 6.6E - 12 | -3.94 | -6 599 |
| 459 15 | 4.59E5 | 5 13E-11 | 0.8390 | $6.6E_{-12}$ | -397 | -6.636 |
| 457.15 | 4.79E5 | 5.09E-11 | 0.8392 | $6.6E_{-12}$ | -399 | -6 655 |
| 455 15 | 5.00E5 | 5.06E-11 | 0.8392 | 6.7E-12 | -4.02 | -6.673 |
| 454.15 | 5.22E5 | 5.00E-11 | 0.8396 | 6.7E-12 | -4.03 | -6.692 |
| 453 15 | 5.50E5 | 4.96E-11 | 0.8396 | 6.7E-12 | -4.06 | -6 715 |
| 451.15 | 5.82E5 | 4 88E-11 | 0.8403 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -4.09 | -6 739 |
| 450 15 | 6.12E5 | 4 83E-11 | 0.8405 | 6.7E-12 | -411 | -6 761 |
| 449 15 | 6.36E5 | 4.78E-11 | 0.8410 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -413 | -6 778 |
| 448 15 | 6.64E5 | 4.73E-11 | 0.8412 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -4 15 | -6 797 |
| 446 15 | 6.99E5 | $4.69E_{-11}$ | 0.8414 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -417 | -6.819 |
| 445 15 | 7.37E5 | 4.63E-11 | 0.8418 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -419 | -6.842 |
| 444 15 | 7.68E5 | $4.58E_{-11}$ | 0.8421 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -4.21 | -6.859 |
| 442.15 | 8.01E5 | 4.52E-11 | 0.8428 | $6.7E_{-}12$ | -423 | -6.878 |
| 440 15 | 8.64E5 | $2.82E_{-11}$ | 0.8779 | $6.4E_{-}12$ | -4.27 | -6 911 |
| 438 15 | 9.04E5 | 2.02111 $2.86E_{-11}$ | 0.8766 | $6.5E_{-}12$ | -4.29 | -6.930 |
| 437.15 | 9.51E5 | 2.001 11 $2.91E_{-11}$ | 0.8747 | $6.5E_{-12}$ | -4.31 | -6.952 |
| 436 15 | 9.84E5 | $2.91E_{11}$ 2.99E_11 | 0.8723 | $6.5E_{-12}$ | -4.33 | -6.967 |
| 435.15 435.15 | 1.03E6 | $3.23E_{-11}$ | 0.8660 | 6.5E-12 | -4.35 | -6.986 |
| 434 15 | 1.00 ± 0 1.07 ± 6 | 3.33 ± 11 | 0.8633 | 6.6E 12 | 437 | 7 003 |
| 433 15 | 1.07 ± 0 1.12 ± 6 | 3.50 ± 11 3.50 ± 11 | 0.8582 | 6.6E 12 | 430 | 7 025 |
| 432.15 | 1.1210 | 3.521-11 3.70F 11 | 0.8538 | 6.6F 12 | 4.41 | 7.020 |
| 431 15 | 1 9856 | 4 08E 11 | 0.00000 | 67E 19 | -4.41 _1 15 | -7.030 |
| 430 15 | 13756 | 4.001-11 4.04E 11 | 0.0401 | 6.7×12^{-12} | -4.40 _1 1 Q | -7.001 |
| 490-15 490-15 | 1 46 5 6 | 4 55E 11 | 0.0401 | 6.8E 19 | -4.40 | -1.109 |
| 440.10 497 15 | 151E6 | 4.00E-11 ///7E-11 | 0.0049 | 0.0E-12 6.8E 19 | -4.01 4 5 9 | -1.109 |
| 421.10 496 15 | 1 501£0 | 4.47E-11 467E-11 | 0.00000 | 0.0E-12 6 9E 10 | -4.0Z 4 5 4 | -1.104 |
| 420.10 495 15 | 1.0010 | 4.07E-11 5 10E 11 | 0.0011 | 0.0E-12 6.0E-10 | -4.04 | -1.114 |
| 420.10 494-15 | 1.00E0 1.79E6 | 0.19E-11 5 99E 11 | 0.0220 | 0.915-12 6 017 19 | -4.07 | -1.200 |
| 424.10 499.15 | 1.1010 | 5 6417 11 | 0.0190 | 0.915-12 7 017 19 | -4.00 | -1.220 |
| 422.10 491.15 | 1.90E0 9.05E6 | 0.04E-11 6 34E 11 | 0.010/ | 7.0E-12 7.1E-19 | -4.03 | -1.204 |
| 441.10 | 2.00E0 2.00E2 | 0.34E-11 2 20E 11 | 0.0001 | 67E 10 | -4.00 | -1.201 |
| 419.10 | 2.09E0 9.2256 | 3.09E-11 6.00E-11 | 0.0432 | 0.1E-12 7.1E-10 | -4.07 | -1.294 |
| 410.10 | 2,33E0 9 47E2 | 0.20E-11 6.67E-11 | 0.0020 | 7 1E 10 | -4.12 | -1.342 |
| 410.10 415.15 | 2.47E0 9.60E6 | 0.07E-11 6 87E 11 | 0.7909 | 79F 19 | -4.10 | -1.307 |
| 410.10 | 2.00E0 9.70E2 | 0.07E-11 7.60E-11 | 0.7920 | 1.2E-12 7.2E-10 | -4.((| -1.390 |
| 414.15 | 2.78Eb | 7 501E-11 | 0.7831 | (.3E-12 7 2E 10 | -4.80 | -(.418 |
| 413.15 | 2.95E6 2.14EC | (.38E-11 | 0.7823 | (.3E-12 | -4.83 | -(.444 |
| 411.15 | す.14世6 | (.31E-11 | 0.7822 | (3E-12 | -4.86 | -7.471 |

| 410.15 | 3.38E6 3.52E6 | 7.99E-11 5.94E-11 | $0.7756 \\ 0.8002$ | 7.4E-12 7.2E-12 | -4.89 | -7.503 |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 407.15 | 3.87 E 6 | 7.91E-11 | 0.3002 0.7742 | 7.5E-12 | -4.91 | -7.562 |
| $405.15 \\ 404.15$ | 4.10 ± 6 4.36 ± 6 | 7.89E-11 8.36E-11 | $0.7735 \\ 0.7674$ | 7.5E-12 7.6E-12 | -4.98 | -7.587 -7.614 |
| 403.15 | 4.61E6 | 8.19E-11 | 0.7682 | 7.6E-12 | -5.03 | -7.638 |
| $401.15 \\ 400.15$ | 4.90 ± 6 5.23 \pm 6 | 8.13E-11 8.19E-11 | $0.7677 \\ 0.7658$ | 7.6E-12 7.6E-12 | -5.06 -5.09 | -7.665 |
| 399.15 | 5.59 ± 6 | $8.24 \text{E}{-11}$ | 0.7640 | 7.7E-12 | -5.12 | -7.721 |
| 397.15 396.15 | 5.95 E 6 | 8.19E-11 8.25E-11 | 0.7633 0.7614 | 7.7E-12 7.7E-12 | -5.15 5.18 | -7.749 |
| 394.15 | 6.79 ± 6 | 8.40E-11 | 0.7584 | 7.8E-12 | -5.21 | -7.806 |
| $393.15 \\ 392.15$ | $7.23E6 \\ 7.78E6$ | 8.02E-11 8.19E-11 | $0.7613 \\ 0.7577$ | 7.7E-12 7.8E-12 | -5.24 | -7.834 |
| 390.15 | 8.43 E 6 | 7.91E-11 | 0.7591 | 7.8E-12 | -5.31 | -7.900 |
| $388.15 \\ 387.15$ | 9.19 ± 6 9.72 \pm 6 | 7.84E-11 7.48E-11 | $0.7580 \\ 0.7611$ | 7.8E-12 77E-12 | -5.35 -5.37 | -7.938 |
| 385.15 | 1.03E7 | 7.23E-11 | 0.7628 | 7.7E-12 | -5.40 | -7.987 |
| $384.15 \\ 384.15$ | 1.10E7 1.10E7 | 7.32E-11 7.32E-11 | $0.7600 \\ 0.7600$ | 7.7E-12 7.7E-12 | -5.43 -5.43 | -8.016 |
| 383.15 | 1.17E7 | 6.94E-11 | 0.7637 | 7.7E-12 | -5.46 | -8.041 |
| $382.15 \\ 380.15$ | $1.23E7 \\ 1.30E7$ | 6.67E-11 6.40E-11 | $0.7662 \\ 0.7687$ | 7.6E-12 7.6E-12 | $^{-5.48}_{-5.51}$ | -8.065 |
| 379.15 | 1.38E7 | 6.27E-11 | 0.7691 | 7.5E-12 | -5.54 | -8.114 |
| 378.15 | 1.47E7 1.55E7 | 6.32E-11 5.79E-11 | $0.7668 \\ 0.7741$ | 7.6E-12 7.5E-12 | -5.56 -5.59 | $-8.141 \\ -8.164$ |
| 376.15 | 1.65E7 | 6.10 ± 11 | 0.7678 | 7.6E-12 | -5.62 | -8.191 |
| 373.15 | 1.75E7 1.85E7 | 5.92 ± 11 5.67 ± 11 | $0.7692 \\ 0.7720$ | 7.5E-12 7.5E-12 | -5.64 -5.67 | -8.217 -8.241 |
| 372.15 | 1.98E7 | 5.64E-11 | 0.7706 | 7.4E-12 | -5.70 | -8.271 |
| 369.15 | 2.19E7 2.30E7 | 5.29 ± 11 5.13 ± 11 | $0.7755 \\ 0.7768$ | 7.3E-12 7.4E-12 | -5.75 -5.77 | -8.336 |
| 368.15 | 2.40E7 | 4.71E-11 5.11E-11 | 0.7848 0.7753 | 7.3E-12 7.5E 12 | -5.79 | -8.354 |
| 366.15 | 2.66E7 | 4.64E-11 | 0.7733 0.7842 | 7.3E-12 7.3E-12 | -5.84 | -8.399 |
| 365.15 364.15 | 2.79E7 | 4.40E-11 4.30E-11 | $0.7891 \\ 0.7905$ | 7.3E-12 7.3E-12 | -5.86 | -8.420 |
| 363.15 | 3.07E7 | 4.20 ± 11 4.20 ± 11 | 0.7919 | 7.3E-12 7.3E-12 | -5.90 | -8.461 |
| $362.15 \\ 361.15$ | $3.23\mathrm{E7}$ $3.37\mathrm{E7}$ | 4.13E-11 3.82E-11 | $0.7931 \\ 0.7995$ | 7.3E-12 7.2E-12 | -5.92 -5.94 | -8.483 -8.502 |
| 360.15 | 3.58E7 | 3.69 ± 11 | 0.8025 | 7.2E-12 | -5.97 | -8.528 |
| $359.15 \\ 358.15$ | $3.72E7 \\ 3.88E7$ | 3.65E-11 3.60E-11 | $0.8025 \\ 0.8042$ | 7.2E-12 7.3E-12 | -5.99 -6.01 | -8.545 -8.563 |
| 357.15 | 4.12E7 | 3.50E-11 | 0.8047 | 7.2E-12 | -6.04 | -8.589 |
| $356.15 \\ 355.15$ | $4.39E7 \\ 4.58E7$ | 3.52 ± 11 3.55 ± 11 | $0.8029 \\ 0.8025$ | 7.2E-12 7.3E-12 | -6.06 -6.08 | -8.615 |
| 355.15 | 4.80E7 | 3.46E-11 | 0.8041 | 7.3E-12 | -6.10 | -8.655 |
| $354.15 \\ 353.15$ | 4.98E7 5.14E7 | 3.00 E-11 | $0.8141 \\ 0.8184$ | 7.1E-12 7.1E-12 | -6.12 | -8.685 |
| 352.15 351.15 | 5.66E7 | 3.06 ± 11 3.18 \pm 11 | 0.8144 | 7.2E-12 7.3E-12 | -6.18 | -8.727 |
| 350.15 | 6.17E7 | 3.28 ± 11 | 0.8055 | 7.4E-12 | -6.22 | -8.765 |
| $349.15 \\ 348.15$ | $6.78{ m E7}$ $6.97{ m E7}$ | 2.87E-11 2.57E-11 | $0.8200 \\ 0.8296$ | 7.3E-12 7.0E-12 | -6.26 -6.28 | -8.806 |
| 347.15 | 7.26E7 | 2.77E-11 | 0.8210 | 7.2E-12 | -6.29 | -8.835 |
| $346.15 \\ 345.15$ | 7.61E7 7.99E7 | 2.79 ± 11 2.67 ± 11 | $0.8198 \\ 0.8259$ | 7.2E-12 7.3E-12 | -6.32 -6.34 | -8.856 -8.877 |
| 345.15 | 8.22E7 | 2.29 ± 11 | 0.8408 | 7.0E-12 | -6.35 | -8.889 |
| $344.15 \\ 343.15$ | 0.04E7 9.12E7 | 2.43 ± 11 2.29 ± 11 | 0.8303 0.8408 | 7.0E-12 7.1E-12 | -6.40 | -8.921 |
| 342.15 | 9.59E7 | 2.74E-11 2.11E-11 | 0.8212 | 7.5E-12 6.0F 12 | -6.42 | -8.956 |
| 340.15 | 1.01E0 1.08E8 | 2.43 ± 11 | 0.8298 | 7.2E-12 | -6.48 | -9.008 |
| $339.15 \\ 338.15$ | $1.16E8 \\ 1.23E8$ | 2.43E-11 2.19E-11 | $0.8294 \\ 0.8395$ | 7.3E-12 7.1E-12 | -6.51 | -9.040 |
| 337.15 | 1.26E8 | 2.07 ± 11 | 0.8453 | 7.0E-12 | -6.55 | -9.075 |
| $336.15 \\ 335.15$ | $1.37E8 \\ 1.45E8$ | 2.13E-11 1.71E-11 | $0.8447 \\ 0.8695$ | 7.3E-12 7.0E-12 | -6.58 -6.61 | -9.110 -9.136 |
| 334.15 | 1.56E8 | 2.09E-11 | 0.8459 | 7.4E-12 | -6.64 | -9.168 |
| $333.15 \\ 332.15$ | $1.64E8 \\ 1.72E8$ | 1.72 ± 11 1.86 ± 11 | $0.8698 \\ 0.8596$ | 7.2E-12 7.3E-12 | -6.67 -6.69 | -9.190 -9.210 |
| 331.15 | 1.86E8 | 1.91E-11 | 0.8534 | 7.2E-12 | -6.72 | -9.243 |
| 329.15 | 1.93 ± 8 2.14 ± 8 | 1.79 ± 11 | 0.8525 | 6.8E-12 | -6.79 | -9.205 |
| $328.15 \\ 327.15$ | 2.28 ± 8 2.35 ± 8 | 1.90E-11 1.81E-11 | $0.8454 \\ 0.8573$ | 7.0E-12 7.3E-12 | -6.82 -6.83 | -9.331 |
| 326.15 | 2.62 E 8 | 2.25E-11 | 0.8271 | 7.7 ± 12 | -6.88 | -9.392 |
| $325.15 \\ 324.15$ | 2.69 ± 8 2.87 ± 8 | $1.92 	ext{E-11}$ $1.91 	ext{E-11}$ | $0.8411 \\ 0.8415$ | 7.1E-12 7.2E-12 | -6.89 -6.92 | -9.404 -9.432 |
| 323.15 | 2.99E8 | 1.89E-11 | 0.8436 | 7.2E-12 | -6.94 | -9.450 |
| $322.15 \\ 321.15$ | $_{3.25 \pm 8}$ 3.40 ± 8 | 1.87Е-11 1.69Е-11 | $0.8534 \\ 0.8526$ | 7.8E-12 6.9E-12 | -6.98 -7.00 | -9.486 -9.506 |
| 320.15 | 3.45E8 | 1.71E-11 | 0.8614 | 7.5E-12 | -7.01 | -9.513 |
| $319.15 \\ 318.15$ | 3.00£8 4.46E8 | 1.04 E-11 1.90 E-11 | 0.8007 0.8309 | 7.2E-12 | -7.00 | -9.503 |
| $317.15 \\ 316.15$ | $4.62E8 \\ 5.00E8$ | 1.88 ± 11 1.90 ± 11 | $0.8388 \\ 0.8452$ | 7.6E-12 8.1E-12 | -7.14 -7.17 | -9.639 -9.673 |
| | | | | | • • • • • | 5.0.0 |

| 315.15 | $5.40 	ext{E8}$ | 1.94E-11 | 0.8283 | 7.5 E- 12 | -7.21 | -9.707 |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|
| 314.15 | $5.89 	ext{E8}$ | 1.61E-11 | 0.8467 | 6.9E-12 | -7.25 | -9.745 |
| 313.15 | 6.12 E 8 | 1.62E-11 | 0.8519 | 7.3E-12 | -7.27 | -9.761 |
| 312.15 | 6.48 ± 8 | $1.65 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.8530 | 7.6E-12 | -7.29 | -9.786 |
| 311.15 | 6.68 ± 8 | 1.29E-11 | 0.8869 | 7.0E-12 | -7.31 | -9.799 |
| 310.15 | 7.42 E8 | 1.57E-11 | 0.8504 | 7.2E-12 | -7.35 | -9.845 |
| 309.15 | $8.49 	ext{E8}$ | 1.66 E-11 | 0.8381 | 7.3E-12 | -7.41 | -9.903 |
| 308.15 | 9.65 E8 | 1.71E-11 | 0.8342 | 7.6E-12 | -7.47 | -9.959 |

Ionic conductivity data for PLESPB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.09 cm (2^{nd} cycle)

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Q}_1 \\ 3.03E-12 \\ 2.39E-12 \\ 2.16E-12 \\ 2.63E-12 \\ 4.75E-12 \\ 3.25E-12 \\ 5.25E-12 \\ 6.46E-12 \\ 5.70E-12 \end{array}$ **log** (σ) -11.540 -11.383 -11.301 -11.265 -11.321 -11.273 -11.268 11.022 **T**/**K** 372.15 376.15 379.15 381.15 ${f R}_1/\Omega$ 1.103E11 7.681E10 6.368E10 C_1 / F 3.0E-12 3.0E-12 2.4E-12 2.8E-12 4.2E-12 log (σ**T**) -8.97 -8.81 -8.72 $\frac{n_1}{1.0052}$ 1.05021.15401.0576 5.865 ± 10 1.0400 -8.68 6.668E10 5.974E10 5.903E10 4.3E-12 3.7E-12 4.6E-12 -8.74 -8.69 384.15385.15393.15 $\begin{array}{c} 0.9215 \\ 1.0891 \\ 0.7390 \end{array}$ -8.67 395.15395.15395.15395.15397.150.46E-12 5.79E-12 3.12E-12 4.57E-12 2.04E-11 3.433 ± 10 0.8716 4.6 E - 12-8.44 -11.0332.668E10 3.836E10 -8.33 -8.48 -8.73 -10.923 -11.081 -11.333 $1.2393 \\ 0.9042$ $\substack{5.0\mathrm{E}\text{-}12\\3.8\mathrm{E}\text{-}12}$ 6.857E10 0.57352.6E-11 399.15404.15404.152.727E10 2.009E10 2.292E10 8.54E-12 6.97E-12 1.07E-11 $0.7617 \\ 0.8118 \\ 0.7698$ -10.933 -10.800 -10.857 5.4 E - 12-8.33 ${\substack{4.4E-12\\7.1E-12}}$ $-8.19 \\ -8.25$ $\begin{array}{r} 404.15\\ 405.15\\ 405.15\\ 407.15\\ 409.15\end{array}$ 3.335 ± 10 1.57E-11 0.57219.7 E - 12-8.41 -11.020 6.28E-12 5.32E-12 1.749 ± 10 1.084 ± 10 $0.8452 \\ 0.9367$ ${\substack{4.2 \mathrm{E}{ ext{-}}12 \\ 4.4 \mathrm{E}{ ext{-}}12}}$ $-8.13 \\ -7.92$ -10.740-10.532-8.23 -7.93 -10.532-10.846-10.5512.232E10 2.48E-11 0.6269 1.7E-11 413.15 $1.132 {\rm E10}$ 1.12E-110.78756.4E-12 $\begin{array}{c} 0.7456 \\ 0.7441 \end{array}$ -10.665 -10.737 -10.584 1.472E10 1.738E10 1.17E-11 1.20E-11 6.5E-12 7.0E-12 -8.05-8.12411.15411.151.221E10 6.56E-12 0.8844 -7.97 413.154.7E-12

Ionic conductivity data for PLEStBB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.35 cm (2^{nd} cycle)

| m / t / | D /O | | | | 1(-m) | 1 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| T/K | \mathbf{R}_1/M | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{U}_1 / \mathbf{r}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log (\sigma)$ |
| 473.15 | 1.10 ± 9 | $5.60 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.8036 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -6.27 | -8.949 |
| 474.15 | $9.64 	ext{E8}$ | $1.66{ m E}{ m -}12$ | 0.9022 | 8.3 E - 13 | -6.22 | -8.892 |
| 473.15 | 1.16 E9 | $3.23 \mathrm{E}_{-}12$ | 0.8798 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.30 | -8.973 |
| 470.15 | 1.29 ± 9 | $4.57 E{-}12$ | 0.8257 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -6.35 | -9.018 |
| 468.15 | 1.40 ± 9 | $7.25 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.7563 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.38 | -9.052 |
| 466.15 | 1.40 ± 9 | $3.22 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.8256 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.39 | -9.054 |
| 465.15 | 1.54 E9 | 3.37 E - 12 | 0.8530 | 1.4 ± 12 | -6.43 | -9.093 |
| 461.15 | 1.89 E9 | $8.08 \mathrm{E}_{-}12$ | 0.7182 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.52 | -9.183 |
| 460.15 | 1.78 E9 | $2.71 \text{E}{-}12$ | 0.8769 | 1.3 ± 12 | -6.49 | -9.158 |
| 459.15 | $2.05 \mathrm{E9}$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.8580 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.56 | -9.219 |
| 456.15 | 2.31 E9 | 2.31E-12 | 1.0272 | $2.7 E{-}12$ | -6.61 | -9.270 |
| 454.15 | 2.52 E9 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}$ - 12 | 0.9515 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.65 | -9.309 |
| 453.15 | 2.62 E9 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.7768 | 1.2 E- 12 | -6.67 | -9.326 |
| 447.15 | $3.30 \mathrm{E9}$ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}_{-}12$ | 0.8444 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -6.78 | -9.426 |
| 434.15 | 6.15 E9 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 1.0364 | $2.2 	ext{E}{-}12$ | -7.06 | -9.697 |
| 430.15 | 9.48 E9 | $1.09\mathrm{E}{	ext{-}}11$ | 0.6923 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}} 12$ | -7.25 | -9.884 |
| 428.15 | 8.28 E9 | $2.53 	ext{E-} 12$ | 0.8082 | 1.0 ± 12 | -7.19 | -9.826 |
| 422.15 | $1.21 \mathrm{E10}$ | $2.58 	ext{E-} 12$ | 0.9835 | $2.4 \text{E}{-}12$ | -7.37 | -9.991 |
| 415.15 | $1.56\mathrm{E10}$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 1.0032 | $2.3 	ext{E}{-}12$ | -7.48 | -10.099 |

Ionic conductivity data for PLESnBB polymer

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.26 cm

 (2^{nd} cycle)

| T /K | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{C}_1 / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 456.15 | 8.71 E8 | $2.25 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7494 | 6.0 E-12 | -6.19 | -8.854 |
| 454.15 | $9.30 	ext{E8}$ | $2.00 \text{E}{-}11$ | 0.7629 | 5.8E-12 | -6.22 | -8.882 |
| 454.15 | 1.10 E9 | 2.33E-11 | 0.7118 | 5.3E-12 | -6.30 | -8.954 |
| 453.15 | 1.25 E9 | 1.59E-11 | 0.7994 | 5.9E-12 | -6.35 | -9.011 |
| 451.15 | 1.67 E9 | 2.23E-11 | 0.7144 | 6.0E-12 | -6.48 | -9.135 |
| 447.15 | 2.53 E9 | 1.77E-11 | 0.7078 | 4.9E-12 | -6.67 | -9.317 |
| 446.15 | 2.51 E9 | 1.28E-11 | 0.8172 | 6.0E-12 | -6.66 | -9.312 |
| 445.15 | 2.92E9 | $6.60 E_{-12}$ | 0.9431 | 5.2E-12 | -6.73 | -9.379 |
| 444.15 | 3.42 E9 | 1.31E-11 | 0.8063 | 6.2E-12 | -6.80 | -9.448 |
| 443.15 | 4.41 E9 | 1.33E-11 | 0.8196 | 7.1E-12 | -6.91 | -9.558 |
| 439.15 | 6.10 E9 | $6.77 E{-}12$ | 0.8794 | 4.4E-12 | -7.06 | -9.699 |
| 437.15 | 8.23 E9 | 9.58E-12 | 0.8436 | 6.0E-12 | -7.19 | -9.829 |
| 435.15 | 1.33 ± 10 | 1.01E-11 | 0.8428 | 7.0E-12 | -7.40 | -10.037 |
| 432.15 | 1.48 ± 10 | $7.88 \text{E}{-}12$ | 0.8756 | 5.8E-12 | -7.45 | -10.084 |
| 430.15 | 2.12 ± 10 | 8.36E-12 | 0.8847 | 6.7E-12 | -7.61 | -10.240 |
| 423.15 | 4.76 ± 10 | 8.14E-12 | 0.8412 | 6.8E-12 | -7.96 | -10.591 |
| 420.15 | 7.19 ± 10 | $6.52 \text{E}{-}12$ | 0.8839 | 5.9E-12 | -8.15 | -10.770 |
| 419.15 | 7.16 ± 10 | 6.74E-12 | 0.8691 | 6.0E-12 | -8.15 | -10.768 |
| 412.15 | 6.55 ± 10 | 3.17E-12 | 1.0322 | 3.3E-12 | -8.11 | -10.730 |

Ionic conductivity data for LiB_6O_9F

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.115 cm (2^{nd} cycle)

| Т /К | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{C}_1 \ / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | log (σ) |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 679.15 | $6.66 \mathrm{E7}$ | $5.10 E{-}11$ | 0.7337 | 6.5 E-12 | -5.38 | -8.214 |
| 678.15 | $5.10\mathrm{E7}$ | 5.44E-11 | 0.7443 | 7.2E-12 | -5.27 | -8.098 |
| 676.15 | $8.19 \mathrm{E7}$ | 3.12E-11 | 0.7845 | 6.0 E - 12 | -5.47 | -8.304 |
| 674.15 | 6.16 E7 | 3.49E-11 | 0.7912 | 6.9E-12 | -5.35 | -8.180 |
| 671.15 | 1.18 E8 | 5.12E-11 | 0.7165 | 6.8E-12 | -5.63 | -8.461 |
| 663.15 | $9.34\mathrm{E7}$ | $3.80 E{-}11$ | 0.7706 | 7.1E-12 | -5.54 | -8.361 |
| 665.15 | 1.41E8 | 3.89E-11 | 0.7526 | 7.0 E-12 | -5.72 | -8.540 |
| 656.15 | 1.53 E8 | 3.01E-11 | 0.7782 | 6.5E-12 | -5.76 | -8.575 |
| 656.15 | 2.05 E8 | $4.35 E{-}11$ | 0.7385 | 8.2E-12 | -5.89 | -8.703 |
| 649.15 | 3.05 E8 | $4.97 E{-}11$ | 0.6917 | 7.7E-12 | -6.06 | -8.875 |
| 647.15 | 2.32 E8 | 1.66 E-11 | 0.8506 | 6.3E-12 | -5.94 | -8.755 |
| 634.15 | 4.52 E8 | 3.72E-11 | 0.7456 | 9.2E-12 | -6.24 | -9.046 |
| 636.15 | $5.47 	ext{E8}$ | $2.57 E{-}11$ | 0.7679 | 7.1E-12 | -6.32 | -9.128 |
| 629.15 | $7.59 	ext{E8}$ | 3.03E-11 | 0.7308 | 7.6 E- 12 | -6.47 | -9.271 |
| 627.15 | 8.22 E8 | 5.00 E-11 | 0.6539 | 9.2E-12 | -6.51 | -9.306 |
| 625.15 | $1.26 \mathrm{E9}$ | $2.69 E{-}11$ | 0.7652 | 9.5 E- 12 | -6.69 | -9.491 |
| 616.15 | 1.19 E9 | 3.18E-11 | 0.6995 | 7.8E-12 | -6.68 | -9.468 |
| 618.15 | $1.47 \mathrm{E9}$ | 2.58E-11 | 0.7474 | 8.5 E-12 | -6.77 | -9.559 |
| 603.15 | 2.43 E9 | 2.73E-11 | 0.7054 | 8.8E-12 | -7.00 | -9.776 |
| 596.15 | $3.44\mathrm{E9}$ | $1.55 E{-}11$ | 0.8230 | 8.3E-12 | -7.15 | -9.928 |
| 594.15 | $5.27 \mathrm{E9}$ | 1.87E-11 | 0.7273 | 7.9E-12 | -7.34 | -10.112 |
| 586.15 | 5.95 E9 | 1.24E-11 | 0.8426 | 7.6 E- 12 | -7.40 | -10.165 |
| 582.15 | $6.54\mathrm{E9}$ | 1.93E-11 | 0.7076 | 8.2E-12 | -7.44 | -10.206 |
| 575.15 | $1.44 \mathrm{E10}$ | 1.44E-11 | 0.8140 | 1.0 E-11 | -7.79 | -10.548 |
| 568.15 | 1.08 ± 10 | 1.21E-11 | 0.8690 | 8.9E-12 | -7.67 | -10.425 |
| 570.15 | 1.65 ± 10 | 1.18E-11 | 0.8143 | 8.1E-12 | -7.85 | -10.609 |
| 555.15 | $2.33 \mathrm{E}10$ | 1.10 E-11 | 0.8737 | 9.1E-12 | -8.01 | -10.758 |
| 554.15 | 3.18 ± 10 | 1.26 E-11 | 0.8064 | 1.0 E-11 | -8.15 | -10.892 |
| 549.15 | 4.69 ± 10 | 1.31E-11 | 0.7939 | 1.2E-11 | -8.32 | -11.062 |
| 543.15 | 5.02 ± 10 | 1.32E-11 | 0.7321 | 1.1E-11 | -8.36 | -11.091 |

Ionic conductivity data for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ (with gold electrodes)

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.2 cm

 (2^{nd} cycle)

 $\frac{\mathbf{R}_1/\Omega}{1.66\mathrm{E2}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ $/\mathbf{K}$ $\frac{\mathbf{C}_1 \ / \mathbf{F}}{4.3 \text{E-13}}$ $\frac{\log (\sigma \mathbf{T})}{0.43}$ $\frac{\log (\sigma)}{-2.369}$ \mathbf{Q}_1 6.23 E-9 n_1 0.590624.15626.15 1.62 E26.23E-9 0.5901 4.3E-13 0.44 -2.360624.151.48 E26.23E-9 0.5901 4.0 E - 130.47-2.322 $\substack{625.15\\624.15}$ 1.62E26.23E-9 0.59014.3E-130.44-2.3594.5E-13 4.9E-13 6.23E-9 0.5901 -2.397 $\substack{1.77\mathrm{E2}\\2.00\mathrm{E2}}$ 0.40620.15 6.23E-9 0.5901 0.34-2.4516.23E-9 6.23E-9 $0.5901 \\ 0.5901$ 620.15 $1.96 \mathrm{E2}$ 4.9E-130.35-2.442620.15 $\begin{array}{c} 2.06\mathrm{E2}\\ 2.45\mathrm{E2} \end{array}$ 5.0E-13 5.7E-13 $\begin{array}{c} 0.33 \\ 0.25 \end{array}$ -2.463613.15 6.23E-9 0.5901 -2.539613.15 2.48 E26.23E-9 0.59015.7E-130.24-2.5462.77E2 2.87E2 6.23E-9 6.23E-9 $0.5901 \\ 0.5901$ 6.2E-13 6.3E-13 610.150.19-2.592-2.608607.150.18607.15 $\overline{2.94E2}$ 6.23E-9 0.5901 6.4E-13 0.16 -2.619603.15 $3.55 \mathrm{E2}$ 6.23E-9 0.59017.3E-13 0.08 -2.701-2.703-2.732 $\begin{array}{c} 602.15\\ 600.15\end{array}$ 3.57E2 3.81E2 6.23E-9 6.23E-9 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5901 \\ 0.5901 \end{array}$ 7.4E-13 7.7E-13 $\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.05\end{array}$ 597.15 4.34 E26.23E-9 0.5901 8.4E-13 -0.01 -2.787 4.28E2 4.77E2 5.04E2 6.23E-9 6.23E-9 6.23E-9 596.150.59018.4E-13-0.01-2.782 $595.15 \\ 590.15$ 9.0E-13 9.4E-13 0.5901-2.829-0.050.5901 -0.08 -2.853588.15 $5.93 \mathrm{E2}$ 6.23 E-90.5901 $1.0 \text{E}{-}12$ -0.15-2.923 $\begin{array}{c} 584.15\\ 584.15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 6.53\mathrm{E2} \\ 6.56\mathrm{E2} \end{array}$ 6.23 ± 9 6.23 ± 9 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5901 \\ 0.5901 \end{array}$ ${\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}12 \\ 1.1 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}12 \end{array}}$ -0.20 -0.20 -2.965-2.9671.2E-12 1.3E-12 582.157.21E26.23E-9 0.5901 -0.24 -3.008 -0.29 578.15 $8.04\mathrm{E2}$ 6.23E-9 0.5901-3.055 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5901 \\ 0.5901 \end{array}$ $1.3E-12 \\ 1.3E-12$ 578.157.89E26.23E-9 -0.29-3.0488.04E2 6.23E-9 -0.29 577.15 -3.055573.159.69 E26.23E-9 0.59011.5 E- 12-0.38 -3.1371.5E-12 1.6E-12 6.23E-9 6.23E-9 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5901 \\ 0.5901 \end{array}$ 571.15 $1.01\mathrm{E}3$ -0.40-3.153570.151.06E3-0.42-3.1776.23E-9 0.5901 1.7E-12 567.151.23E3-0.49-3.241566.151.21 E36.23E-9 0.59011.7E-12-0.48 -3.233 $565.15 \\ 562.15$ 1.29E36.23E-9 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5901 \\ 0.5901 \end{array}$ 1.8E-12 -0.51-3.2616.23E-9 2.0E-12 1.52E3-0.58-3.333 559.15 1.56 E31.41E-9 0.6736 2.6E-12-0.60 -3.343559.151.55 E31.30E-9 0.67452.3E-12-0.59-3.3402.6 E- 12-0.70 -0.71 556.151.99E35.30 E - 90.6012 -3.4492.00 E32.4E-12 2.7E-12 552.152.76 E - 90.6324-3.452550.15 2.27 E33.13E-9 0.6273 -0.77 -3.507 $0.6866 \\ 0.7180$ 547.15 2.40 ± 3 9.43E-10 2.5 E - 12-0.79-3.5302.41 E3546.155.64E-102.8E-12-0.79-3.532546.15 2.57E39.47E-10 0.6905 2.9E-12 -0.82 -3.560 3.21E-9 1.27E-9 543.153.28E3 0.6233 3.2E-12-0.93 -3.666 2.8E-12 3.0E-12 $-3.688 \\ -3.703$ 539.15 $3.45 \mathrm{E3}$ 0.6684-0.960.6966 7.82E-10 538.15-0.973.57E3536.15 9.10E-10 0.6877 3.1E-12 -1.04 -3.768 4.15 E3533.15 $4.66 \mathrm{E3}$ 5.95E-10 0.70833.1E-12-1.09-3.818 $531.15 \\ 529.15$ 4.81E3 5.97E3 4.55E-10 1.17E-9 3.1E-12 3.3E-12 $-1.11 \\ -1.20$ $-3.833 \\ -3.926$ 0.72300.6688 524.156.28E3 1.32E-10 0.7865 3.0E-12 -1.23 -3.948 524.15520.15516.156.68 E34.62E-100.71753.1E-12-1.26-3.9756.28E-10 1.01E-10 $\begin{array}{c} 0.6966 \\ 0.7957 \end{array}$ 3.2E-12 2.9E-12 -1.38 -1.43 8.81E3 9.81E3 -4.095-4.142514.15 $1.10 \mathrm{E4}$ 3.08E-10 0.7323 3.1E-12 -1.48 -4.190 511.15 $1.46E4 \\ 1.92E4$ 6.80E-10 1.78E-10 $0.6839 \\ 0.7543$ 3.3E-12 2.9E-12 -1.61-1.73-4.315504.15-4.4342.12E4 2.51E4 500.15 2.7E-12 6.44E-11 0.8102 -1.78 -4.478499.151.48 E-100.7608 2.9E-12-1.85-4.5512.7E-12 2.7E-12 2.8E-12 $\substack{495.15\\494.15}$ $\substack{2.84\mathrm{E}4\\2.94\mathrm{E}4}$ $5.75 ext{E-11}$ $7.13 ext{E-11}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.8128 \\ 0.7999 \\ 0.7700 \end{array}$ -1.91-4.604-1.92 -4.619493.15 3.30 ± 4 1.16E-10 -1.98 -4.669 $\substack{491.15\\489.15}$ 1.18E-10 6.17E-11 9.54E-11 $\begin{array}{c} 0.7670 \\ 0.8036 \end{array}$ 2.8E-12 2.7E-12 2.8E-12 $-2.04 \\ -2.08$ 3.80 ± 4 -4.7304.18 E4-4.772488.15 4.42 E40.7773 -2.11 -4.796 487.15 $5.19 \mathrm{E4}$ 1.43E-10 0.75152.9E-12 -2.18-4.866 $0.7464 \\ 0.7733 \\ 0.7412$ 6.28E4 7.21E4 2.9E-12 2.7E-12 -2.26-2.33484.151.48 E-10-4.9489.07E-11 1.50E-10 481.15 -5.008479.158.37 E 42.9E-12 -2.39 -5.073476.15 $1.04 \mathrm{E5}$ 1.56E-100.73512.9E-12-2.49-5.169 $0.7709 \\ 0.7577$ 2.7E-12 -2.53-2.57473.151.14E58.46E-11 -5.2071.03E-10 1.03E-10 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 1.25 E5471.15-5.246470.15 1.38 E 50.7558 -2.62 -5.291-2.69468.151.61 E 59.03E-110.76182.8E-12-5.3581.10E-10 1.10E-10 $0.7477 \\ 0.7455$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.82\mathrm{E5}\\ 2.11\mathrm{E5} \end{array}$ 2.9E-12 466.15-2.74-5.4112.9E-12 -2.81 463.15-5.474

| 461.15 | 2.30E5 | 7.06E-11 9.72E-11 | $0.7721 \\ 0.7504$ | 2.7E-12 2.8E 12 | -2.85 | -5.513 |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|
| 457.15 | 3.10E5 | 1.03 ± 10 | $0.7304 \\ 0.7434$ | 2.9E-12 2.9E-12 | -2.98 | -5.641 |
| $453.15 \\ 450.15$ | $3.65 E 5 \\ 4.30 E 5$ | 8.29 ± 11 7 14 \pm 11 | $0.7548 \\ 0.7622$ | 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 | -3.06 | -5.713 |
| 447.15 | 4.82E5 | 6.23 ± 11 | 0.7694 | 2.7E-12 | -3.18 | -5.834 |
| 445.15 | 5.30E5 | 6.71E-11 5.54E-11 | 0.7632 | 2.8E-12 2.7E-12 | -3.23 | -5.875 |
| 443.15 442.15 | 6.67E5 | 7.84E-11 | $0.7743 \\ 0.7492$ | 2.7E_{-12} 2.9E-12 | -3.27 | -5.920 -5.975 |
| 438.15 | 7.48E5 | 5.62 ± 11 | 0.7697 | 2.8E-12 | -3.38 | -6.024 |
| $437.15 \\ 435.15$ | $8.24E5 \\ 8.97E5$ | 5.42 ± 11 5.05 ± 11 | $0.7711 \\ 0.7748$ | 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 | $-3.43 \\ -3.46$ | -6.066 |
| 433.15 | 9.49E5 | 4.86 ± 11 | 0.7767 | 2.8E-12 | -3.49 | -6.128 |
| $432.15 \\ 429.15$ | 1.07E6 1.22E6 | 7.03E-11 5.24E-11 | $0.7495 \\ 0.7679$ | 2.9E-12 2.8E-12 | -3.55 -3.60 | -6.181 |
| 427.15 | 1.31E6 | 4.92 ± 11 | 0.7714 | 2.8E-12 | -3.64 | -6.267 |
| $425.15 \\ 423.15$ | 1.42 ± 6 1.61 ± 6 | 5.11E-11 5.76E-11 | $0.7675 \\ 0.7572$ | 2.8E-12 2.9E-12 | -3.67 -3.73 | -6.302 |
| 422.15 | 1.77E6 | 5.40 ± 11 | 0.7603 | 2.9E-12 | -3.77 | -6.398 |
| $419.15 \\ 416.15$ | 1.99 ± 6 2.23 \pm 6 | 5.40 ± 11 5.11 ± 11 | $0.7584 \\ 0.7604$ | 2.9E-12 2.9E-12 | -3.83 -3.88 | -6.449 |
| 414.15 | 2.46E6 | 4.38 ± 11 | 0.7701 | 2.9E-12 | -3.92 | -6.542 |
| 412.15 410.15 | 2.70 ± 6 3.18 \pm 6 | 5.13E-11 5.06E-11 | 0.7565 0.7544 | 2.9E-12 2.0E-12 | -3.97 | -6.582 |
| 407.15 | 3.63E6 | 4.02 ± 11 | 0.7694 | 2.3E-12 2.8E-12 | -4.10 | -6.710 |
| 405.15 | 3.89E6 | 4.02E-11 | 0.7679 | 2.8E-12 | -4.13 | -6.740 |
| 403.15 401.15 | 4.19 ± 0 5.00 ± 6 | 4.25 ± 11 | 0.7585 | 2.8E-12 2.9E-12 | -4.17 -4.25 | -6.850 |
| 398.15 | 5.84E6 | 4.02 ± 11 | 0.7595 | 2.9E-12 | -4.32 | -6.917 |
| $394.15 \\ 391.15$ | 0.80 ± 6 7.81 \exp{Figure}{7.81} | 3.75E-11 3.89E-11 | $0.7620 \\ 0.7562$ | 2.8E-12 2.9E-12 | -4.39 -4.45 | -0.983 |
| 389.15 | 8.69E6 | 3.67E-11 | 0.7590 | 2.8E-12 | -4.50 | -7.089 |
| $387.15 \\ 385.15$ | 9.77E6 1.15E7 | 3.40 E-11 3.96 E-11 | $0.7629 \\ 0.7473$ | 2.8E-12 2.9E-12 | -4.55 -4.63 | -7.140 -7.211 |
| 381.15 | 1.33E7 | 3.48 ± 11 | 0.7556 | 2.9E-12 | -4.69 | -7.276 |
| $379.15 \\ 377.15$ | $1.50{ m E7}$ $1.67{ m E7}$ | 3.05E-11 3.19E-11 | $0.7647 \\ 0.7588$ | 2.9E-12 2.9E-12 | -4.75 -4.80 | -7.326 |
| 375.15 | 1.89E7 | 3.17E-11 | 0.7571 | 2.9 E - 12 | -4.85 | -7.427 |
| $372.15 \\ 370.15$ | $2.06{ m E7}$ $2.51{ m E7}$ | 2.79E-11 2.88E-11 | $0.7671 \\ 0.7605$ | 2.9E-12 3.0E-12 | -4.89 -4.98 | -7.465 |
| 367.15 | 2.91E7 | 2.66 ± 11 2.66 ± 11 | 0.7640 | 2.9E-12 | -5.05 | -7.614 |
| 365.15 362.15 | 3.18E7 3.89E7 | 2.77E-11 2.61E-11 | $0.7604 \\ 0.7601$ | 3.0E-12 3.0E-12 | -5.09 | -7.652 |
| 359.15 | 4.65E7 | 2.71E-11 | 0.7512 | 3.0E-12 3.0E-12 | -5.26 | -7.818 |
| 357.15 355.15 | 5.16E7 5.67E7 | 2.71E-11 2.48E-11 | $0.7491 \\ 0.7535$ | 3.0E-12 | $^{-5.31}_{-5.35}$ | -7.863 |
| 354.15 | 6.66 E7 | 2.29 ± 11 2.29 \equiv 11 | 0.7533 | 2.9E-12 2.9E-12 | -5.42 | -7.974 |
| 351.15 | 7.53E7 | 2.26 ± 11 1.70 ± 11 | 0.7546 | 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 | -5.48 | -8.027 |
| $345.15 \\ 347.15$ | 9.10E7 | 1.91E-11 | 0.7691 | 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 | -5.52 | -8.109 |
| 346.15 | 1.02E8 | 2.22E-11 | 0.7536 | 3.0E-12 | -5.62 | -8.158 |
| 342.15 342.15 | 1.31E8 | $2.64 \text{E}{-11}$ | 0.7275 | 3.2E-12 | -5.73 | -8.210 |
| 341.15 | 1.52E8 | 2.23E-11 | 0.7439 | 3.1E-12 | -5.80 | -8.332 |
| 338.15 | 1.02E8 1.89E8 | 1.02 E - 11 2.58 E - 11 | $0.7137 \\ 0.7179$ | 2.9E-12 3.2E-12 | -5.85 | -8.426 |
| 336.15 | 2.01E8 | 2.78 ± 11 | 0.7050 | 3.2E-12 | -5.93 | -8.453 |
| 333.15 | $2.04E8 \\ 2.46E8$ | 1.18 ± 11 1.68 ± 11 | $0.8087 \\ 0.7550$ | 2.8E-12 2.8E-12 | -5.93 -6.02 | -8.460 |
| 331.15 | 3.04E8 | 2.64E-11 | 0.7095 | 3.7E-12 | -6.11 | -8.634 |
| 329.15 328.15 | 2.86E8 | 2.76 ± 11 6.05 ± 12 | $0.6976 \\ 0.8811$ | 3.5E-12 2.6E-12 | -0.13 -6.09 | -8.602 |
| 327.15 | 3.85E8 | 3.80E-11 | 0.6502 | 3.9E-12 | -6.22 | -8.735 |
| $326.15 \\ 325.15$ | $4.17E8 \\ 4.68E8$ | 1.88E-11 1.68E-11 | $0.7398 \\ 0.7541$ | 3.4E-12 3.5E-12 | -6.26 -6.31 | -8.770 |
| 323.15 | 5.00 E8 | 2.42 ± 11 | 0.6861 | 3.2E-12 | -6.34 | -8.849 |
| 322.15 321.15 | 5.57E8 6.00E8 | 2.16 ± 11 2.58 ± 11 | $0.7177 \\ 0.6593$ | 3.8E-12 3.0E-12 | -6.39 -6.42 | -8.897 -8.929 |
| 320.15 | 6.25 E8 | 1.28 ± 11 | 0.7514 | 2.6E-12 | -6.44 | -8.946 |
| $319.15 \\ 318.15$ | 7.00 ± 8 7 49 \pm 8 | 1.41E-11 1.46E-11 | $0.7853 \\ 0.7324$ | 4.0E-12 2.8E-12 | -6.49 -6.52 | -8.995 |
| 317.15 | 8.36E8 | 2.04 ± 11 | 0.7071 | 3.8E-12 | -6.57 | -9.073 |
| $316.15 \\ 315.15$ | 8.19 ± 8 8.95 \pm 8 | 4.91 ± 12 1.52 ± 11 | $0.8323 \\ 0.7569$ | 1.6E-12 3.8E-12 | -6.56 -6.60 | -9.064 |
| 315.15 | 9.88 E 8 | 2.15 ± 11 | 0.6798 | 3.5E-12 | -6.65 | -9.145 |
| $314.15 \\ 313.15$ | 1.03E9 1.14E9 | 2.11E-11 2.44E-11 | $0.6869 \\ 0.6464$ | 3.7E-12 3.4E-12 | -6.67 | -9.165 -9.208 |
| 312.15 | 1.17E9 | 2.45 ± 11 | 0.6430 | 3.4E-12 | -6.72 | -9.219 |
| $311.15 \\ 310.15$ | 1.32E9 1.38E9 | 2.60 ± 11 1.33 ± 11 | $0.6676 \\ 0.7984$ | 4.8E-12 4.9E-12 | -6.78 -6.80 | -9.270 |
| 309.15 | 1.53E9 | 2.25 ± 11 | 0.6590 | 3.9E-12 | -6.85 | -9.336 |
| $308.15 \\ 307.15$ | 1.58E9 1.85E9 | 1.23E-11 2.65E-11 | 0.7963 0.6218 | $4.5 	ext{E} - 12$ $4.2 	ext{E} = 1.2$ | -6.86 -6.93 | -9.350 -0.418 |
| 306.15 | 1.90E9 | 2.49 ± 11 | 0.6011 | 3.3E-12 | -6.94 | -9.429 |
| $305.15 \\ 305.15$ | 1.96E9 | 1.36 ± 11 2.74 \pm 11 | $0.7514 \\ 0.6114$ | 4.1E-12 4 7E 19 | -6.96 -7.02 | -9.442 |
| 305.15 305.15 | 2.13E9 | 1.86×11 | 0.6980 | 4.6E-12 | -6.99 | -9.479 |

Ionic conductivity data for $Na_3B_3O_3F_6$ (with sodium electrodes)

Diameter: 0.6 cm

Thickness: 0.2 cm

 (2^{nd} cycle)

| - | т /к | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{C}_1 / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|---|--------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | 351.15 | $4.53\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.80 E- 11 | 0.7852 | $2.6 E{-}12$ | -5.26 | -7.806 |
| | 351.15 | $4.64 \mathrm{E7}$ | 1.99 E- 11 | 0.7752 | 2.6 E - 12 | -5.27 | -7.816 |
| | 351.15 | $4.78\mathrm{E7}$ | 2.12E-11 | 0.7691 | $2.7 \text{E}{-} 12$ | -5.28 | -7.830 |
| | 350.15 | $5.02 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.11E-11 | 0.7689 | $2.7 \text{E}{-} 12$ | -5.31 | -7.851 |
| | 350.15 | $5.26\mathrm{E7}$ | 2.24E-11 | 0.7623 | $2.7 \text{E}{-} 12$ | -5.33 | -7.871 |
| | 349.15 | $5.52 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.28E-11 | 0.7579 | $2.7 \text{E}{-} 12$ | -5.35 | -7.892 |
| | 348.15 | $5.82 \mathrm{E7}$ | 1.93E-11 | 0.7735 | 2.6E-12 | -5.37 | -7.916 |
| | 347.15 | $6.20 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.00 E-11 | 0.7676 | 2.6E-12 | -5.40 | -7.943 |
| | 346.15 | $6.55 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.46 E- 11 | 0.7461 | 2.8E-12 | -5.43 | -7.966 |
| | 345.15 | $6.86 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.16 E - 11 | 0.7567 | 2.7 E- 12 | -5.45 | -7.987 |
| | 344.15 | $7.24\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.88E-11 | 0.7688 | 2.6E-12 | -5.47 | -8.010 |
| | 343.15 | $7.68 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.39E-11 | 0.7443 | 2.8E-12 | -5.50 | -8.036 |
| | 342.15 | $8.20\mathrm{E7}$ | 2.54E-11 | 0.7386 | 2.9E-12 | -5.53 | -8.064 |
| | 341.15 | $8.55 \mathrm{E7}$ | 1.74E-11 | 0.7727 | 2.6E-12 | -5.55 | -8.082 |
| | 341.15 | $9.04\mathrm{E7}$ | 2.55 E- 11 | 0.7336 | 2.8E-12 | -5.57 | -8.106 |
| | 340.15 | $9.72 \mathrm{E7}$ | 2.24E-11 | 0.7476 | 2.8E-12 | -5.61 | -8.138 |
| | 339.15 | 1.01 E 8 | 2.04E-11 | 0.7551 | $2.7 E{-} 12$ | -5.62 | -8.155 |
| | 338.15 | $1.05 \mathrm{E8}$ | 1.62E-11 | 0.7749 | 2.5E-12 | -5.64 | -8.173 |
| | 337.15 | 1.12 E 8 | 2.26 E - 11 | 0.7387 | $2.7 E{-} 12$ | -5.67 | -8.200 |
| | 336.15 | 1.17 E 8 | 1.56 E-11 | 0.7771 | $2.5 \text{E}{-}12$ | -5.69 | -8.220 |
| | 336.15 | 1.25 E8 | 1.79 E- 11 | 0.7662 | 2.8E-12 | -5.72 | -8.247 |
| | 335.15 | 1.31 E 8 | 1.62E-11 | 0.7717 | 2.6E-12 | -5.74 | -8.266 |
| | 334.15 | $1.36\mathrm{E8}$ | 2.02E-11 | 0.7493 | 2.8E-12 | -5.76 | -8.285 |
| | 333.15 | 1.43 E 8 | 1.72E-11 | 0.7596 | 2.6 E - 12 | -5.78 | -8.305 |
| | 332.15 | 1.57 E 8 | 1.84E-11 | 0.7557 | 2.8E-12 | -5.83 | -8.347 |
| | 331.15 | 1.67 E8 | 2.11E-11 | 0.7386 | 2.9E-12 | -5.85 | -8.372 |
| | 330.15 | 1.77 E 8 | 1.77E-11 | 0.7605 | 2.9E-12 | -5.88 | -8.398 |
| | 330.15 | 1.83 E8 | 3.11E-11 | 0.6978 | 3.3E-12 | -5.89 | -8.412 |
| | 329.15 | 1.86 E8 | 1.99 E- 11 | 0.7359 | 2.7E-12 | -5.90 | -8.420 |
| | 328.15 | 2.07 E 8 | 2.38E-11 | 0.7145 | 2.8E-12 | -5.95 | -8.465 |
| | 327.15 | 2.25 E8 | 1.57E-11 | 0.7637 | 2.7E-12 | -5.99 | -8.502 |
| | 326.15 | $2.30 	ext{E8}$ | 2.64E-11 | 0.6977 | 2.9E-12 | -6.00 | -8.513 |
| | 325.15 | 2.48 E8 | 1.93E-11 | 0.7339 | 2.8E-12 | -6.03 | -8.545 |
| | 324.15 | $2.65 \mathrm{E8}$ | 2.24E-11 | 0.7074 | $2.7 E{-} 12$ | -6.06 | -8.574 |
| | 323.15 | 2.84 E8 | 1.94E-11 | 0.7252 | 2.7E-12 | -6.09 | -8.603 |
| | 322.15 | 3.07 E 8 | 2.58 E- 11 | 0.6978 | 3.2E-12 | -6.13 | -8.637 |
| | 321.15 | 3.27 E 8 | 2.20 E- 11 | 0.7192 | 3.2E-12 | -6.16 | -8.665 |
| | 320.15 | 3.47 E 8 | 2.79 E- 11 | 0.6893 | 3.5E-12 | -6.19 | -8.691 |
| | 319.15 | 3.72 E 8 | 2.66 E- 11 | 0.6948 | 3.5E-12 | -6.22 | -8.721 |
| | 318.15 | 4.13 E 8 | 2.58 E- 11 | 0.7051 | 3.9E-12 | -6.26 | -8.766 |
| | 317.15 | $4.40 	ext{E8}$ | 6.82E-12 | 0.8700 | 2.9E-12 | -6.29 | -8.794 |
| | 316.15 | 4.48 E8 | 2.62E-11 | 0.6802 | 3.2E-12 | -6.30 | -8.801 |
| | 315.15 | 4.81 E8 | 2.00 E-11 | 0.7214 | 3.3E-12 | -6.33 | -8.833 |
| | 314.15 | 5.14 E8 | 1.84E-11 | 0.7270 | 3.2E-12 | -6.36 | -8.861 |
| | 313.15 | $5.66 	ext{E8}$ | 1.85 E- 11 | 0.7262 | 3.3E-12 | -6.41 | -8.903 |
| | 312.15 | $6.05 \mathrm{E8}$ | 1.65 E- 11 | 0.7416 | 3.3E-12 | -6.44 | -8.932 |
| | 311.15 | 6.51 E8 | 2.09 E- 11 | 0.7125 | 3.7E-12 | -6.47 | -8.964 |
| | 310.15 | 6.98 E8 | 2.09 E- 11 | 0.7025 | 3.5E-12 | -6.50 | -8.994 |
| | 309.15 | 7.44 E8 | 2.10 E - 11 | 0.6809 | 3.0E-12 | -6.53 | -9.022 |
| | 309.15 | 7.61 E 8 | 2.39E-11 | 0.6672 | 3.2 E- 12 | -6.54 | -9.032 |
| | 308.15 | 8.31 E 8 | 2.05 E- 11 | 0.6930 | 3.4E-12 | -6.58 | -9.070 |
| | 307.15 | 9.02 E 8 | 1.01E-11 | 0.8102 | 3.4E-12 | -6.62 | -9.106 |
| | 306.15 | 9.91 E 8 | 1.59 E- 11 | 0.7391 | 3.7E-12 | -6.66 | -9.147 |
| | 305.15 | $1.03 \mathrm{E9}$ | 2.75 E- 11 | 0.6482 | 4.0 E - 12 | -6.68 | -9.164 |
| | 305.15 | 1.08 E9 | 2.23E-11 | 0.6823 | 3.9E-12 | -6.70 | -9.183 |
| _ | 304.15 | $1.11\mathrm{E9}$ | 1.38E-11 | 0.7714 | 4.0 E - 12 | -6.71 | -9.195 |

Ionic conductivity data for $K_3B_3O_3F_6$

Diameter: 0.6 cm Thickness: 0.09 cm

 (2^{nd} cycle)

| T /K | \mathbf{R}_1/Ω | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \mathbf{n}_1 | $\mathbf{C}_1 / \mathbf{F}$ | $\log (\sigma T)$ | $\log(\sigma)$ |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 632.15 | $2.51\mathrm{E}3$ | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.7E-12 | -1.10 | -3.897 |
| 631.15 | 2.61E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.11 | -3.914 |
| 628.10 624.15 | 2.80E3 2.83E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 4.8E-12 | -1.10 1.15 | -3.903 3.970 |
| 624.10 628.15 | 2.61E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.12 | -3.913 |
| 631.15 | $2.66 \mathrm{E3}$ | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8 E - 12 | -1.12 | -3.922 |
| 628.15 | 2.86 E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.16 | -3.953 |
| 625.15 620.15 | 2.81E3 2.71E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.0437 | 4.8E-12 4.8E-12 | -1.15 1.13 | -3.946 |
| 626.15 | 2.94E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.17 | -3.965 |
| 622.15 | 3.10E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.20 | -3.989 |
| 618.15 | 3.12E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.20 | -3.992 |
| $619.15 \\ 620.15$ | 2.97 ± 3 3 11 \pm 3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 4.8E-12 | -1.18 1.20 | -3.971 |
| 617 15 | 3.26E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.20 | -3.989 |
| 613.15 | 3.47E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.25 | -4.037 |
| 609.15 | 3.63E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.27 | -4.057 |
| 608.15 | 3.49E3 | 1.32E-11 1.20E 11 | 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.26 | -4.039 |
| 610.15 | э.ээдэ 3.61ЕЗ | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.8E-12 | -1.24 -1.27 | -4.022 -4.055 |
| 606.15 | 3.84E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 | -1.30 | -4.081 |
| 601.15 | $4.11\mathrm{E3}$ | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 | -1.33 | -4.111 |
| 596.15 | 4.17E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 | -1.34 | -4.117 |
| 598.15 599 15 | 3.93E3 3.97E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 4.9E-12 | -1.31 -1.32 | -4.092 -4.095 |
| 598.15 | 4.11E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 | -1.33 | -4.111 |
| 595.15 | $4.37\mathrm{E}3$ | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9 E - 12 | -1.36 | -4.137 |
| 590.15 | 4.73E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 | -1.40 | -4.172 |
| 580.15 588 15 | 4.60E3 4.62E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 4.9E-12 | -1.39 | -4.160 -4.162 |
| 588.15 | 4.73E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 4.9E-12 4.9E-12 | -1.40 | -4.172 |
| 586.15 | 4.93 E3 | 1.32 E-11 | 0.9437 | $4.9 \text{E}{-}12$ | -1.42 | -4.190 |
| 583.15 | 5.23 E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 | -1.45 | -4.215 |
| 579.15 575.15 | 5.00E3 5.93E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 5.0E-12 | -1.49 -1.51 | -4.250 -4.270 |
| 575.15 574.15 | 5.73E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 5.0E-12 | -1.51 | -4.255 |
| 576.15 | 5.49 E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | $5.0 	ext{E-12}$ | -1.48 | -4.237 |
| 577.15 | 5.51E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 | -1.48 | -4.238 |
| 570.15 574.15 | 5.67E3 5.97E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 5.0E-12 | -1.49 -1.51 | -4.251 -4.273 |
| 571.15 | 6.25 E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | $5.0E \cdot 12$ 5.0E - 12 | -1.54 | -4.293 |
| 568.15 | $6.66 \mathrm{E3}$ | 1.32 E-11 | 0.9437 | $5.0 	ext{E-} 12$ | -1.57 | -4.321 |
| 564.15 | 7.16E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 5.0E-12 | -1.60 | -4.352 |
| 561.15 | 7.37E3 7.03E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 0.1E-12 5.0E 12 | -1.62 | -4.300 |
| 563.15 | 6.91 E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | $5.0E \cdot 12$ | -1.59 | -4.337 |
| 562.15 | $7.04\mathrm{E3}$ | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | $5.0 	ext{E-} 12$ | -1.59 | -4.344 |
| 561.15 | 7.34E3 | 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 | 5.1E-12 | -1.61 | -4.363 |
| 555.15 | 7.70E3 8.30E3 | 1.32E-11 1.32E-11 | 0.9437 0.9437 | 5.1E-12 5.1E-12 | -1.04 -1.67 | -4.387 |
| 551.15 | 7.44E3 | 1.20E-12 | 1.0807 | 4.8E-12 | -1.63 | -4.369 |
| 547.15 | 8.97 E3 | 1.64E-12 | 1.0569 | 4.3E-12 | -1.71 | -4.450 |
| 549.15 | 7.71E3 | 1.32 ± 12 | 1.0720 | 4.6E-12 | -1.64 | -4.384 |
| 552.15 550.15 | 7.36E3 | 9.56E-13 | 1.0961 | 4.0E-12 5.0E-12 | -1.62 | -4.364 |
| 548.15 | 7.40E3 | 7.35 ± 13 | 1.1148 | 5.2E-12 | -1.63 | -4.366 |
| 545.15 | 1.12E4 | 2.33E-12 | 1.0390 | 4.5E-12 | -1.81 | -4.545 |
| 536.15 | 1.98E4 | 4.67 ± 12 0.72 \pm 12 | 0.9995 | 4.6E-12 | -2.07 | -4.795 |
| 529.15 532.15 | 4.37E4 4.25E4 | 9.73E-12 8.74E-12 | 0.9474 0.9541 | 4.3E-12 4.3E-12 | -2.41 -2.40 | -5.126 |
| 533.15 | 4.53 E4 | 8.19 ± 12 | 0.9592 | 4.4E-12 | -2.43 | -5.153 |
| 532.15 | 5.08E4 | 6.95 ± 12 | 0.9719 | 4.5E-12 | -2.48 | -5.203 |
| 530.15 | 6.11E4 9.99E4 | 7.03E-12 7.19E-19 | 0.9729 | 4.7E-12 | -2.56 | -5.283 |
| 527.15 524.15 | 1.27E5 | 1.03E-12 | 0.9703 0.9437 | 4.6E-12 | -2.88 | -5.603 |
| 520.15 | 1.83E5 | 1.07E-11 | 0.9341 | 4.2E-12 | -3.04 | -5.760 |
| 516.15 | 1.98E5 | 5.30E-12 | 0.9904 | 4.6E-12 | -3.08 | -5.795 |
| 513.15 511.15 | 2.16E5 3.47F5 | 3.92E-12 9.29F 12 | 1.0184 | 5.1E-12 4.2F 12 | -3.12 | -5.831 |
| 511.15 512.15 | 2.92E5 | 5.70E-12 | 0.9410 0.9822 | 4.5E-12 | -3.25 | -5.962 |
| 510.15 | 3.63E5 | 4.13E-12 | 1.0160 | 5.1E-12 | -3.35 | -6.057 |
| 508.15 | 4.88E5 | 4.07E-12 | 1.0128 | 4.8E-12 | -3.48 | -6.185 |
| 500.15 503.15 | 0.01E0 6.99E5 | 2.04E-12 3.01E-12 | 1.0530 | ə.⊿⊡-12 4.6E-19 | -3.49 -3.64 | -0.197 -6.349 |
| 501.15 | 7.61E5 | 2.04E-12 | 1.0663 | 4.7E-12 | -3.68 | -6.379 |

| 499.15 | $9.75 \mathrm{E5}$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 1.0350 | 4.8 ± 12 | -3.79 | -6.486 |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|
| 494.15 | 1.82 E 6 | $6.33 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 0.9610 | 4.0 E- 12 | -4.06 | -6.757 |
| 495.15 | 1.67 E 6 | $6.20 \mathrm{E}{-} 12$ | 0.9767 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -4.03 | -6.721 |
| 494.15 | 1.93 ± 6 | $5.13 \text{E}{-}12$ | 0.9933 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -4.09 | -6.783 |
| 493.15 | 2.11 E 6 | $5.78 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.9838 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -4.13 | -6.821 |
| 490.15 | $2.45 \mathrm{E6}$ | $3.65 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | 1.0246 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}{\text{-}}12$ | -4.20 | -6.886 |
| 488.15 | $3.05 \mathrm{E6}$ | $5.77 	ext{E-} 12$ | 0.9793 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -4.29 | -6.982 |
| 486.15 | 2.89 ± 6 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 1.0344 | $5.1 \text{E}{-}12$ | -4.27 | -6.957 |
| 483.15 | $4.64 \mathrm{E6}$ | $5.89 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.9773 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}{-}12$ | -4.48 | -7.164 |
| 481.15 | $6.42 	ext{E}6$ | $7.55{ m E}{ m -}12$ | 0.9496 | 4.5 E- 12 | -4.62 | -7.305 |
| 478.15 | 8.19 ± 6 | $6.69 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | 0.9695 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}{	ext{-}} 12$ | -4.73 | -7.410 |
| 476.15 | 8.60 ± 6 | $6.69\mathrm{E}	ext{-}12$ | 0.9695 | 4.9 ± 12 | -4.75 | -7.432 |
| 474.15 | $1.62 \mathrm{E7}$ | 3.11E-11 | 0.8087 | 5.2 ± 12 | -5.03 | -7.707 |
| 471.15 | $1.64 \mathrm{E7}$ | 9.90 ± 12 | 0.9123 | 4.3 ± 12 | -5.04 | -7.711 |
| 469.15 | 2.28 ± 7 | 8.17 ± 12 | 0.9534 | 5.4 ± 12 | -5.18 | -7.856 |
| 466.15 | $1.90\mathrm{E7}$ | 1.16 ± 11 | 0.9025 | 4.7 ± 12 | -5.11 | -7.777 |
| 464.15 | $2.10 \mathrm{E7}$ | 1.16 ± 11 | 0.9025 | 4.7 ± 12 | -5.15 | -7.818 |
| 462.15 | 3.93 ± 7 | 5.21 ± 12 | 0.9573 | 3.6 ± 12 | -5.43 | -8.092 |
| 457.15 | 4.51E7 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.5 ± 12 | -5.49 | -8.151 |
| 453.15 | 5.82 ± 7 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.4 ± 12 | -5.61 | -8.262 |
| 451.15 | 5.33E7 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.4 ± 12 | -5.57 | -8.224 |
| 449.15 | 6.79 ± 7 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.4 ± 12 | -5.68 | -8.329 |
| 447.15 | 9.31E7 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.3 ± 12 | -5.82 | -8.466 |
| 445.15 | 1.49E8 | 4.16 ± 12 | 1.0330 | 5.3 ± 12 | -6.02 | -8.671 |
| 440.15 | 1.33E8 | 4.16E-12 | 1.0330 | 5.3E-12 | -5.98 | -8.620 |
| 437.15 | 2.11E8 | 2.90E-12 | 1.0162 | 3.3E-12 | -0.18 | -8.822 |
| 430.15 | 1.49E8 | 4.1(E-12) | 1.0324 | 5.3E-12 | -6.03 | -8.0/1 |
| 442.10 | 1.75E8 | 1.88E-11 | 0.7993 | 4.3E-12 | -0.09 | -8.740 |
| 440.10 | 2.94E8 | 1.88E-11 9.11E-11 | 0.7993 | 0.1E-12 | -0.32 | -8,900 |
| 438.10 | 3.80E8 | 2.11E-11 | 0.8595 | 9.0E-12 | -0.44 | -9.084 |
| 431.13 | 4.10E8 | 1.01E-11 9.11E-11 | 0.8601 | 4.1E-12 1.0E-11 | -0.48 | -9.110 |
| 429.10 | 0.02E0 7.02E0 | 2.11E-11 2.76E-10 | 1 2600 | | -0.07 | -9.190 |
| 427.10 | 1.23E0 9.74E9 | 3.70E-12 7.70E-19 | 1.3090 | 1.0E-11 7.7E-10 | -0.75 | -9.500 |
| 420.10 | 0./4E0 7 11E9 | 1.79E-12 1.02E 19 | 1.9907 | 7.1E-12 | -0.01 | -9.439 |
| 423.13 | 0.11E0 0.0E0 | 1.93E-12 5.44E 19 | 1.2400 1.1110 | 1.1E-12 0.2E 12 | -0.72 | -9.349 |
| 421.10 | 0.2900 | 0.44E-12 1.95E 11 | 1.1110 | 9.3E-12 9.4E-19 | -0.79 | -9.410 |
| 419.10 | 1.1019 | 1.00E-11 4.13E-11 | 0.6484 | 7.8E 12 | -0.95 | -9.570 |
| 307 15 | 354F10 | 154E 11 | 0.0434 | 1.0E-12 1.9E-11 | -0.55 | -3.540 11.047 |
| 304 15 | 5.54110 5.71110 | 1.54D-11 1.61F 11 | 0.7120 0.7160 | 1.215-11 1.6F 11 | -0.45 | -11.047 |
| 202 15 | 4.65E10 | 1.01D-11 1.26F 11 | 0.7103 0.7036 | 1.0E-11 1.1E-11 | -8.00 | -11.204 |
| 301.15 | 3.02 E10 | 1.30 ± 11 1.99 ± 11 | 0.7030 | 8.1E.19 | 8 30 | 10 977 |
| 385.15 | 6.10 ± 10 | 1.22 ± -11 0.25 \mathbf{E} 12 | 0.7103 0.7303 | 7.6E 12 | -0.55 | -10.377 |
| 385.15 | 1.21 ± 10 | 1.26 ± 11 | 0.7335 | $1.6E \cdot 11$ | 8 99 | 11 579 |
| 384 15 | 5.22 E10 | 8.66E-12 | 0.0510 0.7858 | 7.0E-12 | -8.63 | -11.075 |
| 382 15 | 3.72 E10 | $3.48E_{-12}$ | 1 1078 | $4.3E_{-12}$ | -8.49 | _11.067 |
| 380 15 | 3.26E11 | 7 87E-12 | 0 7698 | $1.0E_{-12}$ | -9.43 | -12.007 |
| 376 15 | 8.14 ± 10 | $6.82E_{-12}$ | 0.8265 | $6.0E_{-12}$ | -8.83 | -11 408 |
| 375.15 | 6.78 ± 10 | 5.97E-12 | 0.8907 | 5.3E-12 | -8.75 | -11.328 |
| 310110 | 0110110 | 5101 1 14 | 310001 | | 0110 | T T 10 40 |

8 List of Abbreviation

| ac: | Alternating | Current |
|-----|-------------|---------|
| | 0 | |

- C: Capacitance
- **CPE:** Constant Phase Element
- **DSC:** Differential Scanning Calorimetry

DTA: Differential Thermal Calorimetry

- dc: Direct Current
- E: Potential
- \mathbf{E}_a : Activation Energy
- ${\bf IR}{\bf :}$ Infrared Spectroscopy
- I: current
- $\mathbf{MS:}\ \mathrm{Mass}\ \mathrm{Sspectroscopy}$
- **NMR:** Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{PSD}}$: Position Sensitive Detector

 \mathbf{R} : Resistance

- ${\bf RF}{\bf :}$ Radio Frequency
- **RT:** Room Temperature
- t: Time
- $\mathbf{t}_{el}{:}$ Electonic transport number
- $\mathbf{t}_+ {:}\ \mathrm{Cationic}\ \mathrm{transport}\ \mathrm{number}$
- \mathbf{T} : Temperature
- **THF**: Tetrahydrofuran

- **TG:** Thermal Gravimetry
- **Q:** Constant phase element
- **Z**: Impedance
- **|Z|:** Impedance modulus
- \mathbf{Z}_{im} and Z ": Imaginary impedance
- \mathbf{Z}_{re} and Z': Real impedance
- $\Omega :$ Ohm
- S: Siemens
- σ : Conductivity
- ω : Radial frequency
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$: Phase shift
- **Å**: Angstrom
- \mathbf{K} : Kelvin
- ν : stretching vibration
- δ : deformation vibration
- ρ : rocking vibration
- γ : wagging vibration
- \mathbf{w} : weak
- \mathbf{m} : medium
- s: strong
- vs: very strong
- **PLEB**: poly[lithium tetrakis(ethyleneboryl)borate]
- **PLEPB**: poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenephenylborylborate)]
- **PLEMB**: poly[lithium tetrakis(triethylenemethylborylborate)]

PESB: poly[tris(tetraethylenesilyl)borane]

PLESPB: poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)phenylborate]

PLESnBB: poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)n-butylborate]

PLEStBB: poly[lithium tris(tetraethylenesilyl)t-butylborate]

9 Curriculum Vitae

Personal

| Name | Güliz Cakmak |
|----------------------|---|
| Maiden Name | Otabatmaz |
| Date of Birth | 16.10.1978 |
| Place of Birth | Ankara/Türkiye |
| Marital Status | Married |
| Education | |
| 09/1995 — 06/1999 | Bachelor Degrees in Chemistry, Department of Chem- istry, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Türkiye. |
| 09/1999 — 07/2002 | Master of Science in Chemistry, Department of Chem- istry, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Türkiye |
| Title of the Thesis: | Synthesis and Characterization of Polyani- line/Polypyrrole Conductive Films |
| 08/2004 — 07/2009 | Ph.D. research in the group of Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Martin Jansen, Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, Stuttgart, Germany, and Ph.D. Degree from the Depart- ment of Chemistry, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany. |
| Title of the Thesis: | Synthesis and Characterization of Ion Conducting Solid Polyelectrolytes and Alkali Fluorooxoborates |

List of Publications

Scientific Publications

- G. Cakmak, Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz, Flexible and Conducting Composites of Polypyrrole and Poly(dimethylsiloxane), *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 93(2), 2004, pp:736-741.
- G. Cakmak, Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz and H. Çakmak, Mechanical, Electrical and Thermal Properties of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Poly(dimethylsiloxane)/ Polypyrrole Composites, Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 35(4), 2004, pp:417-421.
- G. Cakmak, Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz, Conductive Copolymers of Polyaniline, Polypyrrole and Poly(dimethylsiloxane), Synthetic Metals, 151(1), 2005, pp:10-18.
- D. Pitzschke, J. Curda, G. Cakmak, M. Jansen, Ag₉I₃(SeO₄)₂(IO₃)₂ Synthesis, Crystal Structure and Ionic Conductivity, Zeitschrift für Anorganische and Allgemeine Chemie (ZAAC), 634 (11), 2008, pp:1907 -1910.
- D. Pitzschke, J. Curda, G. Cakmak, M. Jansen, Ag₄I₂SeO₄ and Ag₃ITeO₄ -Two New Silver Solid Electrolytes, *Zeitschrift für Anorganische and Allgemeine Chemie* (ZAAC), 634 (6-7), 2008, pp:1071-1076.
- G. Cakmak, A. Verhoeven, M. Jansen, Synthesis and Characterization of Single Solid Ion Conductors Based on Poly[lithium tetrakis(ethylene boryl)borate], *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 19(25), 2009, pp:4310-4318.
- 7. G. Cakmak, J. Nuss, M. Jansen, LiB₆O₉F, the First Lithium Fluorooxoborate - Crystal Structure and Ionic Conductivity, *Zeitschrift für Anorganische and Allgemeine Chemie (ZAAC)*, 635(4-5), 2009, pp:631-636.
- 8. G. Cakmak, M. Jansen, Synthesis and Characterization of Solid Ion Conductors Based on Poly[lithium tetrakis(triethyleneorganylboryl)borate], *in preparation*.
- G. Cakmak, M. Jansen, Synthesis, Crystal Structure and Ionic Conductivity of Na₃B₃O₃F₆, in preparation.
- G. Cakmak, M. Jansen, Synthesis, Characterization and Ionic Conductivity of K₃B₃O₃F₆, in preparation.

Meetings & Proceedings:

- G. Cakmak, J. Nuss, M. Jansen, Synthesis of Novel Alkali Metal Ion Conducting Materials, Gordon Research Conference on Solid State Chemistry – New Frontiers in Materials Synthesis and Characterization, September 2009, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- G. Cakmak, J. Nuss, M. Jansen, Crystal Structures and Ionic Conductivities of the First Alkali Fluorooxoborates, ECSSC XIIth European Conference on Solid State Chemistry, EM004, pp:336, September 2009, Münster, Germany.
- G. Cakmak, A.Verhoeven, M. Jansen, Synthesis and Characterization of a Novel Organoborate Polyelectrolyte, 6th International Conference on Inorganic Materials, P1-26, September 2008, Dresden, Germany.
- 4. Kronacher Impedancedays, Kloster Banz, April 2005, workshop organized by Zahner Company.
- Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz, G. Çakmak, Synthesis and characterization of polypyrrole and polydimethylsiloxane composites, Abstracts of Papers, 228th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, 228(2), 2004, pp:U468, Philadelphia, USA.
- G. Otabatmaz, Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz, Conducting Composites of Polyaniline, Polymer Processing Society—2001 Regional Meeting, October 2001, pp:471, Antalya, Turkey (also a member of Organization Committee).
- G. Otabatmaz, Z. Küçükyavuz, S. Küçükyavuz, Polianilinin İletken Kompozitleri, XVth National Chemistry Symposium, September 2001, P43, Istanbul, Turkey.

Explanation

Hiermit versichere ich an Eides statt, dass ich diese Dissertation unter Verwendung der angegebenen Hilfsmittel selbstständig angefertigt und bisher noch keinen Promotionversuch unternommen habe.

Stuttgart, den

Güliz Cakmak